

Efficient Estimation and Computation
in Generalized Varying Coefficient Models with Unknown
Link and Variance Functions for Larg-Scale Data

Huazhen Lin^{1*}, Jiaxin Liu¹, Haoqi Li², Lixian Pan¹ and Yi Li³

Southwestern University of Finance and Economics, China¹

Yangtze Normal University, China²

University of Michigan, USA³

Supplementary Material

S1 Notation

We denote by β, g and V the true coefficient, link and variance functions, respectively, and $\tilde{V}(\mu) = V(\mu) + \mu^2$. Denote $\dot{f}(x) = df(x)/dx$ and $\ddot{f}(x) = d^2f(x)/dx^2$ for any $f(\cdot)$. Let $\zeta(u) = (\zeta_1(u), \zeta_2(u), \dots, \zeta_d(u))'$, $\gamma(u) = (\gamma_1(u), \gamma_2(u), \dots, \gamma_d(u))'$, $\delta(u) = (\zeta'(u), \gamma'(u))'$, $\mathbf{g}(z) = (g_1(z), g_2(z))'$, $\mathbf{V}(\omega) = (V_1(\omega), V_2(\omega))'$, where u, z, ω are defined in Section 2.3. Let I_d be a $d \times d$

identity matrix and $\mathbf{0}_d$ be a $d \times d$ matrix with all entries being 0.

Define

$$\mathcal{C}_d = \{\zeta(\cdot) : \zeta(\cdot) \text{ is continuous on } [-1, 1], \text{ satisfying } \|\zeta(-1)\| = 1, \zeta_1(-1) > 0\},$$

$$\mathcal{C}_1 = \{g_1(\cdot) : g_1(\cdot) \text{ is continuous on } [-1, 1]\},$$

$$\mathcal{C}_2 = \{V_1(\cdot) : V_1(\cdot) > 0 \text{ and } V_1(\cdot) \text{ is continuous on } [-1, 1]\}.$$

The following operators are used in Theorem 2:

$$H_g(q)(u) = E\left(\mathbf{X}_i q \{\mathbf{X}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}(U_i)\} \times \dot{g}\{\mathbf{X}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}(U_i)\} / V[g\{\mathbf{X}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}(U_i)\}] \Big| U_i = u\right) f_1(u), \quad \forall q \in \mathcal{C}_1;$$

$$H_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\mathbf{q})(u) = E\left(\mathbf{X}_i [\dot{g}\{\mathbf{X}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}(U_i)\}]^2 \mathbf{X}'_i \mathbf{q}(U_i) / V[g\{\mathbf{X}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}(U_i)\}] \Big| U_i = u\right) f_1(u), \quad \forall \mathbf{q} \in \mathcal{C}_d;$$

$$H_{\boldsymbol{\beta}g}(\mathbf{q})(z) = E\left(\mathbf{X}'_i \mathbf{q}(U_i) \dot{g}\{\mathbf{X}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}(U_i)\} / V[g\{\mathbf{X}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}(U_i)\}] \Big| \mathbf{X}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}(U_i) = z\right) f_2(z), \quad \forall \mathbf{q} \in \mathcal{C}_d;$$

$$H_{\boldsymbol{\beta}V}(\mathbf{q})(\omega) = E\left(\dot{V}[g\{\mathbf{X}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}(U_i)\}] [g\{\mathbf{X}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}(U_i)\} - g\{\mathbf{X}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}(U_i) + \mathbf{X}'_i \mathbf{q}(U_i)\}] \Big| g\{\mathbf{X}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}(U_i)\} = \omega\right) \\ \times f_3(\omega), \quad \forall \mathbf{q} \in \mathcal{C}_d;$$

$$H_{gV}(q)(\omega) = E\left(q\{\mathbf{X}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}(U_i)\} \dot{V}[g\{\mathbf{X}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}(U_i)\}] \Big| g\{\mathbf{X}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}(U_i)\} = \omega\right) f_3(\omega), \quad \forall q \in \mathcal{C}_1;$$

where f_1 , f_2 and f_3 are the density function of random variable U , $\mathbf{X}'\boldsymbol{\beta}(U)$

and $g(\mathbf{X}'\boldsymbol{\beta}(U))$, respectively. Define an operator matrix Ψ such that

$$\Psi(\mathbf{q}_1, q_2, q_3)(u, z, \omega) = \begin{pmatrix} H_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\mathbf{q}_1)(u) & H_g(q_2)(u) & 0 \\ H_{\boldsymbol{\beta}g}(\mathbf{q}_1)(z) & f_2(z)/V\{g(z)\} & 0 \\ H_{\boldsymbol{\beta}V}(\mathbf{q}_1)(\omega) & H_{gV}(q_3)(\omega) & f_1(\omega) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Denote by $\mu_2 = \int_0^1 x^2 K(x) dx$, $B(u, z, \omega) := (B'_d(u), B'_{d+1}(z), B'_{d+2}(\omega))'$

where $B_d(u) = \frac{1}{2}E\left(\mathbf{X}'_i\ddot{\beta}(u)\mathbf{X}_i\left[\dot{g}\{\mathbf{X}'_i\beta(U_i)\}\right]^2/V[g\{\mathbf{X}'_i\beta(U_i)\}]\mid U_i = u\right)f_1(u)\mu_2$,
 $B_{d+1}(z) = \frac{1}{2}\mu_2\ddot{g}(z)f_2(z)E(V^{-1}[g\{\mathbf{X}'_i\beta(U_i)\}]\mid \mathbf{X}'_i\beta(U_i) = z)$ and $B_{d+2}(\omega) =$
 $\frac{1}{2}\mu_2\{\ddot{V}(\omega) + 2\}f_3(\omega)$.

Let $\nu = \int_{-1}^1 K^2(x)dx$. Then we can define $\mathbf{M}(u, z, \omega) = (M_{k,j}(u, z, \omega))$

to be a semipositive definite matrix with the following elements

$$\begin{aligned} M_{d,d}(u, z) &= E\left[\mathbf{X}_i\mathbf{X}_i\frac{\dot{g}^2\{\mathbf{X}'_i\beta(U_i)\}}{V[g\{\mathbf{X}'_i\beta(U_i)\}]} \mid U_i = u\right]\nu f_1(u), \\ M_{d,d+1}(u, z) &= E\left[\mathbf{X}_i\frac{\dot{g}\{\mathbf{X}'_i\beta(U_i)\}}{V[g\{\mathbf{X}'_i\beta(U_i)\}]} \mid \mathbf{X}'_i\beta(U_i) = z\right]f_2(z)f_1(u), \\ M_{d+1,d+1}(u, z) &= \frac{\nu f_2(z)}{V\{g(z)\}}, \\ M_{d+2,d+2}(\omega) &= E\left[\left(Y_i^2 - V[g\{\mathbf{X}'_i\beta(U_i)\}] - g^2\{\mathbf{X}'_i\beta(U_i)\}\right)^2 \mid g\{\mathbf{X}'_i\beta(U_i)\} = \omega\right]\nu f_3(\omega), \\ M_{d,d+2}(u, \omega) &= E\left\{\left(Y_i^2 - V[g\{\mathbf{X}'_i\beta(U_i)\}] - g^2\{\mathbf{X}'_i\beta(U_i)\}\right)\left[Y_i - g\{\mathbf{X}'_i\beta(U_i)\}\right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \frac{\mathbf{X}_i\dot{g}\{\mathbf{X}'_i\beta(U_i)\}}{V[g\{\mathbf{X}'_i\beta(U_i)\}]} \mid g\{\mathbf{X}'_i\beta(U_i)\} = \omega\right\}f_1(u)f_3(\omega), \\ M_{d+1,d+2}(z, \omega) &= E\left\{\left(Y_i^2 - V[g\{\mathbf{X}'_i\beta(U_i)\}] - g^2\{\mathbf{X}'_i\beta(U_i)\}\right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \frac{[Y_i - g\{\mathbf{X}'_i\beta(U_i)\}]}{V[g\{\mathbf{X}'_i\beta(U_i)\}]} \mid g\{\mathbf{X}'_i\beta(U_i)\} = \omega\right\}f_2(z)f_3(\omega). \end{aligned}$$

