
A Generalized Heckman Model With Varying Sample Selection Bias and Dispersion Parameters

Fernando de Souza Bastos^{*†}, Wagner Barreto-Souza^{*†} and Marc G. Genton^{*}

^{}Instituto de Ciências Exatas e Tecnológicas, Universidade Federal de Viçosa, Florestal, Brazil*

^{}Statistics Program, King Abdullah University of Science and Technology, Thuwal, Saudi Arabia*

[†]Departamento de Estatística, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Belo Horizonte, Brazil

S1. Score function and information matrix

To simplify the calculation of the score function and information matrix, we consider a single pair of observation (y, u) . Following the notation of the paper, we have $\mu_1 = \mathbf{x}^\top \boldsymbol{\beta}$, $\mu_2 = \mathbf{w}^\top \boldsymbol{\gamma}$, $\sigma = \exp(\mathbf{e}^\top \boldsymbol{\lambda})$, $\rho = \tanh(\mathbf{v}^\top \boldsymbol{\kappa})$, $z \equiv \frac{y - \mu_1}{\sigma}$, $A_\rho \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \rho^2}}$, $A_{\rho\rho} \equiv \rho A_\rho$, $\zeta \equiv \mu_2 A_\rho + z A_{\rho\rho}$, where $\boldsymbol{\beta} = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_p)^\top \in \mathbb{R}^p$, $\boldsymbol{\gamma} = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_q)^\top \in \mathbb{R}^q$, $\boldsymbol{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r)^\top \in \mathbb{R}^r$ and $\boldsymbol{\kappa} = (\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_s)^\top \in \mathbb{R}^s$ are vectors of unknown parameters with associated covariate vectors. The log-likelihood function (for a single pair (y, u)) of the generalized Heckman model is

$$\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = u \{ \log \Phi(\zeta) + \log \phi(z) - \log \sigma \} + (1 - u) \log \Phi(-\mu_2), \quad (\text{S1.1})$$

where $u = 1$ if y is observed and $u = 0$ otherwise. Consider the following derivatives, for $k = 1, \dots, p$, $l = 1, \dots, q$, $m = 1, \dots, r$, and $n = 1, \dots, s$.

$$M(x) = \frac{\partial \log \Phi(x)}{\partial x} = \frac{\phi(x)}{\Phi(x)}, \quad \frac{\partial z}{\partial \beta_k} = -\frac{x_k}{\sigma}, \quad \frac{\partial z}{\partial \lambda_m} = -ze_m,$$

Email: fernando.bastos@ufv.br

Email: wagner.barretosouza@kaust.edu.sa (Corresponding author)

Email: marc.genton@kaust.edu.sa

$$\frac{\partial A_\rho}{\partial \kappa_n} = \rho A_\rho^3 v_n \operatorname{sech}^2(v^\top \kappa), \quad \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial \gamma_l} = w_l A_\rho, \quad \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial \lambda_m} = -z e_m A_{\rho\rho},$$

$$\frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial \beta_k} = -\frac{x_k A_{\rho\rho}}{\sigma}, \quad \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial \kappa_n} = v_n \operatorname{sech}^2(v^\top \kappa) A_\rho [\mu_2 \rho A_\rho^2 + z(1 + A_{\rho\rho}^2)],$$

$$Q_\rho = \mu_2 \rho A_\rho^2 + z(1 + A_{\rho\rho}^2), \quad \frac{\partial A_{\rho\rho}}{\partial \kappa_k} = v_k A_\rho (1 + A_{\rho\rho}^2) \operatorname{sech}^2(v^\top \kappa),$$

$$Q_{\rho\rho} = \mu_2 (1 + 2A_{\rho\rho}^2) + 2z\rho(1 + A_{\rho\rho}^2).$$

Let $S_\alpha = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \alpha}$ be the score function corresponding to the parameter α . For $\alpha \in (\boldsymbol{\beta}^\top, \boldsymbol{\gamma}^\top, \boldsymbol{\lambda}^\top, \boldsymbol{\kappa}^\top)$,

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\beta_k} &= \frac{ux_k}{\sigma} (z - M(\zeta) A_{\rho\rho}), \quad k = 1, \dots, p, \\ S_{\gamma_l} &= uw_l M(\zeta) A_\rho - (1-u)w_l M(-\mu_2), \quad l = 1, \dots, q, \\ S_{\lambda_m} &= ue_m (z^2 - 1 - M(\zeta) A_{\rho\rho} z), \quad m = 1, \dots, r, \\ S_{\kappa_n} &= uv_n M(\zeta) A_\rho \operatorname{sech}^2(v^\top \kappa) Q_\rho, \quad n = 1, \dots, s, \end{aligned}$$

