

## A MOMENT INEQUALITY FOR HSU AND ROBBINS SERIES

Yuan S. Chow

*National Central University and Columbia University*

*Abstract:* The series involved in the Hsu and Robbins complete convergence theorem (1947) and its extensions by Katz (1963) and others are called Hsu and Robbins series. If  $\mathcal{P}(|S_n| > 2n)$  is replaced by  $nE(|S_n| - n)^+$  in the series, the new one is called the moment version of Hsu and Robbins series. We establish an inequality for such a series. Some results of Chow and Lai (1978) and Chow (1988) have been improved.

*Key words and phrases:* Moment inequality, complete convergence, Hsu and Robbins series.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $X, X_1, X_2, \dots$  be iid,  $EX = 0$  and  $S_n = \sum_1^n X_j$ . Hsu and Robbins (1947) have proved that if  $EX^2 < \infty$ , then

$$\sum_1^\infty \mathcal{P}(|S_n| > n) < \infty.$$

Katz (1963) generalized this result as follows: If  $\alpha > \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\alpha p > 1$  and  $E|X|^p < \infty$ , then

$$\sum_1^\infty n^{\alpha p - 2} \mathcal{P}(|S_n| > n^\alpha) < \infty. \tag{1}$$

In 1978, Chow and Lai showed that if  $1 \leq r \leq 2$ ,  $\alpha > \beta \geq 0$ ,  $\alpha p > 1 > \beta p$  and  $\alpha r > 1 > \beta r$ , there exists an absolute constant  $C = C(p, r, \alpha, \beta)$  such that

$$\sum_1^\infty n^{\alpha p - 2} \mathcal{P}\left(\max_{j \leq n} j^{-\beta} S_j \geq n^{\alpha - \beta}\right) \leq C \left\{ EX_+^p + \mu^{\frac{\alpha p - 1}{\alpha r - 1}} \right\}, \tag{2}$$

where  $a_+ = \max(a, 0)$  and  $\mu = E|X|^r$ . The series in (1) and (2) are called Hsu and Robbins series.

In Chow (1988, Theorem 2.5) a moment version of (1) has been given:

If  $p \geq 1$ ,  $\alpha > \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\alpha p \geq 1$  and  $E\{|X|^p + |X| \log(1 + |X|)\} < \infty$ , then

$$\sum n^{\alpha p - 2 - \alpha} E\left(\max_{j \leq n} |S_j| - n^\alpha\right)_+ < \infty. \quad (3)$$

In this paper, we prove the following theorem, which is a moment version of Inequality (2). A two-sided version of the theorem for  $q = 1$ ,  $\beta = 0$  and  $r = p > 1$  improves (3) when  $\alpha p > 1$  and  $p > 1$ .

In the following, for  $t > 0$ , let

$$S_t = S_{[t]}, X_t = x_{[t]}, X_0 = S_0 = 0, \quad (4)$$

$$\overline{S_{[t]}[t]^{-\beta}} = \overline{S_t t^{-\beta}} = \max\left(0, S_1, 2^{-\beta} S_2, \dots, [t]^{-\beta} S_{[t]}\right),$$

and similarly for  $\overline{X_t t^{-\beta}}$ .

**Theorem 1.** Let  $\beta > 0$ ,  $p \geq q > 0$ ,  $1 \leq r \leq 2$ ,  $\alpha r > 1 > \beta r$ ,  $\alpha p > 1$ ,

$$\theta_1 = \frac{\alpha p - 1 - (\beta p - 1)_+}{\alpha r - 1}, \theta_2 = \frac{q}{r}, \theta = \max(\theta_1, \theta_2), \quad (5)$$

and

$$\mathcal{K} = \int_1^\infty t^{\alpha p - 2 - (\alpha - \beta)q - (\beta p - 1)_+} E\left(\overline{S_t t^{-\beta}} - t^{-\alpha - \beta}\right)_+^q dt. \quad (6)$$

Assume that  $\theta_1 \neq \theta_2$ . Then there exist constants  $C = C(p, q, r, \alpha, \beta)$  such that

