

## Automated Estimation of Heavy-tailed Vector Error Correction Models

Feifei Guo, Shiqing Ling and Zichuan Mi

*Beijing Institute of Technology*

*Hong Kong University of Science and Technology*

*Shanxi University of Finance and Economics*

### Supplementary Material

#### S1 Preliminary result

Denote  $\{\mathbf{J}_i\}$  as the coefficient series in the representation  $\Delta \mathbf{Y}_{t-j} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{J}_i \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{t-j-i}$ , see Johansen (1995). We give the following lemma and its proof.

**Lemma S1.** *Suppose that the conditions of Theorem 4 are satisfied. Then*

$$(a). a_n^{-2} [F'_{\pi,n}(1), \dots, F'_{\pi,n}(m)]' \rightarrow_d \mathbf{Q}^* \mathbf{T}_{1,\pi_o} \mathbf{R}_2 \mathbf{T}_{2,\pi_o},$$

where  $\mathbf{Q}^*$  is the normalized left eigenvector matrix of eigenvalues of  $\boldsymbol{\Pi}_o$ ,

$\mathbf{T}_{1,\pi_o} = \mathbf{I}_m - \boldsymbol{\alpha}_o (\boldsymbol{\alpha}'_o \boldsymbol{\alpha}_o)^{-1} \boldsymbol{\alpha}'_o$ ,  $\mathbf{T}_{2,\pi_o} = (\boldsymbol{\beta}'_{o,\perp} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{o,\perp})^{-1} \boldsymbol{\beta}'_{o,\perp}$  and  $\mathbf{R}_2$  is defined

in Lemma 2. Furthermore,

$$(b). \quad \tilde{a}_n^{-1}[F_{b,n}(1)', \dots, F_{b,n}(p)']' \rightarrow_d \mathbf{R}_{1S}^* - \mathbf{R}_1 \mathbf{\Gamma}_{11}^{-1} \mathbf{\Gamma}_{11S}^*$$

when  $\alpha \in (1, 2)$  or  $\alpha = 1$  and  $\tilde{L}(n) \rightarrow 0$ ,

$$(c). \quad na_n^{-2}[F_{b,n}(1)', \dots, F_{b,n}(p)']' \rightarrow_d \mathbf{R}_2 \mathbf{\Gamma}_{22}^{-1} \mathbf{\Gamma}_{21S}^* - \mathbf{R}_2 \mathbf{\Gamma}_{22}^{-1} \mathbf{\Gamma}_{21} \mathbf{\Gamma}_{11}^{-1} \mathbf{\Gamma}_{11S}^*$$

when  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  or  $\alpha = 1$  and  $\tilde{L}(n) \rightarrow \infty$ ,

where  $\mathbf{\Gamma}_{11S}^* = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{A}_l \mathbf{S}_1 \mathbf{J}_l'$ , and  $\mathbf{R}_{1S}^*$  and  $\mathbf{\Gamma}_{21S}^*$  are defined as  $\mathbf{R}_1^*$  and  $\mathbf{\Gamma}_{21}^*$

in Lemma 3 with  $\mathbf{B}_i$  replaced by  $\mathbf{J}_i$ , respectively.

**Proof .** We rewrite  $a_n^{-2} F_{\pi,n}(k)$  as

$$\begin{aligned} a_n^{-2} F_{\pi,n}(k) &= \frac{\mathbf{P}_n(k)'}{a_n^2} \sum_{t=1}^n (\Delta \mathbf{Y}_t - \hat{\boldsymbol{\Pi}}_n \mathbf{Y}_{t-1} - \sum_{j=1}^p \hat{\mathbf{B}}_{n,j} \Delta \mathbf{Y}_{t-j}) \mathbf{Y}_{t-1}' \\ &= \frac{\mathbf{Q}_n(k)'}{a_n^2} \left[ \sum_{t=1}^n \varepsilon_t \mathbf{Y}_{t-1}' - (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{S,n} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_{S,o}) \mathbf{Q}_S^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^n \mathbf{Z}_{S,t-1} \mathbf{Y}_{t-1}' \right] + o_P(1), \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality is by  $\mathbf{P}_n = \mathbf{Q}_n^{-1}$  and  $\mathbf{Q}_n$  is normalized. By

Lemma 2,

$$\begin{aligned} a_n^{-2} \sum_{t=1}^n \varepsilon_t \mathbf{Z}_{t-1}' &= \left[ a_n^{-2} \sum_{t=1}^n \varepsilon_t \mathbf{Y}_{t-1}' \boldsymbol{\beta}_o, a_n^{-2} \mathbf{R}_{2n} \right] \\ &\rightarrow_d \left[ \mathbf{0}_{m \times r_o}, \left[ \int_0^1 \mathbf{P}(r) d\mathbf{P}'(r) \right]' \boldsymbol{\psi}'[\mathbf{I}_d, \mathbf{0}]' \right], \quad (S1.1) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\frac{\mathbf{D}_{n,S} \sum_{t=1}^n \mathbf{Z}_{S,t-1} \mathbf{Z}'_{t-1}}{a_n^2} \rightarrow_d \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0}_{(mp+r_o) \times r_o} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & [\mathbf{I}_d, \mathbf{0}] \boldsymbol{\psi} [\int_0^1 \mathbf{P}(r) \mathbf{P}'(r) dr] \boldsymbol{\psi}' [\mathbf{I}_d, \mathbf{0}]' \end{pmatrix}.$$

Using the expression  $(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{S,n} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_{S,o}) \mathbf{Q}_S^{-1} \mathbf{D}_{n,S}^{-1}$  in the proof of Theorem 4, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & a_n^{-2} (\widehat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{S,n} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_{S,o}) \mathbf{Q}_S^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^n \mathbf{Z}_{S,t-1} \mathbf{Z}'_{t-1} \\ &= a_n^{-2} (\widehat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{S,n} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_{S,o}) \mathbf{Q}_S^{-1} \mathbf{D}_{n,S}^{-1} \mathbf{D}_{n,S} \sum_{t=1}^n \mathbf{Z}_{S,t-1} \mathbf{Z}'_{t-1} \\ &\rightarrow_d \left[ \mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}_o (\boldsymbol{\alpha}'_o \boldsymbol{\alpha}_o)^{-1} \boldsymbol{\alpha}'_o \left[ \int_0^1 \mathbf{P}(r) d\mathbf{P}'(r) \right]' \boldsymbol{\psi}' [\mathbf{I}_d, \mathbf{0}]' \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S1.2})$$

The results in (S1.1) and (S1.2) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} a_n^{-2} F_{\pi,n}(k) &= \frac{\mathbf{Q}_n(k)}{a_n^2} \left[ \sum_{t=1}^n \varepsilon_t \mathbf{Z}'_{t-1} - (\widehat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{S,n} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_{S,o}) \mathbf{Q}_S^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^n \mathbf{Z}_{S,t-1} \mathbf{Z}'_{t-1} \right] \mathbf{Q}'^{-1} \\ &\rightarrow_d \mathbf{Q}^*(k) [\mathbf{I}_m - \boldsymbol{\alpha}_o (\boldsymbol{\alpha}'_o \boldsymbol{\alpha}_o)^{-1} \boldsymbol{\alpha}'_o] \mathbf{R}_2 (\boldsymbol{\beta}'_{o,\perp} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{o,\perp})^{-1} \boldsymbol{\beta}'_{o,\perp}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathbf{Q}^*(k)$  is the  $k$ -th row vector of  $\mathbf{Q}^*$  and  $\mathbf{Q}^*$  is the normalized left eigenvector matrix of eigenvalues of  $\boldsymbol{\Pi}_o$  and  $\mathbf{R}_2$  is defined in Lemma 2. It is easy to show the joint weak convergence of  $(a_n^{-2} F_{\pi,n}(k), k = 1, \dots, m)$ .

Thus, (a) holds. We next show the claim of (b) and (c). When  $\alpha \in (1, 2)$ ,

or  $\alpha = 1$  and  $\tilde{L}(n) \rightarrow 0$ , we rewrite  $\tilde{a}_n^{-1} F_{b,n}(j)$  as

$$\tilde{a}_n^{-1} F_{b,n}(j) = \tilde{a}_n^{-1} \left[ \sum_{t=1}^n \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_t \Delta \mathbf{Y}'_{t-j} - (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{S,n} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_{S,o}) \mathbf{Q}_S^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^n \mathbf{Z}_{S,t-1} \Delta \mathbf{Y}'_{t-j} \right].$$

Using the arguments in the proof of Theorem 4,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\tilde{a}_n} \left[ \sum_{t=1}^n \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_t \Delta \mathbf{Y}'_{t-j} - (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{S,n} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_{S,o}) \mathbf{Q}_S^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^n \mathbf{Z}_{S,t-1} \Delta \mathbf{Y}'_{t-j} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{\tilde{a}_n} \sum_{t=1}^n \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_t \Delta \mathbf{Y}'_{t-j} - \frac{1}{\tilde{a}_n} \sum_{t=1}^n \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_t \mathbf{Z}'_{1S,t-1} \left( \sum_{t=1}^n \mathbf{Z}_{1S,t-1} \mathbf{Z}'_{1S,t-1} \right)^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^n \mathbf{Z}_{1S,t-1} \Delta \mathbf{Y}'_{t-j} + o_p(1) \\ &\rightarrow_d \mathbf{R}_{1S}^* - \mathbf{R}_1 \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{11}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{11S}^*, \end{aligned} \tag{S1.3}$$

where  $\mathbf{R}_{1S}^* = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{S}_{i+j+1} \mathbf{J}'_i$  and  $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{11S}^* = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{A}_l \mathbf{S}_1 \mathbf{J}'_{l+1-j}$ .