The elements of the Hessian matrix are given by

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\beta_{k_1} \beta_{k_2}} &= -\frac{ux_{k_1} x_{k_2}}{\sigma^2} [1 + M(\zeta) A_{\rho\rho}^2 (\zeta + M(\zeta))], \quad S_{\beta_{k_1} \gamma_1} = \frac{ux_{k_1} w_{l_1} \rho A_\rho^2 M(\zeta)}{\sigma} (\zeta + M(\zeta)), \\ S_{\beta_{k_1} \lambda_{m_1}} &= \frac{ux_{k_1} e_{m_1}}{\sigma} [M(\zeta) A_{\rho\rho} - 2z - z A_{\rho\rho}^2 M(\zeta) (\zeta + M(\zeta))], \\ S_{\beta_{k_1} \kappa_{n_1}} &= \frac{ux_{k_1} v_{n_1} A_\rho M(\zeta) \operatorname{sech}^2(v^\top \kappa)}{\sigma} [A_{\rho\rho} Q_\rho (\zeta + M(\zeta)) - 1 - A_{\rho\rho}^2], \\ S_{\gamma_1 \beta_{k_1}} &= \frac{uw_{l_1} x_{k_1} \rho A_\rho^2 M(\zeta) (\zeta + M(\zeta))}{\sigma}, \quad S_{\gamma_1 \lambda_{m_1}} = uw_{l_1} e_{m_1} \rho A_\rho^2 z M(\zeta) (\zeta + M(\zeta)), \end{aligned}$$

$$S_{\gamma_{l_1}\gamma_{l_2}} = -uw_{l_1}w_{l_2}A_\rho^2M(\zeta)(\zeta+M(\zeta)) + (1-u)w_kw_lM(-\mu_2)(M(-\mu_2)+\mu_2),$$

$$S_{\gamma_{l_1}\kappa_{n_1}} = uw_{l_1}v_{n_1}A_\rho^2M(\zeta)\operatorname{sech}(v^\top\kappa)^2[A_{\rho\rho}-Q_\rho(\zeta+M(\zeta))],$$

$$S_{\lambda_{m_1}\beta_{k_1}} = \frac{ue_{m_1}x_{k_1}}{\sigma}\left[A_{\rho\rho}M(\zeta)-M(\zeta)(\zeta+M(\zeta))zA_{\rho\rho}^2-2z\right],$$

$$S_{\lambda_{m_1}\gamma_{l_1}} = ue_{m_1}w_{l_1}z\rho A_\rho^2M(\zeta)(\zeta+M(\zeta)),$$

$$S_{\lambda_{m_1}\lambda_{m_2}} = ue_{m_1}e_{m_2}z\left[A_{\rho\rho}M(\zeta)-A_{\rho\rho}^2zM(\zeta)(\zeta+M(\zeta))-2z\right],$$

$$S_{\lambda_{m_1}\kappa_{n_1}} = ue_{m_1}v_{n_1}A_\rho M(\zeta)\operatorname{sech}^2(v^\top\kappa)\left[zA_{\rho\rho}(\zeta+M(\zeta))Q_\rho-z(1+A_{\rho\rho}^2)\right],$$

$$S_{\kappa_{n_1}\beta_{k_1}} = \frac{uv_{n_1}x_{k_1}M(\zeta)A_\rho\operatorname{sech}^2 v^\top\kappa}{\sigma}\left[Q_\rho(\zeta+M(\zeta))A_{\rho\rho}^2-1-A_{\rho\rho}^2\right],$$

$$S_{\kappa_{n_1}\gamma_{l_1}} = uv_{n_1}w_{l_1}A_\rho^2M(\zeta)\operatorname{sech}^2(v^\top\kappa)\left[A_{\rho\rho}-Q_\rho(\zeta+M(\zeta))\right],$$

$$S_{\kappa_{n_1}\lambda_{m_1}} = uv_{n_1}e_{m_1}zA_\rho M(\zeta)\operatorname{sech}^2(v^\top\kappa)\left[A_{\rho\rho}Q_\rho(\zeta+M(\zeta))-1-A_{\rho\rho}^2\right],$$

