

RELAXING LATENT IGNORABILITY IN ESTIMATING THE CACE

Leslie Taylor and Xiao-Hua Zhou

University of Washington

Supplementary Material

S1. Proof of Theorems 4.1 and 6.1

In order to derive the asymptotic distributions for \widehat{CACE}^{LI} and $\widehat{CACE}^{\overline{LI}}$ let $X_i \equiv (Y_i R_i (1 - Z_i) (1 - D_i), Y_i R_i (1 - Z_i) D_i, Y_i R_i Z_i (1 - D_i), Y_i R_i Z_i D_i, R_i (1 - Z_i) (1 - D_i), R_i (1 - Z_i) D_i, R_i Z_i (1 - D_i), R_i Z_i D_i)$ and let $p \equiv (v_{00}, v_{01}, v_{10}, v_{11}, \pi_{00}, \pi_{01}, \pi_{10}, \pi_{11})$ where X_i is a vector of bernoulli random variables with mean p . If X_1, \dots, X_n are $\overset{iid}{\sim}$ random vectors in R^8 with mean p and covariance matrix $\Sigma = E(X - p)(X - p)$ (where $E(X^T X) < \infty$), then from the multivariate central limit theorem, $\sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - p) \rightarrow_d N_d(0, \Sigma)$ where $\Sigma =$

$$\begin{pmatrix} v_{00}(1-v_{00}) & -v_{00}v_{01} & -v_{00}v_{10} & -v_{00}v_{11} & v_{00}(1-\pi_{00}) & -v_{00}\pi_{01} & -v_{00}\pi_{10} & -v_{00}\pi_{11} \\ -v_{01}v_{00} & v_{01}(1-v_{01}) & -v_{01}v_{10} & -v_{01}v_{11} & -v_{01}\pi_{00} & -v_{01}(1-\pi_{01}) & -v_{01}\pi_{10} & -v_{01}\pi_{11} \\ -v_{10}v_{00} & -v_{10}v_{01} & v_{10}(1-v_{10}) & -v_{10}v_{11} & -v_{10}\pi_{00} & -v_{10}\pi_{01} & v_{10}(1-\pi_{10}) & -v_{10}\pi_{11} \\ -v_{11}v_{00} & -v_{11}v_{01} & -v_{11}v_{10} & v_{11}(1-v_{11}) & -v_{11}\pi_{00} & -v_{11}\pi_{01} & -v_{11}\pi_{10} & v_{11}(1-\pi_{11}) \\ (1-\pi_{00})v_{00} & -\pi_{00}v_{01} & -\pi_{00}v_{10} & -\pi_{00}v_{11} & \pi_{00}(1-\pi_{00}) & -\pi_{00}\pi_{01} & -\pi_{00}\pi_{10} & -\pi_{00}\pi_{11} \\ -\pi_{01}v_{00} & (1-\pi_{01})v_{01} & -\pi_{01}v_{10} & -\pi_{01}v_{11} & -\pi_{01}\pi_{00} & \pi_{01}(1-\pi_{01}) & -\pi_{01}\pi_{10} & -\pi_{01}\pi_{11} \\ -\pi_{10}v_{00} & -\pi_{10}v_{01} & (1-\pi_{10})v_{10} & -\pi_{10}v_{11} & -\pi_{10}\pi_{00} & -\pi_{10}\pi_{01} & \pi_{10}(1-\pi_{10}) & -\pi_{10}\pi_{11} \\ -\pi_{11}v_{00} & -\pi_{11}v_{01} & -\pi_{11}v_{10} & (1-\pi_{11})v_{11} & -\pi_{11}\pi_{00} & -\pi_{11}\pi_{01} & -\pi_{11}\pi_{10} & \pi_{11}(1-\pi_{11}) \end{pmatrix}$$

S1.1 Proof of Theorem 4.1

Using the multivariate delta method, the asymptotic distributions for $\hat{\eta}_{0c}$ and $\hat{\eta}_{1c}$ are:

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\eta}_{1c} - \eta_{1c}) \rightarrow_d N(0, \delta' \Sigma \delta) \text{ where } \delta' = (0, \frac{-1}{\pi_{11} - \pi_{01}}, 0, \frac{1}{\pi_{11} - \pi_{01}}, 0, \frac{v_{11} - v_{01}}{(\pi_{11} - \pi_{01})^2}, 0, \frac{v_{01} - v_{11}}{(\pi_{11} - \pi_{01})^2})$$

and

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\eta}_{0c} - \eta_{0c}) \rightarrow_d N(0, \beta' \Sigma \beta) \text{ where } \beta' = (\frac{-1}{\pi_{10} - \pi_{00}}, 0, \frac{1}{\pi_{10} - \pi_{00}}, 0, \frac{v_{10} - v_{00}}{(\pi_{10} - \pi_{00})^2}, 0, \frac{v_{00} - v_{10}}{(\pi_{10} - \pi_{00})^2}, 0)$$

where $V_1 = \delta' \Sigma \delta$ and $V_0 = \beta' \Sigma \beta$ are defined in section 4. Then noting that $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\eta}_{1c} - \eta_{1c})$ and $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\eta}_{0c} - \eta_{0c})$ are asymptotically independent since $\delta' \Sigma \beta = 0$, we can use Slutsky's theorem to derive the asymptotic distribution for \widehat{CACE}^{LI} as in Theorem 4.1.

S1.2 Proof of Theorem 6.1

Using the multivariate delta method, the asymptotic distributions for $\hat{\eta}_{1c}$ and $\hat{\eta}_{0c}$ are:

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\eta}_{1c} - \eta_{1c}) \rightarrow_d N(0, \delta' \Sigma \delta) \text{ where } \delta' = (0, \delta_1, 0, \delta_2, 0, \delta_3, 0, \delta_4) \text{ for } \delta \text{ defined in Theorem 6.1 and } \sqrt{n}(\hat{\eta}_{0c} - \eta_{0c}) \rightarrow_d N(0, \beta' \Sigma \beta) \text{ where } \beta' = (0, \beta_1, 0, \beta_2, 0, \beta_3, 0, \beta_4) \text{ for } \beta \text{ defined}$$

in Theorem 6.1. Again noting that $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\eta}_{1c} - \eta_{1c})$ and $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\eta}_{0c} - \eta_{0c})$ are asymptotically independent, we can use Slutsky's theorem to derive the asymptotic distribution for $C\widehat{ACE}^{\overline{LI}}$ as in Theorem 6.1.

S2. Proof of Result 6.1

For $\psi_n = P(C = n|Z = 0, D = 0)$ note that under randomization $P(C = n, Z = 0) = P(C = n, Z = 1)$ and since never-takers by definition have $D = 0$, then we expect $P(C = n, Z = 0, D = 0) = P(C = n, Z = 1, D = 0)$. Similarly for always-takers, $P(C = a, Z = 1, D = 1) = P(C = a, Z = 0, D = 1)$. Therefore:

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_n &= \frac{P(C = n, Z = 0, D = 0)}{P(Z = 0, D = 0)} = \frac{P(C = n, Z = 1, D = 0)}{P(Z = 0, D = 0)} = \frac{P(Z = 1, D = 0)}{P(Z = 0, D = 0)} \\ \psi_a &= \frac{P(C = a, Z = 1, D = 1)}{P(Z = 1, D = 1)} = \frac{P(C = a, Z = 0, D = 1)}{P(Z = 1, D = 1)} = \frac{P(Z = 0, D = 1)}{P(Z = 1, D = 1)}\end{aligned}$$

Thus we can obtain the following estimators for ψ_n and ψ_a :

$$\hat{\psi}_n = \frac{\hat{\xi}_{10}}{\hat{\xi}_{00}}; \quad \hat{\psi}_a = \frac{\hat{\xi}_{01}}{\hat{\xi}_{11}}$$

Then note the following relationship:

$$\begin{aligned}v_{zd} &= P(Y = 1, R = 1, Z = z, D = d) \\ &= \sum_{t \in (n, c, a)} P(R = 1, Z = z, D = d, C = t, Y = 1) \\ &= \sum_{t \in (n, c, a)} P(R = 1|Z = z, D = d, C = t, Y = 1)P(Y = 1|Z = z, D = d, C = t) \\ &\quad P(C = t|Z = z, D = d)P(Z = z, D = d) \\ \Leftrightarrow \frac{v_{zd}}{\xi_{zd}} &= \sum_{t \in (n, c, a)} P(R = 1|Z = z, D = d, C = t, Y = 1) \\ &\quad P(Y = 1|Z = z, D = d, C = t)P(C = t|Z = z, D = d) \\ &= \sum_{t \in (n, c, a)} \phi_{zt1}\eta_{zt}\psi_{tzd}\end{aligned}\tag{1}$$

