

ON SPITZER'S FORMULA FOR THE MOMENT OF LADDER VARIABLES

Yuan S. Chow

Academia Sinica

Abstract: Let X, X_1, X_2, \dots be i.i.d. random variables, $EX = 0$, $S_n = X_1 + \dots + X_n$ and $\rho(\epsilon)$ be the first $n \geq 1$ such that $S_n(\epsilon) \leq 0$, where $S_n(\epsilon) = S_n - \epsilon n$ for $\epsilon \geq 0$. We prove that if $E(|X^-|^2) < \infty$, $E|S_{\rho(0)}|$ is the limit of $E|S_{\rho(\epsilon)}|$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. When $E(X^2) < \infty$, this limit is evaluated by a probabilistic method. Thus we have a new proof of the Spitzer's formula for the moment of ladder variable $S_{\rho(0)}$.

Key words and phrases: Euler constant, ladder variable, moment, random walks, Spitzer's formula, uniformly integrable.

1. Introduction

Let X, X_1, X_2, \dots be i.i.d., $EX = 0$, $E|X| > 0$ and $S_n = X_1 + \dots + X_n$. Define $\rho = \inf\{n \geq 1 : S_n \leq 0\}$. Spitzer (1960) proved that if $\sigma^2 = E(X^2) < \infty$,

$$E(|S_\rho|) = 2^{-1/2} \sigma \exp \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1} \left(P(S_n > 0) - \frac{1}{2} \right) \right\} < \infty. \quad (1)$$

His method was analytic by applying generating functions associated with fluctuation theory and a Tauberian argument. His approach was extended by Lai (1976) to show that if $E(|X|^{k+1}) < \infty$ for some $k = 1, 2, \dots$, then $E(|S_\rho|^k) < \infty$, and an explicit expression was also given. By a probabilistic approach, Chow and Lai (1979) established that if $E(|X^-|^{p+1}) < \infty$ for some $p > 0$, then

$$E(|S_\rho|^p) < \infty. \quad (2)$$

They replaced the driftless random walk S_n by the negative drift random walk

$$S_n(\epsilon) = S_n - \epsilon n, \quad \epsilon > 0$$

whose descending ladder variable $S_{\rho(\epsilon)}(\epsilon)$ is much easier to handle than the ladder variable S_ρ , where $\rho(\epsilon)$ is the first $n \geq 1$ such that $S_n(\epsilon) \leq 0$.

They showed that if $E(|X^-|^{p+1}) < \infty$ for some $p > 0$, then

$$E(|S_{\rho(\epsilon)}(\epsilon)|^p) = O(1), \quad (3)$$

which implies (2) by Fatou's lemma as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.

In this paper, we shall follow their approach to introduce $S_n(\epsilon)$. By using Erickson's (1973) bounds for the renewal functions, we obtain the following result, which is sharper than (3).

Theorem 1. *Let X, X_1, X_2, \dots be i.i.d. random variables, $EX = 0 < E|X|$ and $S_n = X_1 + \dots + X_n$. For $\epsilon \geq 0$, let $S_n(\epsilon) = S_n - \epsilon n$ and*

$$\rho(\epsilon) = \inf\{n \geq 1 : S_n(\epsilon) \leq 0\}, \quad \rho = \rho(0).$$

Let $p \geq 1$ and $F(x) = P(X < x)$. Then

$$\left\{ |S_{\rho(\epsilon)}(\epsilon)|^p, 0 < \epsilon < 1 \right\} \text{ is u.i.} \quad (4)$$

iff

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{x^{p+1}}{\int_0^\infty y(y \wedge x) dF(y)} dP(-X < x) < \infty \quad (5)$$

iff

$$E|S_\rho|^p < \infty. \quad (6)$$

The proof of Theorem 1 will be given in Section 2.