The following notation is used in Theorem 3. We define a 2×2 operator matrix Ψ_1 such that $\Psi_1(\mathbf{q}_1, q_2)(u, z) = \begin{pmatrix} H_\beta(\mathbf{q}_1)(u) & H_g(q_2)(u) \\ H_{\beta g}(\mathbf{q}_1)(z) & f_2(z)/V\{g(z)\} \end{pmatrix}$. For any vector of function $\boldsymbol{\psi}'(u, z, \omega) = (\{\psi(u)\}_{j=1}^d, \psi(z))'$, due to linearity of Ψ_1 , the following functions are well-defined: $(\{\phi(u)\}_{j=1}^d, \phi(z)) =$

$\boldsymbol{\psi}(u, z)\Psi_1^{-1}$. Let σ_v^2 be

$$\sigma_v^2 = E \left(\left[\dot{g} \{ \mathbf{X}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}(U_i) \} \sum_{j=1}^d X_{ij} \phi_j(U_i) + \phi_g \{ \mathbf{X}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}(U_i) \} \right]^2 / V [g \{ \mathbf{X}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}(U_i) \}] \right).$$

We first introduce two Lemmas, which are useful to prove Theorems.

Lemma 1 *Under (A1)-(A4) and suppose that $g(x, y, z)$ is a bounded and continuous function, then*

$$\sup_{x \in [-1, 1]} |c_n(x) - Ec_n(x)| = O_p((\log n)^{1/2}(nh)^{-1/2}),$$

where $c_n(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(X_i, (X_i - x)/h, x) K_h(X_i - x)$.

This Lemma is similar to Lemma 4 of Chen et al. (2010) and follows from Theorem 37 and Example 38 in Chapter 2 of Pollard (1984).

Note that Theorem 37 of Pollard (1984) requires bounded random functions, which is no longer valid, for example, when Y_i is unbounded. To solve this problem, we need to introduce another concentration inequality for unbounded random variables in a Hilbert space (Pinelis and Sakhanenko, 1985).

Lemma 2 *Let ξ_i ($i = 1, \dots, n$) be independent random variables with values in a Hilbert space such that $\mathbb{E}\xi_i = 0$. If for some constants $M, V > 0$, the bound $\mathbb{E}\|\xi_i\|^\ell \leq \frac{1}{2}\ell!M^{\ell-2}V$ holds for every $2 \leq \ell < \infty$, then*

$$\text{Prob} \left\{ \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i \right\| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \leq 2 \exp \left\{ -\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2(\varepsilon M + Vm)} \right\} \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0.$$

S2 Proofs of Theorems 1-3

Proof of Theorem 1. For any vector functions $\boldsymbol{\delta}(\cdot)$, $\mathbf{g}(\cdot)$ and $\mathbf{V}(\cdot)$, set

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_{\beta}(\boldsymbol{\delta}, \mathbf{g}, V_1; u) &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(Y_i - g_1 \left[\mathbf{X}'_i \{ \boldsymbol{\zeta}(u) + \boldsymbol{\gamma}(u)(U_i - u) \} \right] \right) / V_1 [g_1 \{ \mathbf{X}'_i \boldsymbol{\zeta}(U_i) \}] \\
 &\quad \times \Upsilon_i(u) g_2 \{ \mathbf{X}'_i \boldsymbol{\zeta}(U_i) \} K_{h_1}(U_i - u), \\
 S_g(\boldsymbol{\zeta}, \mathbf{g}, V_1; z) &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left[Y_i - g_1(z) - g_2(z) \{ \mathbf{X}'_i \boldsymbol{\zeta}(U_i) - z \} \right] / V_1 [g_1 \{ \mathbf{X}'_i \boldsymbol{\zeta}(U_i) \}] \\
 &\quad \times K_{h_2} \{ \mathbf{X}'_i \boldsymbol{\zeta}(U_i) - z \} W_i(\boldsymbol{\zeta}; z), \\
 S_V(\boldsymbol{\zeta}, g_1, \mathbf{V}; \omega) &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(Y_i^2 - \{ V_1(\omega) + \omega^2 \} - V_2(\omega) [g_1 \{ \mathbf{X}'_i \boldsymbol{\zeta}(U_i) \} - \omega] \right) \Omega_i(\omega; \boldsymbol{\zeta}, g_1) \\
 &\quad \times K_{h_3} \left(g_1 \{ \mathbf{X}'_i \boldsymbol{\zeta}(U_i) \} - \omega \right),
 \end{aligned}$$

where $W_i(\boldsymbol{\zeta}; z)$, $\Upsilon_i(u)$ and $\Omega_i(\omega; \boldsymbol{\zeta}, g)$ is defined in Section 2. Then the deterministic terms of $S_{\beta}(\boldsymbol{\delta}, \mathbf{g}, V_1; u)$, $S_g(\boldsymbol{\zeta}, \mathbf{g}, V_1; z)$ and $S_V(\boldsymbol{\zeta}, g_1, \mathbf{V}; \omega)$ are given by $\mathbf{s}_{\beta}(\boldsymbol{\zeta}, \mathbf{g}, V_1; u)$, $\mathbf{s}_g(\boldsymbol{\zeta}, g_1, V_1; z)$ and $\mathbf{s}_V(\boldsymbol{\zeta}, g_1, V_1; \omega)$ which are defined in Section 3, respectively.

Denote $S_{\beta 1}(\boldsymbol{\delta}, \mathbf{g}, V_1; u)$ and $S_{\beta 2}(\boldsymbol{\delta}, \mathbf{g}, V_1; u)$ be the first and last d component of S_{β} , respectively. Define

$$\mathbf{S}(\boldsymbol{\delta}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{V}; u, z, \omega) = \begin{pmatrix} (S_{\beta 1}(\boldsymbol{\delta}, \mathbf{g}, V_1; u), S_{\beta 2}(\boldsymbol{\delta}, \mathbf{g}, V_1; u)) \\ S_g(\boldsymbol{\zeta}, \mathbf{g}, V_1; z)' \\ S_V(\boldsymbol{\zeta}, g_1, \mathbf{V}; \omega)' \end{pmatrix},$$

Then, the proposed iterative algorithm, under model (1.1), leads to

$\mathbf{S}([\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}, \widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}], \widehat{\mathbf{g}}, \widehat{\mathbf{V}}; u, z, \omega) = 0$, and the model show that $\mathbf{s}(\boldsymbol{\beta}, [g, \dot{g}_2], V; u, z, \omega) = 0$ for any bounded function g_2 .