- (i) if  $\beta p \neq 1$  and  $p > q$ , then  $\mathcal{K} \leq C(EX_+^p + E^\theta |X|^r)$ ;
- (ii) if  $\beta p = 1$  and  $p > q$ , or if  $\beta p \neq 1$  and  $p = q$ , then  $\mathcal{K} \leq C(EX_+^p \log(e + X) + E^\theta |X|^r)$ ;
- (iii) if  $\beta p = 1$  and  $p = q$ , then  $\mathcal{K} \leq C(EX_+^p \log^2(e + X) + E^\theta |X|^r)$ .

The proof of Theorem 1 will be given in Section 3. For  $\beta p > 1$ , part (i) yields the following new result, since in the previous works one always assumes that  $\beta p \leq 1$ .

**Corollary 1.** Let  $1 \leq r \leq 2$ ,  $\alpha > \beta \geq 0$ ,  $\beta p \geq 1$  and  $\alpha r > 1 > \beta r$ . Then there exist constants  $C = C(p, q, r, \alpha, \beta)$  such that

(i) if  $\beta p > 1$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T} &\equiv \sum_1^\infty n^{\alpha p - \beta p - 1} P\left(\overline{S_n n^{-\beta}} \geq 2n^{\alpha - \beta}\right) \\ &\leq C\left\{EX_+^p + (E|X|^r)^{(\alpha - \beta)p/(\alpha r - 1)}\right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

(ii) if  $\beta p = 1$ , then

$$\mathcal{T} \leq C\left\{EX_+^p \log(e + X) + (E|X|^r)^{(\alpha - \beta)p/(\alpha r - 1)}\right\}. \quad (8)$$

**Proof.** (i) Choose  $q > 0$  so small that  $q < p$  and

$$\theta_2 = q/r < (\alpha - \beta)p/(\alpha r - 1) = \theta_1. \quad (9)$$

By Theorem 1(i),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I} &\leq \sum_1^{\infty} n^{\alpha p - 1 - (\alpha - \beta)q - \beta p} E \left( \overline{S_n n^{-\beta}} - n^{\alpha - \beta} \right)_+^q \\ &\leq C \left\{ EX_+^p + (E|X|^r)^{(\alpha - \beta)p/(\alpha r - 1)} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly for part (ii).

## 2. Some Lemmas

Let  $X, X_1, X_2, \dots$  be iid,  $S_n = \sum_1^n X_j$  and  $a_+ = \max(a, 0)$ .

**Lemma 1.** Let  $\alpha \geq \beta \geq 0$ ,  $p \geq q > 0$ ,  $\delta = \alpha p - 2 - (\alpha - \beta)q - (\beta p - 1)_+$ , and

$$\mathcal{I} = \int_1^{\infty} t^{\delta} E \left( \overline{X_t t^{-\beta}} - t^{\alpha - \beta} \right)_+^q dt. \quad (10)$$

Assume that  $\alpha > \beta$  when  $\beta p \geq 1$  or  $\beta = 0$ . Then for some  $O(1)$ , depending only on  $p, q, \alpha, \beta$ ,

- (i) if  $\beta p \neq 1$  and  $p > q$ , then  $\mathcal{I} = O(1) \cdot EX_+^p$ ;
- (ii) if  $\beta p \neq 1$  and  $p = q$ , then  $\mathcal{I} = O(1) \cdot EX_+^p \log(1 + X)$ ;
- (iii) if  $\beta p = 1$  and  $p > q$ , then  $\mathcal{I} = O(1) \cdot EX_+^p \log(1 + X)$ ;
- (iv) if  $\beta p = 1$  and  $p = q$ , then  $\mathcal{I} = O(1) \cdot EX_+^p \log^2(1 + X)$ .

