

JOINT STRUCTURE SELECTION AND ESTIMATION IN THE TIME-VARYING COEFFICIENT COX MODEL

Wei Xiao, Wenbin Lu and Hao Helen Zhang

North Carolina State University and University of Arizona

Supplementary Material

This note contains the proofs of Theorem 1, 2 and 3 in the main paper. Theorem 1 establishes the root- n consistency of $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^{(1)}$. Theorem 2 establishes the sparsity property of $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^{(0)}$. Theorem 3, which combines both Theorems 1 and 2, is our main theorem. It establishes the selection consistency of the KGNG estimator and its asymptotic normalities for both nonzero constant and time-varying regression coefficient.

Before we give the details of proofs, we first introduce some additional notation. With simple matrix multiplication, we have $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_0^{(1)} = P_1 \boldsymbol{\lambda}_0$ and $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_0^{(0)} = P_2 \boldsymbol{\lambda}_0$, where

$$P_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0}_{p_2 \times p_1} & \mathbf{I}_{p_2} & \mathbf{0}_{p_2 \times p_3} & \mathbf{0}_{p_2 \times p_1} & \mathbf{0}_{p_2 \times p_2} & \mathbf{0}_{p_2 \times p_3} \\ \mathbf{0}_{p_3 \times p_1} & \mathbf{0}_{p_3 \times p_2} & \mathbf{I}_{p_3} & \mathbf{0}_{p_3 \times p_1} & \mathbf{0}_{p_3 \times p_2} & \mathbf{0}_{p_3 \times p_3} \\ \mathbf{0}_{p_3 \times p_1} & \mathbf{0}_{p_3 \times p_2} & \mathbf{0}_{p_3 \times p_3} & \mathbf{0}_{p_3 \times p_1} & \mathbf{0}_{p_3 \times p_2} & \mathbf{I}_{p_3} \end{pmatrix}_{(p_2+2p_3) \times 2p}; \quad (\text{S0.1})$$

$$P_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I}_{p_1} & \mathbf{0}_{p_1 \times p_2} & \mathbf{0}_{p_1 \times p_3} & \mathbf{0}_{p_1 \times p_1} & \mathbf{0}_{p_1 \times p_2} & \mathbf{0}_{p_1 \times p_3} \\ \mathbf{0}_{p_1 \times p_1} & \mathbf{0}_{p_1 \times p_2} & \mathbf{0}_{p_1 \times p_3} & \mathbf{I}_{p_1} & \mathbf{0}_{p_1 \times p_2} & \mathbf{0}_{p_1 \times p_3} \\ \mathbf{0}_{p_2 \times p_1} & \mathbf{0}_{p_2 \times p_2} & \mathbf{0}_{p_2 \times p_3} & \mathbf{0}_{p_2 \times p_1} & \mathbf{I}_{p_2} & \mathbf{0}_{p_2 \times p_3} \end{pmatrix}_{(2p_1+p_2) \times 2p}. \quad (\text{S0.2})$$

Let $\bar{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}_0 = (\boldsymbol{\lambda}_0^{(1)\top}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}_0^{(0)\top})^\top$. We also have $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{01} = P_3 \bar{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}_0 = P_{31} \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(1)} + P_{32} \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(0)}$ and $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{02} = P_4 \bar{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}_0 = P_{41} \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(1)} + P_{42} \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(0)}$, where

$$P_3 = (P_{31} | P_{32}) = \left(\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} \mathbf{0}_{p_1 \times p_2} & \mathbf{0}_{p_1 \times p_3} & \mathbf{0}_{p_1 \times p_3} & \mathbf{I}_{p_1} & \mathbf{0}_{p_1 \times p_1} & \mathbf{0}_{p_1 \times p_2} \\ \mathbf{I}_{p_2} & \mathbf{0}_{p_2 \times p_3} & \mathbf{0}_{p_2 \times p_3} & \mathbf{0}_{p_2 \times p_1} & \mathbf{0}_{p_2 \times p_1} & \mathbf{0}_{p_2 \times p_2} \\ \mathbf{0}_{p_3 \times p_3} & \mathbf{I}_{p_3} & \mathbf{0}_{p_3 \times p_3} & \mathbf{0}_{p_3 \times p_1} & \mathbf{0}_{p_3 \times p_1} & \mathbf{0}_{p_3 \times p_2} \end{array} \right)_{p \times 2p}; \quad (\text{S0.3})$$

$$P_4 = (P_{41} | P_{42}) = \left(\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} \mathbf{0}_{p_1 \times p_2} & \mathbf{0}_{p_1 \times p_3} & \mathbf{0}_{p_1 \times p_3} & \mathbf{0}_{p_1 \times p_1} & \mathbf{I}_{p_1} & \mathbf{0}_{p_1 \times p_2} \\ \mathbf{0}_{p_2 \times p_2} & \mathbf{0}_{p_2 \times p_3} & \mathbf{0}_{p_2 \times p_3} & \mathbf{0}_{p_2 \times p_1} & \mathbf{0}_{p_2 \times p_1} & \mathbf{I}_{p_2} \\ \mathbf{0}_{p_3 \times p_2} & \mathbf{0}_{p_3 \times p_3} & \mathbf{I}_{p_3} & \mathbf{0}_{p_3 \times p_1} & \mathbf{0}_{p_3 \times p_1} & \mathbf{0}_{p_3 \times p_2} \end{array} \right)_{p \times 2p}. \quad (\text{S0.4})$$

