

**ESTIMATION OF SINGLE-INDEX MODELS WITH  
FIXED CENSORED RESPONSES**

Hailin Huang<sup>1</sup>, Yuanzhang Li<sup>1</sup>, Hua Liang<sup>1</sup> and Yanlin Tang<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>George Washington University and <sup>2</sup>East China Normal University

**Supplementary Material**

The Supplementary Material includes the technical proofs of Proposition 1 and Theorems 1-3.

**S1. Proof of Proposition 1**

*Proof.* It is easy to verify that  $Y_i = I(Y_i^* > 0)Y_i^* = I(Y_i > 0)Y_i$ , where  $I(\cdot)$  is the indicator function. Then, the proof follows by a routine calculation as

$$\begin{aligned}
 E(Y_i|X_i^\top\beta) &= E\{Y_i I(Y_i > 0)|X_i^\top\beta\} = E\{Y_i^* I(Y_i^* > 0)|X_i^\top\beta\} \\
 &= \int_{-\infty}^{m(X_i^\top\beta)} \{m(X_i^\top\beta) - \epsilon_i\} f(\epsilon_i) d\epsilon_i \\
 &= m(X_i^\top\beta) F(m(X_i^\top\beta)) - \int_{-\infty}^{m(X_i^\top\beta)} \epsilon_i f(\epsilon_i) d\epsilon_i \\
 &= m(X_i^\top\beta) F(m(X_i^\top\beta)) - \left\{ \epsilon_i F(\epsilon_i) \Big|_{-\infty}^{m(X_i^\top\beta)} - \int_{-\infty}^{m(X_i^\top\beta)} F(\epsilon_i) d\epsilon_i \right\} \\
 &= \int_{-\infty}^{m(X_i^\top\beta)} F(\epsilon_i) d\epsilon_i.
 \end{aligned}$$

## S2. Proof of Theorem 1

Liang et al. (2010) considered model

$$Y_i = r(X_i^\top \beta) + Z_i^\top \alpha + e_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad (\text{S2.1})$$

and our model can be expressed as a special case of model (S2.1), with  $\alpha = 0$ ,  $e_i = -\epsilon'_i$  and  $r(u) = w \circ m(u)$ , where  $w(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t F(\epsilon) d\epsilon$ . To prove Theorem 1, we only need to verify the assumptions for their Theorem 1. Under our Assumptions A.1-A.4, we can easily verify Conditions (i)-(v) in Liang et al. (2010), and we don't need their condition (vi) since we use the Moore-Penrose inverse of the matrix  $W_0$ .

## S3. Proof of Theorem 2

Before presenting the proof of Theorem 2, we prove three lemmas to facilitate the proof. Lemma 1 is used to prove Lemma 2, which is used to prove Lemma 3.

By using the profile least-squares principle and applying Theorem 1, we can obtain a root- $n$  consistent estimator  $\hat{\beta}$  of  $\beta_0$ . Thus, all calculations in this section, unless stated otherwise, correspond to  $u = x^\top \beta$ ,  $x \in D_X$  and  $\beta \in \Theta_{c_0} = \{\beta : \|\beta - \beta_0\| \leq c_0 n^{-1/2}\}$  for some  $c_0 > 0$ ; similar justification

can be found in Zhu and Xue (2006) and Wang et al. (2010). We define

$$W_{ni}(t; \beta) = \frac{K_{h_2}(r(X_i^\top \beta) - t)[S_{n,2}(t; \beta, h_2) - \{r(X_i^\top \beta) - t\}S_{n,1}(t; \beta, h_2)]}{S_{n,0}(t; \beta, h_2)S_{n,2}(t; \beta, h_2) - S_{n,1}^2(t; \beta, h_2)},$$

where  $S_{n,l}(t; \beta, h_2) = \sum_{j=1}^n \{r(X_j^\top \beta) - t\}^l K_{h_2}(r(X_j^\top \beta) - t)$  for  $l = 0, 1, 2$ .

**Lemma 1.** *Suppose Assumptions A.1- A.4 hold, and  $r(\cdot)$  is a known function. Then, for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $x \in D_X$  and  $\beta \in \Theta_{e_0}$ , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} E \left\{ q(r(X_i^\top \beta_0)) - \sum_{j=1}^n W_{nj}(r(X_i^\top \beta_0); \beta_0) q(r(X_j^\top \beta_0)) \right\}^2 &= O(h_2^4), \\ E \left\{ q(r(x^\top \beta)) - \sum_{j=1}^n W_{nj}(r(x^\top \beta); \beta) q(r(X_j^\top \beta)) \right\}^2 &= O(h_2^4), \\ E \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n W_{nj}^2(r(x^\top \beta); \beta) \right\} &= O((nh_2)^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Under Assumptions A.1-A.4, the Conditions  $C_1, C_2, C_3(i)$  of Wang et al. (2010) and Conditions 1-3 of Zhu and Xue (2006) are satisfied. Since here  $r(\cdot)$  is assumed to be known, proof then follows by simply replacing  $T_i = X_i^\top \beta$  with  $T_i = r(X_i^\top \beta)$  in Lemmas 1 and 2 of Zhu and Xue (2006).  $\square$