Define

$$\mathcal{B}_n^d = \{f : \|f\|_\infty \leq C, \|f(z_1) - f(z_2)\| \leq c\|z_1 - z_2\| + b_{1n}, z_1, z_2 \in [-1, 1]^d\},$$

$$\mathcal{B}_n^{d+1} = \{f : \|f\|_\infty \leq C, \|f(z_1) - f(z_2)\| \leq c\|z_1 - z_2\| + b_{2n}, z_1, z_2 \in [-1, 1]\},$$

$$\mathcal{B}_n^{d+2} = \{f : \|f\|_\infty \leq C, \|f(z_1) - f(z_2)\| \leq c\|z_1 - z_2\| + b_{3n}, z_1, z_2 \in [-1, 1]\},$$

for some constants $C > 0$ and $c > 0$, where $b_{1n} = \{h_1 + (nh_1)^{-1/2}(\log n)^{1/2}\}$,

$$b_{2n} = \{h_2 + (nh_2)^{-1/2}(\log n)^{1/2}\}, b_{3n} = \{h_3 + (nh_3)^{-1/2}(\log n)^{1/2}\}.$$

To show the uniform consistency of $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$, $\widehat{\mathbf{g}}$ and \widehat{V} , it suffices to prove the following:

(i) For any continuous function vectors $\boldsymbol{\zeta}$, g_1 , V_1 and bounded functions γ , g_2 , \dot{V}_1 ,

$$\sup_{u, z, \omega \in [-1, 1]^3} \|\mathbf{S}(\boldsymbol{\delta}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{V}; u, z, \omega) - \mathbf{s}(\boldsymbol{\zeta}, \mathbf{g}, V_1; u, z, \omega)(1, 0)\| = o_p(1).$$

(ii) $\sup_{u, z, \omega \in [-1, 1]^3} \|\mathbf{S}(\boldsymbol{\delta}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{V}; u, z, \omega) - \mathbf{s}(\boldsymbol{\zeta}, \mathbf{g}, V_1; u, z, \omega)(1, 0)\| = o_p(1)$ uniformly holds over $\boldsymbol{\zeta} \in \mathcal{B}_n^d$, $g_1 \in \mathcal{B}_n^1$, $V_1 \in \mathcal{B}_n^2$ and bounded γ , g_2 , \dot{V}_1 .

$$(iii) P\{\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \in \mathcal{B}_n^d, \widehat{\mathbf{g}} \in \mathcal{B}_n^{d+1}, \widehat{V} \in \mathcal{B}_n^{d+2}\} \rightarrow 1.$$

Once (i)-(iii) are established, applying the Arzela-Ascoli theorem in \mathcal{B}_n^k ($k = d, d+1, d+2$) for all the estimators, we can show that for any subsequence

of $\{\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}, \widehat{g}, \widehat{V}\}$, there exists convergence subsequences $\{\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}, \widehat{g}, \widehat{V}\}_{nk}$, such that uniformly over $u \in [-1, 1]$, $z \in [-1, 1]$ and $\omega \in [-1, 1]$, $\{\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}, \widehat{g}, \widehat{V}\}_{nk} \rightarrow \{\boldsymbol{\beta}^*, g^*, V^*\}$ in probability, and it follows that $\boldsymbol{\beta}^* \in \mathcal{C}_d$ and $g^* \in \mathcal{C}_1, V^* \in \mathcal{C}_2$, where \mathcal{C} is the continuous function class. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{s}(\boldsymbol{\beta}^*, [g^*, \widehat{g}], V^*; u, z, \omega)(1, 0) &= \mathbf{s}(\boldsymbol{\beta}^*, [g^*, \widehat{g}], V^*; u, z, \omega)(1, 0) - \mathbf{s}(\{\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}, \widehat{\mathbf{g}}, \widehat{V}\}_{nk}; u, z, \omega)(1, 0) \\ &\quad + \mathbf{s}(\{\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}, \widehat{\mathbf{g}}, \widehat{V}\}_{nk}; u, z, \omega)(1, 0) - \mathbf{S}(\{\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}, \widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}, \widehat{\mathbf{g}}, \widehat{V}\}_{nk}; u, z, \omega). \end{aligned}$$

It also follows from (ii) and (iii) that $\mathbf{s}(\boldsymbol{\beta}^*, [g^*, \widehat{g}], V^*; u, z, \omega) = 0$ over $u \in [-1, 1]$, $z \in [-1, 1]$ and $\omega \in [-1, 1]$. Since $\mathbf{s}(\boldsymbol{\zeta}, [g_1, \widehat{g}], V; u, z, \omega) = 0$ has a unique root at $[\boldsymbol{\beta}, g, V]$, we have $[\boldsymbol{\beta}, g, V] = [\boldsymbol{\beta}^*, g^*, V^*]$, which ensures the uniform consistency of $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$, \widehat{g} and \widehat{V} . This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

Proof of (i). We only give the proof of $\|S_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{\delta}, \mathbf{g}, V_1; u) - (I_d, \mathbf{0}_d)' \mathbf{s}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{\zeta}, \mathbf{g}, V_1; u)\|$.

Similar arguments result in the conclusions about $S_g(\boldsymbol{\zeta}, \mathbf{g}, V_1; z)$ and $S_V(\boldsymbol{\zeta}, g_1, \mathbf{V}; \omega)$.

To estimate $S_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{\delta}, \mathbf{g}, V_1; u) - (I_d, \mathbf{0}_d)' \mathbf{s}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{\zeta}, \mathbf{g}, V_1; u)$, we consider the following decomposition:

$$\begin{aligned} &S_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{\delta}, \mathbf{g}, V_1; u) - (I_d, \mathbf{0}_d)' \mathbf{s}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{\zeta}, \mathbf{g}, V_1; u) \\ &= \{S_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{\delta}, \mathbf{g}, V_1; u) - S_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}([\boldsymbol{\zeta}, 0], \mathbf{g}, V_1; u)\} + \{S_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}([\boldsymbol{\zeta}, 0], \mathbf{g}, V_1; u) - \widetilde{\mathbf{s}}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{\zeta}, \mathbf{g}, V_1; u)\} \\ &\quad + [\widetilde{\mathbf{s}}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{\zeta}, \mathbf{g}, V_1; u) - (I_d, \mathbf{0}_d)' \mathbf{s}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{\zeta}, \mathbf{g}, V_1; u)] \\ &\equiv I_1 + I_2 + I_3, \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{\mathbf{s}}_\beta$ is the mean of $S_\beta([\boldsymbol{\zeta}, 0], \mathbf{g}, V_1; u)$.

