

ORTHOGONAL ARRAYS OBTAINED BY ORTHOGONAL DECOMPOSITION OF PROJECTION MATRICES

Zhang Yingshan*, Lu Yiqiang and Pang Shanqi*

*Henan Normal University and PLA's College of Electronic Technology

Abstract: This paper studies a relationship between orthogonal arrays and orthogonal decompositions of projection matrices. This relation is used for the construction of orthogonal arrays. As an application of the method, some new mixed-level orthogonal arrays of run size 36 are constructed.

Key words and phrases: Kronecker product, mixed-level orthogonal array, permutation matrix, projection matrix.

1. Introduction

An $n \times m$ matrix A , having k_i columns with p_i levels, $i = 1, \dots, r$, $m = \sum_{i=1}^r k_i$, $p_i \neq p_j$, for $i \neq j$, is called an orthogonal array (OA) of strength d and size n if each $n \times d$ submatrix of A contains all possible $1 \times d$ row vectors with the same frequency. Unless stated otherwise, we consider orthogonal arrays of strength 2, using the notation $L_n(p_1^{k_1} \cdots p_r^{k_r})$ for such an array. An orthogonal array is said to have mixed-level if $r \geq 2$. Such an array is often a natural choice in practice because different factors may require different numbers of levels. Two- and three- level OA's, which form popular fractional factorials, have been discussed at great length in many standard textbooks on experimental design and analysis, for example Box and Draper (1987). The construction of mixed-level OA's has been studied by Wu (1989), Wang and Wu (1991), Wu, Zhang and Wang (1992), Hedayat, Pu and Stufken (1992) and Ryoh Fuji-Hara (1993). In this paper, an interesting relationship between orthogonal arrays and decompositions of projection matrices is presented. By exploring this relationship, we obtain a method for the construction of orthogonal arrays. Zhang (1989, 1990a, 1990b, 1991a and 1991b) has used this method to construct some mixed-level OA's of run size 36, 72, and 100. In this paper the method is further explained and some new mixed-level OA's are obtained.

Section 2 contains basic concepts and main theorems while in Section 3 we describe the method of construction. Some new mixed-level OA's of run size 36 are constructed in Section 4.

2. Basic Concepts and Main Theorems

Suppose that an experiment is carried out according to an array $A = (a_{ij})_{n \times m} = (a_1, \dots, a_m)$, and $Y = (Y_1, \dots, Y_n)^T$ is the experimental data vector. In the analysis of variance S_j^2 , the sum of squares of the j th factor, is defined as

$$S_j^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{p_j} \frac{1}{|I_{ij}|} \left(\sum_{s \in I_{ij}} Y_s \right)^2 - \frac{1}{n} \left(\sum_{s=1}^n Y_s \right)^2, \quad (1)$$

where $I_{ij} = \{s : a_{sj} = j\}$ and $|I_{ij}|$ is the number of elements in I_{ij} . From (1), S_j^2 is a quadratic form in Y and there exists a unique symmetric matrix A_j such that $S_j^2 = Y^T A_j Y$. The matrix A_j is called the *matrix image* (MI) of the j th column a_j of A , denoted by $m(a_j) = A_j$. The MI of a subarray of A is defined as the sum of the MI's of all its columns. In particular, we denote the MI of A by $m(A)$. If a design is an orthogonal array, then the MI's of its columns have some interesting properties. These properties can be used to construct mixed-level OA's.

Let $(r) = (0, \dots, r-1)_{1 \times r}^T, \mathbf{1}_r$ be the $r \times 1$ vector of 1's and I_r the identity matrix of order r . Then

$$m(\mathbf{1}_r) = P_r \text{ and } m((r)) = \tau_r, \quad (2)$$

where $P_r = \frac{1}{r} \mathbf{1}_r \mathbf{1}_r^T$ and $\tau_r = I_r - P_r$.

The Kronecker product $A \otimes B$ is defined as: $A \otimes B = (a_{ij} B)_{sn \times tm}$ if $A = (a_{ij})_{n \times m}, B = (b_{ij})_{s \times t}$.