**Lemma 2.** *Suppose Assumptions A.1-A.4 hold, and  $r(\cdot)$  is a known function. Then, for  $\beta \in \Theta_{e_0}$ , we have*

$$E|\hat{q}(r(X_i^\top \beta); r(\cdot), \beta) - q(r(X_i^\top \beta))| = O(h_2^2 + (nh_2)^{-1/2}), \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

*Proof.* When  $r(\cdot)$  is known, by a routine calculation, we have that,  $\forall u =$

$x^\top \beta$ ,  $\sum_{j=1}^n W_{nj}(r(u); \beta) \equiv 1$ , and

$$\hat{q}(r(X_i^\top \beta); r(\cdot), \beta) = \sum_{j=1}^n W_{nj}(r(X_i^\top \beta); \beta) I(Y_j > 0).$$

Let  $I(Y_i > 0) = q(r(X_i^\top \beta_0)) + e_i$ , where  $e_i$  is the error term in second stage estimation for  $q(\cdot)$ . By Assumption A.2(iii),  $e_i^2$  is bounded. For notational convenience, we define  $u_i = X_i^\top \beta$ ,  $u_{i,0} = X_i^\top \beta_0$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{q}(r(u_i); r(\cdot), \beta) - q(r(u_i)) &= \sum_{j=1}^n W_{nj}(r(u_i); \beta) I(Y_j > 0) - q(r(u_i)) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n W_{nj}(r(u_i); \beta) \{q(r(u_{j,0})) + e_j\} - q(r(u_i)) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n W_{nj}(r(u_i); \beta) \{q(r(u_{j,0})) - q(r(u_i)) + e_j\}. \end{aligned}$$

It then follows from Lemma 1 that

$$\begin{aligned} & E\{\hat{q}(r(u_i); \beta) - q(r(u_i))\}^2 \\ &= E\left[\sum_{j=1}^n W_{nj}(r(u_i); \beta) \{q(r(u_{j,0})) - q(r(u_i)) + e_j\}\right]^2 \\ &= E\left[\sum_{j=1}^n W_{nj}(r(u_i); \beta) \{q(r(u_{j,0})) - q(r(u_j)) + q(r(u_j)) - q(r(u_i)) + e_j\}\right]^2 \\ &= E\left[\{-q(r(u_i)) + \sum_{j=1}^n W_{nj}(r(u_i); \beta) q(r(u_j))\} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \sum_{j=1}^n W_{nj}(r(u_i); \beta) \{q(r(u_j)) - q(r(u_{j,0}))\} + \sum_{j=1}^n W_{nj}(r(u_i); \beta) e_j\right]^2 \\ &\leq 2E\left\{q(r(u_i)) - \sum_{j=1}^n W_{nj}(r(u_i); \beta) q(r(u_j))\right\}^2 + 2E\left\{\sum_{j=1}^n W_{nj}(r(u_i); \beta) e_j\right\}^2 + O(n^{-1}) \\ &\leq d_1 h_2^4 + 2 \sum_{j=1}^n E\left\{W_{nj}^2(r(u_i); \beta) e_j^2\right\} \leq d_1 h_2^4 + d_2 (nh_2)^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $d_1, d_2$  are some positive constants. The last second inequality holds due to the fact that  $\{W_{nj}(r(u_i); \beta)e_j, j = 1, \dots, n\}$  are independent mean zero random variables given  $u_i$ , and the last inequality holds because  $e_i^2$  is bounded. Using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, Lemma 2 is proved.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.** *Under the assumptions of Lemma 2, we have*

$$E \left| \int_{r(u)}^{\lambda_r} \frac{q(s) - \hat{q}(s; r, \beta)}{q^2(s)} ds \right| = O(h_2^2 + (nh_2)^{-1/2}).$$

*Proof.* Noticing that  $\inf_{u \in \Omega} q(r(u)) > 0$  and using Lemma 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} E \left| \int_{r(u)}^{\lambda_r} \frac{q(s) - \hat{q}(s; r, \beta)}{q^2(s)} ds \right| &\leq \int_{x \in D_X} \int_{r(u)}^{\lambda_r} \left| \frac{q(s) - \hat{q}(s; r, \beta)}{q^2(s)} \right| ds f_X(x) dx \\ &= \int_{r(u)}^{\lambda_r} \int_{x \in D_X} \left| \frac{q(s) - \hat{q}(s; r, \beta)}{q^2(s)} \right| f_X(x) dx ds \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\inf_s q^2(s)} \int_{r(u)}^{\lambda_r} \int_{x \in D_X} |q(s) - \hat{q}(s; r, \beta)| f_X(x) dx ds \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\inf_s q^2(s)} \int_{r(u)}^{\lambda_r} E |q(s) - \hat{q}(s; r, \beta)| ds \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\inf_s q^2(s)} O(h_2^2 + (nh_2)^{-1/2}), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\inf_s q^2(s)$  is taken over  $s = r(u)$  for  $u \in \Omega$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 2.* By Theorems 2 and 3 of Lewbel and Linton (2002), if  $\sup_{\epsilon \in \Omega_\epsilon}(\epsilon) \leq \sup_u r(u) = \lambda_r$ , where the superium is taken over  $\{u : u = x^\top \beta_0, x \in D_X\}$ ,  $m(u)$  can be written as

