

ASYMPTOTICALLY OPTIMAL SEQUENTIAL POINT ESTIMATION OF THE MEAN OF AN EXPONENTIAL FAMILY

Mohamed Tahir

Temple University

Abstract: This paper provides a fully sequential procedure for estimating the mean of a one-parameter exponential family of probability distributions with a weighted squared error loss and a fixed cost for each observation. The procedure is shown to be asymptotically optimal to second order among all stopping times, for a general class of estimators of the mean.

Key words and phrases: One-parameter exponential family, regret, stopping time, uniformly integrable.

1. Introduction

Let Ω be an open interval and let F_ω , $\omega \in \Omega$, denote a one-parameter exponential family of probability distributions on $\mathbf{R} = (-\infty, \infty)$; that is,

$$dF_\omega(x) = \exp\{\omega x - \psi(\omega)\} \lambda(dx)$$

for $x \in \mathbf{R}$ and $\omega \in \Omega$, where λ is a non-degenerate σ -finite measure on \mathbf{R} , $\exp\{\psi(\omega)\} = \int e^{\omega x} \lambda(dx)$, and Ω is the set of all ω for which this integral is finite. Recall that the mean and variance of F_ω are $\theta = \psi'(\omega)$ and $\sigma^2 = \psi''(\omega)$, respectively, where the prime denotes differentiation.

Let X_1, X_2, \dots be independent random variables with common distribution function F_ω , under a probability measure P_ω , for some unknown $\omega \in \Omega$. Based on a fixed sample size t , the population mean θ can be estimated by its maximum likelihood estimator $\bar{X}_t = (X_1 + \dots + X_t)/t$, which is an unbiased estimator of θ . However, when t is a stopping time, \bar{X}_t may be biased for θ . For instance, suppose that F_ω is the d.f. of the normal distribution with mean $\theta = \omega$ and unit variance, and define a stopping time t by $t = \inf\{n \geq 1 : 2^{-1}n\bar{X}_n^2 > a\}$ for $a \geq 0$. Following the proof of Theorem 9.1 of Woodroffe (1982), it can be shown that $E_\omega[\bar{X}_t] = \theta(1 + 1/a) + o(1/a)$ as $a \rightarrow \infty$, for all $\omega \neq 0$. This suggests considering estimators of θ of the form $\bar{X}_t/(1 + 1/a)$ or $\hat{\theta}_t = \bar{X}_t - \bar{X}_t/(1 + 2^{-1}t\bar{X}_t^2)$.

More generally, let $b_n, n \geq 1$, be a sequence of bounded, continuous functions on \mathbf{R} , which converges to a continuously differentiable function b , uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbf{R} , and such that $\sup_{x \in \mathbf{R}} |b_n(x)| = o(\sqrt{n})$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Consider estimators of θ of the form

$$\hat{\theta}_n = \bar{X}_n - \frac{1}{n} b_n(\bar{X}_n)$$

for $n \geq 1$, and suppose that when observation is terminated at time $t = n$, the loss incurred is

$$L_a(n, \omega) = a^2 \gamma^2(\omega) (\hat{\theta}_n - \theta)^2 + n \quad (1.1)$$

for $\omega \in \Omega$, where $a > 0$ and γ is a positive, twice continuously differentiable function on Ω . Then the risk is $R_a(n, \omega) = E_\omega[L_a(n, \omega)] = \frac{1}{n} [g(\theta)]^2 + n + o(1/n)$ for all sufficiently large n , where E_ω denotes expectation with respect to P_ω and

$$g(\theta) = \gamma(\omega) \sqrt{\psi''(\omega)}.$$

If $g(\theta)$ is known, then the approximate risk is minimized by letting n be an integer adjacent to $n_0 = ag(\theta)$; in which case the minimum risk is $R_a(n_0, \omega) \approx 2ag(\theta)$ for all sufficiently large a and all $\omega \in \Omega$. However, if $g(\theta)$ is unknown, then n_0 cannot be used and there is no fixed sample size procedure that achieves the risk $2ag(\theta)$. In view of n_0 , let

$$t = \inf\{n \geq l : n > a\hat{g}_n\} \quad (1.2)$$

for $a \geq 0$, where $l \geq 1$ is an initial sample size and \hat{g}_n is an estimator of $g(\theta)$ which is defined below. This type of stopping procedure was first considered by Robbins (1959) for the estimation of the mean of a normal distribution.