Also note the following relationship:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\pi_{zd}}{\xi_{zd}} &= P(R = 1|Z = z, D = d) \\ &= \sum_{t \in (n, c, a)} P(R = 1|Z = z, D = d, C = t)P(C = t|Z = z, D = d) \\ &= \sum_{t \in (n, c, a)} \left(\sum_{y \in (0, 1)} P(R = 1|Z = z, D = d, C = t, Y = y)P(Y = y|Z = z, D = d, C = t) \right) \\ &\quad P(C = t|Z = z, D = d)\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{t \in (n,c,a)} P(R = 1 | Z = z, D = d, C = t, Y = 1) P(Y = 1 | Z = z, D = d, C = t) \\
&\quad P(C = t | Z = z, D = d) + P(R = 1 | Z = z, D = d, C = t, Y = 0) \\
&\quad P(Y = 0 | Z = z, D = d, C = t) P(C = t | Z = z, D = d) \\
&= \sum_{t \in (n,c,a)} \phi_{zt1} \eta_{zt} \psi_{tzd} + \phi_{zt0} (1 - \eta_{zt}) \psi_{tzd} \\
&= \sum_{t \in (n,c,a)} \phi_{zt1} \eta_{zt} \psi_{tzd} + f_{zt} \phi_{zt1} (1 - \eta_{zt}) \psi_{tzd} \\
&= \sum_{t \in (n,c,a)} \phi_{zt1} \psi_{tzd} (\eta_{zt} + f_{zt} (1 - \eta_{zt})) \tag{2}
\end{aligned}$$

Letting (z, d) equal $(0, 1)$ and $(1, 0)$ in expression (3) above and solving for ϕ_{0a1} and ϕ_{1n1} we get:

$$\phi_{0a1} = \frac{v_{01}}{\xi_{01} \eta_a}; \quad \phi_{1n1} = \frac{v_{10}}{\xi_{10} \eta_n} \tag{3}$$

Letting (z, d) equal $(0, 1)$ and $(1, 0)$ in expression (4) above and substituting the expressions from (5):

$$\frac{\pi_{01}}{\xi_{01}} = \frac{v_{01}}{\xi_{01} \eta_a} (\eta_a + f_{0a} (1 - \eta_a)); \quad \frac{\pi_{10}}{\xi_{10}} = \frac{v_{10}}{\xi_{10} \eta_n} (\eta_n + f_{1n} (1 - \eta_n))$$

Then solving for η_a and η_n above, we obtain the following estimators:

$$\hat{\eta}_a = \frac{f_{0a} \hat{v}_{01}}{\hat{\pi}_{01} + (f_{0a} - 1) \hat{v}_{01}}; \quad \hat{\eta}_n = \frac{f_{1n} \hat{v}_{10}}{\hat{\pi}_{10} + (f_{1n} - 1) \hat{v}_{10}}$$

We can then obtain estimators for ϕ_{0a1} and ϕ_{1n1} :

$$\hat{\phi}_{0a1} = \frac{\hat{v}_{01}}{\hat{\xi}_{01} \hat{\eta}_a}; \quad \hat{\phi}_{1n1} = \frac{\hat{v}_{10}}{\hat{\xi}_{10} \hat{\eta}_n}$$

Using the exclusion criteria for never-takers and always-takers and (2), note that:

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_{0n1} (\eta_n + f_{0n} (1 - \eta_n)) &= \phi_{1n1} (\eta_n + f_{1n} (1 - \eta_n)) \\
\phi_{1a1} (\eta_a + f_{1a} (1 - \eta_a)) &= \phi_{0a1} (\eta_a + f_{0a} (1 - \eta_a))
\end{aligned}$$