First we consider I_1 . Let $\mathbf{w}_{g_1}(h)$ be the modulus of continuity of g_1 . Observing that

$$\|I_1\| \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{w}_{g_1} \left[\mathbf{X}'_i \{ \boldsymbol{\gamma}(\mathbf{u})(U_i - u) \} \right] \|\Upsilon_i(u)\| \times |g_2 \{ \mathbf{X}'_i \boldsymbol{\zeta}(U_i) \}| \frac{K_{h_1}(U_i - u)}{V_1 [g_1 \{ \mathbf{X}'_i \boldsymbol{\zeta}(U_i) \}]}.$$

For any given $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and any bounded function $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$, note that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{w}_{g_1} \left[\mathbf{X}'_i \{ \boldsymbol{\gamma}(u)(U_i - u) \} \right] \|\Upsilon_i(u)\| \times |g_2 \{ \mathbf{X}'_i \boldsymbol{\zeta}(U_i) \}| \frac{K_{h_1}(U_i - u)}{V_1 [g_1 \{ \mathbf{X}'_i \boldsymbol{\zeta}(U_i) \}]} \\ & \leq \mathbf{w}_{g_1} \left[\mathbf{X}'_i \{ \mathbf{C}(U_i - u) \} \right] \|\Upsilon_i(u)\| \times |g_2 \{ \mathbf{X}'_i \boldsymbol{\zeta}(U_i) \}| \frac{K_{h_1}(U_i - u)}{V_1 [g_1 \{ \mathbf{X}'_i \boldsymbol{\zeta}(U_i) \}]} \end{aligned}$$

where \mathbf{C} is a constant vector. And it is easy to show that

$$\int_{-1}^1 \mathbf{w}_{g_1}(U_i - u) K_{h_1}(U_i - u) f_1(U_i) dU_i = O_p \{ \mathbf{w}_{g_1}(h_1) \}.$$

for $u \in [-1, 1]$.

For any bounded functions $\boldsymbol{\zeta}$ and \mathbf{g} , it follows that

$$E(\|I_1\|) \leq O_p \{ \mathbf{w}_{g_1}(h_1) \}.$$

Hence, Lemma 1 implies that, for any given continuous functions $\boldsymbol{\zeta}$ and \mathbf{g} ,

$$\sup_{u \in [-1, 1]} \|I_1\| = O_p(\mathbf{w}_{g_1}(h_1)) + \mathcal{O}_p((\log n)^{1/2} (nh_1)^{-1/2}) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (\text{S2.1})$$

To estimate I_2 , it suffices to verify the conditions given in Lemma 2.

Condition (A1) means that K_{h_1} lies in a Sobolev space denoted by H^2 with

the property: $\|f\|_\infty \leq c\|f\|_{\mathbb{H}^2}$, for any $f \in \mathbb{H}^2$. Then using Condition (A3), we have

$$\sup_{u \in [-1, 1]} \|I_2\| \leq O_p((\log n)^{1/2}(nh_1)^{-1/2}). \quad (\text{S2.2})$$

Next consider I_3 . By replacing $\zeta(u)$ with $\zeta(U_i)$ in $\tilde{\mathbf{s}}_\beta(\zeta, \mathbf{g}, V_1; u)$, a difference controlled by $\mathbf{w}_{(g_1 \circ \zeta)}$ is caused for all $u \in [-1, 1]$ we note that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{[-1, 1]} \mathbf{X}'_i \left[g\{\mathbf{X}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}(U_i)\} - g_1\{\mathbf{X}'_i \boldsymbol{\zeta}(U_i)\} \right] \\ & \quad \times \frac{g_2\{\mathbf{X}'_i \boldsymbol{\zeta}(U_i)\}}{V_1[g_1\{\mathbf{X}'_i \boldsymbol{\zeta}(U_i)\}]} K_{h_1}(U_i - u) dF(U_i) \\ & = \int_{-1}^1 H(u_j) K_{h_1}(u_j - u) f_1(u_j) du_j \rightarrow \mathbf{s}_\beta(\zeta, \mathbf{g}, V_1; u) \text{ as } h_1 \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

where F is the joint distribution function of U_i ,

$$H(u_j) = E \left(\mathbf{X}'_i \left[g\{\mathbf{X}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}(U_i)\} - g_1\{\mathbf{X}'_i \boldsymbol{\zeta}(U_i)\} \right] \times \left(g_2\{\mathbf{X}'_i \boldsymbol{\zeta}(U_i)\} / V_1[g_1\{\mathbf{X}'_i \boldsymbol{\zeta}(U_i)\}] \right) \Big| U_i = u_j \right).$$

By Lemma 1, it can be shown that

$$\sup_{u \in [-1, 1]} \|I_3\| = O_p\{\mathbf{w}_{(g_1 \circ \zeta)}(h_1)\}. \quad (\text{S2.3})$$

We complete the proof of (i) by combining (S2.1), (S2.2) with (S2.3).

Proof of (ii). Noting that u, z, ω are bounded, the arguments used to prove (ii) is essentially the same as those in Chen et al. (2010).

Proof of (iii). We only give the proof for $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \in \mathcal{B}_n^d$.

Given any $u_1, u_2 \in [-1, 1]$ with $|u_1 - u_2| \leq h_1$. Since $S_\beta(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}, \hat{\mathbf{g}}, \hat{V}; u_1) = 0$ and $S_\beta(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}, \hat{\mathbf{g}}, \hat{V}; u_2) = 0$, by the Taylor expansion and Condition (A1) it

follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
& S_\beta(\widehat{\beta}, \widehat{\mathbf{g}}, \widehat{V}; u_1) - S_\beta(\widehat{\beta}, \widehat{\mathbf{g}}, \widehat{V}; u_2) \\
&= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{X}'_i \left(Y_i - \widehat{g}_1 \left[\mathbf{X}'_i \{ \widehat{\beta}(u_1) + \widehat{\beta}(u_1)(U_i - u_1) \} \right] \right) \frac{\widehat{g}_2 \{ \mathbf{X}'_i \widehat{\beta}(U_i) \}}{\widehat{V} \left[\widehat{g}_1 \{ \mathbf{X}'_i \widehat{\beta}(U_i) \} \right]} \\
&\quad \times [K_{h_1}(U_i - u_1) - K_{h_1}(U_i - u_2)] + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left\{ \mathbf{X}'_i \widehat{g}_2 \left[\mathbf{X}'_i \{ \widehat{\beta}(u_1) + \widehat{\beta}(u_1)(U_i - u_1) \} \right] \right. \\
&\quad \times \left. \left(\mathbf{X}'_i \left[\widehat{\beta}(u_2) - \widehat{\beta}(u_1) + (\widehat{\beta}(u_2) - \widehat{\beta}(u_1))(U_i - u_1) - \widehat{\beta}(u_2)(u_2 - u_1) \right] \right) \right\} \frac{\widehat{g}_2 \{ \mathbf{X}'_i \widehat{\beta}(U_i) \}}{\widehat{V} \left[\widehat{g}_1 \{ \mathbf{X}'_i \widehat{\beta}(U_i) \} \right]} \\
&\quad \times \{ K_{h_1}(U_i - u_2) \} + O \left((u_2 - u_1)^2 + \left[\widehat{\beta}(u_2) - \widehat{\beta}(u_1) \right]^2 + b_{1n} \{ \widehat{\beta}(u_2) - \widehat{\beta}(u_1) \} + b_{1n}^2 \right),
\end{aligned}$$

By the similar discussion in Cai et al. (2000), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& S_\beta(\widehat{\beta}, \widehat{\mathbf{g}}, \widehat{V}; u_1) - S_\beta(\widehat{\beta}, \widehat{\mathbf{g}}, \widehat{V}; u_2) \\
&= O_p(u_2 - u_1) + O_p \left(\mathbf{X}'_i \{ \widehat{\beta}(u_2) - \widehat{\beta}(u_1) \} + \widehat{\beta}(u_2)(u_2 - u_1) \right) + O_p \left(b_n \{ \widehat{\beta}(u_2) - \widehat{\beta}(u_1) \} \right) \\
&\quad + O \left((u_2 - u_1)^2 + \left[\widehat{\beta}(u_2) - \widehat{\beta}(u_1) \right]^2 + b_{1n} \{ \widehat{\beta}(u_2) - \widehat{\beta}(u_1) \} + b_{1n}^2 \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Note that $\widehat{\beta}$ is bounded, (iii) is held immediately.