When  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ , or  $\alpha = 1$  and  $\tilde{L}(n) \rightarrow \infty$ , we rewrite  $na_n^{-2} F_{b,n}(j)$  as

$$na_n^{-2} F_{b,n}(j) = na_n^{-2} \left[ \sum_{t=1}^n \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_t \Delta \mathbf{Y}'_{t-j} - (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{S,n} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_{S,o}) \mathbf{Q}_S^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^n \mathbf{Z}_{S,t-1} \Delta \mathbf{Y}'_{t-j} \right].$$

Then by Lemma 2 and Theorem A.1 in She and Ling (2020),

$$\begin{aligned} & na_n^{-2} \left[ \sum_{t=1}^n \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_t \Delta \mathbf{Y}'_{t-j} - (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{S,n} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_{S,o}) \mathbf{Q}_S^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^n \mathbf{Z}_{S,t-1} \Delta \mathbf{Y}'_{t-j} \right] \\ &= na_n^{-2} \sum_{t=1}^n \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_t \mathbf{Z}'_{2,t-1} \left( \sum_{t=1}^n \mathbf{Z}_{2,t-1} \mathbf{Z}'_{2,t-1} \right)^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^n \mathbf{Z}_{2,t-1} \Delta \mathbf{Y}'_{t-j} \\ &\quad - na_n^{-2} \sum_{t=1}^n \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_t \mathbf{Z}'_{2,t-1} \left( \sum_{t=1}^n \mathbf{Z}_{2,t-1} \mathbf{Z}'_{2,t-1} \right)^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^n \mathbf{Z}_{2,t-1} \mathbf{Z}'_{1S,t-1} \\ &\quad \times \left( \sum_{t=1}^n \mathbf{Z}_{1S,t-1} \mathbf{Z}'_{1S,t-1} \right)^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^n \mathbf{Z}_{1S,t-1} \Delta \mathbf{Y}'_{t-j} + o_p(1) \\ &\rightarrow_d \mathbf{R}_2 \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{22}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{21S}^* - \mathbf{R}_2 \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{22}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{21} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{11}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{11S}^*, \end{aligned} \tag{S1.4}$$

where  $\mathbf{\Gamma}_{21\mathcal{S}}^* = \mathbf{R}'_2 \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{J}'_i + [\mathbf{I}_d, \mathbf{0}] \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^i \boldsymbol{\psi}_j \mathbf{S}_1 \mathbf{J}'_i$ . From the results in (S1.3) and (S1.4), it is easy to show the joint weak convergence of  $(F_{b,n}(j), j = 1, \dots, p)$ . Thus, (b) and (c) holds. This completes the proof.  $\square$

## S2 Other Simulation Results

Table S2.1 and S2.2 report simulation results of estimated parameters in model (5.1) when  $r_o = 0$  and  $r_o = 2$ , i.e.,  $\mathbf{\Pi}_o$  is specified as follows:

$$\mathbf{\Pi}_o = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \begin{bmatrix} -0.5 & 0.1 \\ 0.2 & -0.4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Table S2.3 and S2.4 report simulation results of estimated parameters in model (5.2) when  $r_o = 1$  and  $r_o = 2$ , i.e.,  $\mathbf{\Pi}_o$  is specified as follows:

$$\mathbf{\Pi}_o = \begin{bmatrix} -0.5 & -0.25 & 0.5 \\ 0.1 & 0.05 & -0.1 \\ 0.2 & 0.1 & -0.2 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \begin{bmatrix} -0.5 & -0.2 & 0.7 \\ 0.1 & -0.3 & 0.2 \\ 0.2 & 0.2 & -0.4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

## S3 Proofs of Lemma 1 and Lemma 3

**Proof of Lemma 1.** For any  $k \in \mathcal{S}_\phi$ , by Lemma 3(b) we know that

$$\|\phi_k(\widehat{\mathbf{\Pi}}_{1st})\|^\omega \rightarrow_p \|\phi_k(\mathbf{\Pi}_o)\|^\omega > 0,$$

which implies

$$n^{\tau_1} \delta_{r,n} = \frac{n^{\tau_1} \lambda_{r,k,n}^*}{\|\phi_k(\widehat{\mathbf{\Pi}}_{1st})\|^\omega} \rightarrow_p 0.$$

Table S2.1: Finite sample properties of the shrinkage estimates of model (5.1)

$r_o = 0$ and $n=400$									
		$\Pi_{11}$		$\Pi_{21}$		$\Pi_{12}$		$\Pi_{22}$	
		Bais	Std	Bais	Std	Bais	Std	Bais	Std
$\alpha = 0.2$	Lasso	0.00057	0.01008	0.00070	0.01392	0.00147	0.01787	0.00012	0.00927
	OLS	0.00925	0.06551	0.01801	0.23447	0.00168	0.05989	0.01297	0.06494
	Oracle	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000
$\alpha = 1.3$	Lasso	0.00094	0.00528	0.00036	0.00569	0.00022	0.00822	0.00096	0.00530
	OLS	0.00171	0.00957	0.00041	0.01723	0.00002	0.00927	0.00222	0.0080
	Oracle	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000
		$B_{1,11}$		$B_{1,21}$		$B_{1,12}$		$B_{1,22}$	
		Bais	Std	Bais	Std	Bais	Std	Bais	Std
$\alpha = 0.2$	Lasso	0.07673	0.35755	0.00898	0.43545	0.00092	0.33002	0.08249	0.35720
	OLS	0.02417	0.24134	0.01307	0.35951	0.01968	0.37631	0.02887	0.23954
	Oracle	0.00972	0.24020	0.00462	0.83921	0.01156	0.33349	0.00224	0.23641
$\alpha = 1.3$	Lasso	0.00454	0.11952	0.00523	0.12750	0.00847	0.19159	0.00673	0.12012
	OLS	0.00922	0.06087	0.00423	0.10971	0.00238	0.11584	0.00676	0.05712
	Oracle	0.00351	0.05071	0.00154	0.09728	0.00480	0.08263	0.00559	0.04968
		$B_{2,11}$		$B_{2,21}$		$B_{2,12}$		$B_{2,22}$	
		Bais	Std	Bais	Std	Bais	Std	Bais	Std
$\alpha = 0.2$	Lasso	0.00015	0.00179	0.00004	0.00476	0.00001	0.00067	0.00012	0.00179
	OLS	0.00279	0.16952	0.00091	0.23405	0.00715	0.29294	0.00190	0.17617
	Oracle	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000
$\alpha = 1.3$	Lasso	0.00037	0.10930	0.00399	0.11840	0.00671	0.15588	0.00027	0.10523
	OLS	0.00271	0.10241	0.00246	0.14440	0.00984	0.17708	0.00289	0.10518
	Oracle	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000
		$B_{3,11}$		$B_{3,21}$		$B_{3,12}$		$B_{3,22}$	
		Bais	Std	Bais	Std	Bais	Std	Bais	Std
$\alpha = 0.2$	Lasso	0.04564	0.25509	0.01345	0.33630	0.01318	0.25153	0.05585	0.25624
	OLS	0.03252	0.17117	0.02544	0.20248	0.00431	0.26082	0.01603	0.16745
	Oracle	0.04540	0.57318	0.00568	0.65972	0.00266	0.46912	0.03398	0.57466
$\alpha = 1.3$	Lasso	0.00024	0.07089	0.00061	0.08057	0.00147	0.12696	0.00842	0.07755
	OLS	0.01098	0.08961	0.00173	0.16535	0.00017	0.12253	0.00778	0.08903
	Oracle	0.00664	0.05216	0.00328	0.08345	0.00016	0.07124	0.00238	0.05370

Note: The oracle estimate is simply the OLS estimate with the restriction that  $\Pi_o = \mathbf{0}$  and  $\mathbf{B}_{o,2} = \mathbf{0}$ .

