

**SUPPLEMENT TO “CONDITIONAL DENSITY ESTIMATION  
WITH DEEP NEURAL NETWORKS”**

Chenxuan He<sup>1</sup>, Yuan Gao<sup>2</sup>, Liping Zhu<sup>1</sup> and Jian Huang<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Renmin University of China*, <sup>2</sup>*Nankai University*

and <sup>3</sup>*The Hong Kong Polytechnic University*

**Supplementary Material**

The Supplementary Material contains additional simulation results and provides proofs for each result stated in the paper.

**S1 Additional Simulation Results**

In Table S1, we compare the unnormalized estimator  $\hat{f}_{n,\text{aug}}$  in (5.1) with the post-normalized estimator  $\tilde{f}_{n,\text{aug}}$  in (5.2) introduced in Section 5. The results indicate that the unnormalized and post-normalized estimators achieve similar excess risk across the settings considered.

**S2 Proof of Lemma 1**

Recall the kernel  $K(u)$  is symmetric and of the order  $\beta$ . We define  $s = \lceil \beta \rceil - 1$  and  $r = \beta - s$ . Then we have  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} K(u) du = 1$  and  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} u^l K(u) du = 0$  for  $l = 1, \dots, s$ . The expectation of

Table S1: Normalized and unnormalized NN results for global fixed  $\mathbf{x}$ . Standard errors are shown in parentheses. Values are multiplied by 1000 for Setting 1.1 and Setting 2.1 and by 100 for Setting 1.2 and Setting 2.2, except for  $a$  and  $n$ . All results are based on 200 replications.

$a$	$n$	Setting 1.1		Setting 1.2	
		NN	NN <sub>un</sub>	NN	NN <sub>un</sub>
-0.3	500	0.53 <sub>(0.65)</sub>	0.67 <sub>(0.50)</sub>	0.76 <sub>(0.74)</sub>	1.84 <sub>(0.83)</sub>
	1000	0.40 <sub>(0.57)</sub>	0.48 <sub>(0.36)</sub>	0.73 <sub>(0.68)</sub>	1.67 <sub>(0.87)</sub>
	2000	0.35 <sub>(0.36)</sub>	0.38 <sub>(0.29)</sub>	0.71 <sub>(0.61)</sub>	1.49 <sub>(0.93)</sub>
0	500	0.47 <sub>(0.56)</sub>	0.73 <sub>(0.57)</sub>	0.79 <sub>(0.73)</sub>	1.79 <sub>(0.86)</sub>
	1000	0.38 <sub>(0.49)</sub>	0.54 <sub>(0.43)</sub>	0.79 <sub>(0.69)</sub>	1.64 <sub>(0.89)</sub>
	2000	0.30 <sub>(0.34)</sub>	0.40 <sub>(0.37)</sub>	0.72 <sub>(0.61)</sub>	1.45 <sub>(0.92)</sub>
0.3	500	0.45 <sub>(0.58)</sub>	0.67 <sub>(0.52)</sub>	0.78 <sub>(0.71)</sub>	1.83 <sub>(0.82)</sub>
	1000	0.36 <sub>(0.47)</sub>	0.48 <sub>(0.37)</sub>	0.78 <sub>(0.68)</sub>	1.70 <sub>(0.89)</sub>
	2000	0.32 <sub>(0.42)</sub>	0.36 <sub>(0.30)</sub>	0.67 <sub>(0.60)</sub>	1.48 <sub>(0.92)</sub>

  