Recently, Tahir (1989) showed that

$$\liminf_{a \rightarrow \infty} \inf_s \sup_{\omega \in J} r_a(s; \omega) \geq \sup_{\omega \in J} G(\omega)$$

for every compact subinterval J of Ω , where

$$r_a(s; \omega) = E_\omega[L_a(s, \omega)] - 2ag(\theta) \quad (1.3)$$

is the regret of the stopping time s and

$$G(\omega) = \psi''(\omega) \frac{[g'(\theta)]^2}{g^2(\theta)} + \gamma^2(\omega) \frac{d^2}{d\omega^2} \left[\frac{1}{g^2(\theta)} \right] - 2\gamma^2(\omega) \frac{d}{d\omega} \left[\frac{b(\theta)}{g^2(\theta)} \right] + \frac{b^2(\theta)}{\psi''(\omega)} \quad (1.4)$$

for $\omega \in \Omega$. The main result of this paper shows that $\lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} r_a(t; \omega) = G(\omega)$ uniformly with respect to ω on compact subintervals of Ω , where t is as in (1.2)

with $\hat{g}_n = g_n(\bar{X}_n)$ and $g_n, n \geq 1$, is a sequence of continuous functions on \mathbf{R} such that $g_n = g$ on Ω_n , where $\Omega_n, n \geq 1$, is a sequence of compact subintervals of Ω for which $\frac{1}{n} \leq g \leq n$ on Ω_n , for each $n \geq 1$. The result implies that t has asymptotic local minimax regret (see Woodroffe (1985) for more details on asymptotic local minimaxity.) The estimators $\hat{g}_n, n \geq 1$, have been considered by Woodroffe (1987) who shows that asymptotic local minimax regret can be obtained by procedures that take observations in three stages when θ is estimated by $\bar{X}_n, n \geq 1$.

2. Preliminary Results

Let t be defined by (1.2) and observe that $t \geq \sqrt{a}$ for all sufficiently large a .

Lemma 2.1. *If J is any compact subinterval of Ω , then*

$$P_\omega\{t \leq \epsilon a\} = o(1/a^p) \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{n > a/\epsilon} n^p P_\omega\{t > n\} \rightarrow 0$$

uniformly in $\omega \in J$ as $a \rightarrow \infty$, for all sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$ and all $p \geq 0$.

Proof. The lemma follows from Lemma 2.1 of Woodroffe (1987) since $P_\omega\{t \leq \epsilon a\} \leq P_\omega\{|1/\hat{g}_n - 1/g(\theta)| > \epsilon, \exists n \geq \sqrt{a}\}$ for all $\omega \in J$ and all $\epsilon < 1/2$ such that $2\epsilon \leq \inf_{\omega \in J} g(\theta)$ and since, for any $n > a/\epsilon, P_\omega\{t > n\} \leq P_\omega\{\hat{g}_n > 1/\epsilon\} \leq P_\omega\{|\hat{g}_n - g(\theta)| > \epsilon\}$ for all $\omega \in J$ and all $\epsilon < 1/2$ such that $2\epsilon \leq \inf_{\omega \in J} g(\theta) \leq \sup_{\omega \in J} g(\theta) \leq 1/(2\epsilon)$.

Lemma 2.2. *If J is any compact subinterval of Ω , then*

$$\lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} E_\omega \left[\left| \frac{t}{a} - g(\theta) \right|^p \right] = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{a}{t} \rightarrow \frac{1}{g(\theta)} \quad \text{in } P_\omega\text{-probability}$$

uniformly with respect to $\omega \in J$ as $a \rightarrow \infty$, for all $p \geq 1$.