S3. PROOFS OF LEMMA1 AND LEMMA 3

Table S2.2: Finite sample properties of the shrinkage estimates of model (5.1)

$r_o = 2$ and $n=400$									
		$\Pi_{11}$		$\Pi_{21}$		$\Pi_{12}$		$\Pi_{22}$	
		Bais	Std	Bais	Std	Bais	Std	Bais	Std
$\alpha = 0.2$	Lasso	0.03127	0.14316	0.02279	0.11743	0.01567	0.07149	0.01586	0.07139
	OLS	0.00512	0.05476	0.01647	0.10598	0.00127	0.04812	0.00748	0.05061
	Oracle	0.00056	0.05796	0.00229	0.08451	0.00179	0.05583	0.00224	0.04834
$\alpha = 1.3$	Lasso	0.02081	0.05484	0.00749	0.06985	0.00035	0.04580	0.02442	0.05694
	OLS	0.00063	0.05589	0.00641	0.08858	0.00081	0.04241	0.00430	0.04424
	Oracle	0.00275	0.05252	0.00408	0.07291	0.00197	0.04270	0.00198	0.04147
		$B_{1,11}$		$B_{1,21}$		$B_{1,12}$		$B_{1,22}$	
		Bais	Std	Bais	Std	Bais	Std	Bais	Std
$\alpha = 0.2$	Lasso	0.01946	0.07179	0.00247	0.04293	0.00428	0.03732	0.09407	0.07346
	OLS	0.00245	0.06992	0.00416	0.12533	0.01047	0.07991	0.00334	0.06969
	Oracle	0.00211	0.07049	0.00156	0.09715	0.00264	0.07259	0.00106	0.06706
$\alpha = 1.3$	Lasso	0.01760	0.06866	0.00408	0.08581	0.00190	0.06510	0.01227	0.07422
	OLS	0.00014	0.06714	0.00369	0.10401	0.00058	0.06960	0.00231	0.06591
	Oracle	0.00069	0.06497	0.00233	0.07556	0.00451	0.06008	0.00061	0.05181
		$B_{2,11}$		$B_{2,21}$		$B_{2,12}$		$B_{2,22}$	
		Bais	Std	Bais	Std	Bais	Std	Bais	Std
$\alpha = 0.2$	Lasso	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000
	OLS	0.00950	0.07158	0.00755	0.14329	0.00847	0.08178	0.01025	0.07254
	Oracle	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000
$\alpha = 1.3$	Lasso	0.01183	0.04105	0.00042	0.05063	0.00024	0.05168	0.00983	0.03680
	OLS	0.00037	0.05777	0.00484	0.12853	0.00187	0.06523	0.00128	0.05834
	Oracle	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000
		$B_{3,11}$		$B_{3,21}$		$B_{3,12}$		$B_{3,22}$	
		Bais	Std	Bais	Std	Bais	Std	Bais	Std
$\alpha = 0.2$	Lasso	0.09178	0.08305	0.00056	0.04556	0.00526	0.04938	0.08057	0.08425
	OLS	0.00848	0.08109	0.01558	0.12233	0.00197	0.11189	0.01183	0.07611
	Oracle	0.00643	0.07765	0.00438	0.11304	0.00155	0.08754	0.00454	0.07381
$\alpha = 1.3$	Lasso	0.01522	0.07394	0.00701	0.08625	0.00438	0.07636	0.01761	0.07545
	OLS	0.00546	0.05863	0.00128	0.10052	0.00064	0.06551	0.00311	0.05709
	Oracle	0.00184	0.05511	0.00247	0.08196	0.00278	0.05941	0.00606	0.05378

Note: The oracle estimate is simply the OLS estimate with the restriction that  $\mathbf{B}_{o,2} = \mathbf{0}$ .

Table S2.3: Finite sample properties of the shrinkage estimates of model (5.2)

$r_o = 1$ and $n=400$												
	$\alpha = 0.6$						$\alpha = 1.3$					
	Lasso		OLS		Oracle		Lasso		OLS		Oracle	
	Bais	Std	Bais	Std	Bais	Std	Bais	Std	Bais	Std	Bais	Std
$\Pi_{1,1}$	0.0697	0.1051	0.0113	0.0703	0.0011	0.0116	0.0919	0.0654	0.0197	0.0536	0.0019	0.0125
$\Pi_{2,1}$	0.0184	0.0765	0.0023	0.0498	0.0193	0.0527	0.0245	0.0460	0.0055	0.0430	0.0050	0.0357
$\Pi_{3,1}$	0.0278	0.0608	0.0122	0.0816	0.0031	0.0775	0.0374	0.0513	0.0009	0.0663	0.0025	0.0547
$\Pi_{1,2}$	0.0873	0.1083	0.0135	0.0740	0.0044	0.0128	0.00695	0.0663	0.0195	0.0438	0.0024	0.0127
$\Pi_{2,2}$	0.0184	0.0784	0.0038	0.0524	0.0193	0.0537	0.0344	0.0465	0.0040	0.0473	0.0011	0.0358
$\Pi_{3,2}$	0.0275	0.0627	0.0090	0.0848	0.0038	0.0763	0.0367	0.0517	0.0008	0.0671	0.0032	0.0548
$\Pi_{1,3}$	0.0703	0.1056	0.0117	0.0730	0.0144	0.0121	0.0603	0.0656	0.0191	0.0439	0.0020	0.0126
$\Pi_{2,3}$	0.0165	0.0739	0.0008	0.0505	0.0194	0.0532	0.0244	0.0460	0.0054	0.0431	0.0046	0.0358
$\Pi_{3,3}$	0.0290	0.0615	0.0078	0.0839	0.0030	0.0766	0.0369	0.0517	0.0023	0.0667	0.0088	0.0546
$B_{1,11}$	0.1258	0.0717	0.0015	0.0516	0.0277	0.0899	0.0758	0.0618	0.0046	0.0412	0.0069	0.0407
$B_{1,21}$	0.0056	0.0271	0.0237	0.0454	0.0138	0.0450	0.0045	0.0333	0.0026	0.0432	0.0011	0.0326
$B_{1,31}$	0.0048	0.0308	0.0785	0.0649	0.0066	0.0547	0.0087	0.0492	0.0042	0.0623	0.0080	0.0474
$B_{1,12}$	0.0137	0.0611	0.0274	0.0980	0.0254	0.0989	0.0318	0.0740	0.0278	0.0647	0.0048	0.0501
$B_{1,22}$	0.0985	0.0782	0.0136	0.0807	0.0315	0.0762	0.0689	0.0694	0.0139	0.0648	0.0122	0.0594
$B_{1,32}$	0.0068	0.0498	0.1019	0.1143	0.0180	0.0800	0.0220	0.0693	0.0023	0.0981	0.0027	0.0656
$B_{1,13}$	0.0047	0.0456	0.0067	0.0685	0.0432	0.0951	0.0169	0.0610	0.0183	0.0517	0.0019	0.0484
$B_{1,23}$	0.0022	0.0319	0.0205	0.0568	0.0015	0.0183	0.0078	0.0400	0.0036	0.0502	0.0050	0.0191
$B_{1,33}$	0.1074	0.0724	0.0846	0.0960	0.0246	0.0721	0.0596	0.0632	0.0049	0.0738	0.0068	0.0522
$B_{2,11}$	0.0000	0.0000	0.0096	0.0428	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0093	0.0379	0.0000	0.0000
$B_{2,21}$	0.0000	0.0000	0.0060	0.0401	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0043	0.0412	0.0000	0.0000
$B_{2,31}$	0.0000	0.0000	0.0034	0.0565	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0010	0.0585	0.0000	0.0000
$B_{2,12}$	0.0000	0.0000	0.0017	0.0783	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0134	0.0594	0.0000	0.0000
$B_{2,22}$	0.0000	0.0000	0.0055	0.0602	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0070	0.0600	0.0000	0.0000
$B_{2,32}$	0.0000	0.0000	0.0014	0.0971	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0001	0.0896	0.0000	0.0000
$B_{2,13}$	0.0000	0.0000	0.0080	0.0525	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0084	0.0421	0.0000	0.0000
$B_{2,23}$	0.0000	0.0000	0.0034	0.0424	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0029	0.0437	0.0000	0.0000
$B_{2,33}$	0.0000	0.0000	0.0083	0.0646	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0064	0.0625	0.0000	0.0000
$B_{3,11}$	0.1185	0.0832	0.0092	0.0510	0.0189	0.0506	0.0881	0.0572	0.0013	0.0368	0.0020	0.0369
$B_{3,21}$	0.0080	0.0315	0.0102	0.0407	0.0156	0.0311	0.0099	0.0337	0.0014	0.0402	0.0021	0.0309
$B_{3,31}$	0.0012	0.0323	0.0002	0.0637	0.0405	0.0797	0.0055	0.0462	0.0020	0.0596	0.0033	0.0585
$B_{3,12}$	0.0057	0.0473	0.0059	0.0604	0.0164	0.0571	0.0101	0.0455	0.0073	0.0507	0.0052	0.0493
$B_{3,22}$	0.1218	0.0762	0.0274	0.0646	0.0326	0.1008	0.0799	0.0503	0.0076	0.0488	0.0039	0.0626
$B_{3,32}$	0.0010	0.0387	0.0176	0.0988	0.0277	0.0888	0.0045	0.0517	0.0036	0.0775	0.0126	0.0729
$B_{3,13}$	0.0052	0.0324	0.0058	0.0416	0.0014	0.0483	0.0152	0.0422	0.0127	0.0355	0.0054	0.0433
$B_{3,23}$	0.0040	0.0230	0.0052	0.0453	0.0167	0.0631	0.0029	0.0285	0.0004	0.0382	0.0103	0.0511
$B_{3,33}$	0.1094	0.0728	0.0167	0.0649	0.0051	0.0234	0.0831	0.0532	0.0106	0.0510	0.0037	0.0237

Note: The oracle estimate refers to the RLSE with  $r_o = 1$  and the restriction that  $\mathbf{B}_{o,2} = \mathbf{0}$ .