Proof of Theorem 2. For convenience of notation, denote

$$\begin{aligned}
a_n &= \max_{1 \leq k \leq 3} \{h_k^2 + (nh_k)^{-1/2}(\log n)^{1/2}\}, \quad c_{1n} = \sup_{u \in [-1,1]} \|\widehat{\beta}(u) - \beta(u)\|, \\
c_{2n} &= \sup_{u \in [-1,1]} \|h_1 \widehat{\beta}(u) - h_1 \dot{\beta}(u)\|, \quad d_{1n} = \sup_{z \in [-1,1]} |\widehat{g}(z) - g(z)|, \\
d_{2n} &= \sup_{z \in [-1,1]} |h_2 \widehat{g}(z) - h_2 \dot{g}(z)|, \quad e_{1n} = \sup_{\omega \in [-1,1]} |\widehat{V}^{-1}(\omega) - V^{-1}(\omega)|, \\
d_{3n} &= \sup_{z \in [-1,1]} |\widehat{g}(z) - \dot{g}(z)|, \quad e_{2n} = \sup_{\omega \in [-1,1]} |h_3 \widehat{V}^{-1}(\omega) - h_3 \dot{V}^{-1}(\omega)|, \\
a_{kn} &= h_k^2 + (nh_k)^{-1/2}(\log n)^{1/2}, \quad b_{kn} = \{h_k + (nh_k)^{-1/2}(\log n)^{1/2}\}, \text{ for } k = 1, 2, 3; \\
\mu_2 &= \int_0^1 x^2 K(x) dx, \quad \mathbb{Q} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_2 \end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

First, we claim that uniformly over $u \in [-1, 1]$ and let S_{β_1} be the first d component of S_{β} . We have

$$\begin{aligned}
& S_{\beta_1}([\widehat{\beta}, \widehat{\dot{\beta}}], [\widehat{g}, \widehat{\dot{g}}], \widehat{V}; u) - S_{\beta_1}([\beta, \dot{\beta}], [g, \dot{g}], V; u) \\
&= -\left\{ H_{\beta}(\widehat{\beta} - \beta)(u) + H_g(\widehat{g} - g)(u) \right\} + O_p \left[(c_{1n} + e_{1n} + d_{1n})a_{1n} + c_{1n}(e_{1n} + d_{1n} + c_{1n}) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + c_{2n}b_{1n} + d_{2n}c_{1n} + d_{1n}(e_{1n} + d_{1n} + d_{2n}) + d_{2n}b_{1n} \right],
\end{aligned} \tag{S2.4}$$

where H_{β} is an integral-type map from \mathcal{C}_d to \mathcal{C}_1 and H_g is an integral operator on \mathcal{C}_1 , both of which are defined in 1 Notations.

To prove (S2.4), we write

$$S_{\beta_1}([\widehat{\beta}, \widehat{\dot{\beta}}], [\widehat{g}, \widehat{\dot{g}}], \widehat{V}; x) - S_{\beta_1}([\beta, \dot{\beta}], [g, \dot{g}], V; u) \equiv J_1 + J_2 + J_3$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 &= S_{\beta_1}([\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}], [\hat{g}, \hat{g}], \hat{V}; u) - S_{\beta_1}([\boldsymbol{\beta}, \dot{\boldsymbol{\beta}}], [\hat{g}, \hat{g}], \hat{V}; u), \\ J_2 &= S_{\beta_1}([\boldsymbol{\beta}, \dot{\boldsymbol{\beta}}], [\hat{g}, \hat{g}], \hat{V}; u) - S_{\beta_1}([\boldsymbol{\beta}, \dot{\boldsymbol{\beta}}], [g, \dot{g}], \hat{V}; u), \\ J_3 &= S_{\beta_1}([\boldsymbol{\beta}, \dot{\boldsymbol{\beta}}], [g, \dot{g}], \hat{V}; u) - S_{\beta_1}([\boldsymbol{\beta}, \dot{\boldsymbol{\beta}}], [g, \dot{g}], V; u). \end{aligned}$$

Similar to the proof of Theorem 1, we can show that $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$, \hat{g} and \hat{V} are both bounded with probability going to 1, furthermore $\|\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \dot{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\| \rightarrow 0$, $\|\hat{g} - \dot{g}\| \rightarrow 0$ and $\|\hat{V} - \dot{V}\| \rightarrow 0$. Thus, by the uniform law of large numbers and Taylor expansion of $g(\cdot)$, we conclude that

$$J_1 = -H_{\beta}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \boldsymbol{\beta})(u) + O_p\left[(c_{1n} + e_{1n} + d_{1n})a_{1n} + c_{1n}(e_{1n} + d_{1n} + c_{1n}) + c_{2n}b_{1n} + d_{2n}c_{1n}\right].$$

Similarly, we can obtain that

$$J_2 = -H_g(\hat{g} - g)(u) + O_p\left[(a_{1n} + d_{1n})(e_{1n} + d_{1n} + d_{2n}) + d_{2n}b_{1n}\right],$$

and

$$J_3 = O(a_n e_{1n}).$$

Consequently, this, together with J_1, J_2, J_3 , yields the conclusion of (S2.4).