$a$	$n$	Setting 2.1		Setting 2.2	
		NN	NN <sub>un</sub>	NN	NN <sub>un</sub>
-0.3	500	0.83 <sub>(0.72)</sub>	0.70 <sub>(0.63)</sub>	0.12 <sub>(0.11)</sub>	0.19 <sub>(0.16)</sub>
	1000	0.48 <sub>(0.40)</sub>	0.36 <sub>(0.28)</sub>	0.09 <sub>(0.08)</sub>	0.21 <sub>(0.17)</sub>
	2000	0.33 <sub>(0.26)</sub>	0.22 <sub>(0.13)</sub>	0.06 <sub>(0.08)</sub>	0.42 <sub>(0.38)</sub>
0	500	0.56 <sub>(0.64)</sub>	0.49 <sub>(0.52)</sub>	0.13 <sub>(0.09)</sub>	0.19 <sub>(0.16)</sub>
	1000	0.27 <sub>(0.25)</sub>	0.22 <sub>(0.19)</sub>	0.10 <sub>(0.07)</sub>	0.21 <sub>(0.16)</sub>
	2000	0.20 <sub>(0.17)</sub>	0.14 <sub>(0.10)</sub>	0.07 <sub>(0.07)</sub>	0.40 <sub>(0.33)</sub>
0.3	500	0.37 <sub>(0.36)</sub>	0.36 <sub>(0.33)</sub>	0.13 <sub>(0.08)</sub>	0.19 <sub>(0.14)</sub>
	1000	0.16 <sub>(0.13)</sub>	0.15 <sub>(0.11)</sub>	0.11 <sub>(0.07)</sub>	0.21 <sub>(0.15)</sub>
	2000	0.12 <sub>(0.10)</sub>	0.10 <sub>(0.06)</sub>	0.08 <sub>(0.06)</sub>	0.36 <sub>(0.27)</sub>

$K_b(Y - y)$  given  $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}$  satisfies the following expressions

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathbb{E}_Y\{K_b(Y - y) \mid \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}\} \\
 &= \int f_*(y' \mid \mathbf{x})K_b(y - y')dy' \\
 &\stackrel{(i)}{=} \int f_*(y + bz \mid \mathbf{x})K(z)dz \\
 &\stackrel{(ii)}{=} \int \left\{ \sum_{a=0}^{s-1} (bz)^a f_*^{(a)}(y \mid \mathbf{x})/a! + (bz)^s f_*^{(s)}(y + bz\lambda \mid \mathbf{x})/s! \right\} K(z)dz \\
 &\stackrel{(iii)}{=} f_*(y \mid \mathbf{x}) + b^s \int z^s \{f_*^{(s)}(y + bz\lambda \mid \mathbf{x}) - f_*^{(s)}(y \mid \mathbf{x})\} K(z)dz/s! \\
 &\stackrel{(iv)}{\leq} f_*(y \mid \mathbf{x}) + b^s \int z^s \mathcal{B}|bz\lambda|^r K(z)dz/s! \\
 &\stackrel{(v)}{\leq} f_*(y \mid \mathbf{x}) + b^\beta \int \mathcal{B}|z|^\beta \lambda^r K(z)dz/s! \\
 &\stackrel{(vi)}{=} f_*(y \mid \mathbf{x}) + C_K b^\beta,
 \end{aligned}$$

where (i) is derived from the transformation  $y' = y + bz$  and the symmetric property of  $K(u)$ , (ii) is given by a Taylor expansion and  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ , (iii) is due to the property of the  $\beta$ -order kernel, (iv) is due to the property of the Hölder class, (v) is by taking out  $b^r$ , and (vi) is derived from the property of the  $\beta$ -order kernel.

### S3 Proof of Lemma 2

For the sample  $\mathcal{D}_n = \{(\mathbf{X}_i, Y_i)\}_{i=1}^n$ , we formulate the outcome as  $\{K_b(Y_i - y)\}_{i=1}^n$  for a given  $y$ . The regression problem can be further formulated as  $K_b(Y_i - y) = f_*(y | \mathbf{X}_i) + \varepsilon_i$ . In addition, since  $\{Y_i\}_{i=1}^n$  are independent, the outcomes  $\{K_b(Y_i - y)\}_{i=1}^n$  are also independent for a given  $y$ . Throughout the proofs, we use the notation  $\|\hat{f}_n - f_*\|_2$  to denote the  $L_2$ -norm of the difference between two functions, as defined in Definition 1 of the main text. For clarity, for any fixed  $y$ , we consider the functions  $\mathbf{x} \mapsto \hat{f}_n(y | \mathbf{x})$  and  $\mathbf{x} \mapsto f_*(y | \mathbf{x})$ , and define