Definition 1. Suppose that p is a prime, and that a and b are OA's which have only one column, i.e., $a = L_{n_1}(p) = (a_1, \dots, a_{n_1})^T, b = L_{n_2}(p) = (b_1, \dots, b_{n_2})^T$. The Kronecker sum of a and b , denoted $a \oplus b$, is defined as

$$a \oplus b = L_{n_1 n_2}(p) = ((a_1 + b_1), \dots, (a_1 + b_{n_2}), \dots, (a_{n_1} + b_{n_2}))^T \pmod{p}$$

For example,

$$(2) \oplus (2) = (0, 1, 1, 0)^T, \quad (3) \oplus (3) = (0, 1, 2, 1, 2, 0, 2, 0, 1)^T.$$

Theorem 1. For any permutation matrix S and any array L ,

$$m(S(L \otimes \mathbf{1}_r)) = S(m(L) \otimes P_r) S^T, \quad \text{and} \quad m(S(\mathbf{1}_r \otimes L)) = S(P_r \otimes m(L)) S^T.$$

Theorem 2. Let A be an OA of strength 1, i.e.,

$$A = (a_1, \dots, a_m) = (S_1(\mathbf{1}_{r_1} \otimes (p_1)), \dots, S_m(\mathbf{1}_{r_m} \otimes (p_m))),$$

where $r_i p_i = n$ and S_i is a permutation matrix, for $i = 1, \dots, m$.

The following statements are equivalent.

- (1) A is an OA of strength 2.
- (2) The MI of A is a projection matrix.
- (3) The MI's of any two columns of A are orthogonal, i.e., $m(a_i)m(a_j) = 0 (i \neq j)$.
- (4) The projection matrix τ_n can be decomposed as $\tau_n = m(a_1) + \dots + m(a_m) + \Delta$, where $rk(\Delta) = n - 1 - \sum_{j=1}^m (p_j - 1)$ is the rank of the matrix Δ .

Definition 2. An OA A is said to be saturated if $\sum_{j=1}^m (p_j - 1) = n - 1$ (or, equivalently, $m(A) = \tau_n$).

Corollary 1. Let (L, H) and K be OA's of run size n . Then (K, H) is an OA if $m(K) \leq m(L)$, where $m(K) \leq m(L)$ means that the difference $m(K) - m(L)$ is nonnegative definite.

Corollary 2. Suppose L and H are orthogonal arrays. Then $K = (L, H)$ is also an OA if $m(L)$ and $m(H)$ are orthogonal, i.e., $m(L)m(H) = 0$. In this case $m(K) = m(L) + m(H)$.

These theorems and corollaries can be found in Zhang (1991b, 1992 and 1993).

3. A General Method for Constructing OA's by Decompositions of the Projection Matrix τ_n

Our procedure of constructing mixed-level OA's by using decompositions of the projection matrix τ_n consists of the following three steps:

Step 1. Orthogonally decompose the projection matrix $\tau_n : \tau_n = A_1 + \dots + A_k$, where $A_i A_j = 0 (i \neq j)$.

Step 2. Find an OA L_i such that $m(L_i) \leq A_i$.

Step 3. Lay out the new OA L by Corollaries 1 and 2: $L = (L_1, \dots, L_{k_1}) (k_1 \leq k)$.

In applying Step 1, the following orthogonal decompositions of τ_n are very useful, $\tau_{n \cdot k} = I_n \otimes \tau_k + \tau_n \otimes P_k = \tau_n \otimes P_k + P_n \otimes \tau_k + \tau_n \otimes \tau_k = \tau_n \otimes I_k + P_n \otimes \tau_k$,

$$\tau_{p \cdot r \cdot q} = \tau_p \otimes I_r \otimes P_q + P_p \otimes \tau_{rq} + \tau_p \otimes I_r \otimes \tau_q. \tag{3}$$

These equations are easy to verify from $\tau_n = I_n - P_n, P_{nk} = P_n \otimes P_k$ and $I_{nk} = I_n \otimes I_k$.

The following theorem plays a very useful role in the procedure.