$$m(u) = \lambda_r - \int_{r(u)}^{\lambda_r} \frac{1}{q(s)} ds.$$

In general, without the assumption above,  $m(u)$  can be written as

$$m(u) = k_0 + \lambda_r - \int_{r(u)}^{\lambda_r} \frac{1}{q(s)} ds.$$

We can see that the only difference is the constant  $k_0$ . In what follows, we use the form without  $k_0$  as Lewbel and Linton (2002).

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{m}(u) - m(u) &= \hat{\lambda}_r - \lambda_r + \int_{r(u)}^{\lambda_r} \frac{1}{q(s)} ds - \int_{\hat{r}(u)}^{\hat{\lambda}_r} \frac{1}{\hat{q}(s)} ds \\ &= \hat{\lambda}_r - \lambda_r + \int_{r(u)}^{\hat{r}(u)} \frac{1}{q(s)} ds + \int_{\hat{r}(u)}^{\lambda_r} \frac{1}{q(s)} ds - \int_{\hat{r}(u)}^{\hat{\lambda}_r} \frac{1}{\hat{q}(s)} ds. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S3.2})$$

By Taylor expansion of  $q(\cdot)$  around  $r(u)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{r(u)}^{\hat{r}(u)} \frac{1}{q(s)} ds &= \int_{r(u)}^{\hat{r}(u)} \left[ \frac{1}{q(r(u))} - \frac{q'(r(u))}{q^2(r(u))} \{s - r(u)\} + O\{s - r(u)\}^2 \right] ds \\ &= \frac{1}{q(r(u))} \{\hat{r}(u) - r(u)\} - \frac{q'(r(u))}{2q^2(r(u))} \{\hat{r}(u) - r(u)\}^2 + O\{\hat{r}(u) - r(u)\}^3. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S3.3})$$

By Taylor expansion of  $\hat{q}(\cdot)$  around  $\lambda_r$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\lambda_r}^{\hat{\lambda}_r} \frac{1}{\hat{q}(s)} ds &= \int_{\lambda_r}^{\hat{\lambda}_r} \left\{ \frac{1}{\hat{q}(\lambda_r)} - \frac{\hat{q}'(\lambda_r)}{\hat{q}^2(\lambda_r)} (s - \lambda_r) + O(s - \lambda_r)^2 \right\} ds \\ &= \frac{1}{\hat{q}(\lambda_r)} (\hat{\lambda}_r - \lambda_r) - \frac{\hat{q}'(\lambda_r)}{2\hat{q}^2(\lambda_r)} (\hat{\lambda}_r - \lambda_r)^2 + O(\hat{\lambda}_r - \lambda_r)^3 \\ &= \frac{1}{q(\lambda_r)} (\hat{\lambda}_r - \lambda_r) + \frac{q(\lambda_r) - \hat{q}(\lambda_r)}{\hat{q}(\lambda_r)q(\lambda_r)} (\hat{\lambda}_r - \lambda_r) \\ &\quad - \frac{\hat{q}'(\lambda_r)}{2\hat{q}^2(\lambda_r)} (\hat{\lambda}_r - \lambda_r)^2 + O(\hat{\lambda}_r - \lambda_r)^3. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S3.4})$$

Combining (S3.2)-(S3.4), and by the mean value theorem, we have

$$\hat{m}(u) - m(u)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left(1 - \frac{1}{q(\lambda_r)}\right)(\hat{\lambda}_r - \lambda_r) + \frac{1}{q(r(u))}\{\hat{r}(u) - r(u)\} - \frac{q'(r(u))}{2q^2(r(u))}\{\hat{r}(u) - r(u)\}^2 \\
&\quad + O\{\hat{r}(u) - r(u)\}^3 - \frac{\{\hat{q}(\bar{r}(u)) - q(\bar{r}(u))\}\{\hat{r}(u) - r(u)\}}{q(\bar{r}(u))\hat{q}(\bar{r}(u))} + \int_{r(u)}^{\lambda_r} \frac{\hat{q}(s) - q(s)}{q^2(s)} ds \\
&\quad + \int_{r(u)}^{\lambda_r} \frac{\{\hat{q}(s) - q(s)\}^2}{q^2(s)\hat{q}(s)} ds - \frac{q(\lambda_r) - \hat{q}(\lambda_r)}{\hat{q}(\lambda_r)q(\lambda_r)}(\hat{\lambda}_r - \lambda_r) + \frac{\hat{q}'(\bar{\lambda})}{2\hat{q}^2(\bar{\lambda})}(\hat{\lambda}_r - \lambda_r)^2 \\
&= T_1 + T_2 + T_3 + T_4 + T_5 + T_6 + T_7 + T_8 + T_9,
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\bar{r}(u)$  is some value between  $\hat{r}(u)$  and  $r(u)$ , and  $\bar{\lambda}$  is some value between  $\hat{\lambda}_r$  and  $\lambda_r$ ,