$$S_{\kappa_{n_1}\kappa_{n_2}} = uv_{n_1}v_{n_2}A_\rho M(\zeta)\operatorname{sech}^2(v^\top\kappa)\left\{A_\rho\operatorname{sech}^2(v^\top\kappa)\left[A_{\rho\rho}Q_\rho-Q_\rho^2(\zeta+M(\zeta))+A_\rho Q_{\rho\rho}\right]\right.$$

$$\left.-2Q_\rho\tanh(v^\top\kappa)\right\},$$

for $k_1, k_2 = 1, \dots, p, l_1, l_2 = 1, \dots, q, m_1, m_2 = 1, \dots, r$, and $n_1, n_2 = 1, \dots, s$.

S2. Moments of the response variable

It is known that

$$\begin{aligned} Y_1^*|Y_2^* = y &\sim \mathcal{N}\left(\mu_1 + \rho\sigma(y - \mu_2), \sigma^2(1 - \rho^2)\right), \\ Y_2^*|Y_1^* = y &\sim \mathcal{N}\left(\mu_2 + \frac{\rho}{\sigma}(y - \mu_1), 1 - \rho^2\right). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S2.2})$$

Therefore,

$$E(Y_1^*|Y_2^* = y) = \mu_1 + \rho\sigma(y - \mu_2) \text{ and } \text{Var}(Y_1^*|Y_2^* = y) = \sigma^2(1 - \rho^2),$$

$$E(Y_2^*|Y_1^* = y) = \mu_2 + \frac{\rho}{\sigma}(y - \mu_1) \text{ and } \text{Var}(Y_2^*|Y_1^* = y) = (1 - \rho^2).$$

If $X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$, then

$$E(X|X > a) = \mu + \sigma\lambda(\alpha) \text{ and } \text{Var}(X|X > a) = \sigma^2\left(1 - \lambda(\alpha)[\lambda(\alpha) - \alpha]\right),$$

where $\lambda(\alpha) = \frac{\phi(\alpha)}{1 - \Phi(\alpha)}$ and $\alpha = \frac{a - \mu}{\sigma}$. Using the fact that $\sigma_2 = 1, a = 0$, and to simplify notation $\lambda(\mu_2) = \lambda$, we have that

$$E(Y_2^*|Y_2^* > 0) = \mu_2 + \lambda \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Var}(Y_2^*|Y_2^* > 0) = \left(1 - \lambda(\lambda + \mu_2)\right) = 1 - \lambda^2 - \mu_2\lambda.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} E(Y_1^*|Y_2^* > 0) &= E\left[E(Y_1^*|Y_2^*)|Y_2^* > 0\right] = E\left[\mu_1 + \rho\sigma(Y_2^* - \mu_2)|Y_2^* > 0\right] \\ &= \mu_1 + \rho\sigma\lambda(\mu_2), \end{aligned}$$

$$E([Y_2^*]^2|Y_2^* > 0) = \text{Var}(Y_2^*|Y_2^* > 0) + [E(Y_2^*|Y_2^* > 0)]^2 = 1 + \mu_2\lambda + \mu_2^2,$$

$$E[(Y_2^* - \mu_2)^2|Y_2^* > 0] = \text{Var}(Y_2^*|Y_2^* > 0) + [E(Y_2^* - \mu_2|Y_2^* > 0)]^2 = 1 - \mu_2\lambda,$$

$$\begin{aligned} E([Y_1^*]^2|Y_2^* > 0) &= E\left[E((Y_1^*)^2|Y_2^*)|Y_2^* > 0\right] = E\left[\text{Var}(Y_1^*|Y_2^*) + [E(Y_1^*|Y_2^*)]^2|Y_2^* > 0\right] \\ &= E[\sigma^2(1 - \rho^2) + \mu_1^2 + 2\mu_1\rho\sigma(Y_2^* - \mu_2) + \rho^2\sigma^2(Y_2^* - \mu_2)^2|Y_2^* > 0] \\ &= \sigma^2 + \mu_1^2 + 2\mu_1\rho\sigma\lambda - \mu_2\rho^2\sigma^2\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

We have that

$$\text{Var}(Y_1^*|Y_2^* > 0) = E((Y_1^*)^2|Y_2^* > 0) - [E(Y_1^*|Y_2^* > 0)]^2 = \sigma^2 - \mu_2\rho^2\sigma^2\lambda - \rho^2\sigma^2\lambda^2.$$