Theorem 3. Suppose $\tau_{n_1} = \sum_j S_j A S_j^T$ and $\tau_{n_2} = \sum_j T_j B T_j^T$ are orthogonal decompositions of τ_{n_1} and τ_{n_2} , respectively, where the S_j 's and T_j 's are permutation matrices and $n = n_1 n_2$. Then $\tau_{n_1 n_2}$ can be orthogonally decomposed into

$$\tau_{n_1 n_2} = \sum_j (S_j \otimes T_j) (A \otimes P_{n_2} + I_{n_1} \otimes B) (S_j^T \otimes T_j^T). \tag{4}$$

If there exists an OA H such that $m(H) \leq I_{n_1} \otimes B + A \otimes P_{n_2}$, then

$$L = ((S_1 \otimes T_1)H, (S_2 \otimes T_2)H, \dots)$$

is also an OA.

Proof. From (3) we have

$$\tau_{n_1 n_2} = \tau_{n_1} \otimes P_{n_2} + I_{n_1} \otimes \tau_{n_2}.$$

Since $P_{n_2} = T_j P_{n_2} T_j^T$ and $I_{n_1} = S_j I_{n_1} S_j^T$ hold for all j , we get

$$\tau_{n_1 n_2} = \sum_j (S_j A S_j^T) \otimes (T_j P_{n_2} T_j^T) + \sum_j (S_j I_{n_1} S_j^T) \otimes (T_j B T_j^T).$$

Using the matrix property $(ABC) \otimes (DEF) = (A \otimes D)(B \otimes E)(C \otimes F)$, we obtain

$$\tau_{n_1 n_2} = \sum_j (S_j \otimes T_j)(A \otimes P_{n_2} + I_{n_1} \otimes B)(S_j^T \otimes T_j^T).$$

Thus (4) holds.

Since the decompositions of both τ_{n_1} and τ_{n_2} are orthogonal, the decomposition of $\tau_{n_1 n_2}$ in (4) is orthogonal. By Theorem 1, we have

$$m((S_j \otimes T_j)H) = (S_j \otimes T_j)m(H)(S_j^T \otimes T_j^T) \leq (S_j \otimes T_j)(A \otimes P_{n_2} + I_{n_1} \otimes B)(S_j^T \otimes T_j^T),$$

So L is an OA.

4. Constructions of OA's of Run Size 36

4.1. Construction of OA $L_{36}(3 \cdot 2^{27})$

By the definition of an OA, we may assume without loss of generality that

$$L_9(3^4) = [S_1(1_3 \otimes (3)), \dots, S_4(1_3 \otimes (3))],$$

and

$$L_4(2^3) = [Q_1((2) \otimes 1_2), \dots, Q_3((2) \otimes 1_2)],$$

where $S_i (i = 1, \dots, 4)$ and $Q_j (j = 1, 2, 3)$ are permutation matrices (See Table 3). Since $L_9(3^4)$ and $L_4(2^3)$ are saturated OA's, from (2), Theorem 1 and Theorem 2, we have

$$\tau_9 = \sum_{i=1}^4 S_i(P_3 \otimes \tau_3)S_i^T,$$

and

$$\tau_4 = \sum_{i=1}^3 Q_i(\tau_2 \otimes P_2)Q_i^T. \tag{5}$$

From (3), we have

$$\tau_{36} = \tau_9 \otimes I_4 + P_9 \otimes \tau_4.$$

By Theorem 3, we have

$$\tau_{36} = \sum_{i=1}^3 (S_i \otimes Q_i)(P_3 \otimes \tau_3 \otimes I_4 + P_9 \otimes \tau_2 \otimes P_2)(S_i^T \otimes Q_i^T) + [S_4(P_3 \otimes \tau_3)S_4^T] \otimes I_4.$$

Using the properties $I_4 = I_4 I_4 I_4, (ABC) \otimes (DEF) = (A \otimes D)(B \otimes E)(C \otimes F)$ and $I_4 = P_4 + \tau_4$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{36} = & \sum_{i=1}^3 (S_i \otimes Q_i)(P_3 \otimes (\tau_3 \otimes I_4 + P_3 \otimes \tau_2 \otimes P_2))(S_i^T \otimes Q_i^T) \\ & + (S_4 \otimes I_4)(P_3 \otimes \tau_3 \otimes P_4)(S_4^T \otimes I_4) + (S_4 \otimes I_4)(P_3 \otimes \tau_3 \otimes \tau_4)(S_4^T \otimes I_4). \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