Proof. The first assertion follows from Lemma 2.2 of Woodroffe (1987) since $|t/a - g(\theta)|^p \leq 2^{p-1} a^{-p} + 2^{2p-2} |\hat{g}_{t-1} - g(\theta)|^p + 2^{2p-2} |\hat{g}_t - g(\theta)|^p$, by the c_p -inequality (Loève (1963)) and (1.2). The second assertion follows easily from Markov's inequality.

In the remainder of this paper let $S_n = X_1 + \dots + X_n$ for each $n \geq 1$.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $W_n = (S_n - n\theta)/\sqrt{n\psi''(\omega)}$ for $\omega \in \Omega$ and $n \geq 1$. If J is any compact subinterval of Ω , then W_t converges in distribution, under P_ω , to a standard normal random variable, uniformly with respect to $\omega \in J$ as $a \rightarrow \infty$.*

Proof. The theorem can be established by following the proof of Theorem 1.4 of Woodroffe (1982) and using Lemma 2.2, Kolmogorov's inequality, and the fact that ψ'' is bounded on J .

Lemma 2.3. *If J is any compact subinterval of Ω , then there are positive constants $C = C(J)$ and $\delta = \delta(J)$ for which*

$$\sup_{\omega \in J} P_\omega \left\{ \max_{1 \leq n \leq N} |S_n - n\theta| > x \right\} \leq 2 \max \left\{ e^{-x^2/(2NC)}, e^{-\frac{1}{2}\delta x} \right\}$$

for all $x > 0$ and all integer $N \geq 1$.

Proof. Since $S_n - n\theta, n \geq 1$, is a martingale with respect to P_ω , for each $\omega \in \Omega$,

$$\begin{aligned} P_\omega \left\{ \max_{1 \leq n \leq N} (S_n - n\theta) > x \right\} &\leq e^{-rx} E_\omega [\exp\{r(S_N - N\theta)\}] \\ &= \exp \left\{ -rx + N[\psi(\omega + r) - \psi(\omega) - r\theta] \right\} \\ &= \exp \left\{ -rx + Nr^2\psi''(\omega^*)/2 \right\} \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

for all $r > 0$ and all $\omega \in \Omega$ for which $\omega + r \in \Omega$, and all $x > 0$, where ω^* is an intermediate point between ω and $\omega + r$. Let J_δ denote a δ -neighborhood of J and choose δ so small that $J_\delta \subset \Omega$. Let C denote an upper bound for ψ'' on J_δ . Then RHS(2.1) $\leq \exp\{-rx + NCr^2/2\} = \exp\{Q(r, x)\}$, say. The lemma follows by minimizing $Q(r, x)$ with respect to $r > 0$ for $x \leq NC\delta$ and for $x > NC\delta$, and applying the resulting inequality to both S_n and $-S_n$.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $W_n, n \geq 1$, be as in Theorem 2.1. If J is any compact subinterval of Ω , then $W_t^p, a \geq 0$, are uniformly integrable with respect to P_ω , uniformly in $\omega \in J$, for all $p \geq 1$.*

Proof. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be as in the proof of the second assertion of Lemma 2.1, and set $N_1 = [\epsilon a] + 1$ and $N_2 = [a/\epsilon]$, where $[b]$ denotes the greatest integer that does not exceed b . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{t \leq \epsilon a} \left| (S_t - t\theta)/\sqrt{t} \right|^p dP_\omega &\leq (\epsilon a)^{p/2} \int_{t \leq \epsilon a} \sup_{1 \leq n \leq N_1} |\bar{X}_n - \theta|^p dP_\omega \\ &\leq C\epsilon^{p/2} \sqrt{a^p P_\omega\{t \leq \epsilon a\}} \sqrt{E_\omega[|X_1 - \theta|^{2p}]} \\ &\rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