Hence, it follows that

$$E(Y) = E(Y|Y_2^* > 0)P(Y_2^* > 0) + E(Y|Y_2^* \leq 0)P(Y_2 \leq 0) = (\mu_1 + \rho\sigma\lambda)\Phi(\mu_2)$$

and

$$\text{Var}(Y) = \text{Var}(Y_1^*|Y_2^* > 0)P(Y_2^* > 0) = (\sigma^2 - \mu_2\rho^2\sigma^2\lambda - \rho^2\sigma^2\lambda^2)\Phi(\mu_2).$$

S3. Additional simulations

We present additional simulations for the generalized Heckman model. The aim of these simulations is to evaluate the behavior of the maximum likelihood estimators in finite-samples of our proposed model, and also to compare to the classic Heckman, skew-normal, and Heckman-*t* models. The results for the Scenarios 2–6, based on the regression structures (4.1)–(4.4) of the paper, are presented in Tables 1–5, respectively.

- Scenario 2: both varying dispersion and correlation (sample selection bias parameter) without exclusion restriction.
- Scenario 3: constant dispersion ($\lambda_1 = 0$) and varying correlation. In this case, we experienced some numerical problems with the skew-normal model and therefore we do not consider it.
- Scenario 4: varying dispersion and constant correlation ($\kappa_1 = 0$).
- Scenario 5: both constant dispersion and correlation ($\lambda_1 = \kappa_1 = 0$).
- Scenario 6: both varying dispersion and correlation with censorship around 50%.

Table 1: Empirical mean and root mean square error (RMSE) of the maximum likelihood estimates of the parameters based on the generalized Heckman, classic Heckman, Student- t , and skew-normal sample selection models under Scenario 2.

Parameters	n	Generalized Heckman		Classic Heckman		Heckman Skew-Normal		Heckman Student- t	
		mean	RMSE	mean	RMSE	mean	RMSE	mean	RMSE
γ_0	500	0.909	0.087	0.868	0.095	1.183	0.344	1.267	0.399
	0.9	1.000	0.904	0.060	0.858	0.078	1.199	0.343	1.267
	2.000	0.901	0.040	0.865	0.055	1.251	0.366	1.272	0.380
γ_1	500	0.506	0.081	0.600	0.136	0.577	0.108	0.667	0.209
	0.5	1.000	0.506	0.054	0.596	0.116	0.570	0.087	0.665
	2.000	0.503	0.037	0.602	0.112	0.565	0.073	0.677	0.188
γ_2	500	1.113	0.103	1.029	0.135	0.867	0.268	1.477	0.420
	1.1	1.000	1.108	0.070	1.022	0.114	0.860	0.257	1.501
	2.000	1.105	0.052	1.006	0.111	0.829	0.280	1.483	0.395
β_0	500	1.113	0.190	1.308	0.873	-0.027	1.523	1.181	0.384
	1.1	1.000	1.104	0.124	1.217	0.899	0.037	1.394	1.127
	2.000	1.097	0.082	1.314	0.886	-0.257	1.478	1.095	0.234
β_1	500	0.709	0.079	0.982	0.439	0.391	0.383	0.891	0.233
	0.7	1.000	0.703	0.048	0.964	0.410	0.391	0.346	0.881
	2.000	0.700	0.031	0.913	0.361	0.325	0.390	0.865	0.177
β_2	500	0.096	0.146	-0.136	0.770	-0.758	0.903	0.055	0.329
	0.1	1.000	0.098	0.089	-0.074	0.745	-0.819	0.939	0.100
	2.000	0.102	0.056	-0.128	0.745	-0.768	0.878	0.128	0.198
λ_0	500	0.406	0.044	0.993	0.595	1.318	0.920	0.355	0.103
	0.4	1.000	0.401	0.030	0.998	0.599	1.305	0.906	0.345
	2.000	0.401	0.020	0.998	0.598	1.342	0.943	0.354	0.068
λ_1	500	0.694	0.044	—	—	—	—	—	—
	0.7	1.000	0.699	0.033	—	—	—	—	—
	2.000	0.700	0.021	—	—	—	—	—	—
κ_0	500	0.311	0.333	0.061	0.998	-0.986	1.451	0.198	0.577
	0.3	1.000	0.309	0.200	0.137	1.015	-1.085	1.463	0.285
	2.000	0.311	0.136	0.027	1.021	-1.153	1.490	0.303	0.369
κ_1	500	0.565	0.256	—	—	—	—	—	—
	0.5	1.000	0.521	0.147	—	—	—	—	—
	2.000	0.510	0.099	—	—	—	—	—	—

Table 2: Empirical mean and root mean square error (RMSE) of the maximum likelihood estimates of the parameters based on the generalized Heckman, classic Heckman, and Student-*t* sample selection models under Scenario 3.