S3. PROOFS OF LEMMA1 AND LEMMA 3

Table S2.4: Finite sample properties of the shrinkage estimates of model (5.2)

$r_o = 2$ and $n=400$												
	$\alpha = 0.6$						$\alpha = 1.3$					
	Lasso		OLS		Oracle		Lasso		OLS		Oracle	
	Bais	Std	Bais	Std	Bais	Std	Bais	Std	Bais	Std	Bais	Std
$\Pi_{1,1}$	0.1106	0.0930	0.0204	0.0839	0.0065	0.0114	0.1089	0.0744	0.0130	0.0446	0.0020	0.0319
$\Pi_{2,1}$	0.0211	0.0568	0.0019	0.0402	0.0007	0.0761	0.0157	0.0357	0.0073	0.0479	0.0007	0.0646
$\Pi_{3,1}$	0.0621	0.0462	0.0354	0.0813	0.0076	0.0813	0.0301	0.0384	0.0013	0.0748	0.0004	0.0850
$\Pi_{1,2}$	0.0417	0.0959	0.0066	0.0534	0.0278	0.0875	0.0169	0.0532	0.0049	0.0394	0.0079	0.0604
$\Pi_{2,2}$	0.0942	0.0580	0.0017	0.0452	0.0380	0.1071	0.0680	0.0336	0.0058	0.0410	0.0148	0.0756
$\Pi_{3,2}$	0.0175	0.0533	0.0071	0.0706	0.0213	0.0728	0.0258	0.0311	0.0071	0.0661	0.0249	0.0694
$\Pi_{1,3}$	0.0670	0.1150	0.0137	0.1017	0.0353	0.0895	0.0829	0.1198	0.0168	0.0696	0.0056	0.0655
$\Pi_{2,3}$	0.0133	0.1049	0.0037	0.0723	0.0376	0.1194	0.0051	0.0590	0.0036	0.0763	0.0156	0.0830
$\Pi_{3,3}$	0.0891	0.0843	0.0411	0.1217	0.0239	0.1073	0.0650	0.0617	0.0098	0.1089	0.0246	0.1230
$B_{1,11}$	0.1362	0.0399	0.0163	0.0527	0.0099	0.0684	0.0915	0.0569	0.0075	0.0450	0.0005	0.0464
$B_{1,21}$	0.0048	0.0544	0.0043	0.0383	0.0191	0.0646	0.0421	0.0314	0.0185	0.0484	0.0082	0.0653
$B_{1,31}$	0.0059	0.0564	0.0198	0.0578	0.0241	0.0857	0.0059	0.0287	0.0145	0.0753	0.0091	0.0836
$B_{1,12}$	0.0127	0.0843	0.0164	0.0542	0.0210	0.0745	0.0038	0.0480	0.0037	0.0521	0.0038	0.0614
$B_{1,22}$	0.0966	0.0425	0.0072	0.0553	0.0086	0.0620	0.0744	0.0622	0.0093	0.0508	0.0015	0.0482
$B_{1,32}$	0.0124	0.0734	0.0068	0.0706	0.0155	0.0979	0.0089	0.0395	0.0002	0.0792	0.0032	0.0874
$B_{1,13}$	0.0071	0.0785	0.0040	0.1099	0.0407	0.1086	0.0072	0.0614	0.0207	0.0729	0.0146	0.1023
$B_{1,23}$	0.0117	0.0890	0.0099	0.0659	0.0195	0.0893	0.0374	0.0486	0.0374	0.0797	0.0235	0.0875
$B_{1,33}$	0.1032	0.0410	0.0471	0.0952	0.0353	0.1132	0.0617	0.0590	0.0211	0.0904	0.0072	0.1192
$B_{2,11}$	0.0000	0.0000	0.0028	0.0398	0.0000	0.0000	0.0001	0.0081	0.0075	0.0428	0.0000	0.0000
$B_{2,21}$	0.0000	0.0000	0.0171	0.0355	0.0000	0.0000	0.0002	0.0088	0.0280	0.0451	0.0000	0.0000
$B_{2,31}$	0.0000	0.0000	0.0146	0.0489	0.0000	0.0000	0.0008	0.1267	0.0156	0.0660	0.0000	0.0000
$B_{2,12}$	0.0000	0.0000	0.0049	0.0577	0.0000	0.0000	0.0007	0.0105	0.0041	0.0512	0.0000	0.0000
$B_{2,22}$	0.0000	0.0000	0.0249	0.0589	0.0000	0.0000	0.0017	0.0106	0.0023	0.0520	0.0000	0.0000
$B_{2,32}$	0.0000	0.0000	0.0069	0.0657	0.0000	0.0000	0.0008	0.0162	0.0013	0.0832	0.0000	0.0000
$B_{2,13}$	0.0000	0.0000	0.0201	0.0841	0.0000	0.0000	0.0014	0.0132	0.0137	0.0606	0.0000	0.0000
$B_{2,23}$	0.0000	0.0000	0.0293	0.0561	0.0000	0.0000	0.0006	0.0142	0.0459	0.0658	0.0000	0.0000
$B_{2,33}$	0.0000	0.0000	0.0122	0.0778	0.0000	0.0000	0.0016	0.0200	0.0199	0.0860	0.0000	0.0000
$B_{3,11}$	0.0971	0.0451	0.0194	0.0515	0.0245	0.0636	0.0699	0.0486	0.0099	0.0396	0.0131	0.0587
$B_{3,21}$	0.0109	0.0946	0.0014	0.0334	0.0158	0.0941	0.0326	0.0281	0.0334	0.0408	0.0147	0.0816
$B_{3,31}$	0.0118	0.0986	0.0228	0.0493	0.0134	0.0956	0.0073	0.0259	0.0016	0.0605	0.0119	0.0851
$B_{3,12}$	0.0022	0.0127	0.0343	0.0470	0.0296	0.0926	0.0533	0.0404	0.0100	0.0487	0.0095	0.0813
$B_{3,22}$	0.0753	0.0504	0.0116	0.0484	0.0159	0.0819	0.1011	0.0379	0.0105	0.0486	0.0058	0.0792
$B_{3,32}$	0.0030	0.0950	0.0603	0.0666	0.0437	0.1057	0.0022	0.0334	0.0009	0.0765	0.0187	0.0831
$B_{3,13}$	0.0096	0.0980	0.0033	0.0444	0.0120	0.0735	0.0032	0.0280	0.0056	0.0497	0.0010	0.0739
$B_{3,23}$	0.0061	0.0796	0.0197	0.0429	0.0299	0.0864	0.0021	0.0261	0.0196	0.0526	0.0053	0.0733
$B_{3,33}$	0.1308	0.0491	0.0007	0.0594	0.0224	0.0253	0.0861	0.0379	0.0016	0.0766	0.0047	0.0374

Note: The oracle estimate refers to the RLSE with  $r_o = 2$  and the restriction that  $\mathbf{B}_{o,2} = \mathbf{0}$ .