Klass (1983), (2.3) and Remark 2.5 proved that if $EX^2 < \infty$, then (4) holds and conjectured that the condition $EX^2 < \infty$ could be reduced to $E(X^-)^2 < \infty$. Since

$$\int_0^\infty y(y \wedge x) dF(y)$$

is monotonically increasing and positive for $x > 0$,

$$E(X^-)^{p+1} < \infty \quad (7)$$

implies (5). Hence Theorem 1 solves Klass' conjecture.

Theorem 1 also gives an affirmative answer to the following question raised by Chow (1986) Remark (ii) that whether (6) implies

$$E|S_\rho|^p = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} E|S_{\rho(\epsilon)}(\epsilon)|^p.$$

Since $\lim S_{\rho(\epsilon)}(\epsilon) = S_\rho$ a.s., Theorem 1 implies that if $E(|X^-|^{p+1}) < \infty$,

$$E(|S_\rho|^p) = \lim E(|S_{\rho(\epsilon)}(\epsilon)|^p) < \infty.$$

When $p = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} E(|S_{\rho(\epsilon)}(\epsilon)|) &= -E(S_{\rho(\epsilon)}(\epsilon)) = -ES_{\rho(\epsilon)} + \epsilon E(\rho(\epsilon)) \\ &= \epsilon E(\rho(\epsilon)) \end{aligned}$$

by Wald's lemma since $E(\rho(\epsilon)) < \infty$. By Feller (1971), p.416

$$E(\rho(\epsilon)) = \exp \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1} P(S_n > n\epsilon) \right\}.$$

If $E(|X^-|^2) < \infty$, then

$$E(|S_\rho|) = \lim_{\epsilon} \exp \left\{ \log \epsilon + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1} P(S_n > n\epsilon) \right\}. \quad (8)$$

When $EX^2 < \infty$, the limit in (8) is given by the following Theorem 2.

Theorem 2. *Let X, X_1, X_2, \dots be i.i.d. random variables, $E(X) = 0 < E(|X|)$, $S_n = X_1 + \dots + X_n$ and*

$$K = \lim \left(\sum_{j=1}^n j^{-1} - \log n \right). \quad (9)$$

If $\sigma^2 = E(X^2) < \infty$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{\epsilon} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1} P(S_n > n\epsilon) + \log \epsilon \right\} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1} \left(P(S_n > 0) - \frac{1}{2} \right) + E(\log |N(0, 1)|) + \frac{K}{2} + \log \sigma, \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where $N(0, 1)$ is the standard normal random variable.

The proof of Theorem 2 will be given in Section 3. The constant K defined by (9) is the Euler constant (cf. Whittaker and Watson (1927), p.235). If we assume (1), then from (8) and (10) we have the following result immediately.

Corollary 1. *Let K be the Euler constant and $\varphi(x)$ be the density of the standard normal random variable. Then*

$$4 \int_0^{\infty} \varphi(x) \log x dx = -K - \log 2. \quad (11)$$

Remark 1. Equation (11) gives a new formula for K . Other formulas for K can be found in Whittaker and Watson (1927), p.236, p.246 and p.248. Even without assuming (1), we can still prove (11) as follows.

Proof of Corollary 1. Let

$$I = \int_0^{\infty} \varphi(x) \log x dx, \quad \Gamma(x) = \int_0^{\infty} y^{x-1} e^{-y} dy.$$

Then $\Gamma'(x) = \int_0^\infty y^{x-1} e^{-y} \log y dy$ and by letting $x^2 = 2y$,

$$\begin{aligned} 4I &= \pi^{-1/2} \int_0^\infty e^{-y} (\log 2 + \log y) y^{-1/2} dy \\ &= \pi^{-1/2} \left\{ \Gamma(1/2) \log 2 + \Gamma'(1/2) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

By Whittaker and Watson (1927), p.236 and p.259

$$\Gamma'(1) = -K, \quad \frac{\Gamma'(1)}{\Gamma(1)} - \frac{\Gamma'(1/2)}{\Gamma(1/2)} = 2 \log 2. \quad (12)$$

Since $\Gamma(1) = 1$ and $\Gamma(1/2) = \sqrt{\pi}$, from (12)

$$4I = \log 2 + \frac{\Gamma'(1/2)}{\Gamma(1/2)} = -K - \log 2,$$

which is (11).

