

## Variable screening with multiple studies

Tianzhou Ma<sup>1</sup>, Zhao Ren<sup>2</sup> and George C. Tseng<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of Maryland, <sup>2</sup>University of Pittsburgh

### Supplementary Material

#### S1. Proof of Lemma 1

*Proof.* Part (i) immediately follows from Lemma 2 (i) equation (25) in Cai and Liu (2011). To prove part (ii), we need to bound the three terms on the right side of the following inequality,

$$\max_{j,k} |\hat{\theta}_j^{(k)} - \theta_j^{(k)}| \leq \max_{j,k} |\hat{\theta}_j^{(k)} - \tilde{\theta}_j^{(k)}| + \max_{j,k} |\tilde{\theta}_j^{(k)} - \check{\theta}_j^{(k)}| + \max_{j,k} |\check{\theta}_j^{(k)} - \theta_j^{(k)}|, \quad (\text{S1.1})$$

where  $\tilde{\theta}_j^{(k)} := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_{ij}^{(k)} Y_i^{(k)} - \tilde{\rho}_j^{(k)})^2$  with  $\tilde{\rho}_j^{(k)} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_{ij}^{(k)} Y_i^{(k)}$ , and  $\check{\theta}_j^{(k)} := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_{ij}^{(k)} Y_i^{(k)} - \rho_j^{(k)})^2$ . Note that  $E(\check{\theta}_j^{(k)}) = \theta_j^{(k)}$ .

By the marginal sub-Gaussian distribution assumption in assumption (C1), we have that  $(X_{ij}^{(k)} Y_i^{(k)} - \rho_j^{(k)})^2$  has mean  $\theta_j^{(k)}$  and finite Orlicz  $\psi_{1/2}$ -norm (see, e.g., Adamczak et al. (2011)). Thus we can apply equation (3.6) of Adamczak et al. (2011), i.e.,

$$P(\max_{j,k} \sqrt{n} |\check{\theta}_j^{(k)} - \theta_j^{(k)}| > t) \leq 2Kp \exp(-c \min[\frac{t^2}{n}, t^{1/2}]),$$

with  $t = (C_\theta/3)\sqrt{n \log p}$  for a large enough constant  $C_\theta > 0$  depending on  $M_1, \eta, b$  and  $M$  only to obtain that,

$$P(\max_{j,k} |\check{\theta}_j^{(k)} - \theta_j^{(k)}| > (C_\theta/3)\sqrt{\frac{\log p}{n}}) = O(p^{-M}). \quad (\text{S1.2})$$

We have used the assumption  $\log p = o(n^{1/3})$  in assumption (C2) to make sure  $\frac{t^2}{n} \leq t^{1/2}$ .

By applying equation (1) in supplement of Cai and Liu (2011), we obtain that,

$$P(\max_{j,k} |\tilde{\theta}_j^{(k)} - \hat{\theta}_j^{(k)}| > (C_\theta/3)\sqrt{\frac{\log p}{n}}) = O(p^{-M}). \quad (\text{S1.3})$$

In addition, by a similar truncation argument as that in the proof of Lemma 2 in Cai and Liu (2011) and equation (7) therein, we obtain that by picking a large enough  $C_\theta > 0$ ,

$$P(\max_{j,k} |\tilde{\theta}_j^{(k)} - \check{\theta}_j^{(k)}| > (C_\theta/3)\sqrt{\frac{\log p}{n}}) = O(p^{-M}). \quad (\text{S1.4})$$

We complete the proof by combining (S1.1)-(S1.4) with a union bound argument. □

## S2. Proof of Lemma 2

*Proof.* It is easy to check that  $E(\check{H}_j^{(k)}) = 0$  and  $\text{var}(\check{H}_j^{(k)}) = 1$ . The marginal sub-Gaussian distribution assumption in assumption (C1) implies that  $\check{H}_j^{(k)}$  has finite Orlicz  $\psi_1$ -norm (i.e., sub-exponential distribution with finite constants). Therefore,  $(\check{H}_j^{(k)})^2 - 1$  is centered random variable with finite Orlicz  $\psi_{1/2}$ -norm. Note that  $\check{H}_j^{(k)}$  are independent for  $k \in [K]$ . The result follows from equation (3.6) of Adamczak et al. (2011). □

## S3. Proof of Lemma 3

*Proof.* Note that  $\check{H}_j^{(k)} - \hat{H}_j^{(k)} = \frac{\sqrt{n}\bar{X}_j^{(k)}\sqrt{n}\bar{Y}^{(k)}}{\sqrt{n\theta_j^{(k)}}}$ . By assumption (C1), we have that  $E(\sqrt{n}\bar{X}_j^{(k)}) = E(\sqrt{n}\bar{Y}^{(k)}) = 0$ ,  $\text{var}(\sqrt{n}\bar{X}_j^{(k)}) = \text{var}(\sqrt{n}\bar{Y}^{(k)}) = 1$ , and both  $\sqrt{n}\bar{X}_j^{(k)}$  and  $\sqrt{n}\bar{Y}^{(k)}$  are sub-Gaussian with bounded constants. Therefore, the first equation follows from Bernstein inequality (e.g., Definition 5.13 in Vershynin (2010)) applied to centered sub-exponential variable  $\sqrt{n}\bar{X}_j^{(k)} \cdot \sqrt{n}\bar{Y}^{(k)}$ , noting  $\theta_j^{(k)} \geq \tau_0$  by assumption (C1). The second equation follows from the first one,  $\log^3 p = o(n)$ , and a Bernstein inequality (e.g., Corollary 5.17 in Vershynin (2010)) applied to the sum of centered sub-exponential variables  $\check{H}_j^{(k)}$ . □

## Bibliography

Adamczak, R., Litvak, A. E., Pajor, A., and Tomczak-Jaegermann, N. (2011). Restricted isometry property of matrices with independent columns and neighborly polytopes by random sampling. *Constructive Approximation*, 34(1):61–88.

Cai, T. and Liu, W. (2011). Adaptive thresholding for sparse covariance matrix estimation. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 106(494):672–684.

Vershynin, R. (2010). Introduction to the non-asymptotic analysis of random matrices. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1011.3027*.

Tianzhou Ma

Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742.

E-mail: tma0929@umd.edu

Zhao Ren (Corresponding Author)

Department of Statistics, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA 15261.

E-mail: zren@pitt.edu

George C. Tseng

Department of Biostatistics, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA 15261.

E-mail: ctseng@pitt.edu