Let $L_{2n}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_1, \boldsymbol{\lambda}_2; \widetilde{\boldsymbol{m}}, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^*(\cdot))$ and $Q_{2n}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_1, \boldsymbol{\lambda}_2; \widetilde{\boldsymbol{m}}, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^*(\cdot))$ denote the partial likelihood

and penalized partial likelihood in equation (2.3) respectively. We then have

$$L_{2n}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_1, \boldsymbol{\lambda}_2; \mathbf{m}, \boldsymbol{\beta}(\cdot)) = \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^\tau \left[a(s)^\top \mathbf{Z}_i - \log \left(\sum_{j=1}^n Y_j(s) e^{a(s)^\top \mathbf{Z}_j} \right) \right] dN_i(s);$$

$$Q_{2n}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_1, \boldsymbol{\lambda}_2; \mathbf{m}, \boldsymbol{\beta}(\cdot)) = -L_{2n}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_1, \boldsymbol{\lambda}_2; \mathbf{m}, \boldsymbol{\beta}(\cdot)) + \theta_1 \|\boldsymbol{\lambda}_1\|_1 + \theta_2 \|\boldsymbol{\lambda}_2\|_1,$$

where

$$a(s) \equiv a(s, \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(1)}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(0)}; \mathbf{m}, \boldsymbol{\beta}^*(\cdot)) \equiv a(s, \boldsymbol{\lambda}_1, \boldsymbol{\lambda}_2; \mathbf{m}, \boldsymbol{\beta}^*(\cdot)) = \boldsymbol{\lambda}_1 \circ \mathbf{m} + \boldsymbol{\lambda}_2 \circ \boldsymbol{\beta}^*(s). \quad (\text{S0.5})$$

Let $U(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_1, \boldsymbol{\lambda}_2; \mathbf{m}, \boldsymbol{\beta}^*(\cdot))$ and $H(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_1, \boldsymbol{\lambda}_2; \mathbf{m}, \boldsymbol{\beta}^*(\cdot))$ denote the vector and matrix of the first and second order partial derivatives of $L_{2n}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_1, \boldsymbol{\lambda}_2; \mathbf{m}, \boldsymbol{\beta}(\cdot))$ with respect to $\boldsymbol{\lambda} = (\boldsymbol{\lambda}_1^\top, \boldsymbol{\lambda}_2^\top)^\top$. Thus

$$U(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_1, \boldsymbol{\lambda}_2; \mathbf{m}, \boldsymbol{\beta}(\cdot)) = \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^\tau A(s) (\mathbf{Z}_i - \mathbf{E}(a(s), s)) dN_i(s),$$

$$H(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_1, \boldsymbol{\lambda}_2; \mathbf{m}, \boldsymbol{\beta}(\cdot)) = - \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^\tau A(s) \left[\frac{S^{(2)}(a(s), s)}{S^{(0)}(a(s), s)} - \left(\frac{S^{(1)}(a(s), s)}{S^{(0)}(a(s), s)} \right)^{\otimes 2} \right] A(s)^\top dN_i(s),$$

where

$$A(s) \equiv A(s; \mathbf{m}, \boldsymbol{\beta}^*(\cdot)) = \begin{pmatrix} \text{diag}(\mathbf{m}) \\ \text{diag}(\boldsymbol{\beta}^*(s)) \end{pmatrix}_{2p \times p}. \quad (\text{S0.6})$$

Rearranging the order of $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$, we rewrite $L_{2n}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_1, \boldsymbol{\lambda}_2; \cdot)$ as $L_{2n}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(1)}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(0)}; \cdot)$. Denote the first and second order partial derivatives of $L_{2n}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(1)}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(0)}; \cdot)$ with respect to $\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(1)}$ as $U_1(\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(1)}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(0)}; \cdot)$ and $H_{11}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(1)}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(0)}; \cdot)$. Denote the first and second order partial derivatives of $L_{2n}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(1)}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(0)}; \cdot)$ with respect to $\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(0)}$ as $U_2(\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(1)}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(0)}; \cdot)$ and $H_{22}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(1)}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(0)}; \cdot)$. In the following proofs, we use $\|\cdot\|_1$ and $\|\cdot\|$ to denote ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 norms, respectively.

S1 Proof of Theorem 1

Define $\tilde{a}(s) \equiv a(s, \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(1)}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(0)}; \tilde{\mathbf{m}}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^*(\cdot))$, $\tilde{A}(s) \equiv A(s; \tilde{\mathbf{m}}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^*(\cdot))$ and

$$A_0(s) \equiv A(s; \mathbf{m}_0, \boldsymbol{\beta}_0^*(\cdot)), \quad (\text{S1.7})$$

where $a(s, \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(1)}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(0)}; \mathbf{m}, \boldsymbol{\beta}^*(\cdot))$ and $A(s; \mathbf{m}, \boldsymbol{\beta}^*(\cdot))$ are defined in (S0.5) and (S0.6), respectively. The second order partial derivative of $Q_{2n}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(1)}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(0)}; \tilde{\mathbf{m}}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^*(\cdot))$ with respect