On the other hand, for any  $k \in \mathcal{S}_\phi^c$ , by Lemma 3 (c),  $\|n\phi_k(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\Pi}}_{1st})\|^\omega \rightarrow_d \|\tilde{\phi}_{o,k}\|^\omega = O_p(1)$ , which implies that

$$n^{1-\frac{2}{\alpha}}\tilde{L}(n)^{-1}\lambda_{r,k,n} = \frac{n^{1-\frac{2}{\alpha}}n^\omega\tilde{L}(n)^{-1}\lambda_{r,k,n}^*}{\|n\phi_k(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\Pi}}_{1st})\|^\omega} \rightarrow_p \infty.$$

Similarly, for any  $j \in \mathcal{S}_B$ , by Lemma 3 (a)  $\|\widehat{\mathbf{B}}_{1st,j}\|^\omega \rightarrow_p \|\mathbf{B}_{o,j}\|^\omega > 0$ , then we have

$$n^{\tau_1}\delta_{b,n} = \frac{n^{\tau_1}m^\omega\lambda_{b,j,n}^*}{\|\widehat{\mathbf{B}}_{1st,j}\|^\omega} \rightarrow_p 0.$$

For any  $j \in \mathcal{S}_B^c$ , when  $\alpha \in (1, 2)$  or  $\alpha = 1$  and  $\tilde{L}(n) \rightarrow 0$  by Lemma 3 (a)  $\|n^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}\widehat{\mathbf{B}}_{1st,j}\|^\omega = O_p(1)$ , then we obtain

$$n^{1-\frac{1}{\alpha}}\tilde{L}(n)^{-1}\lambda_{b,j,n} = \frac{n^{1-\frac{1}{\alpha}}m^\omega n^{\frac{\omega}{\alpha}}\tilde{L}(n)^{-1}\lambda_{b,j,n}^*}{\|n^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}\widehat{\mathbf{B}}_{1st,j}\|^\omega} \rightarrow_p \infty,$$

and when  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  or  $\alpha = 1$  and  $\tilde{L}(n) \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\|n\widehat{\mathbf{B}}_{1st,j}\|^\omega = O_p(1)$

$$n^{2-\frac{2}{\alpha}}\tilde{L}(n)^{-1}\lambda_{b,j,n} = \frac{n^{2-\frac{2}{\alpha}}m^\omega n^\omega\tilde{L}(n)^{-1}\lambda_{b,j,n}^*}{\|n\widehat{\mathbf{B}}_{1st,j}\|^\omega} \rightarrow_p \infty.$$

□

**Proof of Lemma 3.** (a) When  $\alpha \in (1, 2)$  or  $\alpha = 1$  and  $\tilde{L}(n) \rightarrow 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} [(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\Pi}}_{1st}, \widehat{\mathbf{B}}_{1st}) - (\boldsymbol{\Pi}_o, \mathbf{B}_o)]\mathbf{Q}_B^{-1}\mathbf{D}_{n,B}^{-1} &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\tilde{a}_n}\mathbf{R}_{1n} & \frac{1}{a_n^2}\mathbf{R}_{2n} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{a_n^2}\mathbf{S}_{11n} & \frac{\tilde{a}_n}{a_n^4}\mathbf{S}'_{21n} \\ \frac{1}{n\tilde{a}_n}\mathbf{S}_{21n} & \frac{1}{na_n^2}\mathbf{S}_{22n} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \\ &= \left[ \left\{ \frac{\mathbf{R}_{1n}}{\tilde{a}_n} - \frac{1}{\tilde{a}_n}\mathbf{R}_{2n}\mathbf{S}_{22n}^{-1}\mathbf{S}_{21n} \right\} \left\{ \frac{\mathbf{S}_{11n}}{a_n^2} - \frac{1}{a_n^2}\mathbf{S}'_{21n}\mathbf{S}_{22n}^{-1}\mathbf{S}_{21n} \right\}^{-1}, \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left\{ \frac{\mathbf{R}_{2n}}{a_n^2} - \frac{1}{a_n^2}\mathbf{R}_{1n}\mathbf{S}_{11n}^{-1}\mathbf{S}'_{21n} \right\} \left\{ \frac{\mathbf{S}_{22n}}{na_n^2} - \frac{1}{na_n^2}\mathbf{S}_{21n}\mathbf{S}_{11n}^{-1}\mathbf{S}'_{21n} \right\}^{-1} \right] \\ &\equiv [L_{1n}, L_{2n}]. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} L_{1n} &= \left\{ \frac{1}{\tilde{a}_n} \mathbf{R}_{1n} - \frac{1}{n\tilde{a}_n} \mathbf{R}_{2n} \left( \frac{\mathbf{S}_{22n}}{na_n^2} \right)^{-1} \frac{\mathbf{S}_{21n}}{a_n^2} \right\} \left\{ \frac{1}{a_n^2} \mathbf{S}_{11n} - \frac{1}{a_n^2} \mathbf{S}'_{21n} \left( \frac{\mathbf{S}_{22n}}{na_n^2} \right)^{-1} \frac{\mathbf{S}_{21n}}{na_n^2} \right\}^{-1} \\ &= \left\{ \frac{1}{\tilde{a}_n} \mathbf{R}_{1n} + o_p(1) \right\} \left\{ \frac{1}{a_n^2} \mathbf{S}_{11n} + o_p(1) \right\}^{-1} \rightarrow_d \mathbf{R}_1^* \mathbf{\Gamma}_{11}^{*-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality is by  $\frac{1}{n\tilde{a}_n} \mathbf{R}_{2n} = o_p(1)$  and  $\frac{1}{na_n^2} \mathbf{S}_{23n} = o_p(1)$ . Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} L_{2n} &= \left\{ \frac{1}{a_n^2} \mathbf{R}_{2n} - \frac{1}{a_n^2} \mathbf{R}_{1n} \left( \frac{\mathbf{S}_{11n}}{a_n^2} \right)^{-1} \frac{\mathbf{S}'_{21n}}{a_n^2} \right\} \left\{ \frac{1}{na_n^2} \mathbf{S}_{22n} - \frac{1}{na_n^2} \mathbf{S}_{21n} \left( \frac{\mathbf{S}_{11n}}{a_n^2} \right)^{-1} \frac{\mathbf{S}'_{21n}}{a_n^2} \right\}^{-1} \\ &= \left\{ \frac{1}{a_n^2} \mathbf{R}_{2n} + o_p(1) \right\} \left\{ \frac{1}{na_n^2} \mathbf{S}_{22n} + o_p(1) \right\}^{-1} \rightarrow_d \mathbf{R}_2 \mathbf{\Gamma}_{22}^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality is by  $\frac{1}{a_n^2} \mathbf{R}_{1n} = o_p(1)$  and  $\frac{1}{na_n^2} \mathbf{S}_{21n} = o_p(1)$ . Hence we obtain

$$[(\widehat{\mathbf{\Pi}}_{1st}, \widehat{\mathbf{B}}_{1st}) - (\mathbf{\Pi}_o, \mathbf{B}_o)] \mathbf{Q}_B^{-1} \mathbf{D}_{n,B}^{-1} \rightarrow_d [\mathbf{R}_1^* \mathbf{\Gamma}_{11}^{*-1}, \mathbf{R}_2 \mathbf{\Gamma}_{22}^{-1}].$$

When  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  or  $\alpha = 1$  and  $\tilde{L}(n) \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & [(\widehat{\mathbf{\Pi}}_{1st}, \widehat{\mathbf{B}}_{1st}) - (\mathbf{\Pi}_o, \mathbf{B}_o)] \mathbf{Q}_B^{-1} \mathbf{D}_{n,B}^{-1} \\ &= \left[ \left\{ \frac{1}{\tilde{a}_n} \mathbf{R}_{1n} - \frac{1}{\tilde{a}_n} \mathbf{R}_{2n} \mathbf{S}_{22n}^{-1} \mathbf{S}_{21n} \right\} \left\{ \frac{1}{n\tilde{a}_n} \mathbf{S}_{11n} - \frac{1}{n\tilde{a}_n} \mathbf{S}'_{21n} \mathbf{S}_{22n}^{-1} \mathbf{S}_{21n} \right\}^{-1}, \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left\{ \frac{1}{a_n^2} \mathbf{R}_{2n} - \frac{1}{a_n^2} \mathbf{R}_{1n} \mathbf{S}_{11n}^{-1} \mathbf{S}'_{21n} \right\} \left\{ \frac{1}{na_n^2} \mathbf{S}_{22n} - \frac{1}{na_n^2} \mathbf{S}_{21n} \mathbf{S}_{11n}^{-1} \mathbf{S}'_{21n} \right\}^{-1} \right] \\ &\equiv [L_{1n}^*, L_{2n}]. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2, we have  $L_{2n} \rightarrow_d \mathbf{R}_2 \mathbf{\Gamma}_{22}^{-1}$  and

$$\begin{aligned} L_{1n}^* &= \left\{ \frac{1}{\tilde{a}_n} \mathbf{R}_{1n} \frac{n\tilde{a}_n}{a_n^2} - \frac{1}{a_n^2} \mathbf{R}_{2n} \left( \frac{\mathbf{S}_{22n}}{na_n^2} \right)^{-1} \frac{\mathbf{S}_{21n}}{n\tilde{a}_n} \frac{n\tilde{a}_n}{a_n^2} \right\} \left\{ \frac{\mathbf{S}_{11n}}{n\tilde{a}_n} \frac{n\tilde{a}_n}{a_n^2} - \frac{\mathbf{S}'_{21n}}{na_n^2} \left( \frac{\mathbf{S}_{22n}}{na_n^2} \right)^{-1} \frac{\mathbf{S}_{21n}}{n\tilde{a}_n} \frac{n\tilde{a}_n}{a_n^2} \right\}^{-1} \\ &= \left\{ o_p(1) - \frac{1}{a_n^2} \mathbf{R}_{2n} \left( \frac{\mathbf{S}_{22n}}{na_n^2} \right)^{-1} \frac{\mathbf{S}_{21n}}{a_n^2} \right\} \times \left\{ \frac{1}{a_n^2} \mathbf{S}_{11n} + o_p(1) \right\}^{-1} \rightarrow_d -\mathbf{R}_2 \mathbf{\Gamma}_{22}^{-1} \mathbf{\Gamma}_{21} \mathbf{\Gamma}_{11}^{*-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$[(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\Pi}}_{1st}, \widehat{\mathbf{B}}_{1st}) - (\boldsymbol{\Pi}_o, \mathbf{B}_o)] \mathbf{Q}_B^{-1} \mathbf{D}_{n,B}^{-1} \rightarrow_d [-\mathbf{R}_2 \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{22}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{21} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{11}^{*-1}, \mathbf{R}_2 \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{22}^{-1}].$$

(b) This result follows directly by (a) and the continuous mapping theorem.