Next we consider $S_g(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}, [\hat{g}, \hat{g}], \hat{V}; z) - S_g(\boldsymbol{\beta}, [g, \dot{g}], V; z)$, which can be decomposed as $J_4 + J_5 + J_6$, where

$$J_4 := S_g(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}, [\hat{g}, \hat{g}], \hat{V}; z) - S_g(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}, [g, \dot{g}], \hat{V}; z),$$

$$J_5 := S_g(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}, [g, \dot{g}], \widehat{V}; z) - S_g(\boldsymbol{\beta}, [g, \dot{g}], \widehat{V}; z),$$

and

$$J_6 := S_g(\boldsymbol{\beta}, [g, \dot{g}], \widehat{V}; z) - S_g(\boldsymbol{\beta}, [g, \dot{g}], V; z).$$

By a simple calculation, we can easily obtain that

$$J_4 = -\mathbb{Q} \left[\hat{g}(z) - g(z), h_2^2 \{ \hat{g}(z) - \dot{g}(z) \} \right]' f_2(z) / V \{ g(z) \} \\ + \begin{pmatrix} O_p \left[d_{1n} c_{1n} + (d_{1n} + e_{1n}) a_{2n} + d_{2n} b_{2n} + c_{1n} e_{1n} \right] \\ O_p \left[h_2 d_{2n} c_{1n} + h_2 d_{1n} b_{2n} + h_2 d_{2n} a_{2n} \right] \end{pmatrix}.$$

Similarly, we can have that

$$J_5 = -H_{\beta g}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \boldsymbol{\beta}) f_2(z) (0, 1)' + \begin{pmatrix} O_p \left\{ (e_{1n} + c_{1n}) a_{2n} + e_{1n} c_{1n} \right\} \\ O_p \left\{ h_2 c_{1n} b_{2n} + c_{1n} a_{2n} + c_{1n}^2 h_2 \right\} \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$J_6 = \begin{pmatrix} O_p(a_{2n} e_{1n}) \\ O_p(h_2 e_{1n} b_{2n}) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence, combining with J_4 , J_5 , J_6 we have that

$$S_g(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}, [\widehat{g}, \widehat{\dot{g}}], \widehat{V}; z) - S_g(\boldsymbol{\beta}, [g, \dot{g}], V; z) \\ = -\mathbb{Q} \left[\hat{g}(z) - g(z), h_2^2 \{ \hat{g}(z) - \dot{g}(z) \} \right]' f_2(z) / V \{ g(z) \} - H_{\beta g}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \boldsymbol{\beta})(z) (1, 0)' \\ + \begin{pmatrix} O_p \left[d_{1n} c_{1n} + (d_{1n} + c_{1n} + e_{1n}) a_{2n} + d_{2n} b_{2n} + c_{1n} e_{1n} \right] \\ O_p \left[h_2 d_{2n} c_{1n} + h_2 d_{1n} b_{2n} + (h_2 d_{2n} + h_{2n} c_{1n}) a_{2n} + c_{1n}^2 h_2 \right] \end{pmatrix}. \tag{S2.5}$$

Similarly, we can get

$$\begin{aligned}
& S_V(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}, \hat{g}, [\hat{V}, \hat{V}]; \omega) - S_V(\boldsymbol{\beta}, g, [V, \dot{V}]; \omega) \\
&= -\mathbb{Q} \left[\hat{V}(\omega) - V(\omega), h_3^2 \{ \hat{V}(\omega) - \dot{V}(\omega) \} \right]' f_3(\omega) - H_{\boldsymbol{\beta}V}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \boldsymbol{\beta})(1, 0)' - H_{gV}(\hat{g} - g)(1, 0)' \\
&+ \left(\begin{array}{l} O_p \{ c_{1n}(b_{3n} + d_{1n}) + (c_{1n} + d_{1n} + e_{1n})a_{3n} + (c_{1n} + d_{2n})e_{1n} + e_{2n}b_{3n} \} \\ O_p [c_{1n}h_3b_{3n} + h_3c_{1n}^2 + d_{1n}h_3b_{3n} + (c_{1n} + d_{2n})e_{2n}h_3 + h_3e_{2n}a_{3n} + h_3e_{1n}b_{3n}] \end{array} \right). \tag{S2.6}
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, using Condition (A1), Lemma 1 implies that

$$\sup_{(u, z, \omega) \in [-1, 1]^3} \|\mathbf{S}([\boldsymbol{\beta}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}], [g, \hat{g}], [V, \dot{V}]; u, z, \omega)\| = O_p(a_n).$$

Note that $\mathbf{S}([\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}], [\hat{g}, \hat{g}], [\hat{V}, \hat{V}]; u, z, \omega) = 0$. From (S2.4), we can get $c_{2n} = a_{1n} + (d_{1n} + c_{1n})b_{1n} + c_{2n}a_{1n} + e_{1n}b_{1n}$. Following the second components in (S2.5) and (S2.6), we can get $d_{2n} = a_{2n} + d_{2n}c_{1n} + d_{1n}b_{2n} + (d_{2n} + c_{1n})a_{2n} + c_{1n}^2$ and $e_{2n} = a_{3n} + c_{1n}b_{3n} + c_{1n}^2 + d_{1n}b_{3n} + (c_{1n} + d_{2n})e_{2n} + e_{2n}a_{3n} + e_{1n}b_{3n}$.

Let S_{g1} be the first component of S_g . It follows from (S2.5) that

$$\begin{aligned}
& f_2(z)/V \{g(z)\} (\hat{g} - g)(z) + (\mathbf{H}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}g})(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \boldsymbol{\beta})(z) = S_{g1}(\boldsymbol{\beta}, [g, \hat{g}], V; z) \\
&+ O_p \left[d_{1n}c_{1n} + (d_{1n} + c_{1n} + e_{1n})a_{2n} + d_{2n}b_{2n} + c_{1n}e_{1n} \right]. \tag{S2.7}
\end{aligned}$$

Let S_{v1} be the first component of S_V . It also follows from (S2.6) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_3(\omega)(\hat{V} - V)(\omega) + (\mathbf{H}_{gV})(\hat{g} - g)(\omega) + (\mathbf{H}_{\beta V})(\hat{\beta} - \beta)(\omega) &= S_{v1}(\beta, g, [V, \hat{V}]; \omega) \\
 + O_p \left\{ c_{1n}(b_{3n} + d_{1n}) + (c_{1n} + d_{1n} + e_{1n})a_{3n} + (c_{1n} + d_{2n})e_{1n} + e_{2n}b_{3n} \right\} & \\
 \cdot & \tag{S2.8}
 \end{aligned}$$

This together with (S2.4) and (S2.7), implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left[\mathbf{H}_\beta - V\{g(z)\}/f_2(z)\mathbf{H}_g \circ \mathbf{H}_{\beta g} \right] (\hat{\beta} - \beta)(u) \\
 &= S_{\beta 1}([\beta, \hat{\beta}], [g, \hat{g}], V; u) - V\{g(z)\}/f_2(z)\mathbf{H}_g \{S_{g1}(\beta, [g, \hat{g}], V; z)\}(u) \\
 & \quad + O_p \left\{ (c_{1n} + e_{1n} + d_{1n})a_{1n} + c_{1n}(e_{1n} + d_{1n} + c_{1n}) + c_{2n}b_{1n} + d_{2n}c_{1n} \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + d_{1n}(e_{1n} + d_{1n}) + a_{2n}b_{1n} + (d_{1n} + c_{1n} + e_{1n})a_{2n} + a_{2n}b_{2n} \right\}, \\
 & \tag{S2.9}
 \end{aligned}$$

which holds because \mathbf{H}_g is a bounded operator on \mathcal{C}_1 .