Parameters	<i>n</i>	Generalized Heckman		Classic Heckman		Heckman Student- <i>t</i>	
		mean	RMSE	mean	RMSE	mean	RMSE
γ_0	500	0.911	0.093	0.910	0.093	0.924	0.099
	1,000	0.904	0.063	0.904	0.064	0.917	0.068
	2,000	0.904	0.042	0.902	0.042	0.914	0.046
γ_1	500	0.505	0.083	0.500	0.086	0.508	0.089
	1,000	0.505	0.057	0.499	0.060	0.507	0.062
	2,000	0.504	0.040	0.499	0.042	0.506	0.043
γ_2	500	1.117	0.106	1.117	0.107	1.135	0.115
	1,000	1.108	0.074	1.109	0.075	1.125	0.082
	2,000	1.109	0.054	1.108	0.054	1.124	0.060
γ_3	500	0.609	0.083	0.609	0.085	0.619	0.090
	1,000	0.604	0.064	0.605	0.066	0.614	0.069
	2,000	0.603	0.040	0.604	0.041	0.612	0.044
β_0	500	1.103	0.062	1.111	0.076	1.110	0.077
	1,000	1.101	0.044	1.113	0.056	1.112	0.056
	2,000	1.100	0.029	1.110	0.039	1.109	0.038
β_1	500	0.699	0.045	0.775	0.084	0.774	0.084
	1,000	0.699	0.032	0.767	0.073	0.766	0.073
	2,000	0.700	0.022	0.768	0.071	0.768	0.071
β_2	500	0.098	0.051	0.077	0.064	0.077	0.064
	1,000	0.099	0.037	0.074	0.051	0.074	0.050
	2,000	0.100	0.026	0.079	0.037	0.079	0.037
λ_0	500	-0.401	0.042	-0.415	0.044	-0.431	1.050
	1,000	-0.402	0.029	-0.416	0.032	-0.432	1.050
	2,000	-0.401	0.019	-0.418	0.026	-0.432	1.050
λ_1	500	-0.003	0.042	—	—	—	—
	1,000	-0.002	0.031	—	—	—	—
	2,000	-0.000	0.020	—	—	—	—
κ_0	500	0.318	0.234	0.128	0.313	0.130	0.299
	1,000	0.310	0.160	0.105	0.270	0.107	0.265
	2,000	0.308	0.108	0.100	0.238	0.103	0.236
κ_1	500	0.563	0.222	—	—	—	—
	1,000	0.524	0.130	—	—	—	—
	2,000	0.511	0.086 ₈	—	—	—	—

Table 3: Empirical mean and root mean square error (RMSE) of the maximum likelihood estimates of the parameters based on the generalized Heckman, classic Heckman, Student- t , and skew-normal sample selection models under Scenario 4.