We first consider  $T_k, k = 1, \dots, 4$ . Since  $q(\lambda_r) = 1$  by Assumption A.1, we have  $T_1 = 0$ . For  $T_2$ , similar to Theorem 1 in Carroll et al. (1997), we have

$$\sqrt{nh_1} \left\{ T_2 - \frac{1}{2} k_2 r''(u) h_1^2 \right\} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} N \{0, \sigma_u^2 / s_0^2(u)\}, \quad (\text{S3.5})$$

where  $s_0(u) = q(r(u))$  and  $k_2$  is some constant. Since  $T_3$  and  $T_4$  are higher orders of  $\hat{r}(u) - r(u)$ , thus both of them are  $o_p(h_1^2 + (nh_1)^{-1/2})$ .

Now we turn to  $T_k, k = 5, \dots, 9$ . Define  $\hat{q}(s) = \hat{q}(s; \hat{r}, \beta)$  as the estimator of  $q(\cdot)$  evaluated at  $s$ ,  $\hat{q}(s; r, \beta)$  as the estimator given  $r(\cdot)$ , and  $\hat{q}(s; r, \beta_0)$  as the estimator given  $r(\cdot)$  and  $\beta_0$ . We decompose  $\hat{q}(s; \hat{r}, \beta) - q(s)$  as

$$\hat{q}(s; \hat{r}, \beta) - q(s) = \hat{q}(s; \hat{r}, \beta) - \hat{q}(s; r, \beta) + \hat{q}(s; r, \beta) - q(s).$$

By Markov inequality, Lemma 3 indicates that  $\int_{r(u)}^{\lambda_r} \frac{q(s) - \hat{q}(s; r, \beta)}{q^2(s)} ds = O_p((nh_2)^{-1/2} +$

$h_2^2$ ), and thus

$$\begin{aligned} T_6 &= \int_{r(u)}^{\lambda_r} \frac{q(s) - \hat{q}(s; r, \beta)}{q^2(s)} ds + \int_{r(u)}^{\lambda_r} \frac{\hat{q}(s; r, \beta) - \hat{q}(s; \hat{r}, \beta)}{q^2(s)} ds \\ &= O_p(h_2^2 + (nh_2)^{-1/2}) + O_p(h_2^2) = O_p(h_2^2 + (nh_2)^{-1/2}), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S3.6})$$

where the order of  $\int_{r(u)}^{\lambda_r} \frac{\hat{q}(s; r, \beta) - \hat{q}(s; \hat{r}, \beta)}{q^2(s)} ds$  follows from Lemmas 2 and 3 and Theorem 5 in Lewbel and Linton (2002). By Lemma 2 of Lewbel and Linton (2002),  $\text{Var}(T_6) = O_p(1/n)$ .

By Lemma 1 of Ichimura (1993), we have that  $\sup_u |q(r(u)) - \hat{q}(r(u); r, \beta)| = o_p(1)$ . By (26) and Theorem 5 of Lewbel and Linton (2002), we have  $\sup_u |\hat{q}(r(u); r, \beta) - \hat{q}(r(u); \hat{r}, \beta)| = o_p(1)$ . Thus,  $\sup_u |q(r(u)) - \hat{q}(\hat{r}(u); \hat{r}, \beta)| = o_p(1)$ , where the supreme is taken over  $u = x^\top \beta, x \in D_X$  and  $\beta \in \Theta_{c_0}$ .

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} T_5 &= \frac{\{\hat{q}(\bar{r}(u)) - q(\bar{r}(u))\}\{\hat{r}(u) - r(u)\}}{q(\bar{r}(u))\hat{q}(\bar{r}(u))} = \frac{o_p(1)O_p(h_1^2 + (nh_1)^{-1/2})}{q^2(\bar{r}(u))(1 + o_p(1))} \\ &= o_p(h_1^2 + (nh_1)^{-1/2}), \\ T_7 &= \int_{r(u)}^{\lambda_r} \frac{\{\hat{q}(s) - q(s)\}^2}{q^2(s)\hat{q}(s)} ds \leq \int_{r(u)}^{\lambda_r} \frac{\{\hat{q}(s) - q(s)\}^2}{q^3(s)(\hat{q}(s)/q(s))} ds \\ &\leq \frac{1}{1 + o_p(1)} \int_{r(u)}^{\lambda_r} \frac{\{\hat{q}(s) - q(s)\}^2}{q^3(s)} ds \\ &\leq \frac{1}{1 + o_p(1)} o_p(h_2^2 + (nh_2)^{-1/2}) = o_p(h_2^2 + (nh_2)^{-1/2}), \\ T_8 &= \frac{q(\lambda_r) - \hat{q}(\lambda_r)}{\hat{q}(\lambda_r)q(\lambda_r)} (\hat{\lambda}_r - \lambda_r) \frac{o_p(1)O_p(h_1^2 + (nh_1)^{-1/2})}{q^2(\lambda_r)(1 + o_p(1))} = o_p(h_1^2 + (nh_1)^{-1/2}), \\ T_9 &= \frac{\hat{q}'(\bar{\lambda})}{2\hat{q}^2(\bar{\lambda})} (\hat{\lambda}_r - \lambda_r)^2 = o_p(h_1^2 + (nh_1)^{-1/2}). \end{aligned}$$