Remark 2. When $p = 1$, Theorem 1, Theorem 2 and Corollary 1 yields a new derivation for the Spitzer's formula (1).

2. Proof of Theorem 1

(i) (4) \Rightarrow (6) \Rightarrow (5). By Fatou's lemma, (4) implies (6) and by Chow (1986), Theorem 1, (6) implies (5).

(ii) (5) \Rightarrow (4). For $\epsilon \geq 0$ and $x > 0$, let

$$\begin{aligned} X(\epsilon) &= X - \epsilon, \quad F_\epsilon(x) = P(X(\epsilon) < x), \quad G_\epsilon(x) = P(-X(\epsilon) < x), \\ F(x) &= F_0(x), \quad G(x) = G_0(x), \quad S_n(\epsilon) = S_n - \epsilon n, \\ \tau(\epsilon) &= \inf \{n \geq 1 : S_n(\epsilon) > 0\}, \quad \rho(\epsilon) = \inf \{n \geq 1 : S_n(\epsilon) \leq 0\}, \\ \psi_\epsilon(x) &= \sum_0^\infty P(H_n(\epsilon) \leq x), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} H_0(\epsilon) &= 0, \quad H_n(\epsilon) = H^{(1)}(\epsilon) + \dots + H^{(n)}(\epsilon), \\ H^{(1)}(\epsilon) &= H(\epsilon) = S_{\tau(\epsilon)}(\epsilon), \quad P(H^{(j)}(\epsilon) < x) = P(H(\epsilon) < x) \end{aligned}$$

and $(H^{(j)}(\epsilon), j \geq 1)$ are independent. By Chow (1986), (16),

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{y(y \wedge x)^p dF_\epsilon(y)}{E(|S_{\rho(\epsilon)}(\epsilon)| \wedge y)} = O(1)E(H(\epsilon) \wedge x)^p.$$

Since $p \geq 1$, by Chow (1986), Remark (ii),

$$E|S_{\rho(\epsilon)}(\epsilon)| = O(1).$$

Therefore,

$$\int_0^\infty y(y \wedge x)^p dF_\epsilon(y) = O(1)E(H(\epsilon) \wedge x)^p. \quad (13)$$

By Chow (1986), (12) and (13)

$$\begin{aligned} P(|S_{\rho(\epsilon)}(\epsilon)| \geq x) &= \int_x^\infty \psi_\epsilon(y-x) dG_\epsilon(y) \leq \int_x^\infty \psi_\epsilon(y) dG_\epsilon(y) \\ &\leq 2 \int_x^\infty \frac{y}{E(H(\epsilon) \wedge y)} dG_\epsilon(y). \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

From (13) and (14),

$$P(|S_{\rho(\epsilon)}(\epsilon)| \geq 2x) = O(1) \int_{2x}^\infty \frac{y}{\int_0^\infty z(z \wedge y) dF_\epsilon(z)} dG_\epsilon(y).$$

By Chow (1986), (39) for $x > a > 2\epsilon$ with $0 < F(a) < 1$,

$$\int_{2x}^\infty \frac{y}{\int_0^\infty z(z \wedge y) dF_\epsilon(z)} dG_\epsilon(y) = O(1) \int_x^\infty \frac{y}{\int_0^\infty z(z \wedge y) dF(z)} dG(y).$$

Hence

$$P(|S_{\rho(\epsilon)}(\epsilon)| \geq 2x) = O(1) \int_x^\infty \frac{y}{\int_0^\infty z(z \wedge y) dF(z)} dG(y),$$

and for $M > 2a$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M^\infty P(|S_{\rho(\epsilon)}(\epsilon)| \geq 2x) dx^p &= O(1) \int_M^\infty dx^p \int_x^\infty \frac{y}{\int_0^\infty z(z \wedge y) dF(z)} dG(y) \\ &= O(1) \int_M^\infty \frac{y^{p+1}}{\int_0^\infty z(z \wedge y) dF(z)} dG(y). \end{aligned}$$

Thus (4) follows from (5).