uniformly in $\omega \in J$ as $a \rightarrow \infty$, for all $p \geq 1$, for some constant $C > 0$, by Schwarz's inequality, Doob's maximal inequality (applied to the reverse martingale $\bar{X}_n, n \geq 1$), and the first assertion of Lemma 2.1. Next, Let $C_a = \{\epsilon a < t \leq a/\epsilon\}$. Then an integration by parts shows that for each $\omega \in \Omega$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{C_a} \left| \frac{S_t - t\theta}{\sqrt{t}} \right|^p dP_\omega &\leq p(\epsilon a)^{-p/2} \int_0^\infty x^{p-1} P_\omega\{C_a, |S_t - t\theta| > x\} dx \\ &\leq \frac{p}{(\epsilon a)^{p/2}} \int_0^\infty x^{p-1} P_\omega \left\{ \max_{N_1 \leq n \leq N_2} |S_n - n\theta| > x \right\} dx. \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

Furthermore, by Lemma 2.3, there are positive constants C and δ for which

$$\sup_{\omega \in J} \text{LHS}(2.2) \leq 2p(\epsilon a)^{-p/2} \int_0^\infty x^{p-1} \left[e^{-x^2/(2CN_2)} + e^{-\frac{1}{2}\delta x} \right] dx \rightarrow I_p$$

as $a \rightarrow \infty$, where $I_p = 2p\epsilon^{-p}C^{-p/2} \int_0^\infty y^{p-1}e^{-\frac{1}{2}y^2} dy < \infty$, for all $p \geq 1$. Finally,

$$\int_{t > a/\epsilon} \left| (S_t - t\theta)/\sqrt{t} \right|^p dP_\omega \leq \sqrt{\sum_{n > a/\epsilon} n^p P_\omega \{t > n\}} \sqrt{E_\omega \sup_{n > N_2} |\bar{X}_n - \theta|^{2p}} \rightarrow 0$$

uniformly in $\omega \in J$ as $a \rightarrow \infty$, by Schwarz's inequality and the facts that the term under the first square root tends to zero uniformly in $\omega \in J$ as $a \rightarrow \infty$ by the second assertion of Lemma 2.1; and the other term is $O(N_2^{-p})$ uniformly in $\omega \in J$ as $a \rightarrow \infty$, by Doob's maximal inequality and Von Bahr's theorem.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $t^* = (t - ag(\theta))/\sqrt{ag(\theta)}$. If J is any compact subinterval of Ω , then t^* converges in distribution, under P_ω , to a normal random variable with mean 0 and variance $\tau^2(\omega) = [g'(\theta)]^2 [g(\theta)]^{-2} \psi''(\omega)$, uniformly in $\omega \in J$ as $a \rightarrow \infty$.*

Proof. The lemma can be established by following the proof of Lemma 4.2 of Woodroffe (1982) and using Theorem 2.2.

Corollary 2.1. *If J is any compact subinterval of Ω , then*

$$\lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} E_\omega \left[\frac{(t - ag(\theta))^2}{t} \right] = \tau^2(\omega)$$

uniformly in $\omega \in J$, where $\tau^2(\omega)$ is given in Lemma 2.4.

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Corollary 4.1 of Woodroffe (1987).

Lemma 2.5. *If J is any compact subinterval of Ω , then*

$$\int_{|\bar{X}_t - \theta| > \epsilon} \frac{a^2}{t} \left| [b_t(\bar{X}_t) - b(\theta)] (\bar{X}_t - \theta) \right| dP_\omega = o(1)$$

and

$$\int_{|\bar{X}_t - \theta| > \epsilon} \frac{a^2}{t^2} [b_t(\bar{X}_t)]^2 dP_\omega = o(1)$$

uniformly in $\omega \in J$ as $a \rightarrow \infty$, for all sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$.

Proof. The lemma follows by Schwarz's inequality and Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 of Woodroffe (1987) (and Lemma 2.2 of Tahir (1989) to obtain the second assertion).