(c) Define  $\mathbf{S}_n(\mu) = \frac{\mu}{n} \mathbf{I}_m - \widehat{\boldsymbol{\Pi}}_{1st}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & |\mathbf{S}_n(\mu)| \times |(\boldsymbol{\beta}_o, \boldsymbol{\beta}_{o,\perp})|^2 \\ &= \left| \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\beta}'_o \\ \boldsymbol{\beta}'_{o,\perp} \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{S}_n(\mu) \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\beta}_o & \boldsymbol{\beta}_{o,\perp} \end{pmatrix} \right| \\ &= \left| \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\beta}'_o \mathbf{S}_n(\mu) \boldsymbol{\beta}_o & \boldsymbol{\beta}'_o \mathbf{S}_n(\mu) \boldsymbol{\beta}_{o,\perp} \\ \boldsymbol{\beta}'_{o,\perp} \mathbf{S}_n(\mu) \boldsymbol{\beta}_o & \boldsymbol{\beta}'_{o,\perp} \mathbf{S}_n(\mu) \boldsymbol{\beta}_{o,\perp} \end{pmatrix} \right| \\ &= |\boldsymbol{\beta}'_o \mathbf{S}_n(\mu) \boldsymbol{\beta}_o| \times |\boldsymbol{\beta}'_{o,\perp} \{\mathbf{S}_n(\mu) - \mathbf{S}_n(\mu) \boldsymbol{\beta}_o [\boldsymbol{\beta}'_o \mathbf{S}_n(\mu) \boldsymbol{\beta}_o]^{-1} \boldsymbol{\beta}'_o \mathbf{S}_n(\mu)\} \boldsymbol{\beta}_{o,\perp}|. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\mu_k^* = n\phi_k(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\Pi}}_{1st})$  ( $k = r_o + 1, \dots, m$ ). Then  $\mu_k^*$  is a solution of the equation

$$|\boldsymbol{\beta}'_o \mathbf{S}_n(\mu) \boldsymbol{\beta}_o| \times |\boldsymbol{\beta}'_{o,\perp} \{\mathbf{S}_n(\mu) - \mathbf{S}_n(\mu) \boldsymbol{\beta}_o [\boldsymbol{\beta}'_o \mathbf{S}_n(\mu) \boldsymbol{\beta}_o]^{-1} \boldsymbol{\beta}'_o \mathbf{S}_n(\mu)\} \boldsymbol{\beta}_{o,\perp}| = 0.$$

Then, we can invoke the results in (a) to show

$$\boldsymbol{\beta}'_o \mathbf{S}_n(\mu) \boldsymbol{\beta}_o = \frac{\mu}{n} \boldsymbol{\beta}'_o \boldsymbol{\beta}_o - \boldsymbol{\beta}'_o (\widehat{\boldsymbol{\Pi}}_{1st} - \boldsymbol{\Pi}_o) \boldsymbol{\beta}_o - \boldsymbol{\beta}'_o \boldsymbol{\Pi}_o \boldsymbol{\beta}_o \rightarrow_p \boldsymbol{\beta}'_o \boldsymbol{\alpha}_o \boldsymbol{\beta}'_o \boldsymbol{\beta}_o. \quad (\text{S3.1})$$

From Assumption 1 (b), we have

$$|\boldsymbol{\beta}'_o \boldsymbol{\alpha}_o \boldsymbol{\beta}'_o \boldsymbol{\beta}_o| = |\boldsymbol{\beta}'_o \boldsymbol{\alpha}_o| \times |\boldsymbol{\beta}'_o \boldsymbol{\beta}_o| \neq 0.$$

Thus,  $\mu_k^*$  are asymptotically the solutions of the following determinantal equation

$$|\boldsymbol{\beta}'_{o,\perp} \{\mathbf{S}_n(\mu) - \mathbf{S}_n(\mu) \boldsymbol{\beta}_o [\boldsymbol{\beta}'_o \mathbf{S}_n(\mu) \boldsymbol{\beta}_o]^{-1} \boldsymbol{\beta}'_o \mathbf{S}_n(\mu)\} \boldsymbol{\beta}_{o,\perp}| = 0,$$

where

$$\beta'_{o,\perp} \mathbf{S}_n(\mu) \beta_{o,\perp} = \frac{\mu}{n} \mathbf{I}_{m-r_o} - \beta'_{o,\perp} (\widehat{\boldsymbol{\Pi}}_{1st} - \boldsymbol{\Pi}_o) \beta_{o,\perp}, \quad (\text{S3.2})$$

$$\beta'_{o,\perp} \mathbf{S}_n(\mu) \beta_o = \frac{\mu}{n} \beta'_{o,\perp} \beta_o - \beta'_{o,\perp} \widehat{\boldsymbol{\Pi}}_{1st} \beta_o \rightarrow -\beta'_{o,\perp} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_o \beta'_o \beta_o, \quad (\text{S3.3})$$

$$\beta'_o \mathbf{S}_n(\mu) \beta_{o,\perp} = -\beta'_o (\widehat{\boldsymbol{\Pi}}_{1st} - \boldsymbol{\Pi}_o) \beta_{o,\perp}. \quad (\text{S3.4})$$

Using (S3.1)-(S3.4), we can show that

$$\begin{aligned} & \beta'_{o,\perp} \{ \mathbf{S}_n(\mu) - \mathbf{S}_n(\mu) \beta_o [\beta'_o \mathbf{S}_n(\mu) \beta_o]^{-1} \beta'_o \mathbf{S}_n(\mu) \} \beta_{o,\perp} \\ &= \frac{\mu}{n} \mathbf{I}_{m-r_o} - \beta'_{o,\perp} [ \mathbf{I}_m - \boldsymbol{\alpha}_o (\beta'_o \boldsymbol{\alpha}_o)^{-1} \beta'_o + o_p(1) ] (\widehat{\boldsymbol{\Pi}}_{1st} - \boldsymbol{\Pi}_o) \beta_{o,\perp}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S3.5})$$

Note that

$$n(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\Pi}}_{1st} - \boldsymbol{\Pi}_o) \beta_{o,\perp} \rightarrow_d \mathbf{R}_2 \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{22}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\alpha}'_{o,\perp} \beta_{o,\perp}. \quad (\text{S3.6})$$

Using (S3.5), (S3.6) and the equality

$$\boldsymbol{\alpha}_o (\beta'_o \boldsymbol{\alpha}_o)^{-1} \beta'_o + \beta_{o,\perp} (\boldsymbol{\alpha}'_{o,\perp} \beta_{o,\perp})^{-1} \boldsymbol{\alpha}'_{o,\perp} = \mathbf{I}_m, \quad (\text{S3.7})$$

and since  $\beta'_{o,\perp} \beta_{o,\perp} = \mathbf{I}_{m-r_o}$  we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & n \beta'_{o,\perp} [ \mathbf{I}_m - \boldsymbol{\alpha}_o (\beta'_o \boldsymbol{\alpha}_o)^{-1} \beta'_o + o_p(1) ] (\widehat{\boldsymbol{\Pi}}_{1st} - \boldsymbol{\Pi}_o) \beta_{o,\perp} \\ & \rightarrow_d (\boldsymbol{\alpha}'_{o,\perp} \beta_{o,\perp})^{-1} \boldsymbol{\alpha}'_{o,\perp} \mathbf{R}_2 \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{22}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\alpha}'_{o,\perp} \beta_{o,\perp}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$|n \beta'_{o,\perp} \{ \mathbf{S}_n(\mu) - \mathbf{S}_n(\mu) \beta_o [\beta'_o \mathbf{S}_n(\mu) \beta_o]^{-1} \beta'_o \mathbf{S}_n(\mu) \} \beta_{o,\perp}| \rightarrow_d |\mu \mathbf{I}_{m-r_o} - \boldsymbol{\alpha}'_{o,\perp} \mathbf{R}_2 \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{22}^{-1}|. \quad (\text{S3.8})$$