**Proof.** Put  $A_t = E(\overline{X_t t^{-\beta}} - t^{\alpha - \beta})_+^q$ .

(a) Let  $\beta = 0$ . Then  $A_t \leq tE(X - t^{\alpha})_+^q$  and

$$\mathcal{I} \leq \int_1^{\infty} t^{\alpha p - \alpha q - 1} E(X - t^{\alpha})_+^q dt \leq E \left\{ (X - 1)_+^q \int_1^{X^{1/\alpha}} t^{\alpha p - \alpha q - 1} dt \right\}. \quad (11)$$

If  $p > q$ , then

$$\mathcal{I} \leq \frac{E\{(X - 1)_+^q X^{p - q}\}}{\alpha(p - q)} = O(1)EX_+^p,$$

and if  $p = q$ , then

$$\mathcal{I} = O(1) \cdot EX_+^p \log(1 + X).$$

Hence Lemma 1 holds for  $\beta = 0$ .

(b) Let  $\beta > 0$ . Then for  $t \geq 1$  and  $k = [t]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} A_t &\leq E(X - t^{\alpha-\beta})_+^q + E(2^{-\beta}X - t^{\alpha-\beta})_+^q + \dots + E(k^{-\beta}X - t^{\alpha-\beta})_+^q \\ &\leq \sum_1^k \int_{2^{j-1}}^{2^j} E(2^\beta y^{-\beta}X - t^{\alpha-\beta})_+^q dy. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} A_t &\leq \int_1^{2t} E(2^\beta y^{-\beta}X - t^{\alpha-\beta})_+^q dy \\ &= O(1) \cdot t^{(\alpha-\beta)(q-\beta^{-1})} \int_{t^{\alpha-\beta}}^{2^\beta t^\alpha} E(2^\beta X - u)_+^q u^{\beta^{-1}-1-q} du. \end{aligned}$$

By (10),

$$\mathcal{I} = O(1) \int_1^\infty t^{(\alpha p - 2) - (\alpha - \beta)\beta^{-1} - (\beta p - 1)_+} dt \cdot \int_{t^{\alpha-\beta}}^{2^\beta t^\alpha} E(2^\beta X - u)_+^q u^{\beta^{-1}-1-q} du.$$

Hence

$$\mathcal{I} = O(1) \int_1^\infty E(2^\beta X - u)_+^q u^{\beta^{-1}-1-q} du \int_{(2^{-\beta}u)^{1/\alpha}}^{u^{1/(\alpha-\beta)}} t^{\alpha p - 1 - \alpha/\beta - (\beta p - 1)_+} dt. \quad (12)$$

Since  $\alpha > \beta$  when  $\beta p \geq 1$ , for  $u > 1$ , it follows that

$$\int_{(2^{-\beta}u)^{1/\alpha}}^{u^{1/(\alpha-\beta)}} t^{\alpha(p-\beta^{-1})-1-(\beta p-1)_+} dt = \begin{cases} O(1)u^{p-\beta^{-1}} & \text{if } \beta p < 1, \\ O(1)\log u & \text{if } \beta p = 1, \\ O(1)u^{p-\beta^{-1}} & \text{if } \beta p > 1. \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

By (12) and (13), if  $\beta p \neq 1$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I} &= O(1) \int_1^\infty E(2^\beta X - u)_+^q u^{p-q-1} du \\ &= O(1)E \left\{ \int_1^{2^\beta X} (2^\beta X - 1)_+^q u^{p-q-1} du \right\} \\ &= \begin{cases} O(1)EX_+^p, & \text{if } p > q, \\ O(1)EX_+^p \log(1+X), & \text{if } p = q, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

and if  $\beta p = 1$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I} &= O(1)E \left\{ \int_1^{2^\beta X} (2^\beta X - 1)_+^q u^{p-q-1} \log u du \right\} \\ &= \begin{cases} O(1)EX_+^p \log(1+X), & \text{if } p > q, \\ O(1)EX_+^p \log^2(1+X), & \text{if } p = q. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

The following lemma is due to Chow and Lai (1978, Lemma 5) in a slightly different form.