$$\|\hat{f}_n - f_*\|_2^2 = \int |\hat{f}_n(y | \mathbf{x}) - f_*(y | \mathbf{x})|^2 d\nu(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{X}} \{\hat{f}_n(y | \mathbf{X}) - f_*(y | \mathbf{X})\}^2,$$

where the expectation is taken over the distribution of  $\mathbf{X}$  and  $\nu$  denotes its probability measure.

We perform the error decomposition as below

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_n} \|\hat{f}_n - f_*\|_2^2 \\
& \stackrel{(i)}{=} \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_n} \left\{ \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\hat{f}_n - f_*)^2 - 2\mathbb{E}_n(\hat{f}_n - f_*)^2 + 2\mathbb{E}_n(\hat{f}_n - f_*)^2 \right\} \\
& \stackrel{(ii)}{=} \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_n} \left[ \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\hat{f}_n - f_*)^2 - 2\mathbb{E}_n(\hat{f}_n - f_*)^2 + 2\mathbb{E}_n\{\hat{f}_n - K_b(Y_i - y) + K_b(Y_i - y) - f_*\}^2 \right] \\
& \stackrel{(iii)}{=} \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_n} \left( \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\hat{f}_n - f_*)^2 - 2\mathbb{E}_n(\hat{f}_n - f_*)^2 + 2\mathbb{E}_n\{L(\hat{f}_n, y)\} + 2\mathbb{E}_n\{L(f_*, y)\} \right. \\
& \quad \left. - 4\mathbb{E}_n[\{\hat{f}_n - K_b(Y_i - y)\}\{f_* - K_b(Y_i - y)\}] \right) \\
& \stackrel{(iv)}{\leq} \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_n} \left( \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\hat{f}_n - f_*)^2 - 2\mathbb{E}_n(\hat{f}_n - f_*)^2 + 2\mathbb{E}_n\{L(f_n, y)\} + 2\mathbb{E}_n\{L(f_*, y)\} \right. \\
& \quad \left. - 4\mathbb{E}_n[\{\hat{f}_n - f_n + f_n - K_b(Y_i - y)\}\{f_* - K_b(Y_i - y)\}] \right) \\
& \stackrel{(v)}{=} \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_n} \left[ \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\hat{f}_n - f_*)^2 - 2\mathbb{E}_n(\hat{f}_n - f_*)^2 + 2\mathbb{E}_n(f_n - f_*)^2 + 4\mathbb{E}_n\{\varepsilon_i(\hat{f}_n - f_n)\} \right] \\
& \stackrel{(vi)}{=} \underbrace{\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_n} \left\{ \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\hat{f}_n - f_*)^2 - 2\mathbb{E}_n(\hat{f}_n - f_*)^2 \right\}}_{=:T_1} + 4 \underbrace{\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_n} \left[ \mathbb{E}_n \left\{ \varepsilon_i(\hat{f}_n - f_n) \right\} \right]}_{=:T_2} + 2 \underbrace{\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(f_n - f_*)^2}_{=:T_3} \\
& = T_1 + 4T_2 + 2T_3,
\end{aligned}$$

where (i) and (ii) are derived from adding and subtracting same terms, (iii) is derived from splitting the squared term, (iv) follows from  $\mathbb{E}_n\{L(\hat{f}_n, y)\} \leq \mathbb{E}_n\{L(f_n, y)\}$  since  $\hat{f}_n$  is the empirical risk minimizer, (v) is due to  $\varepsilon_i = K_b(Y_i - y) - f_*$  and  $\mathbb{E}_n(f_n - f_*)^2 = \mathbb{E}_n\{L(f_n, y)\} + \mathbb{E}_n\{L(f_*, y)\} - 2\mathbb{E}_n[\{f_n - K_b(Y_i - y)\}\{f_* - K_b(Y_i - y)\}]$ , and (vi) follows from that  $f_n$  and  $f_*$  are not stochastic.