to $\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(1)}$ is

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{\partial^2 Q_{2n}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(1)}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(0)}; \widetilde{\boldsymbol{m}}, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^*(\cdot))}{\partial \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(1)} \partial \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(1)\top}} = -H_{11}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(1)}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(0)}; \widetilde{\boldsymbol{m}}, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^*(\cdot)) \\
 & = \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^\tau P_1 \widetilde{A}(s) \left[\frac{S^{(2)}(\widetilde{a}(s), s)}{S^{(0)}(\widetilde{a}(s), s)} - \left(\frac{S^{(1)}(\widetilde{a}(s), s)}{S^{(0)}(\widetilde{a}(s), s)} \right)^{\otimes 2} \right] \left(P_1 \widetilde{A}(s) \right)^\top dN_i(s) \\
 & = \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^\tau P_1 \widetilde{A}(s) \left[\frac{\sum_{i < j} Y_i(s) Y_j(s) e^{\widetilde{a}(s)^\top \mathbf{Z}_i} e^{\widetilde{a}(s)^\top \mathbf{Z}_j} (\mathbf{Z}_i - \mathbf{Z}_j)^{\otimes 2}}{(S^{(0)}(\widetilde{a}(s), s))^2} \right] \left(P_1 \widetilde{A}(s) \right)^\top dN_i(s),
 \end{aligned}$$

which is positive definite for large n and P_1 is defined in (S0.1). Thus, for any fixed $\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(0)}$, there exists a unique minimizer $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^{(1)}$ of $Q_{2n}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(1)}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(0)}; \widetilde{\boldsymbol{m}}, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^*(\cdot))$ when n is large.

Consider the C -ball $B_n(C) = \{\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(1)} : \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(1)} = \boldsymbol{\lambda}_0^{(1)} + n^{-1/2} \mathbf{u}, \|\mathbf{u}\| \leq C\}$, $C > 0$, and denote its boundary by $\partial B_n(C)$. To prove $\|\widehat{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^{(1)} - \boldsymbol{\lambda}_0^{(1)}\| = O_p(n^{-1/2})$, it is sufficient to show that, for any given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a large constant C so that

$$\text{pr} \left\{ \sup_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(1)} \in \partial B_n(C)} Q_{2n}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(1)}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(0)}; \widetilde{\boldsymbol{m}}, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^*(\cdot)) < Q_{2n}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_0^{(1)}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(0)}; \widetilde{\boldsymbol{m}}, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^*(\cdot)) \right\} \leq 1 - \epsilon. \quad (\text{S1.8})$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 D_{2n}(\mathbf{u}) & = Q_{2n}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_0^{(1)} + n^{-1/2} \mathbf{u}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(0)}; \widetilde{\boldsymbol{m}}, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^*(\cdot)) - Q_{2n}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_0^{(1)}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(0)}; \widetilde{\boldsymbol{m}}, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^*(\cdot)) \\
 & \leq -U_1^\top(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_0^{(1)}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(0)}; \widetilde{\boldsymbol{m}}, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^*(\cdot)) \frac{\mathbf{u}}{\sqrt{n}} + \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{u}^\top \left[-H_{11}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(1)*}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(0)}; \widetilde{\boldsymbol{m}}, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^*(\cdot)) \right] \mathbf{u} \\
 & \quad + \frac{\max(\theta_1, \theta_2)}{\sqrt{n}} \|\mathbf{u}\|_1,
 \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S1.9})$$

where $\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(1)*}$ lies in between $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_0^{(1)}$ and $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_0^{(1)} + n^{-1/2} \mathbf{u}$ and $\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(1)*} \xrightarrow{p} \boldsymbol{\lambda}_0^{(1)}$. Here

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} U_1(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_0^{(1)}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(0)}; \widetilde{\boldsymbol{m}}, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^*(\cdot)) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^\tau P_1 \widetilde{A}_s [\mathbf{Z}_i - \mathbf{E}(\bar{a}(s), s)] dN_i(s), \quad (\text{S1.10})$$

where $\bar{a}(s) \equiv a(s, \boldsymbol{\lambda}_0^{(1)}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(0)}; \widetilde{\boldsymbol{m}}, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^*(\cdot))$. Define $B_1 = \text{diag} \left(P_3 \left(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_0^{(1)\top}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(0)\top} \right)^\top \right)$ and $B_2 = \text{diag} \left(P_4 \left(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_0^{(1)\top}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(0)\top} \right)^\top \right)$, where P_3 and P_4 are defined in (S0.3) and (S0.4), re-

spectively. The first-order Taylor expansion of (S1.10) around m_0 and $\beta_0^*(s)$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} U_1(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_0^{(1)}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(0)}; \widetilde{\boldsymbol{m}}, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^*(\cdot)) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^\tau P_1 \widetilde{A}(s) [\mathbf{Z}_i - \mathbf{E}(\beta_0(s), s)] dN_i(s) \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^\tau P_1 \widetilde{A}(s) V(a^*(s), s) B_1(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{m}} - \mathbf{m}_0) dN_i(s) \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^\tau P_1 \widetilde{A}(s) V(a^*(s), s) B_2(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^*(s) - \beta_0^*(s)) dN_i(s), \end{aligned}$$

where $a^*(s) = a(s, \boldsymbol{\lambda}_0^{(1)}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(0)}; \overline{\boldsymbol{m}}, \overline{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^*(\cdot))$, $\overline{\boldsymbol{m}}$ lies between \mathbf{m}_0 and $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{m}}$, $\overline{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^*(s)$ lies between $\beta_0^*(s)$ and $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^*(s)$, and $\mathbf{E}(\cdot, \cdot)$, $V(\cdot, \cdot)$ are defined in Section 3.1. Furthermore, we can prove (a)

$$\sup_{t \in [0, \tau], \boldsymbol{\beta} \in \mathcal{B}} \|S^{(r)}(\boldsymbol{\beta}, t) - s^{(r)}(\boldsymbol{\beta}, t)\| = O_p(n^{-1/2})$$