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $1 \leq r \leq 2$  and  $1 > \beta r \geq 0$ . There exists a universal constant  $C = C(r, \beta)$  such that if  $X, X_1, X_2, \dots$  are iid with  $EX = 0$ , then for  $t, y > 0$ ,*

$$P(\overline{S_t t^{-\beta}} > 2ky) \leq P(\overline{X_t t^{-\beta}} > y) + P^k(\overline{S_t t^{-\beta}} > y), \quad (14)$$

$$P(\overline{S_t t^{-\beta}} > y) \leq Ct^{1-\beta r} y^{-r} E|X|^r. \quad (15)$$

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $\beta > 0$ ,  $p \geq q > 0$ ,  $EX = 0$ ,  $\delta = \alpha p - 2 + (\beta p - 1)_+ - (\alpha - \beta)q$ ,  $1 \leq r \leq 2$ ,  $\alpha r > 1 > \beta r$ ,  $\alpha p > 1$ ,  $\theta_1 = \{\alpha p - 1 - (\beta p - 1)_+\}/(\alpha r - 1)$ ,  $\theta_2 = q/r$ . Put  $\theta = \max(\theta_1, \theta_2)$  and*

$$J = \int_1^\infty t^\delta dt \int_0^\infty P^k(\overline{S_t t^{-\beta}} > t^{\alpha-\beta} + y^{1/q}) dy \quad (16)$$

where  $k > \theta$  is an integer. If  $\theta_1 \neq \theta_2$ , then for some  $O(1)$  depending only on  $p, q, r, \alpha, \beta$

$$J = O(1)E^\theta |X|^r. \quad (17)$$

**Proof.** Put  $Q(y, t) = P(\overline{S_t t^{-\beta}} > t^{\alpha-\beta} + y^{1/q})$  and  $\mu = E|X|^r$ . By Lemma 2,

$$Q(y, t) = O(1)t^{1-\beta r} (t^{\alpha-\beta} + y^{1/q})^{-r} \mu, \quad (18)$$

where  $O(1)$  depends only on  $r, k$  and  $\beta$ .

Now by (18),

$$\int_0^\infty Q^k(y, t) dy = \left( \int_0^{t^{(\alpha-\beta)q}} + \int_{t^{(\alpha-\beta)q}}^\infty \right) Q^k(y, t) dy \quad (19)$$

$$= O(\mu^k) \left\{ \int_0^{t^{(\alpha-\beta)q}} t^{(1-\alpha r)k} dy + \int_{t^{(\alpha-\beta)q}}^\infty t^{(1-\beta r)k} y^{-kr/q} dy \right\} \quad (20)$$

$$= O(\mu^k) t^{(1-\alpha r)k + (\alpha-\beta)q}. \quad (21)$$

On the other hand, for  $W = (t^{1-\beta r} \mu)^{q/r}$  by (18),

$$\int_0^\infty Q^k(y, t) dy = O(1) \left\{ \int_0^W dy + \int_W^\infty t^{(1-\beta r)k} \mu^k y^{-kr/q} dy \right\} \quad (22)$$

$$= O(W) = O(\mu^{q/r}) t^{(1-\beta r)q/r}. \quad (23)$$

By (21), for  $V = \mu^{1/(\alpha r - 1)}$ ,

$$J_1 \equiv \int_V^\infty t^\delta dt \int_0^\infty Q^k(y, t) dy = O(\mu^k) \int_V^\infty t^{\delta + (1-\alpha r)k + (\alpha-\beta)q} dt$$

$$= O(\mu^k) \int_V^\infty t^{\alpha p - 2 - (\beta p - 1)_+ - (\alpha r - 1)k} dt.$$

Hence

$$J_1 = O(\mu^k) V^{\alpha p - 1 - (\beta p - 1)_+ - (\alpha r - 1)k} = O(\mu^{\theta_1}). \quad (24)$$