In summary,  $T_1 + T_3 + T_4 + T_5 + T_7 + T_8 + T_9 = o_p(h_1^2 + (nh_1)^{-1/2})$  under Assumption A.3 (ii), and together with (S3.5)-(S3.6), the proof of Theorem 2 is completed, and the bounded function  $b_m(\cdot)$  is determined by  $T_2$  and  $T_6$ .

#### S4. Proof of Theorem 3

We first present two lemmas for proving Theorem 3.

**Lemma 4.** *Under Assumptions A.1-A.4, suppose that  $\beta \in \Theta_{c_0}$ , then  $K(\frac{X_i^\top \beta_0 - X_j^\top \beta_0}{h})/h - K(\frac{X_i^\top \beta - X_j^\top \beta}{h})/h = O(n^{-1/2})$ .*

*Proof.* By the mean value theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{h}K\left(\frac{X_i^\top \beta_0 - X_j^\top \beta_0}{h}\right) - \frac{1}{h}K\left(\frac{X_i^\top \beta - X_j^\top \beta}{h}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{h}K'\left(\frac{X_i^\top \beta^{**} - X_j^\top \beta^{**}}{h}\right)\left(\frac{X_i^\top \beta_0 - X_j^\top \beta_0}{h} - \frac{X_i^\top \beta - X_j^\top \beta}{h}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\beta^{**}$  is some value between  $\beta_0$  and  $\beta$ . By Assumption A.4, there exists a constant  $L$ , such that  $K'(s) \leq C_4|s|^{-2}$  for some constant  $C_4$  when  $s > L$ .

Then we bound the difference in two cases.

**Case 1.** When  $|\frac{X_i^\top \beta^{**} - X_j^\top \beta^{**}}{h}| > L$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{1}{h}K\left(\frac{X_i^\top \beta_0 - X_j^\top \beta_0}{h}\right) - \frac{1}{h}K\left(\frac{X_i^\top \beta - X_j^\top \beta}{h}\right) \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{h}K'\left(\frac{X_i^\top \beta^{**} - X_j^\top \beta^{**}}{h}\right) \left| \frac{X_i^\top \beta_0 - X_j^\top \beta_0}{h} - \frac{X_i^\top \beta - X_j^\top \beta}{h} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{h^2}|C_4| \left| \frac{X_i^\top \beta^{**} - X_j^\top \beta^{**}}{h} \right|^{-2} O(n^{-1/2}) \\ &\leq O(n^{-1/2}). \end{aligned}$$

**Case 2.** When  $|\frac{X_i^\top \beta^{**} - X_j^\top \beta^{**}}{h}| < L < \infty$ , since the support of  $X$  is bounded, it implies that  $\frac{1}{h} < C < \infty$  or  $|X_i^\top \beta^{**} - X_j^\top \beta^{**}| = 0$  (this implies  $K'(0) = 0$ ). Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{1}{h} K\left(\frac{X_i^\top \beta_0 - X_j^\top \beta_0}{h}\right) - \frac{1}{h} K\left(\frac{X_i^\top \beta - X_j^\top \beta}{h}\right) \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{h} \left| K'\left(\frac{X_i^\top \beta^{**} - X_j^\top \beta^{**}}{h}\right) \right| \left| \frac{X_i^\top \beta_0 - X_j^\top \beta_0}{h} - \frac{X_i^\top \beta - X_j^\top \beta}{h} \right| \\ &= O(n^{-1/2}). \end{aligned}$$

Result follows from Cases 1 and 2.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.** (Theorem 1; Hall, 1984) *Let  $Z_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  be i.i.d random vectors, for each  $n$ , and let  $U_n = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq n} H_n(Z_i, Z_j)$ ,  $M_n(x, y) = E\{H_n(Z_1, x)H_n(Z_1, y)\}$ , where  $H_n$  is a sequence of measurable functions symmetric under permutation, with  $E\{H_n(Z_1, Z_2|Z_1)\} = 0$  a.s. and  $E(H_n^2(Z_1, Z_2)) < \infty$ , for each  $n \geq 1$ . If  $\{EM_n^2(Z_1, Z_2) + n^{-1}H_n^4(Z_1, Z_2)\}/EH_n^2(Z_1, Z_2) \rightarrow 0$ , then  $U_n/n$  is asymptotically normal distributed with mean zero and variance  $EH_n^2(Z_1, Z_2)$ .*

*Proof of Theorem 3.* We first investigate the asymptotic property of  $V_n$ .