3. Proof of Theorem 2

Let N be a positive integer and $\delta > 0$. Put

$$\Phi(x) = \int_{-\infty}^x \varphi(u) du, \quad \sigma_n^2 = \text{var}\{(X \wedge \sqrt{n}\sigma) \vee (-\sqrt{n}\sigma)\}$$

and

$$\beta(x, \delta) = [x^2/\delta^2] = \text{the integral part of } x^2/\delta^2.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=N+1}^\infty \frac{1}{n} \Phi(-\sqrt{n}\delta) &= \int_{\sqrt{N+1}\delta}^\infty \varphi(x) \sum_{N < n \leq \beta(x, \delta)} \frac{1}{n} dx \\ &= \int_{\sqrt{N+1}\delta}^\infty \varphi(x) \{\log \beta(x, \delta) + K_{\beta(x, \delta)}\} dx \\ &\quad - \Phi(-\sqrt{N+1}\delta)(K_N + \log N). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \Phi(-\sqrt{n}\delta) + \log \delta \\
&= \int_{\sqrt{N+1}\delta}^{\infty} \varphi(x) \{ \log \delta^2 \beta(x, \delta) + K_{\beta(x, \delta)} \} dx \\
&\quad - \Phi(-\sqrt{N+1}\delta) (K_N + \log N) + 2 \left(\frac{1}{2} - \Phi(-\sqrt{N+1}\delta) \right) \log \delta.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $(1/2 - \Phi(-x)) \log x \rightarrow 0$, as $x \rightarrow 0^+$, then as $\delta \rightarrow 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \Phi(-\sqrt{n}\delta) + \log \delta \\
&\rightarrow 2 \int_0^{\infty} \varphi(x) \log x dx + \frac{K - K_N - \log N}{2}. \tag{15}
\end{aligned}$$

Now for $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, since $\sigma \geq \sigma_n \geq \sigma_N$ for $n \geq N$, (see Chow and Teicher (1988), p.104), by (15)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \Phi\left(\frac{-\sqrt{n}\epsilon}{\sigma_n}\right) + \log \frac{\epsilon}{\sigma_N} \geq \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \Phi\left(\frac{-\sqrt{n}\epsilon}{\sigma_N}\right) + \log \frac{\epsilon}{\sigma_N} \\
&\rightarrow 2 \int_0^{\infty} \varphi(x) \log x dx + \frac{K - K_N - \log N}{2}, \tag{16}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{n} \Phi\left(\frac{-\sqrt{n}\epsilon}{\sigma_n}\right) \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{n} = \frac{(K_N + \log N)}{2}. \tag{17}$$

Therefore by (16) and (17)

$$\liminf \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \Phi\left(\frac{-\sqrt{n}\epsilon}{\sigma_n}\right) + \log \epsilon \right\} \geq 2 \int_0^{\infty} \varphi(x) \log x dx + \log \sigma_N + \frac{K}{2}.$$

Hence as $N \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\liminf \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \Phi\left(\frac{-\sqrt{n}\epsilon}{\sigma_n}\right) + \log \epsilon \right\} \geq 2 \int_0^{\infty} \varphi(x) \log x dx + \log \sigma + \frac{K}{2}. \tag{18}$$