If  $V \leq 1$ , this completes the proof. Otherwise, assume that  $V > 1$  and  $\theta_1 > \theta_2$ . By (23)

$$\begin{aligned} J_2 &\equiv \int_1^V t^\delta dt \int_0^\infty Q^k(y, t) dy = \theta(\mu^{q/r}) \int_1^V t^{\delta + (1 - \beta r)q/r} dt \\ &= \theta(\mu^{q/r}) \int_1^V t^{\alpha p - 2 - (\beta p - 1)_+ - (\alpha r - 1)q/r} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\theta_1 > \theta_2$ ,

$$J_2 = O(\mu^{q/r}) V^{\alpha p - 1 - (\beta p - 1)_+ - (\alpha r - 1)q/r} = O(\mu^{\theta_1}). \quad (25)$$

From (24) and (25), if  $\theta_1 > \theta_2$  then

$$J = J_1 + J_2 = O(\mu^{\theta_1}), \quad (26)$$

and if  $\theta_1 < \theta_2$ , then by (23)

$$\begin{aligned} J &= O(\mu^{q/r}) \int_1^\infty t^{\alpha p - 2 - (\alpha - \beta)q - (\beta p - 1)_+ + q/r - \beta q} dt \\ &= O(\mu^{q/r}) \int_1^\infty t^{\alpha p - 2 - (\alpha r - 1)q/r - (\beta p - 1)_+} dt \\ &= O(\mu^{q/r}) = O(\mu^{\theta_2}). \end{aligned}$$

### 3. Proof of Theorem 1

Put  $M_t = \overline{S_t t^{-\beta}}$  and  $m_t = \overline{X_t t^{-\beta}}$ . Note that for any positive integer  $k$ ,

$$(2k)^{-q} E \left( M_t - \frac{t^{\alpha - \beta}}{2k} \right)_+^q = \int_0^\infty P \left\{ M_t > 2k \left( \frac{t^{\alpha - \beta}}{2k} + y^{1/q} \right) \right\} dy \quad (27)$$

$$\leq \int_0^\infty P \left( m_t > \frac{t^{\alpha - \beta}}{2k} + y^{1/q} \right) dy + \int_0^\infty P^k \left( M_t > \frac{t^{\alpha - \beta}}{2k} + y^{1/q} \right) dy \quad (28)$$

by Lemma 2. Put  $\delta = \alpha p - 2 - (\alpha - \beta)q - (\beta p - 1)_+$  and  $k = [\theta] + 1$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} (2k)^{-q} K &\leq \int_1^\infty t^\delta E \left( m_t - \frac{t^{\alpha - \beta}}{2k} \right)_+^q dt + \int_1^\infty t^\delta dt \int_0^\infty P^k \left( M_t > \frac{t^{\alpha - \beta}}{2k} + y^{1/q} \right) dy \\ &= K_1 + K_2. \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

Assume that  $\beta p = 1$  and  $p > q$ , or that  $\beta p \neq 1$  and  $p = q$ . Then for some  $O(1)$  depending only on  $p, q, r, \alpha, \beta$  and by Lemma 1,

$$K_1 = O(1) E X_+^p \log(e + X), \quad (30)$$

and by Lemma 3,

$$K_2 = O(1)E^\theta|X|^r. \quad (31)$$

Hence for some constant  $C = C(p, q, r, \alpha, \beta)$

$$K \leq (2k)^q(K_1 + K_2) \leq C\{EX_+^p \log(e + X) + E^\theta|X|^r\}, \quad (32)$$

yielding part (ii). Similarly for parts (i) and (iii).

### Acknowledgement

This work has been done at National Central University with the support of N.S.C. of R.O.C.

### References

- Chow, Y. S. (1988). On the rate of moment convergence of sample sums and extremes. *Bull. Inst. Math. Academia Sinica* **16**, 177-201.
- Chow, Y. S. and Lai, T. L. (1978). Paley-type inequalities and convergence rates related to the law of large numbers and extended renewal theory. *Z. Wahrsch. verw. Gebiete* **45**, 1-19.
- Hsu, P. L. and Robbins, H. (1947). Complete convergence and the law of large numbers. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **33**, 25-31.
- Katz, M. L. (1963). The probability in the tail of a distribution. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **34**, 312-318.

Department of Statistics, National Central University, Chungli, Taiwan.  
and

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Columbia University, New York, NY 10027, U.S.A.

(Received April 1992; accepted July 1992)