Recall the definition  $r_0(u) = \int_{-\infty}^{\zeta_0 + \zeta_1 u} \Phi(\epsilon/\sigma) d\epsilon$  in Section 2.4 of the main part. To reflect the dependence of  $r$  on  $\sigma$ , we rewrite the definition as  $r_0(u, \sigma) = \int_{-\infty}^{\zeta_0 + \zeta_1 u} \Phi(\epsilon/\sigma) d\epsilon$ . Let  $\epsilon'_i = Y_i - r_0(X_i^\top \beta_0, \sigma)$ . Then

$$\epsilon'_i = \epsilon_i - \{r_0(X_i^\top \beta, \hat{\sigma}) - r_0(X_i^\top \beta_0, \sigma)\}. \quad (\text{S4.7})$$

Thus, we can write  $V_n$  as the sum of the following three terms.

$$\begin{aligned} V_{1n} &= \frac{1}{n(n-1)h} \sum_{i \neq j} K\left(\frac{X_i^\top \beta - X_j^\top \beta}{h}\right) \epsilon'_i \epsilon'_j. \\ V_{2n} &= \frac{1}{n(n-1)h} \sum_{i \neq j} K\left(\frac{X_i^\top \beta - X_j^\top \beta}{h}\right) \epsilon'_i \{r_0(X_j^\top \beta, \hat{\sigma}) - r_0(X_j^\top \beta_0, \sigma)\}. \\ V_{3n} &= \frac{1}{n(n-1)h} \sum_{i \neq j} K\left(\frac{X_i^\top \beta - X_j^\top \beta}{h}\right) \{r_0(X_i^\top \beta, \hat{\sigma}) - r_0(X_j^\top \beta_0, \sigma)\} \\ &\quad \{r_0(X_i^\top \beta, \hat{\sigma}) - r_0(X_j^\top \beta_0, \sigma)\}. \end{aligned}$$

We establish the asymptotic property of  $V_{1n}$ ,  $V_{2n}$  and  $V_{3n}$  separately. For convenience, we define terms  $V_{2n}^*$  and  $V_{3n}^*$  by replacing  $\beta$  by  $\beta_0$  in  $V_{2n}$  and  $V_{3n}$ .

Define  $Z_i = (X_i^\top \beta, \epsilon'_i)$ , and let  $H_n(Z_i, Z_j) = \frac{1}{h} K\left(\frac{X_i^\top \beta - X_j^\top \beta}{h}\right) \epsilon'_i \epsilon'_j$ . It can be seen that  $E\{H_n(Z_1, Z_2|Z_1)\} = 0$  (first take expectation conditional on  $Z_2$  and then on  $\epsilon'$ ) and  $E\{H_n^2(Z_1, Z_2|Z_1)\} < \infty$  for each  $n$ , as  $\epsilon'_i$  has finite second moment. Define  $M_n(x, y) = E\{H_n(Z_1, x)H_n(Z_1, y)\}$ . Letting  $U = X^\top \beta$ , and following the results of Theorem 3.1 in Koul et al. (2014), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &E\{M_n^2(Z_1, Z_2)\} \\ &= E[E\{H_n(Z_3, Z_1)H_n(Z_3, Z_2)\} | (Z_1, Z_2)]^2 \\ &= E\left[E\left\{\frac{1}{h^2} K\left(\frac{X_3^\top \beta - X_1^\top \beta}{h}\right) K\left(\frac{X_3^\top \beta - X_2^\top \beta}{h}\right) \epsilon'_1 \epsilon'_2 \epsilon'_3 | Z_1, Z_2\right\}\right]^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{h^4} E\left\{\epsilon'_1 \epsilon'_2 \int K\left(\frac{X_3^\top \beta - X_1^\top \beta}{h}\right) K\left(\frac{X_3^\top \beta - X_2^\top \beta}{h}\right) \tau^2(X^\top \beta) f_U(X_3^\top \beta) dU\right\}^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{h^4} E \left[ \epsilon_1'^2 \epsilon_2'^2 \left\{ \int K \left( \frac{X_3^\top \beta - X_1^\top \beta}{h} \right) K \left( \frac{X_3^\top \beta - X_2^\top \beta}{h} \right) \tau^2(X^\top \beta) f_U(X_3^\top \beta) dU \right\}^2 \right] \\
&\leq \frac{1}{h^4} E \left[ \epsilon_1'^2 \epsilon_2'^2 \left\{ \int K \left( \frac{X_3^\top \beta_0 - X_1^\top \beta_0}{h} \right) K \left( \frac{X_3^\top \beta_0 - X_2^\top \beta_0}{h} \right) \tau^2(X^\top \beta_0) f_U(X_3^\top \beta_0) dU \right\}^2 \right] \\
&\quad + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{nh}}\right) \\
&= \frac{c}{h^4} E \left[ \epsilon_1'^2 \epsilon_2'^2 \left\{ \int K \left( \frac{X_3^\top \beta_0 - X_1^\top \beta_0}{h} \right) K \left( \frac{X_3^\top \beta_0 - X_2^\top \beta_0}{h} \right) \tau^2(X^\top \beta_0) f_U(X_3^\top \beta_0) dU \right\}^2 \right] \\
&\quad + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{nh}}\right) \\
&= O(1/h) + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{nh}}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&E\{H_n^2(Z_1, Z_2)\} \\
&= E_\beta \left\{ \frac{1}{h} K \left( \frac{X_1^\top \beta - X_2^\top \beta}{h} \right) \epsilon_1' \epsilon_2' \right\}^2 = E_\beta \left\{ \frac{1}{h^2} K^2 \left( \frac{X_1^\top \beta - X_2^\top \beta}{h} \right) \epsilon_1^{2'} \epsilon_2^{2'} \right\} \\
&= E_{\beta_0} \left\{ \frac{1}{h^2} K^2 \left( \frac{X_1^\top \beta_0 - X_2^\top \beta_0}{h} \right) \epsilon_1^{2'} \epsilon_2^{2'} \right\} + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{nh}}\right) = O(1/h) + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{nh}}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
E\{H_n^4(Z_1, Z_2)\} &= E_\beta \left\{ \frac{1}{h} K \left( \frac{X_1^\top \beta - X_2^\top \beta}{h} \right) \epsilon_1' \epsilon_2' \right\}^4 \\
&= E_{\beta_0} \left\{ \frac{1}{h^4} K^4 \left( \frac{X_1^\top \beta_0 - X_2^\top \beta_0}{h} \right) \epsilon_1^{4'} \epsilon_2^{4'} \right\} + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{nh^3}}\right) \\
&= O\left(\frac{1}{h^3}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{nh^3}}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\frac{EM_n^2(Z_1, Z_2) + n^{-1}H_n^4(Z_1, Z_2)}{E\{H_n^2(Z_1, Z_2)\}} = \frac{O(1/h) + O(1/nh^3)}{O(1/h^2)} \rightarrow 0.$$