The above decompositions are orthogonal because of the orthogonality in each step. Now we want to find an OA whose MI is less than or equal to $\tau_3 \otimes I_4 + P_3 \otimes \tau_2 \otimes P_2$. Each of the OA's $L_{12}(2^{11}), L_{12}(3 \cdot 2^4)$ and $L_{12}(6 \cdot 2^2)$ in Table 4 contains the two columns $1_6 \otimes (2)$ and $1_3 \otimes ((2) \oplus (2))$. Deleting these two columns from the three OA's, we obtain OA's $L_{12}(2^9), L_{12}(3 \cdot 2^2)$ and $L_{12}(6)$, respectively. The MI's of these arrays are less than or equal to $\tau_3 \otimes I_4 + P_3 \otimes \tau_2 \otimes P_2$, since

$$\tau_3 \otimes I_4 + P_3 \otimes \tau_2 \otimes P_2 = \tau_{12} - P_6 \otimes \tau_2 - P_3 \otimes \tau_2 \otimes \tau_2.$$

By (6) and Theorems 1, 2 and 3, we obtain OA's $L_{36}(3 \cdot 2^{27})$ as follows (See Table 1):

$$\begin{aligned} L_{36}(3 \cdot 2^{27}) = & [(S_1 \otimes Q_1)(1_3 \otimes L_{12}(2^9)), (S_2 \otimes Q_2)(1_3 \otimes L_{12}(2^9)), \\ & (S_3 \otimes Q_3)(1_3 \otimes L_{12}(2^9)), (S_4 \otimes I_4)(1_3 \otimes (3) \otimes I_4)]. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Furthermore, replacing the $L_{12}(2^9)$'s in (7) by $L_{12}(3 \cdot 2^2)$ and $L_{12}(6)$, we can construct OA's such as $L_{36}(3^2 \cdot 2^{20}), L_{36}(3^3 \cdot 2^{13}), L_{36}(3^4 \cdot 2^6), L_{36}(6 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 2^{11}), L_{36}(6 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 2^4), L_{36}(6^2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 2^2)$.

4.2. Construction of $L_{36}(6^2 \cdot 3^8 \cdot 2)$

Suppose

$$L_9(3^4) = (1_3 \otimes (3), (3) \otimes 1_3, a, b).$$

By (2) and Theorem 2, we have $m(L_9(3^4)) = \tau_9$ and

$$m((a, b)) = \tau_9 - P_3 \otimes \tau_3 - \tau_3 \otimes P_3 = \tau_3 \otimes \tau_3.$$

From the definition of an OA, there exists a 9×9 permutation matrix T such that

$$(1_3 \otimes (3), (3) \otimes 1_3) = T(a, b).$$

So the MI of $(1_3 \otimes (3), (3) \otimes 1_3)$, i.e. the MI of $T(a, b)$, is $T(\tau_3 \otimes \tau_3)T^T$.

Table 1 and Table 2.

Table 1. OA $L_{36}(3 \cdot 2^{27})$	Table 2. OA $L_{36}(6^2 \cdot 3^8 \cdot 2)$
00000000000000000000000000000000	000000000000
100010101010111100010111100010	00000121211
011110001100010101011110100100	12121000001
1110100101110100101000101010	12121121210
000000000100111110100111111	22012201200
1000101011001110101001110101	22012320011
0111100010010010111101010011	31220201201
1110100101101010010010010111	31220320010
000000001011001111011001112	41102400210
1000101011110011001110011002	41102521001
0111100010101001100011111002	50211400211
1110100100011111000101001102	50211521000
010011111000000001011001111	42210022220
0010010110111100011110011001	42210110101
1001110101000101010011111001	51022022221
1101010011110100100101001101	51022110100
0100111110100111110000000002	01111220120
0010010111001110100111100012	01111312201
1001110100010010111110100102	10202220121
1101010011101010011000101012	10202312200
0100111111011001110100111110	20120422100
0010010111110011001001110100	20120510221
1001110100101001101101010010	32001422101
1101010010011111000010010110	32001510220
1011001110000000000100111112	21201011110
0101001100111100011001110102	21201102021
1110011001000101011101010012	30112011111
0011111001110100100010010112	30112102020
1011001110100111111011001110	40021212010
0101001101001110101110011000	40021301121
1110011000010010110011111000	52100212011
0011111001101010010101001100	52100301120
1011001111011001110000000001	02222411020
0101001101110011000111100011	02222502111
1110011000101001101110100101	11010411021
0011111000011111001000101011	11010502110