3. Asymptotic Local Minimacity

The main result is presented in the following theorem:

Theorem 3.1. *Let $m = [\sqrt{a}]$ and let \mathcal{F}_m denote the σ -algebra generated by X_1, \dots, X_m . Also, let t be defined by (1.2) and let J be any compact subinterval of Ω . If there is a constant $C > 0$ for which $m^4 |E_\omega\{t - a\hat{g}_m | \mathcal{F}_m\}| \leq C$ w.p.1 (P_ω), for all $\omega \in J$ and all sufficiently large a , then $r_a(t; \omega) = G(\omega) + o(1)$ uniformly in $\omega \in J$ as $a \rightarrow \infty$, where $r_a(t; \omega)$ is defined by (1.3) and $G(\omega)$ is given in (1.4).*

Proof. Write

$$\bar{X}_t - \theta = \frac{1}{t} [m(\bar{X}_m - \theta) + (X_{m+1} - \theta + \dots + X_t - \theta)] \tag{3.1}$$

on $\{t \geq m\}$, expand the square, and use the independence of X_1, X_2, \dots to obtain

$$E_\omega\{(\bar{X}_t - \theta)^2 | \mathcal{F}_m\} = \frac{m^2}{t^2} (\bar{X}_m - \theta)^2 + \frac{\psi''(\omega)}{t} - \frac{m}{t^2} \psi''(\omega)$$

for all $\omega \in \Omega$. Combine this observation with (1.1) and (1.3) to get

$$\begin{aligned} r_a(t; \omega) &= E_\omega \left[\frac{(t - ag(\theta))^2}{t} \right] + \gamma^2(\omega) E_\omega \left[\frac{a^2}{t^2} [(S_m - m\theta)^2 - m\psi''(\omega)] \right] \\ &\quad - 2\gamma^2(\omega) E_\omega \left[\frac{a^2}{t} b_t(\bar{X}_t)(\bar{X}_t - \theta) \right] + \gamma^2(\omega) E_\omega \left[\frac{a^2}{t^2} b_t^2(\bar{X}_t) \right] \\ &= E_\omega[T_1] + \gamma^2(\omega) E_\omega[T_2] - 2\gamma^2(\omega) E_\omega[T_3] + \gamma^2(\omega) E_\omega[T_4], \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

say. Furthermore,

$$E_\omega[T_1] \rightarrow \frac{[g'(\theta)]^2}{[g(\theta)]^2} \psi''(\omega) \tag{3.3}$$

uniformly in $\omega \in J$ as $a \rightarrow \infty$, by Corollary 2.1. To evaluate $E_\omega[T_2]$, write

$$\begin{aligned} T_2 &= \left[\frac{a^2}{t^2} - \frac{1}{\hat{g}_m^2} \right] [(S_m - m\theta)^2 - m\psi''(\omega)] \\ &\quad + \left[\frac{1}{\hat{g}_m^2} - \frac{1}{g^2(\theta)} \right] [(S_m - m\theta)^2 - m\psi''(\omega)] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{g^2(\theta)} [(S_m - m\theta)^2 - m\psi''(\omega)] \\ &= T_{21} + T_{22} + T_{23}, \end{aligned}$$

say. Then, $E_\omega[T_{23}] = 0$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$ and all $a > 0$. For T_{21} , expand $1/t^2$ about $a\hat{g}_m$ to obtain $T_{21} = -2a^2 g_*^{-3} (t - a\hat{g}_m) [(S_m - m\theta)^2 - m\psi''(\omega)]$ on $\{t \geq m\}$, where g_* is an intermediate point between t and $a\hat{g}_m$. Thus, $E_\omega[T_{21}] \rightarrow 0$ uniformly in