## S4 Proof of Lemma 3

Our proof of Lemma 3 is inspired by the proof of Lemma 4 from Schmidt-Hieber (2020).

Given  $\|\hat{f}_n\|_\infty \leq \mathcal{B}$ ,  $\|f_*\|_\infty \leq \mathcal{B}$ , and  $\mathcal{B} > 1$ , our goal is to bound the error  $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_n}\{\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\hat{f}_n -$

$f_*)^2 - 2\mathbb{E}_n(\hat{f}_n - f_*)^2\}$ . Given an empirical  $(1/n)$ -covering number  $\mathcal{N}_\infty(1/n, \mathcal{F}_n, \mathcal{D}_n)$  shown in Definition 2, there exists a collection of functions  $\{f_j \in \mathcal{F}_n, j = 1, \dots, \mathcal{N}_\infty(1/n, \mathcal{F}_n, \mathcal{D}_n)\}$  such that for all  $f \in \mathcal{F}_n$ , there exists a  $j^*$  such that  $\|f - f_{j^*}\|_{L_\infty(\nu_n)} \leq 1/n$ . For simplicity, let  $\mathcal{N}_\infty$  denote  $\sup_{\mathcal{D}_n} \mathcal{N}_\infty(1/n, \mathcal{F}_n, \mathcal{D}_n)$  throughout this proof.

Let  $\mathbb{E}'_n$  be the empirical mean of a dataset  $\mathcal{D}'_n$  independent of  $\mathcal{D}_n$ , and define  $r_j = (\log \mathcal{N}_\infty/n)^{1/2} \vee \|f_j - f_*\|_2$  and  $g_n = \max_j |n\{\mathbb{E}'_n(f_j - f_*)^2 - \mathbb{E}_n(f_j - f_*)^2\}/(\mathcal{B}r_j)|$ . To bound the term  $T_1$ , we first consider the following expressions

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_n} \{ \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\hat{f}_n - f_*)^2 - \mathbb{E}_n(\hat{f}_n - f_*)^2 \} \\
& \stackrel{(i)}{=} \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_n, \mathcal{D}'_n} \{ \mathbb{E}'_n(\hat{f}_n - f_*)^2 - \mathbb{E}_n(\hat{f}_n - f_*)^2 \} \\
& \stackrel{(ii)}{\leq} \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_n, \mathcal{D}'_n} | \mathbb{E}'_n(f_{j^*} - f_*)^2 - \mathbb{E}_n(f_{j^*} - f_*)^2 | + \frac{9\mathcal{B}}{n} \\
& \stackrel{(iii)}{\leq} \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_n, \mathcal{D}'_n} \max_j | \mathbb{E}'_n(f_j - f_*)^2 - \mathbb{E}_n(f_j - f_*)^2 | / (\mathcal{B}r_j) \times \mathcal{B}r_j + \frac{9\mathcal{B}}{n}, \\
& \stackrel{(iv)}{\leq} \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_n, \mathcal{D}'_n} \frac{g_n}{n} \times \mathcal{B} \left\{ (\log \mathcal{N}_\infty/n)^{1/2} + \left( \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_n} \|\hat{f}_n - f_*\|_2^2 \right)^{1/2} + \frac{1}{n} \right\} + \frac{9\mathcal{B}}{n} \\
& \stackrel{(v)}{\leq} \frac{1}{n} \mathcal{B} \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_n, \mathcal{D}'_n} g_n \left\{ (\log \mathcal{N}_\infty/n)^{1/2} + \frac{1}{n} \right\} + \frac{1}{n} \mathcal{B} (\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_n, \mathcal{D}'_n} g_n^2)^{1/2} \times \left( \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_n} \|\hat{f}_n - f_*\|_2^2 \right)^{1/2} + \frac{9\mathcal{B}}{n},
\end{aligned} \tag{S4.1}$$

where (i) is due to the independence between  $\hat{f}_n$  and  $\mathcal{D}'_n$ , (ii) is derived from the definition of covering numbers,  $\|f_{j^*}\|_\infty \leq \mathcal{B}$ , and  $\mathcal{B} > 1$ , (iii) is by taking the maximum, (iv) is given by the definition of  $r_j$  and the property of covering numbers, and (v) is by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. It remains to obtain a bound for  $g_n$  which involves the following the Bernstein inequality.