Therefore

$$\tau_9 = \sum_{i=1}^2 T_i(\tau_3 \otimes \tau_3)T_i^T, \tag{8}$$

where $T_1 = I_9, T_2 = T$ (See Table 3).

Table 3. The permutation matrices used in the course of construction

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_1 &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} & S_2 &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\
 S_3 &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} & S_4 &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\
 Q_1 &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} & Q_2 &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} & Q_3 &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\
 T_1 &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} & T_2 &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}
 \end{aligned}$$

From the decomposition of τ_{36} in (3), we have

$$\tau_{36} = I_9 \otimes \tau_4 + \tau_9 \otimes P_4.$$

It follows from Theorem 3, (5) and (8) that

$$\tau_{36} = \sum_{i=1}^2 (T_i \otimes Q_i)(I_9 \otimes \tau_2 \otimes P_2 + \tau_3 \otimes \tau_3 \otimes P_4)(T_i^T \otimes Q_i^T) + I_9 \otimes [Q_3(\tau_2 \otimes P_2)Q_3^T].$$

Table 4. The known OA's used in this paper

The OA $L_{12}(2^{11})$	The OA $L_{12}(3 \cdot 2^4)$	The OA $L_{12}(6 \cdot 2^2)$
0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0
1 1 1 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 1	0 1 1 1 0	0 1 1
0 1 0 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 1	0 0 1 0 1	1 0 1
1 0 1 1 1 0 1 0 0 1 0	0 1 0 1 1	1 1 0
0 0 0 1 0 0 1 1 1 1 1	1 0 0 0 1	2 0 0
1 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 1	1 1 1 0 0	2 1 1
0 1 1 0 0 1 1 1 0 1 0	1 0 1 1 0	3 0 1
1 0 1 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 1	1 1 0 1 1	3 1 0
0 0 1 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 1	2 0 0 1 0	4 0 0
1 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 1 1 0	2 1 1 0 1	4 1 1
0 1 1 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0	2 0 1 1 1	5 0 1
1 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 0 0	2 1 0 0 0	5 1 0

The OA $L_{18}(6 \cdot 3^6)$	The OA $L_9(3^4)$	The OA $L_4(2^3)$
0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0
1 0 0 2 1 2 1	0 1 1 1	0 1 1
2 0 1 2 0 1 2	0 2 2 2	1 0 1
3 0 1 1 2 2 0	1 0 1 2	1 1 0
4 0 2 1 1 0 2	1 1 2 0	
5 0 2 0 2 1 1	1 2 0 1	
4 1 0 2 2 1 0	2 0 2 1	
5 1 0 1 0 2 2	2 1 0 2	
0 1 1 1 1 1 1	2 2 1 0	
1 1 1 0 2 0 2		
2 1 2 0 1 2 0		
3 1 2 2 0 0 1		
2 2 0 1 2 0 1		
3 2 0 0 1 1 2		
4 2 1 0 0 2 1		
5 2 1 2 1 0 0		
0 2 2 2 2 2 2		
1 2 2 1 0 1 0		