Parameters	n	Generalized Heckman		Classic Heckman		Heckman Skew-Normal		Heckman Student- t		
		mean	RMSE	mean	RMSE	mean	RMSE	mean	RMSE	
γ_0	500	0.912	0.093	0.888	0.094	0.913	0.317	1.320	0.454	
	0.9	1.000	0.905	0.066	0.883	0.069	0.871	0.334	1.310	0.426
	2.000	0.904	0.043	0.886	0.046	0.854	0.302	1.306	0.414	
γ_1	500	0.510	0.086	0.565	0.114	0.538	0.096	0.668	0.214	
	0.5	1.000	0.506	0.059	0.573	0.097	0.543	0.077	0.679	0.201
	2.000	0.505	0.042	0.568	0.082	0.540	0.061	0.678	0.190	
γ_2	500	1.117	0.104	1.063	0.120	1.016	0.159	1.555	0.493	
	1.1	1.000	1.109	0.076	1.048	0.099	0.985	0.157	1.532	0.452
	2.000	1.108	0.054	1.043	0.084	0.998	0.133	1.530	0.441	
γ_3	500	0.607	0.086	0.561	0.106	0.539	0.123	0.858	0.299	
	0.6	1.000	0.605	0.067	0.542	0.097	0.510	0.126	0.838	0.262
	2.000	0.602	0.041	0.544	0.074	0.522	0.098	0.843	0.252	
β_0	500	1.104	0.072	1.021	0.271	0.533	0.731	1.120	0.106	
	1.1	1.000	1.102	0.046	0.959	0.285	0.558	0.696	1.113	0.081
	2.000	1.099	0.032	0.915	0.244	0.533	0.680	1.106	0.052	
β_1	500	0.699	0.039	0.768	0.149	0.631	0.169	0.715	0.065	
	0.7	1.000	0.699	0.023	0.770	0.130	0.654	0.158	0.713	0.043
	2.000	0.699	0.017	0.788	0.115	0.683	0.138	0.711	0.031	
β_2	500	0.097	0.052	0.146	0.209	0.058	0.218	0.090	0.080	
	0.1	1.000	0.098	0.032	0.192	0.215	0.076	0.241	0.094	0.058
	2.000	0.100	0.022	0.221	0.173	0.117	0.193	0.099	0.040	
λ_0	500	-0.399	0.044	0.204	0.607	0.392	0.797	-0.488	0.120	
	-0.4	1.000	-0.401	0.029	0.202	0.604	0.360	0.763	-0.476	0.097
	2.000	-0.400	0.020	0.202	0.603	0.345	0.748	-0.470	0.080	
λ_1	500	0.701	0.042	—	—	—	—	—	—	
	0.7	1.000	0.701	0.030	—	—	—	—	—	
	2.000	0.701	0.020	—	—	—	—	—	—	
κ_0	500	0.309	0.257	0.348	0.642	0.028	0.821	0.219	0.309	
	0.3	1.000	0.304	0.162	0.483	0.676	0.106	0.910	0.239	0.239
	2.000	0.305	0.116	0.619	0.547	0.219	0.762	0.260	0.156	
κ_1	500	0.017	0.232	—	—	—	—	—	—	
	0	1.000	0.001	0.140	—	—	—	—	—	
	2.000	0.005	0.098	—	—	—	—	—	—	

Table 4: Empirical mean and root mean square error (RMSE) of the maximum likelihood estimates of the parameters based on the generalized Heckman, classic Heckman, Student- t , and skew-normal sample selection models under Scenario 5.

Parameters	n	Generalized Heckman		Classic Heckman		Heckman Skew-Normal		Heckman Student- t	
		mean	RMSE	mean	RMSE	mean	RMSE	mean	RMSE
γ_0	500	0.913	0.094	0.912	0.094	0.824	0.175	0.932	0.102
	0.9	1.000	0.906	0.066	0.906	0.066	0.816	0.145	0.923
	2.000	0.904	0.044	0.904	0.044	0.823	0.122	0.921	0.050
γ_1	500	0.509	0.086	0.510	0.086	0.505	0.086	0.521	0.090
	0.5	1.000	0.505	0.060	0.505	0.060	0.502	0.059	0.515
	2.000	0.505	0.042	0.505	0.042	0.502	0.042	0.515	0.046
γ_2	500	1.120	0.108	1.120	0.108	1.109	0.108	1.145	0.120
	1.1	1.000	1.109	0.077	1.110	0.077	1.101	0.078	1.131
	2.000	1.108	0.054	1.108	0.054	1.101	0.055	1.130	0.064
γ_3	500	0.607	0.087	0.608	0.086	0.602	0.086	0.622	0.092
	0.6	1.000	0.605	0.068	0.605	0.068	0.601	0.068	0.617
	2.000	0.602	0.041	0.602	0.041	0.599	0.041	0.614	0.045
β_0	500	1.109	0.157	1.107	0.153	0.662	0.656	1.107	0.154
	1.1	1.000	1.106	0.110	1.103	0.107	0.662	0.584	1.103
	2.000	1.099	0.075	1.098	0.074	0.709	0.531	1.097	0.074
β_1	500	0.704	0.111	0.702	0.088	0.701	0.088	0.702	0.088
	0.7	1.000	0.696	0.077	0.696	0.064	0.696	0.064	0.696
	2.000	0.700	0.053	0.700	0.044	0.699	0.044	0.700	0.044
β_2	500	0.092	0.128	0.095	0.125	0.093	0.125	0.095	0.125
	0.1	1.000	0.095	0.091	0.097	0.088	0.096	0.088	0.097
	2.000	0.100	0.064	0.101	0.063	0.100	0.062	0.101	0.063
λ_0	500	0.400	0.043	0.400	0.042	0.480	0.126	0.377	0.053
	0.4	1.000	0.399	0.029	0.400	0.029	0.465	0.101	0.380
	2.000	0.400	0.021	0.400	0.020	0.456	0.084	0.380	0.030
λ_1	500	0.000	0.042	—	—	—	—	—	—
	0	1.000	-0.000	0.030	—	—	—	—	—
	2.000	0.000	0.020	—	—	—	—	—	—
κ_0	500	0.309	0.255	0.291	0.387	0.305	0.255	0.291	0.238
	0.3	1.000	0.303	0.174	0.298	0.346	0.313	0.175	0.299
	2.000	0.308	0.120	0.304	0.328	0.318	0.122	0.306	0.114
κ_1	500	-0.003	0.209	—	—	—	—	—	—
	0	1.000	-0.001	0.126	—	—	—	—	—
	2.000	-0.002	0.087	—	—	—	—	—	—