These results indicate that  $nh^{1/2}V_{1n} \rightarrow N(0, \gamma^2)$ . Furthermore,

$$|V_{2n}| \leq \frac{1}{n(n-1)h} \sum_{i \neq j} K \left( \frac{X_i^\top \beta - X_j^\top \beta}{h} \right) |\epsilon_i'| |r_0(X_j^\top \beta, \hat{\sigma}) - r_0(X_j^\top \beta_0, \sigma)|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{n(n-1)h} \sum_{i \neq j} \left\{ K\left(\frac{X_i^\top \beta_0 - X_j^\top \beta_0}{h}\right) + K\left(\frac{X_i^\top \beta - X_j^\top \beta}{h}\right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - K\left(\frac{X_i^\top \beta_0 - X_j^\top \beta_0}{h}\right) \right\} |\epsilon'_i| |r_0(X_j^\top \beta_0, \hat{\sigma}) - r_0(X_j^\top \beta_0, \sigma)| \{1 + O_p(n^{-1/2})\} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{n(n-1)h} \sum_{i \neq j} K\left(\frac{X_i^\top \beta_0 - X_j^\top \beta_0}{h}\right) |r_0(X_j^\top \beta_0, \hat{\sigma}) - r_0(X_j^\top \beta_0, \sigma)| |\epsilon'_i| \{1 + O_p(n^{-1/2})\} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{n(n-1)h} \sum_{i \neq j} \left| K\left(\frac{X_i^\top \beta - X_j^\top \beta}{h}\right) - K\left(\frac{X_i^\top \beta_0 - X_j^\top \beta_0}{h}\right) \right| |\epsilon'_i| \\
&\quad \times |r_0(X_j^\top \beta_0, \hat{\sigma}) - r_0(X_j^\top \beta_0, \sigma)| \{1 + O_p(n^{-1/2})\} \\
&= V_{2n}^* \{1 + O_p(n^{-1/2})\} + \frac{1}{n(n-1)} \sum_{i \neq j} O_p(n^{-1/2}) o_p(n^{-1/2}) |\epsilon'_i| \{1 + O_p(n^{-1/2})\} \\
&= O_p(1/n),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
|V_{3n}| &\leq \frac{1}{n(n-1)h} \sum_{i \neq j} K\left(\frac{X_i^\top \beta - X_j^\top \beta}{h}\right) |r_0(X_j^\top \beta_0, \hat{\sigma}) - r_0(X_j^\top \beta_0, \sigma)| \\
&\quad \times |r_0(X_j^\top \beta, \hat{\sigma}) - r_0(X_j^\top \beta_0, \sigma)| \\
&= \frac{1}{n(n-1)h} \sum_{i \neq j} \left\{ K\left(\frac{X_i^\top \beta_0 - X_j^\top \beta_0}{h}\right) + K\left(\frac{X_i^\top \beta - X_j^\top \beta}{h}\right) - K\left(\frac{X_i^\top \beta_0 - X_j^\top \beta_0}{h}\right) \right\} \\
&\quad \times |r_0(X_j^\top \beta_0, \hat{\sigma}) - r_0(X_j^\top \beta_0, \sigma)| |r_0(X_j^\top \beta_0, \hat{\sigma}) - r_0(X_j^\top \beta_0, \sigma)| \{1 + O_p(n^{-1/2})\}^2 \\
&\leq \frac{1}{n(n-1)h} \sum_{i \neq j} K\left(\frac{X_i^\top \beta_0 - X_j^\top \beta_0}{h}\right) |r_0(X_j^\top \beta_0, \hat{\sigma}) \\
&\quad - r_0(X_j^\top \beta_0, \sigma)| |r_0(X_i^\top \beta_0, \hat{\sigma}) - r_0(X_i^\top \beta_0, \sigma)| \{1 + O_p(n^{-1/2})\}^2 \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{n(n-1)h} \sum_{i \neq j} \left| K\left(\frac{X_i^\top \beta - X_j^\top \beta}{h}\right) - K\left(\frac{X_i^\top \beta_0 - X_j^\top \beta_0}{h}\right) \right| \\
&\quad \times |r_0(X_j^\top \beta_0, \hat{\sigma}) - r_0(X_j^\top \beta_0, \sigma)| \{1 + O_p(n^{-1/2})\}^2 \\
&= V_{3n}^* \{1 + O_p(n^{-1/2})\}^2 + \frac{1}{n(n-1)} \sum_{i \neq j} o_p(n^{-1}) O_p(n^{-1/2}) \{1 + O_p(n^{-1/2})\}^2
\end{aligned}$$