$\omega \in J$ as $a \rightarrow \infty$, by the assumption of the theorem. Let $u(\theta) = 1/g^2(\theta)$ and $u_n = 1/g_n^2$ for $n \geq 1$. Then $T_{22} = [u'(\theta)(\bar{X}_m - \theta) + \frac{1}{2}u''(\theta_m^*)(\bar{X}_m - \theta)^2][(S_m - m\theta)^2 - m\psi''(\omega)]$ for $a > 0$, where θ_m^* is an intermediate point between \bar{X}_m and θ . Hence, after some algebra,

$$\lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} E_\omega[T_2] = \lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} E_\omega[T_{22}] = u'(\theta)\psi'''(\omega) + [\psi''(\omega)]^2u''(\theta) = \frac{d^2}{d\omega^2} \left[\frac{1}{g^2(\theta)} \right] \quad (3.4)$$

uniformly in $\omega \in J$. To estimate $E_\omega[T_3]$, write

$$\begin{aligned} T_3 &= \frac{a^2}{t}b_t(\bar{X}_t)(\bar{X}_t - \theta) = \frac{b(\theta)}{g^2(\theta)}t(\bar{X}_t - \theta) + b(\theta) \left[\frac{a^2}{t^2} - \frac{1}{g^2(\theta)} \right] t(\bar{X}_t - \theta) \\ &\quad + \frac{a^2}{t^2}[b_t(\bar{X}_t) - b(\theta)]t(\bar{X}_t - \theta) \\ &= Q_1 + b(\theta)Q_2 + Q_3, \end{aligned}$$

say. By Wald's lemma, $E_\omega[Q_1] = 0$ for all ω and all a . For the term Q_2 , write

$$Q_2 = \left[\frac{a^2}{t^2} - \frac{1}{\hat{g}_m^2} \right] t(\bar{X}_t - \theta) + \left[\frac{1}{\hat{g}_m^2} - \frac{1}{g^2(\theta)} \right] t(\bar{X}_t - \theta) = Q_{21} + Q_{22},$$

say. Then a Taylor's expansion for $1/t^2$ about $a\hat{g}_m$, followed by (3.1), yields $E_\omega\{Q_2|\mathcal{F}_m\} = -2a^2E_\omega\{g_*^{-3}(t - a\hat{g}_m)(S_m - m\theta)|\mathcal{F}_m\}$ on $\{t \geq m\}$; so that $E_\omega[Q_{21}] \rightarrow 0$ uniformly in $\omega \in J$ as $a \rightarrow \infty$, by the assumption of the theorem. To estimate $E_\omega[Q_{22}]$, let $R_n = u_n(\bar{X}_n) - u(\theta) - u'(\theta)(\bar{X}_n - \theta) - \frac{1}{2}u''(\theta)(\bar{X}_n - \theta)^2$ for $n \geq 1$ and $\omega \in \Omega$. Condition on \mathcal{F}_m , use (3.1), and take expectation to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} E_\omega\{Q_{22}|\mathcal{F}_m\} &= u'(\theta)m(\bar{X}_m - \theta)^2 + \frac{1}{2}u''(\theta)m(\bar{X}_m - \theta)^3 + mR_m(\bar{X}_m - \theta) \\ &= Q_{221} + Q_{222} + Q_{223}, \end{aligned}$$

say. Furthermore, $E_\omega[Q_{221}] = u'(\theta)\psi''(\omega)$ for all ω and all $a > 0$. Also, $E_\omega[Q_{222}] \rightarrow 0$ and $E_\omega[Q_{223}] \rightarrow 0$ uniformly in $\omega \in J$ as $a \rightarrow \infty$, by Schwarz's inequality and the fact that $\sup_{\omega \in J} E_\omega[R_m^2] = o(1/m^2)$ as $a \rightarrow \infty$, as in Lemma 4.1 of Woodroffe (1987). Collecting terms yields

$$\lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} E_\omega[Q_2] = \lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} E_\omega[Q_{221}] = u'(\theta)\psi''(\omega) = -2\frac{g'(\theta)}{g^3(\theta)}\psi''(\omega)$$

uniformly in $\omega \in J$. To evaluate $E_\omega[Q_3]$, use the first assertion of Lemma 2.5 and the mean value theorem to obtain