where \mathcal{B} is a compact set of \mathbb{R}^p that includes a neighborhood of $\beta_0(t)$ for $t \in [0, \tau]$; (b) $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(t) \xrightarrow{p} \beta_0(t)$ uniformly in the sense that $\sup_{t \in [0, \tau]} \|\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(t) - \beta_0(t)\| = o_p(1)$; (c) both $\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(1)}$ and $\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(0)}$ are bounded. (a) can be justified using the central limit theorem for Banach space (Ledoux and Talagrand, 1991). (b) is proved in Appendix A of Tian et al. (2005). (c) can be justified with the following observations: When $\theta_1 = \theta_2 = 0$ and sample size n goes to infinity, approximately the minimizer of (3.2) are $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_1 = \mathbf{1}$ and $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_2 = \mathbf{1}$. Thus, for all $\theta_1 \geq 0$ and $\theta_2 \geq 0$, $\|\boldsymbol{\lambda}_1\|_1 + \|\boldsymbol{\lambda}_2\|_1 < 2p + 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and $\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(1)}$ and $\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(0)}$ are bounded. Based on (b) and (c), we can prove that $a^*(s) \xrightarrow{p} \beta_0(s)$ uniformly. Thus, given (a), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} U_1(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_0^{(1)}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(0)}; \widetilde{\boldsymbol{m}}, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^*(\cdot)) \\ & \xrightarrow{p} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^\tau P_1 A_0(s) [\mathbf{Z}_i - \mathbf{E}(\beta_0(s), s)] dN_i(s) \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^\tau P_1 A_0(s) \left[\frac{Q_2(s)}{Q_0(s)} - \left(\frac{Q_1(s)}{Q_0(s)} \right)^{\otimes 2} \right] dN_i(s) B_1 \sqrt{n} (\widetilde{\boldsymbol{m}} - \mathbf{m}_0) \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^\tau P_1 A_0(s) \left[\frac{Q_2(s)}{Q_0(s)} - \left(\frac{Q_1(s)}{Q_0(s)} \right)^{\otimes 2} \right] B_2 \sqrt{n} (\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^*(s) - \beta_0^*(s)) dN_i(s) \\ & \triangleq R_1 - R_2 - R_3, \end{aligned}$$

where $Q_0(s)$, $Q_1(s)$ and $Q_2(s)$ are defined in Section 3.1.

Next we want to show $R_1 - R_2 - R_3 = O_p(1)$. Using the fact that $Q_2(s)/Q_0(s) - (Q_1(s)/Q_0(s))^{\otimes 2} = O_p(1)$, $A_0(s) = O_p(1)$ and $\sqrt{n}(\widetilde{\mathbf{m}} - \mathbf{m}_0) = O_p(1)$, it is easy to verify that $R_2 = O_p(1)$. Further, similarly as Lemma 2 we can show

$$\begin{aligned}
 R_3 &\approx \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^\tau (h_n)^{-1/2} P_1 A_0(s) \left[\frac{Q_2(s)}{Q_0(s)} - \left(\frac{Q_1(s)}{Q_0(s)} \right)^{\otimes 2} \right] B_2 \Sigma^{-1}(s) \\
 &\quad (nh_n)^{-1/2} \sum_{j=1}^n \int_0^\tau (\mathbf{Z}_j(u) - E(\boldsymbol{\beta}_0(s), u)) K\left(\frac{u-s}{h_n}\right) dM_j(u) dN_i(s) \\
 &\xrightarrow{p} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{j=1}^n \int_0^\tau \left\{ \int_0^\tau P_1 A_0(s) \left[\frac{Q_2(s)}{Q_0(s)} - \left(\frac{Q_1(s)}{Q_0(s)} \right)^{\otimes 2} \right] \right. \\
 &\quad \left. B_2 \Sigma^{-1}(s) (\mathbf{Z}_j(u) - E(\boldsymbol{\beta}_0(s), u)) \frac{1}{h_n} K\left(\frac{u-s}{h_n}\right) Q_0(s) ds \right\} dM_j(u) \\
 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{j=1}^n \int_0^\tau P_1 A_0(u) \Sigma(u) B_2 \Sigma^{-1}(u) (\mathbf{Z}_j(u) - E(\boldsymbol{\beta}_0(u), u)) dM_j(u),
 \end{aligned}$$

where the “ \xrightarrow{p} ” sign can be proved using the strong approximation argument (Yandell, 1983). By the martingale central limit theorem (Andersen and Gill, 1982), $R_3 = O_p(1)$. Then, we only need to prove $R_1 = O_p(1)$. Since

$$R_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^\tau P_1 A_0(s) [\mathbf{Z}_i - \mathbf{E}(\boldsymbol{\beta}_0(s), s)] dM_i(s) + o_p(1),$$

it follows from the martingale central limit theorem (Andersen and Gill, 1982) that $R_1 = O_p(1)$. Thus,

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} U_1(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_0^{(1)}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(0)}; \widetilde{\mathbf{m}}, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^*(\cdot)) \xrightarrow{p} R_1 - R_2 - R_3 = O_p(1).$$

Furthermore, we know

$$\begin{aligned}
 &-\frac{1}{n} H_{11}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(1)*}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(0)}; \widetilde{\mathbf{m}}, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^*(\cdot)) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^\tau P_1 \widetilde{A}(s) V(\ddot{a}(s), s) (P_1 \widetilde{A}(s))^\top dN_i(s) \\
 &\xrightarrow{p} \int_0^\tau P_1 A_0(s) \Sigma(s) (P_1 A_0(s))^\top ds \triangleq I_{11},
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\ddot{a}(s) = a(s, \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(1)*}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(0)}; \widetilde{\mathbf{m}}, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^*(\cdot))$. From the definition of P_1 (S0.1) and $A_0(s)$ (S1.7), we can show that I_{11} is positive definite and $I_{11} = O_p(1)$. Therefore, given $\max(\theta_1, \theta_2)/\sqrt{n}$ is bounded, if we choose a sufficient large C , the second term in (S1.9) is of order C^2 . The first and third terms are of order C , which are dominated by the second term. Therefore (S1.8) holds and it completes the proof.