**Lemma 1.** *(Bernstein inequality, Vershynin, 2018, Theorem 2.8.4) Let  $U_1, \dots, U_n$  be inde-*

pendent mean-zero random variables such that  $|U_i| \leq K$  for all  $i$ . Then, for every  $t \geq 0$ ,

$$\mathbb{P} \left( \left| \sum_{i=1}^n U_i \right| \geq t \right) \leq 2 \exp \left\{ -t^2 / (2\sigma^2 + 2Kt/3) \right\}.$$

Here  $\sigma^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n EU_i^2$  is the variance of the sum.

Let us define  $g_{i,j} = [\{f_j(y | \mathbf{X}'_i) - f_*(y | \mathbf{X}'_i)\}^2 - \{f_j(y | \mathbf{X}_i) - f_*(y | \mathbf{X}_i)\}^2] / (\mathcal{B}r_j)$  and rewrite  $g_n = \max_j |\sum_i g_{i,j}|$ . The variance of  $g_{i,j}$  satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \text{var}(g_{i,j}) &\stackrel{(i)}{=} 2\text{var} [\{f_j(y | \mathbf{X}_i) - f_*(y | \mathbf{X}_i)\}^2] / (\mathcal{B}^2r_j^2) \\ &\stackrel{(ii)}{\leq} 2\mathbb{E} [\{f_j(y | \mathbf{X}_i) - f_*(y | \mathbf{X}_i)\}^4] / (\mathcal{B}^2r_j^2) \\ &\stackrel{(iii)}{\leq} 8\mathcal{B}^2\mathbb{E}\{f_j(y | \mathbf{X}_i) - f_*(y | \mathbf{X}_i)\}^2 / (\mathcal{B}^2r_j^2) \\ &\stackrel{(iv)}{\leq} 8, \end{aligned}$$

where (i) follows from the independence between  $\mathbf{X}_i$  and  $\mathbf{X}'_i$ , (ii) follows from  $\text{var}(\eta) \leq \mathbb{E}(\eta^2)$  for a random variable  $\eta$ , (iii) is derived from  $|\{f_j(y | \mathbf{X}_i) - f_*(y | \mathbf{X}_i)\}| \leq 2\mathcal{B}$ , and (iv) follows from the definition of  $r_j$ . The tail probability of  $g_n$  satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(g_n \geq t) &= \mathbb{P} \left( \max_j \left| \sum_{i=1}^n g_{i,j} \right| \geq t \right) \\ &\stackrel{(i)}{\leq} \mathcal{N}_\infty \max_j \mathbb{P} \left( \left| \sum_{i=1}^n g_{i,j} \right| \geq t \right) \\ &\stackrel{(ii)}{\leq} 2\mathcal{N}_\infty \max_j \exp \left[ -t^2 / \{16n + 16\mathcal{B}t/(3r_j)\} \right] \\ &\stackrel{(iii)}{\leq} 2\mathcal{N}_\infty \exp \left[ -t^2 / \left\{ 16n + 16\mathcal{B}tn^{1/2} / \left( 3\sqrt{\log \mathcal{N}_\infty} \right) \right\} \right], \end{aligned}$$

where (i) follows from the union bound, (ii) follows from Lemma 1 and  $g_{i,j} \leq 8\mathcal{B}/r_j$ , and (iii) is due to  $r_j \geq (\log \mathcal{N}_\infty / n)^{1/2}$ . Therefore, the expectation of  $g_n$  satisfies the following