Then solving for ϕ_{0n1} and ϕ_{1a1} above we obtain the following estimators:

$$\hat{\phi}_{1a1} = \frac{\hat{\phi}_{0a1} (\hat{\eta}_a + f_{0a} (1 - \hat{\eta}_a))}{\hat{\eta}_a + f_{1a} (1 - \hat{\eta}_a)}; \quad \hat{\phi}_{0n1} = \frac{\hat{\phi}_{1n1} (\hat{\eta}_n + f_{1n} (1 - \hat{\eta}_n))}{\hat{\eta}_n + f_{0n} (1 - \hat{\eta}_n)}$$

Letting $(z, d) = (0, 0)$ in expressions (3) and (4) and solving for η_{0c} and ϕ_{0c1} we obtain:

$$\phi_{0c1} = \frac{\phi_{0n1}\psi_n\xi_{00}(f_{0c}\eta_n + f_{0n}(1 - \eta_n)) + (1 - f_{0c})v_{00} - \pi_{00}}{\xi_{00}f_{0c}(\psi_n - 1)}$$

$$\eta_{0c} = \frac{f_{0c}(\phi_{0n1}\psi_n\xi_{00}\eta_n - v_{00})}{\phi_{0n1}\psi_n\xi_{00}(f_{0c}\eta_n + f_{0n}(1 - \eta_n)) + (1 - f_{0c})v_{00} - \pi_{00}}$$

Letting $(z, d) = (1, 1)$ in expression (3) and (4) and solving for η_{1c} and ϕ_{1c1} we obtain:

$$\phi_{1c1} = \frac{\phi_{1a1}\psi_a\xi_{11}(f_{1a}\eta_a + f_{1a}(1 - \eta_a)) + (1 - f_{1c})v_{11} - \pi_{11}}{\xi_{11}f_{1c}(\psi_a - 1)}$$

$$\eta_{1c} = \frac{f_{1c}(\phi_{1a1}\psi_a\xi_{11}\eta_a - v_{11})}{\phi_{1a1}\psi_a\xi_{11}(f_{1a}\eta_a + f_{1a}(1 - \eta_a)) + (1 - f_{1c})v_{11} - \pi_{11}}$$

Substituting in the expressions for $\hat{\phi}_{0n1}$, $\hat{\psi}_n$, $\hat{\eta}_n$, $\hat{\phi}_{1a1}$, $\hat{\psi}_a$, and $\hat{\eta}_a$ we obtain the following expressions for $\hat{\eta}_{0c}$ and $\hat{\eta}_{1c}$ (which reduce to the expressions found in Result 6.1):

$$\hat{\eta}_{0c} = \frac{f_{0c}[f_{1n}\hat{v}_{10}(\hat{v}_{00} - \hat{\pi}_{10}) + f_{0n}\hat{v}_{00}(\hat{\pi}_{10} - \hat{v}_{10})]}{(f_{0c} - 1)[f_{1n}\hat{v}_{10}(\hat{v}_{00} - \hat{\pi}_{10}) + f_{0n}\hat{v}_{00}(\hat{\pi}_{10} - \hat{v}_{10})] + f_{1n}\hat{v}_{10}(\hat{\pi}_{00} - \pi_{10}) + f_{0n}(\hat{\pi}_{10}\hat{\pi}_{00} - \hat{\pi}_{10}^2 - \hat{v}_{10}\hat{\pi}_{00} + \hat{v}_{10}\hat{\pi}_{10})}$$

$$\hat{\eta}_{1c} = \frac{f_{1c}[f_{1a}\hat{v}_{01}(\hat{v}_{11} - \hat{\pi}_{01}) + f_{0a}\hat{v}_{11}(\hat{\pi}_{01} - \hat{v}_{01})]}{(f_{1c} - 1)[f_{1a}\hat{v}_{01}(\hat{v}_{11} - \hat{\pi}_{01}) + f_{0a}\hat{v}_{11}(\hat{\pi}_{01} - \hat{v}_{01})] + f_{1a}\hat{v}_{01}(\hat{\pi}_{11} - \pi_{01}) + f_{0a}(\hat{\pi}_{01}\hat{\pi}_{11} - \hat{\pi}_{01}^2 - \hat{v}_{01}\hat{\pi}_{11} + \hat{v}_{01}\hat{\pi}_{01})}$$