Table 5: Empirical mean and root mean square error (RMSE) of the maximum likelihood estimates of the parameters based on the generalized Heckman, classic Heckman, Student- t , and skew-normal sample selection models under Scenario 6.

Parameters	n	Generalized Heckman		Classic Heckman		Heckman Skew-Normal		Heckman Student- t	
		mean	RMSE	mean	RMSE	mean	RMSE	mean	RMSE
γ_0	500	0.320	0.143	0.311	0.135	0.171	0.281	0.488	0.282
	0.3	1.000	0.307	0.092	0.301	0.086	0.147	0.243	0.489
	2.000	0.302	0.060	0.300	0.059	0.109	0.261	0.486	0.211
γ_1	500	1.882	0.286	1.804	0.270	1.754	0.286	2.635	0.965
	1.8	1.000	1.839	0.172	1.762	0.169	1.722	0.192	2.653
	2.000	1.821	0.116	1.740	0.128	1.687	0.170	2.612	0.840
γ_2	500	4.193	0.565	4.098	0.530	3.998	0.541	6.129	2.366
	4	1.000	4.079	0.339	3.944	0.339	3.877	0.376	6.085
	2.000	4.042	0.230	3.907	0.250	3.813	0.324	5.966	2.017
γ_3	500	2.722	0.367	2.660	0.350	2.594	0.356	3.978	1.537
	2.6	1.000	2.651	0.238	2.557	0.239	2.516	0.262	3.971
	2.000	2.624	0.151	2.536	0.167	2.476	0.214	3.872	1.305
β_0	500	1.101	0.064	1.059	0.135	0.573	0.658	1.098	0.084
	1.1	1.000	1.100	0.046	1.017	0.123	0.519	0.710	1.105
	2.000	1.100	0.030	1.011	0.107	0.517	0.720	1.095	0.043
β_1	500	0.700	0.039	0.792	0.158	0.699	0.125	0.745	0.088
	0.7	1.000	0.700	0.030	0.780	0.124	0.698	0.092	0.739
	2.000	0.700	0.018	0.793	0.114	0.730	0.077	0.741	0.055
β_2	500	0.101	0.049	0.102	0.122	0.086	0.127	0.102	0.073
	0.1	1.000	0.100	0.037	0.137	0.096	0.119	0.094	0.100
	2.000	0.100	0.026	0.139	0.075	0.127	0.071	0.105	0.040
λ_0	500	-0.407	0.047	0.120	0.523	0.269	0.675	-0.421	0.084
	-0.4	1.000	-0.405	0.033	0.224	0.625	0.354	0.756	-0.389
	2.000	-0.403	0.021	0.226	0.626	0.352	0.754	-0.381	0.044
λ_1	500	0.706	0.051	—	—	—	—	—	—
	0.7	1.000	0.702	0.034	—	—	—	—	—
	2.000	0.703	0.023	—	—	—	—	—	—
κ_0	500	0.344	0.496	0.487	0.607	0.429	0.450	0.378	0.285
	0.3	1.000	0.328	0.351	0.545	0.338	0.487	0.365	0.338
	2.000	0.311	0.114	0.580	0.318	0.576	0.341	0.383	0.146
κ_1	500	0.696	0.591	—	—	—	—	—	—
	0.5	1.000	0.572	0.246	—	—	—	—	—
	2.000	0.524	0.126	—	—	—	—	—	—