$$E_\omega[Q_3] = \int_{|\bar{X}_t - \theta| \leq \epsilon} b'(\theta_t^*) \frac{a^2}{t} (\bar{X}_t - \theta)^2 dP_\omega + o(1)$$

uniformly in $\omega \in J$ as $a \rightarrow \infty$, for all sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$, where θ_t^* is an intermediate point between \bar{X}_t and θ . Furthermore, given $\eta > 0$, let $\delta > 0$ be so small that $|b'(\theta_t^*) - b'(\theta)| < \eta$ whenever $|\theta_t^* - \theta| < \delta$, for all sufficiently large a . Then $E_\omega[Q_3] \leq [b'(\theta) + \eta]E_\omega[a^2(\bar{X}_t - \theta)^2/t] + o(1)$ uniformly in $\omega \in J$ as $a \rightarrow \infty$; so that $\limsup_{a \rightarrow \infty} E_\omega[Q_3] \leq [b'(\theta) + \eta]\psi''(\omega)[g(\theta)]^{-2}$ uniformly in $\omega \in J$, by Theorem 2.1, Lemma 2.2, and Theorem 2.2. A similar argument yields $\liminf_{a \rightarrow \infty} E_\omega[Q_3] \geq [b'(\theta) - \eta]\psi''(\omega)[g(\theta)]^{-2}$ uniformly in $\omega \in J$. Since $\eta > 0$ is arbitrary, it follows that $E_\omega[Q_3] = b'(\theta)\psi''(\omega)[g(\theta)]^{-2} + o(1)$ uniformly in $\omega \in J$. Therefore, collecting terms yields

$$\lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} E_\omega[T_3] = -2 \frac{g'(\theta)}{g^3(\theta)} b(\theta)\psi''(\omega) + \frac{b'(\theta)}{g^2(\theta)} \psi''(\omega) = \frac{d}{d\omega} \left[\frac{b(\theta)}{g^2(\theta)} \right] \quad (3.5)$$

uniformly in $\omega \in J$. Finally,

$$\lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} E_\omega[T_4] = \frac{b^2(\theta)}{g^2(\theta)} \quad (3.6)$$

uniformly in $\omega \in J$ as $a \rightarrow \infty$, by the second assertion of Lemma 2.5, Lemma 2.2, the conditions imposed on b_n , $n \geq 1$, and Lemma 2.2 of Tahir (1989). Take the limit as $a \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.2) and use (3.3)-(3.6) to complete the proof of the theorem.

References

- Brown, L. D. (1986). *Fundamentals of Statistical Exponential Families with Applications in Statistical Decision Theory*. IMS Lecture Notes, 9. Washington, D.C.
- Doob, J. L. (1953). *Stochastic Processes*. John Wiley, New York.
- Loève, M. (1963). *Probability Theory*, 3rd edition. Van Nostrand, Princeton.
- Robbins, H. (1959). Sequential estimation of the mean of a normal population. In *Probability and Statistics: The Harald Cramér Volume* (Edited by U. Grenander), 235-245. Almqvist and Wiksell, Uppsala.
- Tahir, M. (1989). An asymptotic lower bound for the local minimax regret in sequential point estimation. *Ann. Statist.* 17, 1335-1346.
- Von Bahr, B. (1965). On the convergence of moments in the central limit theorem. *Ann. Math. Statist.* 36, 808-818.
- Woodroffe, M. (1982). *Nonlinear Renewal Theory in Sequential Analysis*. SIAM, Philadelphia.
- Woodroffe, M. (1985). Asymptotic local minimaxity in sequential point estimation. *Ann. Statist.* 13, 676-688.
- Woodroffe, M. (1987). Asymptotically optimal sequential point estimation in three stages. *New Perspectives in Theoretical and Applied Statistics*. John Wiley, New York.

Department of Statistics, Temple University, Philadelphia, PA 19122, U.S.A.

(Received May 1992; accepted August 1993)