bounds

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_n, \mathcal{D}'_n} g_n &= \int_{t>0} \mathbb{P}(g_n \geq t) dt \\
&\stackrel{(i)}{\leq} a_n + \int_{a_n}^{\infty} 2\mathcal{N}_{\infty} \exp \left[ -t^2 / \left\{ 16n + 16\mathcal{B}tn^{1/2} / \left( 3\sqrt{\log \mathcal{N}_{\infty}} \right) \right\} \right] dt \\
&\stackrel{(ii)}{\leq} a_n + \int_{a_n}^{\infty} 2\mathcal{N}_{\infty} \exp \left\{ -3t\sqrt{\log \mathcal{N}_{\infty}} / (32\mathcal{B}n^{1/2}) \right\} dt \\
&\stackrel{(iii)}{\leq} a_n + \frac{64\mathcal{B}n^{1/2}}{3\sqrt{\log \mathcal{N}_{\infty}}} \mathcal{N}_{\infty} \exp \left\{ -3a_n\sqrt{\log \mathcal{N}_{\infty}} / (32\mathcal{B}n^{1/2}) \right\} \\
&\stackrel{(iv)}{\leq} 32\mathcal{B}(n \log \mathcal{N}_{\infty})^{1/2} / 3 + 64\mathcal{B}(n / \log \mathcal{N}_{\infty})^{1/2} / 3,
\end{aligned}$$

where (i) follows from the truncation at  $t = a_n$  and the bound of the tail probability, (ii) is satisfied when  $t \geq 3(n \log \mathcal{N}_{\infty})^{1/2} / \mathcal{B}$ , (iii) follows from taking the integration, and (iv) is derived by choosing  $a_n = 32\mathcal{B}(n \log \mathcal{N}_{\infty})^{1/2} / 3 \geq 3(n \log \mathcal{N}_{\infty})^{1/2} / \mathcal{B}$  as  $\mathcal{B} \geq 1$ .

The expectation of  $g_n^2$  can be similarly bounded as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_n, \mathcal{D}'_n} g_n^2 &= \int_{t>0} \mathbb{P}(g_n^2 \geq t) dt \\
&\stackrel{(i)}{\leq} a_n^2 + \int_{a_n^2}^{\infty} 2\mathcal{N}_{\infty} \exp \left[ -t / \left\{ 16n + 16\mathcal{B}(tn)^{1/2} / \left( 3\sqrt{\log \mathcal{N}_{\infty}} \right) \right\} \right] dt \\
&\stackrel{(ii)}{\leq} a_n^2 + \int_{a_n^2}^{\infty} 2\mathcal{N}_{\infty} \exp \left\{ -3\sqrt{t \log \mathcal{N}_{\infty}} / (32\mathcal{B}\sqrt{n}) \right\} dt \\
&\stackrel{(iii)}{\leq} a_n^2 + 4\mathcal{N}_{\infty} \exp \left\{ -\frac{3\sqrt{\log \mathcal{N}_{\infty}} a_n}{32\mathcal{B}\sqrt{n}} \right\} \left[ (32\mathcal{B}a_n\sqrt{n} / (3\sqrt{\log \mathcal{N}_{\infty}})) + \{32\mathcal{B}/3\}^2 \times n / \log \mathcal{N}_{\infty} \right] \\
&\stackrel{(iv)}{\leq} a_n^2 + 4 \left[ (32\mathcal{B}a_n\sqrt{n} / (3\sqrt{\log \mathcal{N}_{\infty}})) + \{32\mathcal{B}/3\}^2 \times n / \log \mathcal{N}_{\infty} \right] \\
&\stackrel{(v)}{\leq} (32\mathcal{B}/3)^2 \times (n \log \mathcal{N}_{\infty}) + 4(32\mathcal{B}/3)^2 n + 4(32\mathcal{B}/3)^2 \times n / \log \mathcal{N}_{\infty} \\
&\stackrel{(vi)}{\leq} 2^{10}\mathcal{B}^2(n \log \mathcal{N}_{\infty}) / 9 + 2^{12}\mathcal{B}^2 n / 9 + 2^{12}\mathcal{B}^2 n / (9 \log \mathcal{N}_{\infty}),
\end{aligned}$$