Using the properties $I_9 = I_9 I_9 I_9$, $(ABC) \otimes (DEF) = (A \otimes D)(B \otimes E)(C \otimes F)$ and $I_9 = P_9 + \tau_9$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{36} = & \sum_{i=1}^2 (T_i \otimes Q_i)(I_9 \otimes \tau_2 \otimes P_2 + \tau_3 \otimes \tau_3 \otimes P_4)(T_i^T \otimes Q_i^T) \\ & + (I_9 \otimes Q_3)(P_9 \otimes \tau_2 \otimes P_2)(I_9 \otimes Q_3^T) + (I_9 \otimes Q_3)(\tau_9 \otimes \tau_2 \otimes P_2)(I_9 \otimes Q_3^T). \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Now we find an OA whose MI is $I_9 \otimes \tau_2 \otimes P_2 + \tau_3 \otimes \tau_3 \otimes P_4$. The OA $L_{18}(6 \cdot 3^6)$ in Table 4 contains the two columns $(3) \otimes 1_6$ and $1_3 \otimes (3) \otimes 1_2$. An $L_{18}(6 \cdot 3^4)$ is obtained by deleting these two columns. Then

$$m(L_{18}(6 \cdot 3^4)) = \tau_{18} - \tau_3 \otimes P_6 - P_3 \otimes \tau_3 \otimes P_2 = I_9 \otimes \tau_2 + \tau_3 \otimes \tau_3 \otimes P_2.$$

From (9) and Theorems 1, 2 and 3, we can lay out a new OA $L_{36}(6^2 \cdot 3^8 \cdot 2) = [(T_1 \otimes Q_1)(L_{18}(6 \cdot 3^4) \otimes 1_2), (T_2 \otimes Q_2)(L_{18}(6 \cdot 3^4) \otimes 1_2), (I_9 \otimes Q_3)(1_9 \otimes (2) \otimes 1_2)]$.

Acknowledgement

We are grateful to the associate editor and referees for their constructive suggestions. This work was supported by the National Education Committee (96JAQ910002) and Foundation of National Social Sciences Plan (97BTJ002) in China.

References

Box, G. E. P. and Draper, N. R. (1987). *Empirical Model-Building and Response Surfaces*. John Wiley, New York.

Hedayat, A. S., Pu, Kewei and Stufken, John (1992). On the construction of asymmetrical orthogonal arrays. *Ann. Statist.* **20**, 2142-2152.

Ryoh, Fuji-Hara (1993). Orthogonal array from Baer Subplanes. *Utilitas Math.* **43**, 65-70.

Wu, C. F. J., Zhang, R. and Wang, R. (1992). Construction of asymmetrical orthogonal array of the type OA $(s^k, s^m(s^{r_1})^{n_1} \dots (s^{r_t})^{n_t})$. *Statist. Sinica* **2**, 203-219.

Wu, C. F. J. (1989). Construction of $2^m 4^n$ design via group scheme. *Ann. Statist.* **17**, 1880-1885.

Wang, J. C. and Wu, C. F. J. (1991). An approach for the construction of asymmetrical orthogonal arrays. *J. Amer. Statist. Assoc.* **6**, 450-456.

Zhang, Y. S. (1989). Asymmetrical orthogonal array with run size 100. *Chinese Science Bulletin* **23**, 1835-1836.

Zhang, Y. S. (1990a). Orthogonal arrays with run size 36. *J. Henan Normal University* **4**, 1-5.

Zhang, Y. S. (1990b). Orthogonal array $L_{100}(20 \cdot 5^{20})$. *J. Henan Normal University* **4**, 93-93.

Zhang, Y. S. (1991a). The orthogonal arrays $L_{72}(24 \cdot 3^{24})$. *Chinese J. Application of Statistics and Management* **3**, 45-45.

Zhang, Y. S. (1991b). Asymmetrical orthogonal design by multi-matrix methods. *J. Chinese Statist. Assoc.* **2**, 197-218.

Zhang, Y. S. (1992). Orthogonal array and matrices. *J. Math. Research And Exposition* **3**, 438-440.

Zhang, Y. S. (1993). *Theory of Multilateral Matrix*. Chinese Statistic Press.

Department of Mathematics, Henan Normal University, Xixiang, 453002.

E-mail: guozm@public.zz.ha.cn

PLA's College of Electronic Technology, Zhengzhou, 450004.

E-mail: guozm@public.zz.ha.cn

Department of Mathematics, Henan Normal University, Xixiang, 453002.

E-mail: guozm@public.zz.ha.cn

(Received November 1995; accepted July 1998)