S2 Proof of Theorem 2

For any $\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(1)}$ satisfy $\|\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(1)} - \boldsymbol{\lambda}_0^{(1)}\| = O_p(n^{-1/2})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & Q_{2n}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(1)}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(0)}; \widetilde{\boldsymbol{m}}, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\cdot)) - Q_{2n}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(1)}, \mathbf{0}; \widetilde{\boldsymbol{m}}, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\cdot)) \\ & \geq -U_2^\top(\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(1)}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(0)*}; \widetilde{\boldsymbol{m}}, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\cdot))\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(0)} + \min(\theta_1, \theta_2) \|\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(0)}\|_1 \\ & \geq \left[-\sup_k \left| U_{2k}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(1)}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(0)*}; \widetilde{\boldsymbol{m}}, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\cdot)) \right| + \min(\theta_1, \theta_2) \right] \|\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(0)}\|_1, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S2.1})$$

where $U_{2k}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(1)}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(0)*}; \widetilde{\boldsymbol{m}}, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\cdot))$ is the k th component of the vector $U_2(\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(1)}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(0)*}; \widetilde{\boldsymbol{m}}, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\cdot))$ and $\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(0)*}$ lies in between $\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(0)}$ and $\mathbf{0}$. Define $B_3 = \text{diag} \left(P_3 \left(\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(1)\top}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(0)*\top} \right)^\top \right)$ and $B_4 = \text{diag} \left(P_4 \left(\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(1)\top}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(0)*\top} \right)^\top \right)$. The first order Taylor expansion of $U_2(\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(1)}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(0)*}; \widetilde{\boldsymbol{m}}, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\cdot))$ around \boldsymbol{m}_0 and $\boldsymbol{\beta}_0^*(s)$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\sqrt{nh_n}}{\sqrt{n}} U_2(\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(1)}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(0)*}; \widetilde{\boldsymbol{m}}, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^*(\cdot)) \triangleq \frac{\sqrt{nh_n}}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^\tau P_2 \widetilde{A}(s) [\boldsymbol{Z}_i - \boldsymbol{E}(a(s), s)] dN_i(s) \\ & = \frac{\sqrt{nh_n}}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^\tau P_2 \widetilde{A}(s) [\boldsymbol{Z}_i - \boldsymbol{E}(a^*(s), s)] dN_i(s) \\ & \quad - \frac{\sqrt{nh_n}}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^\tau P_2 \widetilde{A}(s) V(\bar{a}(s), s) B_3 (\widetilde{\boldsymbol{m}} - \boldsymbol{m}_0) dN_i(s) \\ & \quad - \frac{\sqrt{nh_n}}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^\tau P_1 \widetilde{A}(s) V(\bar{a}(s), s) B_4 (\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^*(s) - \boldsymbol{\beta}_0^*(s)) dN_i(s) \\ & \xrightarrow{P} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^\tau \sqrt{nh_n} P_2 \widetilde{A}(s) [\boldsymbol{Z}_i - \boldsymbol{E}(a^*(s), s)] dN_i(s) \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^\tau \sqrt{nh_n} P_2 \widetilde{A}(s) \left[\frac{Q_2(s)}{Q_0(s)} - \left(\frac{Q_1(s)}{Q_0(s)} \right)^{\otimes 2} \right] dN_i(s) B_3 \sqrt{n} (\widetilde{\boldsymbol{m}} - \boldsymbol{m}_0) \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^\tau \sqrt{nh_n} P_2 \widetilde{A}(s) \left[\frac{Q_2(s)}{Q_0(s)} - \left(\frac{Q_1(s)}{Q_0(s)} \right)^{\otimes 2} \right] B_4 \sqrt{n} (\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^*(s) - \boldsymbol{\beta}_0^*(s)) dN_i(s) \\ & \triangleq R_4 - R_5 - R_6, \end{aligned}$$

where $a(s) = a(s, \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(1)}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(0)*}; \widetilde{\boldsymbol{m}}, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^*(\cdot))$, $a^*(s) = a(s, \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(1)}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(0)*}; \boldsymbol{m}_0, \boldsymbol{\beta}_0^*(\cdot))$, $\bar{a}(s) = a(s, \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(1)}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(0)*}; \widetilde{\boldsymbol{m}}, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^*(\cdot))$ and $\boldsymbol{E}(\cdot, \cdot)$, $Q_0(\cdot)$, $Q_1(\cdot)$, $Q_2(\cdot)$ are defined in Section 3.1. Here $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{m}}$ lies in between \boldsymbol{m}_0 and $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{m}}$, and $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^*(s)$ lies in between $\boldsymbol{\beta}_0^*(s)$ and $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^*(s)$. Using the