By (15), for $\delta = \epsilon/\sigma$ and $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$,

$$\sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \Phi\left(-\frac{\sqrt{n}\epsilon}{\sigma}\right) + \log \frac{\epsilon}{\sigma} \rightarrow 2 \int_0^{\infty} \varphi(x) \log x dx + \frac{K - K_N - \log N}{2},$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{n} \Phi\left(-\frac{\sqrt{n}\epsilon}{\sigma}\right) \longrightarrow \frac{K_N + \log N}{2}.$$

Hence

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \Phi\left(-\frac{\sqrt{n}\epsilon}{\sigma}\right) + \log \epsilon \longrightarrow 2 \int_0^{\infty} \varphi(x) \log x dx + \log \sigma + \frac{K}{2}. \quad (19)$$

By (19),

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \Phi\left(-\frac{\sqrt{n}\epsilon}{\sigma_n}\right) + \log \epsilon \right\} &\leq \lim \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \Phi\left(-\frac{\sqrt{n}\epsilon}{\sigma}\right) + \log \epsilon \right\} \\ &= 2 \int_0^{\infty} \varphi(x) \log x dx + \log \sigma + \frac{K}{2}. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

By (18) and (20),

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \Phi\left(-\frac{\sqrt{n}\epsilon}{\sigma_n}\right) + \log \epsilon \longrightarrow 2 \int_0^{\infty} \varphi(x) \log x dx + \log \sigma + \frac{K}{2}. \quad (21)$$

By Friedman, Katz and Koopmans (1966) or Chow and Teicher (1988), p.307 as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1} \left(P(S_n < -n\epsilon) - \Phi\left(\frac{-\sqrt{n}\epsilon}{\sigma_n}\right) \right) \longrightarrow \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1} \left(P(S_n < 0) - \frac{1}{2} \right). \quad (22)$$

By (21) and (22), as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} P(S_n < -n\epsilon) + \log \epsilon \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \left(P(S_n < -n\epsilon) - \Phi\left(-\frac{\sqrt{n}\epsilon}{\sigma_n}\right) \right) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \Phi\left(-\frac{\sqrt{n}\epsilon}{\sigma_n}\right) + \log \epsilon \\ &\longrightarrow \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \left(P(S_n < 0) - \frac{1}{2} \right) + 2 \int_0^{\infty} \varphi(x) \log x dx + \log \sigma + \frac{K}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is completed.

Acknowledgement

This work has been done at Institute of Statistical Sciences, Academia Sinica, with the support of N. S. C. of R. O. C.

My old version of Theorem 1 states only that (4) holds under the condition (7). The proof and the statement of the present version are suggested by an Associate Editor. I am very grateful for his generosity.

References

- Chow, Y. S. (1986). On moments of ladder height variables. *Adv. Appl. Math.* **7**, 46-54.
- Chow, Y. S. and Lai, T. L. (1979). Moments of ladder variables for driftless random walks. *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw. Gebiete* **48**, 253-257.
- Chow, Y. S. and Teicher, H. (1988). *Probability Theory*. 2nd edition. Springer-Verlag, New York.
- Erickson, K. B. (1973). The SLLN when the mean is undefined. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **185**, 371-381.
- Feller, W. (1971). *An Introduction to Probability Theory and its Applications*. vol. 2, 2nd edition. John Wiley, New York.
- Friedman, N., Katz, M. and Koopmans, L. (1966). Convergence rates for the central limit theorem. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.* **56**, 1062-1065.
- Klass, M. (1983). On the maximum of a random walk with small negative drift. *Ann. Probab.* **11**, 491-505.
- Lai, T. L. (1976). Asymptotic moments of random walks with applications to ladder variables and renewal theory. *Ann. Probab.* **4**, 51-66.
- Spitzer, F. (1960). A Tauberian theorem and its probability interpretation. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **94**, 150-169.
- Whittaker, E. T. and Watson, G. N. (1927). *A Course of Modern Analysis*. 4th edition. Cambridge University Press, New York (reprinted 1945).

Institute of Statistical Sciences, Academia Sinica, Taipei 115, Taiwan.

(Received August 1995; accepted June 1996)