The result in (c) follows from (S3.8) and by continuous mapping.  $\square$

## S4 Proofs of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2

**Proof of Theorem 1.** Let  $\mathbf{W}_{t-1} = [\mathbf{Y}'_{t-1}, \Delta \mathbf{X}'_{t-1}]'$ ,  $\Delta \mathbf{Y} = (\Delta \mathbf{Y}_1, \dots, \Delta \mathbf{Y}_n)_{m \times n}$  and  $\mathbf{W}_{-1} = (\mathbf{W}_0, \dots, \mathbf{W}_{n-1})_{m \times n}$ . We can write

$$\begin{aligned} L_n(\boldsymbol{\Pi}, \mathbf{B}) &= \sum_{t=1}^n \|\Delta \mathbf{Y}_t - \boldsymbol{\theta} \mathbf{W}_{t-1}\|^2 \\ &= [\text{vec}(\Delta \mathbf{Y}) - (\mathbf{W}'_{-1} \otimes \mathbf{I}_m) \text{vec}(\boldsymbol{\theta})]' [\text{vec}(\Delta \mathbf{Y}) - (\mathbf{W}'_{-1} \otimes \mathbf{I}_m) \text{vec}(\boldsymbol{\theta})], \end{aligned}$$

where  $\boldsymbol{\theta} = (\boldsymbol{\Pi}, \mathbf{B})$ . Denote

$$\begin{aligned} V_n(\boldsymbol{\theta}) &= [\text{vec}(\Delta \mathbf{Y}) - (\mathbf{W}'_{-1} \otimes \mathbf{I}_m) \text{vec}(\boldsymbol{\theta})]' [\text{vec}(\Delta \mathbf{Y}) - (\mathbf{W}'_{-1} \otimes \mathbf{I}_m) \text{vec}(\boldsymbol{\theta})] \\ &\quad + n \sum_{j=1}^p \lambda_{b,j,n} \|\mathbf{B}_j\| + n \sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_{r,k,n} \|\Phi_{n,k}(\boldsymbol{\Pi})\|. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S4.1})$$

Set  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_n = (\hat{\boldsymbol{\Pi}}_n, \hat{\mathbf{B}}_n)$  and  $\boldsymbol{\theta}_o = (\boldsymbol{\Pi}_o, \mathbf{B}_o)$ . Define an infeasible estimator  $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_n = (\boldsymbol{\Pi}_{n,f}, \mathbf{B}_o)$ .

Then

$$(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_n - \boldsymbol{\theta}_o) \mathbf{Q}_B^{-1} \mathbf{D}_{n,B}^{-1} = (\boldsymbol{\Pi}_{n,f} - \boldsymbol{\Pi}_o, \mathbf{0}) \mathbf{Q}_B^{-1} \mathbf{D}_{n,B}^{-1} = O_p(1), \quad (\text{S4.2})$$

where the last equality holds by (7.3). By definition of  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_n$ ,  $V_n(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_n) \leq V_n(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_n)$ . Furthermore, by (S4.1), we can show that

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{vec}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_n - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_n)' \left( \sum_{t=1}^n \mathbf{W}_{t-1} \mathbf{W}'_{t-1} \otimes \mathbf{I}_m \right) \text{vec}((\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_n - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_n) + 2 \text{vec}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_n - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_n)' \text{vec}(\sum_{t=1}^n \mathbf{W}_{t-1} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}'_t) \\ &\quad + 2 \text{vec}((\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_n - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_n)' \left( \sum_{t=1}^n \mathbf{W}_{t-1} \mathbf{W}'_{t-1} \otimes \mathbf{I}_m \right) \text{vec}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_o - \tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_n) \leq d_n, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S4.3})$$

where  $d_n = n \sum_{j=1}^p \lambda_{b,j,n} [\|\mathbf{B}_{o,j}\| - \|\hat{\mathbf{B}}_{n,j}\|] + n \sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_{r,k,n} [\|\Phi_{n,k}(\boldsymbol{\Pi}_{n,f})\| - \|\Phi_{n,k}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\Pi}}_n)\|]$ .

When  $r_o = m$ ,  $\mathbf{W}_t$  is a stationary process. By Theorem A.2 in She and Ling (2020) and (S4.2), we have

$$c_{1n} \equiv \|a_n^{-2} \sum_{t=1}^n \mathbf{W}_{t-1} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}'_t\| = O_p[n^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \tilde{L}(n)^{-1}], \quad (\text{S4.4})$$

$$c_{2n} \equiv m \|a_n^{-2} \sum_{t=1}^n \mathbf{W}_{t-1} \mathbf{W}'_{t-1}\| \|\boldsymbol{\theta}_o - \tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_n\| = O_p[n^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \tilde{L}(n)^{-1}]. \quad (\text{S4.5})$$

Let  $\mu_{1n,min}$  be the smallest eigenvalue of  $a_n^{-2} \sum_{t=1}^n \mathbf{W}_{t-1} \mathbf{W}'_{t-1}$ , which is positive w.p.a.1. By (S4.3)-(S4.5), we get

$$\mu_{1n,min} \|\widehat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_n - \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_n\|^2 - 2(c_{1n} + c_{2n}) \|\widehat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_n - \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_n\| - a_n^{-2} d_n \leq 0. \quad (\text{S4.6})$$

By (7.1), (7.2),  $\delta_{r,n} = o_p(1)$  and  $\delta_{b,n} = o_p(1)$ , we have  $a_n^{-2} d_n = o_p(1)$ . So, it is straightforward to deduce that  $\|\widehat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_n - \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_n\| = o_p(1)$  from (S4.6). The consistency of  $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_n$  follows from the triangle inequality and the consistency of  $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_n$ .

When  $0 \leq r_o < m$ , we first consider the case when  $\alpha \in (1, 2)$ , or  $\alpha = 1$  and  $\tilde{L}(n) \rightarrow 0$ . Denote  $\mathbf{B}_n = (\mathbf{D}_{n,B} \mathbf{Q}_B)^{-1}$ , and  $\mathbf{H}_B = \text{diag}(\frac{\tilde{a}_n}{a_n} \mathbf{I}_{r_o+m}, n^{-\frac{1}{2}} a_n \mathbf{I}_{m-r_o})$ . By Lemma 2, we can deduce

$$\mathbf{H}_B^{-1} \mathbf{B}_n^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^n \mathbf{W}_{t-1} \mathbf{W}'_{t-1} \mathbf{B}_n^{-1'} \mathbf{H}_B^{-1} \rightarrow_d \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{B}_l \mathbf{S}_1 \mathbf{B}'_l & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \Gamma_{22} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{S4.7})$$

By Lemma 2 and (S4.2), we have

$$e_{1,n} \equiv \|\mathbf{H}_B^{-1} \mathbf{B}_n^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^n \mathbf{W}_{t-1} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}'_t\| = O_p(n^{\frac{1}{\alpha} - \frac{1}{2}}), \quad (\text{S4.8})$$

$$e_{2,n} \equiv m \|\mathbf{H}_B^{-1} \mathbf{B}_n^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^n \mathbf{W}_{t-1} \mathbf{W}'_{t-1} \mathbf{B}_n^{-1'} \mathbf{H}_B^{-1}\| \|(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_n - \boldsymbol{\theta}_o) \mathbf{B}_n \mathbf{H}_B\| = O_p(n^{\frac{1}{\alpha} - \frac{1}{2}}). \quad (\text{S4.9})$$

Let  $\mu_{2n,min}$  be the smallest eigenvalue of  $\mathbf{H}_B^{-1} \mathbf{B}_n^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^n \mathbf{W}_{t-1} \mathbf{W}'_{t-1} \mathbf{B}_n^{-1'} \mathbf{H}_B^{-1}$ , which is positive w.p.a.1. Then

$$\text{vec}(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_n - \widehat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_n)' \left( \sum_{t=1}^n \mathbf{W}_{t-1} \mathbf{W}'_{t-1} \otimes \mathbf{I}_m \right) \text{vec}(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_n - \widehat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_n) \geq \mu_{2n,min} \|(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_n - \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_n) \mathbf{B}_n \mathbf{H}_B\|^2. \quad (\text{S4.10})$$

Note that

$$|\text{vec}(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_n - \widehat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_n)' \text{vec}(\sum_{t=1}^n \mathbf{W}_{t-1} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}'_t)| \leq \|(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_n - \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_n) \mathbf{B}_n \mathbf{H}_B\| e_{1,n}, \quad (\text{S4.11})$$

$$|\text{vec}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_n - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_n)' \left( \sum_{t=1}^n \mathbf{W}_{t-1} \mathbf{W}'_{t-1} \otimes \mathbf{I}_m \right) \text{vec}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_o - \tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_n)| \leq \|(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_n - \tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_n) \mathbf{B}_n \mathbf{H}_B\|_{e_{2,n}}. \quad (\text{S4.12})$$