fact that $\sqrt{nh_n}P_2\tilde{A}(s) = O_p(1)$, $Q_2(s)/Q_0(s) - (Q_1(s)/Q_0(s))^{\otimes 2} = O_p(1)$ and Lemma 1 and 2, it is easy to verify $R_5 = O_p(1)$ and $R_6 = O_p(1)$. For R_4 , by the first order Taylor expansion of $\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(1)}$ around $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_0^{(1)}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} R_4 &\equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^\tau \sqrt{nh_n} P_2 \tilde{A}(s) [\mathbf{Z}_i - \mathbf{E}(a^*(s), s)] dN_i(s) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^\tau \sqrt{nh_n} P_2 \tilde{A}(s) [\mathbf{Z}_i - \mathbf{E}(\boldsymbol{\beta}_0(s), s)] dN_i(s) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^\tau \sqrt{nh_n} P_2 \tilde{A}(s) V(\ddot{u}(s), s) B_5(s) dN_i(s) \sqrt{n} (\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(1)} - \boldsymbol{\lambda}_0^{(1)}) \\ &= O_p(1) - O_p(1) = O_p(1), \end{aligned}$$

where $\ddot{u}(s) = a(s, \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(1)*}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(0)*}; \mathbf{m}_0, \boldsymbol{\beta}_0^*(\cdot))$, $B_5(s) = \text{diag}(\mathbf{m}_0)P_{31} + \text{diag}(\boldsymbol{\beta}_0^*(s))P_{41}$, $\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(1)*}$ lies in between $\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(1)}$ and $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_0^{(1)}$, and P_{31} , P_{41} are defined in (S0.3) and (S0.4), respectively. Thus $\sqrt{h_n}U_2(\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(1)}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(0)*}; \tilde{\mathbf{m}}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^*(\cdot)) = O_p(1)$. From (S2.1),

$$\begin{aligned} &Q_{2n}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(1)}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(0)*}; \tilde{\mathbf{m}}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^*(\cdot)) - Q_{2n}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(1)}, 0; \tilde{\mathbf{m}}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^*(\cdot)) \\ &\geq \left[-O_p(h_n^{-1/2}) + \min(\theta_1, \theta_2) \right] \|\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(0)*}\|_1. \end{aligned}$$

If $h_n^{1/2} \min(\theta_1, \theta_2) \rightarrow \infty$, then with probability one $Q_{2n}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(1)}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(0)*}; \tilde{\mathbf{m}}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^*(s)) - Q_{2n}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(1)}, 0; \tilde{\mathbf{m}}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^*(s)) > 0$ for all $\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(0)*} \neq 0$. It completes the proof.

S3 Proof of Theorem 3

(a) From Theorem 1, $\|\hat{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^{(1)} - \boldsymbol{\lambda}_0^{(1)}\| = O_p(n^{-1/2})$ where $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_0^{(1)} = \mathbf{1}$. Thus $P(\hat{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^{(1)}[k] \neq 0) \rightarrow 1$ for all k , where $\hat{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^{(1)}[k]$ is the k -th component of $\hat{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^{(1)}$. This in couple with $P(\hat{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^{(0)} = \mathbf{0}) \rightarrow 1$ from Theorem 2 proves $\hat{I}_O = I_O$, $\hat{I}_C = I_C$ and $\hat{I}_{NC} = I_{NC}$ hold with probability tending to one. It completes the proof for part (a).

(b) From part (a), we know with probability tending to one, $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_C(t) \equiv \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_C$. Thus,

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_C - \boldsymbol{\beta}_C) = \sqrt{n}(\tilde{\mathbf{m}}_C \circ \hat{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}_1^C - \mathbf{m}_C) = \tilde{\mathbf{m}}_C \circ \sqrt{n}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}_1^C - \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{01}^C) + \sqrt{n}(\tilde{\mathbf{m}}_C - \mathbf{m}_C),$$

where $\tilde{\mathbf{m}}_C$, $\hat{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}_1^C$, \mathbf{m}_C are sub-vectors of $\tilde{\mathbf{m}}$, $\hat{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}_1$, \mathbf{m}_0 with indexes in I_C . Since $\hat{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}_1^C$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{m}}_C$ are root- n consistent estimator for $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{01}^C$ and \mathbf{m}_C respectively. We have $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_C - \boldsymbol{\beta}_C) = O_p(1)$. It completes the proof for part (b).

(c) From the proofs of part (a), and Theorem 1 and 2, it is easy to show that $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^{(1)}$ is a root- n consistent maximizer of $Q_{2n}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(1)}, \mathbf{0}; \widetilde{\boldsymbol{m}}, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^*(\cdot))$. Thus

$$\left. \frac{\partial Q_{2n}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(1)}, \mathbf{0}; \widetilde{\boldsymbol{m}}, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^*(\cdot))}{\partial \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(1)}} \right|_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(1)} = \widehat{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^{(1)}} = \mathbf{0}.$$

This is equivalent to

$$-\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} U_1(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^{(1)}, \mathbf{0}; \widetilde{\boldsymbol{m}}, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^*(\cdot)) + \frac{\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}}{\sqrt{n}} = \mathbf{0}, \quad (\text{S3.1})$$

where $\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$ is a vector of length $(p_2 + 2p_3)$ consisting with elements θ_1 and θ_2 .