Following Condition (A7), $[\mathbf{H}_\beta - V\{g(z)\}/f_2(z)\mathbf{H}_g \circ \mathbf{H}_{\beta g}]^{-1}$ exists and is bounded on \mathcal{C}_d , and hence the supremum norm of the left-side hand of (S2.9) is equivalent to c_{1n} . Lemma 1 further implies that

$$\left\| S_{\beta 1}([\beta, \hat{\beta}], [g, \hat{g}], V; u) - V\{g(z)\}/f_2(z)\mathbf{H}_g \{S_{g1}(\beta, [g, \hat{g}], V; z)\} \right\| = O_p(a_{1n} + a_{2n}).$$

By (S2.9), we have $c_{1n} = O_p(a_{1n} + a_{2n}) + O_p\left\{(e_{1n} + d_{1n})a_{1n} + (d_{1n} +$

$e_{1n})a_{2n} + d_{2n}c_{1n}$ }. Consequently, we can get

$$\begin{aligned} c_{1n} &= O_p(a_{1n} + a_{2n}) = O_p(d_{1n}) \\ e_{1n} &= O_p(a_{1n} + a_{2n} + a_{3n}) = O_p(a_n). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S2.10})$$

By Condition (A7), we note that Ψ is linear and so Ψ^{-1} is linear and bounded on $\mathcal{C}_d \times \mathcal{C}_1 \times \mathcal{C}_2$. Combining (S2.10) with (S2.4), (S2.7) and (S2.8),

we have

$$\Psi \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\beta} - \beta \\ \hat{g} - g \\ \hat{V} - V \end{pmatrix} (u, z, \omega) = \begin{pmatrix} S_{\beta 1}([\beta, \dot{\beta}], [g, \dot{g}], V; u) \\ S_{g 1}(\beta, [g, \dot{g}], V; z) \\ S_{v 1}(\beta, g, [V, \dot{V}]; \omega) \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} O_p(a_{1n}a_n + a_{1n}b_{1n}) \\ O_p(a_{2n}a_n + a_{2n}b_{2n}) \\ O_p(a_{3n}a_n + a_n b_{3n}) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{S2.11})$$

On the other hand, note that $S_{\beta 1}([\beta, \dot{\beta}], [g, \dot{g}], V; u)$ can be expressed as

$$S_{\beta 1}([\beta, \dot{\beta}], [g, \dot{g}], V; u) = V_{n,d}(u) + B_{n,d}(u)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} V_{n,d}(u) &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left[Y_i - g\{\mathbf{X}'_i \beta(U_i)\} \right] \dot{g}\{\mathbf{X}'_i \beta(U_i)\} \frac{K_{h_1}(U_i - u)}{V[g\{\mathbf{X}'_i \beta(U_i)\}]} \mathbf{X}_i, \\ B_{n,d}(u) &= \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left[\dot{g}\{\mathbf{X}'_i \beta(U_i)\} \right]^2 \mathbf{X}'_i \ddot{\beta}(u)(U_i - u)^2 \frac{K_{h_1}(U_i - u)}{V[g\{\mathbf{X}'_i \beta(U_i)\}]} \mathbf{X}_i + o_p(h_1^2). \end{aligned}$$

We apply Lemma 1 to show that

$$\begin{aligned} B_{n,d}(u) &= \frac{1}{2} E \left(\mathbf{X}'_i \ddot{\beta}(u) \mathbf{X}_i \left[\dot{g}\{\mathbf{X}'_i \beta(U_i)\} \right]^2 / V[g\{\mathbf{X}'_i \beta(U_i)\}] | U_i = u \right) f_1(u) \mu_2 h_1^2 \\ &\quad + O_p(h_1^2 (nh_1)^{-1/2} (\log n)^{1/2}). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_{g1}(\boldsymbol{\beta}, [g, \hat{g}]; z) &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left[Y_i - g\{\mathbf{X}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}(U_i)\} \right] \frac{K_{h_2}\{\mathbf{X}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}(U_i) - z\}}{V[g\{\mathbf{X}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}(U_i)\}]} \\
 &\quad + B_{d+1}(z)h_2^2 + O_p(h_2^2(nh_2)^{-1/2}(\log n)^{1/2}) + o_p(h_2^2), \\
 S_{v1}(\boldsymbol{\beta}, [g, \hat{g}]; \omega) &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(Y_i^2 - V[g\{\mathbf{X}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}(U_i)\}] - g^2\{\mathbf{X}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}(U_i)\} \right) K_{h_3}[g\{\mathbf{X}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}(U_i)\} - \omega] \\
 &\quad + B_{d+2}(\omega)h_3^2 + O_p(h_3^2(nh_3)^{-1/2}(\log n)^{1/2}) + o_p(h_3^2),
 \end{aligned}$$

where $B_{d+1}(\cdot)$ and $B_{d+2}(\cdot)$ are defined in Supplementary materials A.

Define $V_{n,d+1}(z), V_{n,d+2}(\omega)$ by

$$\begin{aligned}
 V_{n,d+1}(z) &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left[Y_i - g\{\mathbf{X}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}(U_i)\} \right] \frac{K_{h_2}\{\mathbf{X}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}(U_i) - z\}}{V[g\{\mathbf{X}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}(U_i)\}]}, \\
 V_{n,d+2}(\omega) &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(Y_i^2 - V[g\{\mathbf{X}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}(U_i)\}] - g^2\{\mathbf{X}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}(U_i)\} \right) K_{h_3}[g\{\mathbf{X}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}(U_i)\} - \omega].
 \end{aligned}$$

We write $A_n(u, z, \omega) = (V_{n,d}(u), V_{n,d+1}(z), V_{n,d+2}(\omega))'$ and $B(u, z, \omega) = (B_d(u), B_{d+1}(u), B_{d+2}(u))'$.

Then it follows from (S2.11) that

$$\Psi \begin{pmatrix} \widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \boldsymbol{\beta} \\ \widehat{g} - g \\ \widehat{V} - V \end{pmatrix} (u, z, \omega) = A_n(u, z, \omega) + H^2 B(u, z, \omega) + o_p(h_1^2 + h_2^2 + h_3^2) + \begin{pmatrix} O_p(a_{1n}a_n + a_{1n}b_{1n}) \\ O_p(a_{2n}a_n + a_{2n}b_{2n}) \\ O_p(a_{3n}a_n + a_n b_{3n}) \end{pmatrix},$$

where $H = \text{diag}(\underbrace{h_1, \dots, h_1}_d, h_2, h_3)$ and $B(u, z, \omega)$ is defined in Supplementary materials A. The Central Limit Theorem implies that $(nH)^{1/2}A_n(u, z, \omega)$

is asymptotically normal with mean 0 and variance-covariance matrix \mathbf{M}

where \mathbf{M} is defined in Supplementary materials. Thus the proof of Theorem

2 is completed.

Proof of Theorem 3.