From (S4.3) and (S4.10)-(S4.12), we have

$$\mu_{2n, \min} \|(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_n - \tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_n) \mathbf{B}_n \mathbf{H}_B\|^2 - 2(e_{1,n} + e_{2,n}) \|(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_n - \tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_n) \mathbf{B}_n \mathbf{H}_B\| \leq d_n. \quad (\text{S4.13})$$

By the definition of the penalty function and Lemma 3, we find

$$d_n \leq n \sum_{j \in \mathcal{S}_B} \lambda_{b,j,n} \|\mathbf{B}_{o,j}\| + n \sum_{k \in \mathcal{S}_\phi} \lambda_{r,k,n} \|\Phi_{n,k}(\boldsymbol{\Pi}_{n,f})\| = O_p(n\delta_{b,n} + n\delta_{r,n}).$$

From (S4.13), we have

$$(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_n - \tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_n) \mathbf{B}_n \mathbf{H}_B = O_p(n^{\frac{1}{\alpha} - \frac{1}{2}} + n^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{d,n}^{\frac{1}{2}} + n^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{r,n}^{\frac{1}{2}}), \quad (\text{S4.14})$$

which together with (S4.2) and the definition of  $\mathbf{B}_n$  and  $\mathbf{H}_B$  implies

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_n - \boldsymbol{\theta}_o = o_p(1), \quad (\text{S4.15})$$

which implies the consistency of  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_n$ .

When  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  or  $\alpha = 1$  and  $\tilde{L}(n) \rightarrow \infty$ , using the similar argument, we can show the consistency of  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_n$ .  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 2.** By the triangle inequality and (7.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_{r,k,n} [\|\Phi_{n,k}(\boldsymbol{\Pi}_{n,f})\| - \|\Phi_{n,k}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\Pi}}_n)\|] + \left| \sum_{j=1}^p \lambda_{b,j,n} [\|\mathbf{B}_{o,j}\| - \|\hat{\mathbf{B}}_{n,j}\|] \right| \\ & \leq \left| \sum_{k=1}^{r_0} \lambda_{r,k,n} [\|\Phi_{n,k}(\boldsymbol{\Pi}_{n,f})\| - \|\Phi_{n,k}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\Pi}}_n)\|] + \left| \sum_{j \in \mathcal{S}_B} \lambda_{b,j,n} [\|\mathbf{B}_{o,j}\| - \|\hat{\mathbf{B}}_{n,j}\|] \right| \\ & \leq c(\delta_{b,n} + \delta_{r,n}) \|\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_n - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_n\|, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S4.16})$$



where  $c$  is some positive constant. Using (S4.16) and invoking (S4.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{vec}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_n - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_n)' \left( \sum_{t=1}^n \mathbf{W}_{t-1} \mathbf{W}'_{t-1} \otimes \mathbf{I}_m \right) \text{vec}((\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_n - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_n)) \\
 & + 2 \text{vec}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_n - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_n)' \text{vec} \left( \sum_{t=1}^n \mathbf{W}_{t-1} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}'_t \right) \\
 & + 2 \text{vec}((\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_n - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_n)' \left( \sum_{t=1}^n \mathbf{W}_{t-1} \mathbf{W}'_{t-1} \otimes \mathbf{I}_m \right) \text{vec}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_o - \tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_n)) \\
 & \leq nc(\delta_{b,n} + \delta_{r,n}) \|\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_n - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_n\|. \tag{S4.17}
 \end{aligned}$$

When  $r_o = m$ , we use (S4.17) to obtain

$$\mu_{1n, \min} \|\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_n - \tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_n\|^2 - 2(c_{1n} + c_{2n} + na_n^{-2} \delta_n) \|\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_n - \tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_n\| \leq 0, \tag{S4.18}$$

where  $\delta_n = \delta_{r,n} + \delta_{b,n}$ ,  $\mu_{1n, \min}$ ,  $c_{1n}$  and  $c_{2n}$  are defined in (S4.6). (S4.18) and (7.3) lead to

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_n - \boldsymbol{\theta}_o = O_p(n^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \tilde{L}(n)^{-1} + n^{1-\frac{2}{\alpha}} \tilde{L}(n)^{-1} \delta_n).$$

When  $0 \leq r_o < m$  and  $\alpha \in (1, 2)$  or  $\alpha = 1$  and  $\tilde{L}(n) \rightarrow 0$ , denote

$$\begin{aligned}
 \nu_1 &= a_n \|[(\boldsymbol{\Pi}_{n,f} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\Pi}}_n) \bar{\boldsymbol{\beta}}, \mathbf{B}_o - \hat{\mathbf{B}}_n]\|, \quad \nu_2 = n^{\frac{1}{2}} a_n \|(\boldsymbol{\Pi}_{n,f} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\Pi}}_n) \bar{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{\perp}\|, \\
 \mathbf{H}_B^{-1} \mathbf{B}_n^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^n \mathbf{W}_{t-1} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}'_t &= [\mathbf{e}'_{1,1}, \mathbf{e}'_{1,2}]', \quad \text{and} \\
 \mathbf{H}_B^{-1} \mathbf{B}_n^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^n \mathbf{W}_{t-1} \mathbf{W}'_{t-1} \mathbf{B}_n^{-1'} \mathbf{H}_B^{-1} [(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_n - \boldsymbol{\theta}_o) \mathbf{B}_n \mathbf{H}_B]' &= [\mathbf{e}'_{2,1}, \mathbf{e}'_{2,2}]',
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathbf{e}_{1,2}$  and  $\mathbf{e}_{2,2}$  are the last  $m - r_o$  rows of the corresponding matrices. By Lemma 2 and (S4.2), we have

$$\mathbf{e}_{1,1} = O_p\left(\frac{\tilde{a}_n}{a_n}\right), \mathbf{e}_{1,2} = O_p(n^{-\frac{1}{2}} a_n), \mathbf{e}_{2,1} = O_p\left(\frac{\tilde{a}_n}{a_n}\right), \mathbf{e}_{2,2} = O_p(n^{-\frac{1}{2}} a_n). \tag{S4.19}$$

Note that

$$\text{vec}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_n - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_n)' \left( \sum_{t=1}^n \mathbf{W}_{t-1} \mathbf{W}'_{t-1} \otimes \mathbf{I}_m \right) \text{vec}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_n - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_n) \geq \mu_{2n, \min} (\nu_1^2 + \nu_2^2),$$

$$|vec(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_n - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_n)'vec(\sum_{t=1}^n \mathbf{W}_{t-1} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}'_t)| \leq \nu_1 \|\mathbf{e}_{1,1}\| + \nu_2 \|\mathbf{e}_{1,2}\|,$$

and

$$|vec(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_n - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_n)'(\sum_{t=1}^n \mathbf{W}_{t-1} \mathbf{W}'_{t-1} \otimes \mathbf{I}_m)vec(\boldsymbol{\theta}_o - \tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_n)| \leq \nu_1 \|\mathbf{e}_{2,1}\| + \nu_2 \|\mathbf{e}_{2,2}\|.$$

Then, we can deduce that

$$\mu_{2n, \min}(\nu_1^2 + \nu_2^2) - 2(\|\mathbf{e}_{1,1}\| + \|\mathbf{e}_{2,1}\|)\nu_1 - 2(\|\mathbf{e}_{1,2}\| + \|\mathbf{e}_{2,2}\|)\nu_2 \leq cna_n^{-1}\delta_n\nu_1 + cn^{\frac{1}{2}}a_n^{-1}\delta_n\nu_2,$$

which together with (S4.19) implies that  $\nu_1 = O_p(\tilde{a}_n/a_n + na_n^{-1}\delta_n)$  and  $\nu_2 = O_p(n^{-\frac{1}{2}}a_n + n^{\frac{1}{2}}a_n^{-1}\delta_n)$ . So, we get

$$a_n^2/\tilde{a}_n[(\hat{\boldsymbol{\Pi}}_n - \boldsymbol{\Pi}_o)\bar{\boldsymbol{\beta}}, \hat{\mathbf{B}}_n - \mathbf{B}_o] = O_p(1 + n\tilde{a}_n^{-1}\delta_n),$$

$$n(\hat{\boldsymbol{\Pi}}_n - \boldsymbol{\Pi}_o)\bar{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{\perp} = O_p(1 + na_n^{-2}\delta_n).$$

When  $0 \leq r_o < m$  and  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  or  $\alpha = 1$  and  $\tilde{L}(n) \rightarrow \infty$ , using the same argument as previous, we can show that

$$(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_n - \boldsymbol{\theta}_o)\mathbf{B}_n = O_p(1),$$

which finishes the proof. □