The first order Taylor expansion of $n^{-1/2} U_1(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^{(1)}, \mathbf{0}; \widetilde{\boldsymbol{m}}, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^*(\cdot))$ around \boldsymbol{m}_0 and $\boldsymbol{\beta}_0^*(\cdot)$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} U_1(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^{(1)}, \mathbf{0}; \widetilde{\boldsymbol{m}}, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^*(\cdot)) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^\tau P_1 \widetilde{A}(s) [\mathbf{Z}_i - \mathbf{E}(\widetilde{a}(s), s)] dN_i(s) \\ &- \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^\tau P_1 \widetilde{A}(s) V(a^*(s), s) \widetilde{B}_6 (\widetilde{\boldsymbol{m}} - \boldsymbol{m}_0) dN_i(s) \\ &- \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^\tau P_1 \widetilde{A}(s) V(a^*(s), s) \widetilde{B}_7 (\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^*(s) - \boldsymbol{\beta}_0^*(s)) dN_i(s) \\ &\triangleq R_7 - R_8 - R_9, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S3.2})$$

where $\widetilde{a}(s) = a(s, \widehat{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^{(1)}, \mathbf{0}; \boldsymbol{m}_0, \boldsymbol{\beta}_0^*(\cdot))$, $a^*(s) = a(s, \widehat{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^{(1)}, \mathbf{0}; \widetilde{\boldsymbol{m}}, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^*(\cdot))$, $\widetilde{B}_6 = \text{diag} \left(P_3 \left(\left(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^{(1)} \right)^\top, \mathbf{0}^\top \right)^\top \right)$

and $\widetilde{B}_7 = \text{diag} \left(P_4 \left(\left(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^{(1)} \right)^\top, \mathbf{0}^\top \right)^\top \right)$. Using similar arguments for the proofs of Theorem 1 and 2, we can show

$$\begin{aligned} R_8 &\xrightarrow{p} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^\tau P_1 A_0(s) \left[\frac{Q_2(s)}{Q_0(s)} - \left(\frac{Q_1(s)}{Q_0(s)} \right)^{\otimes 2} \right] dN_i(s) B_6 \sqrt{n} (\widetilde{\boldsymbol{m}} - \boldsymbol{m}_0) \\ &\xrightarrow{p} \frac{1}{\tau} \int_0^\tau P_1 A_0(s) \Sigma(s) ds B_6 \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^\tau \Sigma^{-1}(u) (\mathbf{Z}_i(u) - \mathbf{E}(\boldsymbol{\beta}_0(u), u)) dM_i(u) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S3.3})$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 R_9 &\xrightarrow{p} \frac{1}{nh_n^{1/2}} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^\tau P_1 A_0(s) \left[\frac{Q_2(s)}{Q_0(s)} - \left(\frac{Q_1(s)}{Q_0(s)} \right)^{\otimes 2} \right] B_7 \sqrt{nh_n} \left(\tilde{\beta}^*(s) - \beta_0^*(s) \right) dN_i(s) \\
 &\approx \frac{1}{nh_n^{1/2}} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^\tau P_1 A_0(s) \left[\frac{Q_2(s)}{Q_0(s)} - \left(\frac{Q_1(s)}{Q_0(s)} \right)^{\otimes 2} \right] B_7 \\
 &\quad \Sigma^{-1}(s) (nh_n)^{-1/2} \sum_{j=1}^n \int_0^\tau (\mathbf{Z}_j(u) - \mathbf{E}(\beta_0(s), u)) K \left(\frac{u-s}{h_n} \right) dM_j(u) dN_i(s) \\
 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{j=1}^n \int_0^\tau \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^\tau P_1 A_0(s) \left[\frac{Q_2(s)}{Q_0(s)} - \left(\frac{Q_1(s)}{Q_0(s)} \right)^{\otimes 2} \right] B_7 \Sigma^{-1}(s) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. (\mathbf{Z}_j(u) - \mathbf{E}(\beta_0(s), u)) \frac{1}{h_n} K \left(\frac{u-s}{h_n} \right) dN_i(s) \right\} dM_j(u) \\
 &\xrightarrow{p} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{j=1}^n \int_0^\tau P_1 A_0(u) \Sigma(u) B_7 \Sigma^{-1}(u) (\mathbf{Z}_j(u) - \mathbf{E}(\beta_0(u), u)) dM_j(u), \tag{S3.4}
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$B_6 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0}_{p_1 \times p_1} & \mathbf{0}_{p_1 \times (p_2+p_3)} \\ \mathbf{0}_{(p_2+p_3) \times p_1} & \mathbf{I}_{p_2+p_3} \end{pmatrix}; B_7 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0}_{(p_1+p_2) \times (p_1+p_2)} & \mathbf{0}_{(p_1+p_2) \times p_3} \\ \mathbf{0}_{p_3 \times (p_1+p_2)} & \mathbf{I}_{p_3} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here B_6 and B_7 are the limits of \tilde{B}_6 and \tilde{B}_7 , respectively. The first order Taylor expansion of R_7 around $\lambda_0^{(1)}$ yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 R_7 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^\tau P_1 \tilde{A}(s) [\mathbf{Z}_i - \mathbf{E}(\beta_0(s), s)] dN_i(s) \\
 &\quad - \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^\tau P_1 \tilde{A}(s) V(\ddot{a}(s), s) B_8(s) dN_i(s) \sqrt{n} (\hat{\lambda}^{(1)} - \lambda_0^{(1)}) \\
 &\xrightarrow{p} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^\tau P_1 A_0(s) [\mathbf{Z}_i - \mathbf{E}(\beta_0(s), s)] dM_i(s) - F \sqrt{n} (\hat{\lambda}^{(1)} - \lambda_0^{(1)}), \tag{S3.5}
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$B_8(s) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \text{diag}(\mathbf{m}_C) & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \text{diag}(\mathbf{m}_{NC}) & \text{diag}(\beta_{NC}^*(s)) \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$F = \int_0^\tau P_1 A_0(s) \Sigma(s) B_8(s) ds.$$

Here $\ddot{a}(s) = a(s, \lambda^{(1)*}, \mathbf{0}; \mathbf{m}_0, \beta_0^*(\cdot))$ and $\lambda^{(1)*}$ lies in between $\lambda_0^{(1)}$ and $\hat{\lambda}^{(1)}$.