where (i) follows from the truncation at  $t = a_n^2$  and the bound of the tail probability, (ii) is

satisfied when  $\sqrt{t} \geq 3\sqrt{n \log \mathcal{N}_\infty} / \mathcal{B}$ , (iii) follows from taking the integration, and (iv), (v), and (vi) are derived by choosing  $a_n = 32\mathcal{B}\sqrt{n \log \mathcal{N}_\infty} / 3 \geq 3\sqrt{n \log \mathcal{N}_\infty} / \mathcal{B}$  since  $\mathcal{B} \geq 1$ .

Combining the bounds of  $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_n, \mathcal{D}'_n} g_n$  and  $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_n, \mathcal{D}'_n} g_n^2$  with the inequality (S4.1), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_n} \left\{ \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\hat{f}_n - f_*)^2 - \mathbb{E}_n(\hat{f}_n - f_*)^2 \right\} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{n} \mathcal{B} \{ 32\mathcal{B}(n \log \mathcal{N}_\infty)^{1/2} / 3 + 64\mathcal{B}(n / \log \mathcal{N}_\infty)^{1/2} / 3 \} \left\{ (\log \mathcal{N}_\infty / n)^{1/2} + \frac{1}{n} \right\} \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{n} \mathcal{B} \left\{ 2^{10} \mathcal{B}^2 (n \log \mathcal{N}_\infty) / 9 + 2^{12} \mathcal{B}^2 n / 9 + 2^{12} \mathcal{B}^2 n / (9 \log \mathcal{N}_\infty) \right\}^{1/2} \times \left( \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_n} \|\hat{f}_n - f_*\|_2^2 \right)^{1/2} + \frac{9\mathcal{B}}{n}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $|a - b| \leq 2a^{1/2}c + d$  implies  $a - 2b \leq 2d + 4c^2$  for positive numbers  $a, b, c$ , and  $d$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_n} \left\{ \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(\hat{f}_n - f_*)^2 - 2\mathbb{E}_n(\hat{f}_n - f_*)^2 \right\} \\ & \leq \frac{2\mathcal{B}^2}{n^2} \left\{ 2^{10} \mathcal{B}^2 (n \log \mathcal{N}_\infty) / 9 + 2^{12} \mathcal{B}^2 n / 9 + 2^{12} \mathcal{B}^2 n / (9 \log \mathcal{N}_\infty) \right\} \\ & \quad + \frac{2}{n} \mathcal{B} \{ 32\mathcal{B}(n \log \mathcal{N}_\infty)^{1/2} / 3 + 64\mathcal{B}(n / \log \mathcal{N}_\infty)^{1/2} / 3 \} \left\{ (\log \mathcal{N}_\infty / n)^{1/2} + \frac{1}{n} \right\} + \frac{18\mathcal{B}}{n} \\ & = \frac{\log \mathcal{N}_\infty}{n} (2^{11} \mathcal{B}^4 / 9 + 2^6 \mathcal{B}^2 / 3) + \frac{1}{n} (2^{13} \mathcal{B}^4 / 9 + 18\mathcal{B} + 2^7 \mathcal{B}^2 / 3) + \frac{1}{n \log \mathcal{N}_\infty} (2^{13} \mathcal{B}^4 / 9) \\ & \quad + \frac{(\log \mathcal{N}_\infty)^{1/2}}{n^{3/2}} 2^6 \mathcal{B}^2 / 3 + \frac{1}{n^{3/2} (\log \mathcal{N}_\infty)^{1/2}} 2^7 \mathcal{B}^2 / 3 \\ & \lesssim \frac{\log \mathcal{N}_\infty}{n}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality holds as  $\mathcal{N}_\infty \geq 3$ . This completes the proof of Lemma 3.