First, we derive the asymptotic variance of $\sum_{j=1}^d \int_{-1}^1 \widehat{\beta}_j(u) \psi_j(u) du + \int_{-1}^1 \widehat{g}(z) \psi_g(z) dz$. Conditioned on h_1 and h_2 , from (S2.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=1}^d \int_{-1}^1 \{\widehat{\beta}_j(u) - \beta_j(u)\} \psi_j(u) du + \int_{-1}^1 (\widehat{g} - g)(z) \psi_g(z) dz \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{[Y_i - g\{\mathbf{X}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}(U_i)\}]}{V[g\{\mathbf{X}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}(U_i)\}]} \dot{g}\{\mathbf{X}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}(U_i)\} \sum_{j=1}^d X_{ij} \phi_j(U_i) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{[Y_i - g\{\mathbf{X}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}(U_i)\}]}{V[g\{\mathbf{X}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}(U_i)\}]} \phi_g\{\mathbf{X}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}(U_i)\} \\ & \quad + O_p(a_{1n} a_n + a_{1n} b_{1n} + a_{2n} a_n + a_{2n} b_{2n}). \end{aligned}$$

By Central Limit Theorem, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{[Y_i - g\{\mathbf{X}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}(U_i)\}]}{V[g\{\mathbf{X}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}(U_i)\}]} \left[\dot{g}\{\mathbf{X}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}(U_i)\} \sum_{j=1}^d X_{ij} \phi_j(U_i) + \phi_g\{\mathbf{X}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}(U_i)\} \right] \\ & \quad \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \sigma_v^2), \end{aligned}$$

where σ_v^2 is

$$\sigma_v^2 = E \left(\left[\dot{g}\{\mathbf{X}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}(U_i)\} \sum_{j=1}^d X_{ij} \phi_j(U_i) + \phi_g\{\mathbf{X}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}(U_i)\} \right]^2 / V[g\{\mathbf{X}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}(U_i)\}] \right).$$

Therefore, we can get

$$\sqrt{n} \sum_{j=1}^d \int_{-1}^1 \{\widehat{\beta}_j(u) - \beta_j(u)\} \psi_j(u) du + \sqrt{n} \int_{-1}^1 (\widehat{g} - g)(z) \psi_g(z) dz \rightarrow N(0, \bar{\sigma}^2). \quad (\text{S2.12})$$

To show the asymptotic efficiency of $\sum_{j=1}^d \int_{-1}^1 \widehat{\beta}_j(u) \psi_j(u) du + \int_{-1}^1 \widehat{g}(z) \psi_g(z) dz$,

we consider the following parametric submodel with unknown parametric

γ ,

$$\{\boldsymbol{\beta}'(u, z, \gamma), g(z, \gamma)\} = \{\boldsymbol{\beta}'(u), g(z)\} + \gamma \{\Phi_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(u, z), \Phi_g(z)\}.$$

where

$$\Phi'_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(u, z) = \{\Phi_1(u, z), \dots, \Phi_d(u, z)\}', \quad \Phi_g(z) = \phi_g^2(z)/[V\{g(z)\}\psi_g(z)],$$

$$\Phi_k(u, z) = \left[\left\{ \sum_{j=1}^d \phi_j(u) EX_{ij} \right\}^2 \dot{g}^2(z) + 2 \sum_{j=1}^d EX_{ij} \phi_j(u) \phi_g(z) \dot{g}(z) \right] / [dV\{g(z)\}\psi_k(z)].$$

Obviously, $\gamma_0 = 0$ is the true value of γ . Based on the definition of the quasi-likelihood (2.2), the score of this parametric submodel at γ_0 is

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{[Y_i - g\{\mathbf{X}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}(U_i)\}]}{V[g\{\mathbf{X}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}(U_i)\}]} \left[\dot{g}\{\mathbf{X}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}(U_i)\} \sum_{j=1}^d X_{ij} \phi_j(U_i) + \phi_g\{\mathbf{X}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}(U_i)\} \right],$$

whose variance is σ_v^2 . Thus, the maximum likelihood estimator of γ , denoted by $\tilde{\gamma}$, satisfies

$$\sqrt{n}(\tilde{\gamma} - \gamma_0) \rightarrow N(0, (\sigma_v^2)^{-1}).$$

For any vector functions $\boldsymbol{\psi}'(u, z) = (\{\psi(u)\}_{j=1}^d, \psi(z))'$, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{[u,z] \in [-1,1]^2} \left[\{\boldsymbol{\beta}'(u, z, \tilde{\gamma}), g(z, \tilde{\gamma})\} - \{\boldsymbol{\beta}'(u, z, \gamma_0), g(z, \gamma_0)\} \right] \boldsymbol{\psi}'(u, z) dudz \\ &= (\tilde{\gamma} - \gamma_0) \int_{[u,z] \in [-1,1]^2} \{\Phi_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(u, z), \Phi_g(z)\} \boldsymbol{\psi}'(u, z) dudz. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S2.13})$$

Moreover, we observe that

$$\int_{[u,z] \in [-1,1]^2} \{\Phi_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(u, z), \Phi_g(z)\} \boldsymbol{\psi}'(u, z) dudz = \sigma_v^2.$$

Then it follows from (S2.13) that

$$\sqrt{n} \int_{[u,z] \in [-1,1]^2} \left[\{\boldsymbol{\beta}'(u, z, \tilde{\gamma}), g(z, \tilde{\gamma})\} - \{\boldsymbol{\beta}'(u, z, \gamma_0), g(z, \gamma_0)\} \right] \boldsymbol{\psi}'(u, z) dudz \\ \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \sigma_v^2).$$

This, together with (S2.12), shows that the asymptotic variance of $\sum_{j=1}^d \int_{-1}^1 \hat{\beta}_j(u) \psi_j(u) du + \int_{-1}^1 \hat{g}(z) \psi_g(z) dz$ is the same as that of $\int_{[u,z] \in [-1,1]^2} (\boldsymbol{\beta}'(u, z, \tilde{\gamma}), g(z, \tilde{\gamma})) \boldsymbol{\psi}'(u, z) dudz$.

As explained in Bickel et al. (1998), $\sum_{j=1}^d \int_{-1}^1 \hat{\beta}_j(u) \psi_j(u) du + \int_{-1}^1 \hat{g}(z) \psi_g(z) dz$ is asymptotically efficient for the estimation of $\sum_{j=1}^d \int_{-1}^1 \beta_j \psi_j(u) du + \int_{-1}^1 g(z) \psi_g(z) dz$.

Thus we complete the proof of Theorem 3.

References

Bickel, P. J., C. A. Klaassen, P. J. Bickel, Y. Ritov, J. Klaassen, J. A. Wellner, and Y. Ritov

(1998). *Efficient and adaptive estimation for semiparametric models*. New York: Springer.

Chen, K., S. Guo, L. Sun, and J.-L. Wang (2010). Global partial likelihood for nonparametric

proportional hazards models. *J. Amer. Statist. Assoc.* **105**, 750–760.

Pinelis, I. F. and A. I. Sakhanenko (1985). Remarks on inequalities for the probabilities of large

deviations. *Theory. Probab. its. Appl.* **30**, 143–148.

Pollard, D. (1984). *Convergence of Stochastic Processes*. New York: Springer Verlag.

Center of Statistical Research and School of Statistics, Southwestern University of Finance and

Economics, Chengdu, Sichuan, China.

REFERENCES²¹

E-mail: linhz@swufe.edu.cn

Center of Statistical Research and School of Statistics, Southwestern University of Finance and Economics, Chengdu, Sichuan, China.

E-mail: 117020208008@smail.swufe.edu.cn

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Yangtze Normal University, Chongqing, China.

E-mail:lhq213@126.com

Center of Statistical Research and School of Statistics, Southwestern University of Finance and Economics, Chengdu, Sichuan, China.

E-mail: 344848859@qq.com

Department of Biostatistics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109, USA

E-mail: yili@med.umich.edu