Under the assumption $\max(\theta_1, \theta_2)/\sqrt{n} \rightarrow 0$, combining (S3.1), (S3.2), (S3.3), (S3.4), (S3.5), we have

$$\sqrt{n} \left(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^{(1)} - \boldsymbol{\lambda}_0^{(1)} \right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^\tau F^{-1} G(u) [\mathbf{Z}_i - \mathbf{E}(\boldsymbol{\beta}_0(s), s)] dM_i(s) + o_p(1), \quad (\text{S3.6})$$

where

$$G(u) = P_1 A_0(u) - \frac{1}{\tau} \left(\int_0^\tau P_1 A_0(s) \Sigma(s) ds \right) B_6 \Sigma^{-1}(u) - P_1 A_0(u) \Sigma(u) B_7 \Sigma^{-1}(u).$$

Because

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{n} \left(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_C - \boldsymbol{\beta}_C \right) &= \widetilde{\mathbf{m}}_C \circ \sqrt{n} \left(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}_1^C - \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{01}^C \right) + n^{1/2} (\widetilde{\mathbf{m}}_C - \mathbf{m}_C) \\ &= \text{diag}(\mathbf{m}_C) B_9 \sqrt{n} \left(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^{(1)} - \boldsymbol{\lambda}_0^{(1)} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^\tau \frac{1}{\tau} B_{10} \Sigma^{-1}(u) [\mathbf{Z}_i - \mathbf{E}(\boldsymbol{\beta}_0(u), u)] dM_i(u) + o_p(1), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S3.7})$$

where $B_9 = (\mathbf{I}_{p_2} | \mathbf{0}_{p_2 \times p_3} | \mathbf{0}_{p_2 \times p_3})$ and $B_{10} = (\mathbf{0}_{p_2 \times p_1} | \mathbf{I}_{p_2} | \mathbf{0}_{p_2 \times p_3})$. Combining (S3.6) and (S3.7), we have

$$\sqrt{n} \left(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_C - \boldsymbol{\beta}_C \right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^\tau \left[D(u) + \frac{1}{\tau} B_{10} \Sigma^{-1}(u) \right] (\mathbf{Z}_i - \mathbf{E}(\boldsymbol{\beta}_0(u), u)) dM_i(u) + o_p(1),$$

where

$$D(u) = \text{diag}(\mathbf{m}_C) B_9 F^{-1} G(u). \quad (\text{S3.8})$$

Finally by the martingale central limit theorem (Andersen and Gill, 1982), $n^{1/2} \left(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_C - \boldsymbol{\beta}_C \right)$ converges weakly to a normal distribution with mean 0 and variance Σ_m^F , where

$$\Sigma_m^F = \int_0^\tau \left(D(u) + \frac{1}{\tau} B_{10} \Sigma^{-1}(u) \right) \Sigma(u) \left(D(u) + \frac{1}{\tau} B_{10} \Sigma^{-1}(u) \right)^\top du.$$

(d) Because

$$\begin{aligned} (nh_n)^{1/2} \left(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{NC}(t) - \boldsymbol{\beta}_{NC}(t) \right) &= (nh_n)^{1/2} \left(\widetilde{\mathbf{m}}_{NC} \circ \widehat{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}_1^{NC} + \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{NC}^* \circ \widehat{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}_2^{NC} - \boldsymbol{\beta}_{NC}(t) \right) \\ &= (nh_n)^{1/2} \left[\widetilde{\mathbf{m}}_{NC} \circ \left(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}_1^{NC} - \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{01}^{NC} \right) + \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{NC}^* \circ \left(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}_2^{NC} - \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{02}^{NC} \right) + \left(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{NC}(t) - \boldsymbol{\beta}_{NC}(t) \right) \right] \\ &= (nh_n)^{1/2} \left(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{NC}(t) - \boldsymbol{\beta}_{NC}(t) \right) + o_p(1) \\ &\xrightarrow{d} N \left\{ 0, \{\Sigma^{-1}(t)\}_{NC,NC} \int_{-1}^1 K^2(s) ds \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\{\Sigma^{-1}(t)\}_{NC,NC}$ is the submatrix of $\Sigma^{-1}(t)$ corresponding to \mathbf{I}_{NC} . It completes the proof for part (d).

Additional References

- Andersen, P. K. and Gill, R. D. (1982). Cox's regression model for counting processes: a large sample study. *Ann. Statist.* 10(4), 1100–1120.
- Ledoux, M. and Talagrand, M. (1991). Probability in Banach Spaces: Isoperimetry and Processes. New York: Springer-Verlag Press.
- Tian, L., Zucker, D., and Wei, L. J. (2005). On the Cox model with time-varying regression coefficients. *J. Amer. Statist. Assoc.* 100(469), 172–183.
- Yandell, B. S. (1983). Nonparametric inference for rates with censored survival data. *Ann. Statist.* 11(4), 1119–1135.