$$= O_p(1/n).$$

These calculations imply that  $nh^{1/2}V_{2n} = o_p(1)$  and  $nh^{1/2}V_{3n} = o_p(1)$ . It then follows that

$$nh^{1/2}V_n \rightarrow N(0, \gamma^2). \quad (\text{S4.8})$$

Now we discuss the asymptotic property of our estimator  $\hat{\gamma}^2$ . It follows similarly to the proof to derive the asymptotic property of  $V_n$  that

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\gamma}^2 &= \frac{2}{n(n-1)h} \sum_{i \neq j} \left\{ K\left(\frac{X_i^\top \beta_0 - X_j^\top \beta_0}{h}\right) + K\left(\frac{X_i^\top \beta - X_j^\top \beta}{h}\right) - K\left(\frac{X_i^\top \beta_0 - X_j^\top \beta_0}{h}\right) \right\}^2 \\ &\quad \times \hat{\epsilon}_i'^{2*} \hat{\epsilon}_j'^{2*} \\ &= \frac{2}{n(n-1)h} \sum_{i \neq j} K^2\left(\frac{X_i^\top \beta_0 - X_j^\top \beta_0}{h}\right) \hat{\epsilon}_i'^2 \hat{\epsilon}_j'^2 \{1 + O_p(n^{-1/2})\}^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{2}{n(n-1)h} \sum_{i \neq j} \left\{ K\left(\frac{X_i^\top \beta - X_j^\top \beta}{h}\right) - K\left(\frac{X_i^\top \beta_0 - X_j^\top \beta_0}{h}\right) \right\} K\left(\frac{X_i^\top \beta_0 - X_j^\top \beta_0}{h}\right) \\ &\quad \times \hat{\epsilon}_i'^2 \hat{\epsilon}_j'^2 \{1 + O_p(n^{-1/2})\}^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{2}{n(n-1)h} \sum_{i \neq j} \left\{ K\left(\frac{X_i^\top \beta - X_j^\top \beta}{h}\right) - K\left(\frac{X_i^\top \beta_0 - X_j^\top \beta_0}{h}\right) \right\}^2 \\ &\quad \times \hat{\epsilon}_i'^2 \hat{\epsilon}_j'^2 \{1 + O_p(n^{-1/2})\}^2 \\ &= \gamma^2 \{1 + O_p(n^{-1/2})\}^2 + o_p(n^{-1/2}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\hat{\gamma}^2 = \gamma^2 + O_p(n^{-1/2})$ . We have a consistent estimator of  $\gamma^2$ .

Theorem 3 then follows from (S4.8).

**Bibliography**

- Carroll, R. J., Fan, J., Gijbels, I., and Wand, M. P. (1997). Generalized partially linear single-index models. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 92(438):477–489.
- Hall, P. (1984). Central limit theorem for integrated square error of multivariate nonparametric density estimators. *Journal of Multivariate Analysis*, 14(1):1–16.
- Ichimura, H. (1993). Semiparametric least squares (SLS) and weighted SLS estimation of single-index models. *Journal of Econometrics*, 58:71–120.
- Koul, H. L., Song, W., and Liu, S. (2014). Model checking in Tobit regression via nonparametric smoothing. *Journal of Multivariate Analysis*, 125:36–49.
- Lewbel, A. and Linton, O. (2002). Nonparametric censored and truncated regression. *Econometrica*, 70(2):765–779.
- Liang, H., Liu, X., Li, R., and Tsai, C. L. (2010). Estimation and testing for partially linear single-index models. *The Annals of Statistics*, 38:3811–3836.

Wang, J.-L., Xue, L., Zhu, L., and Chong, Y. S. (2010). Estimation for a partial-linear single-index model. *The Annals of Statistics*, 38:246–274.

Zhu, L. and Xue, L. (2006). Empirical likelihood confidence regions in a partially linear single-index model. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, Series B*, 68:549–570.