## S5 Proof of Lemma 4

We prove Lemma 4 by five steps. In the first step, we decompose the term  $T_2$  into three terms. In the second, third, and fourth steps, we bound these three terms respectively. Then

we obtain a bound of the term  $T_2$  by combining these three bounds in the last step.

*Step 1: Error decomposition.* Since  $\varepsilon_i$  is not centered as we discussed before, we decompose  $T_2$  as given below

$$\begin{aligned} T_2 &= \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_n} \left[ \mathbb{E}_n \{ \varepsilon_i (\hat{f}_n - f_n) \} \right] \\ &= \underbrace{\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_n} \left( \mathbb{E}_n \left[ \{ \varepsilon_i - \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_n}(\varepsilon_i | \mathbf{X}_i) \} (\hat{f}_n - f_n) \right] \right)}_{=:T_4} + \underbrace{\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_n} \left[ \mathbb{E}_n \left\{ \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_n}(\varepsilon_i | \mathbf{X}_i) (\hat{f}_n - f_n) \right\} \right]}_{=:T_5}. \end{aligned}$$

For the term  $T_4$ , if we directly apply the functional Bernstein inequality (Wainwright, 2019, Theorem 3.27) to it, the tail probability bound will degenerate to one as the bandwidth  $b$  goes to zero. As an alternative, we truncate  $\varepsilon_i$  at a constant  $C_\varepsilon > \mathcal{B}$ . We further decompose the term  $T_4$  as below

$$\begin{aligned} T_4 &= \underbrace{\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_n} \left( \mathbb{E}_n \left[ \{ \varepsilon_i - \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_n}(\varepsilon_i | \mathbf{X}_i) \} (\hat{f}_n - f_n) 1_{(|\varepsilon_i| \geq C_\varepsilon)} \right] \right)}_{=:T_6} \\ &\quad + \underbrace{\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_n} \left( \mathbb{E}_n \left[ \{ \varepsilon_i - \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_n}(\varepsilon_i | \mathbf{X}_i) \} (\hat{f}_n - f_n) 1_{(|\varepsilon_i| < C_\varepsilon)} \right] \right)}_{=:T_7}. \end{aligned}$$

To bound the term  $T_2$ , it suffices to bound the terms  $T_5$ ,  $T_6$ , and  $T_7$ , respectively.

*Step 2: Bounding the term  $T_5$ .* The term  $T_5$  can be bounded as the following

$$\begin{aligned} T_5 &= \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_n} \left[ \mathbb{E}_n \{ \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_n}(\varepsilon_i | \mathbf{X}_i) (\hat{f}_n - f_n) \} \right] \\ &\stackrel{(i)}{\leq} \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_n} \left[ \mathbb{E}_n \left| \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_n}(\varepsilon_i | \mathbf{X}_i) (\hat{f}_n - f_*) \right| \right] + \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_n} \left[ \mathbb{E}_n \left| \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_n}(\varepsilon_i | \mathbf{X}_i) (f_* - f_n) \right| \right] \\ &\stackrel{(ii)}{\leq} 3 \{ \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_n}(\varepsilon_i | \mathbf{X}_i) \}^2 + \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(f_n - f_*)^2/4 + \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_n} \{ \mathbb{E}_n(\hat{f}_n - f_*)^2 \} / 8 \\ &\stackrel{(iii)}{=} 3C_K^2 b^{2\beta} + \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(f_n - f_*)^2/4 + \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_n} \{ \mathbb{E}_n(\hat{f}_n - f_*)^2 \} / 8, \end{aligned} \tag{S5.1}$$

where (i) is based on the triangular inequality, (ii) is due to  $ab \leq a^2 + b^2/4$  and  $ab \leq 2a^2 + b^2/8$ , and (iii) is derived from Lemma 1. The remaining term  $\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(f_n - f_*)^2/4$  is the approximation error which can be incorporated into the term  $T_3$  in Lemma 2, and the term  $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_n}\{\mathbb{E}_n(\hat{f}_n - f_*)^2\}/8$  is the empirical excess risk that can be incorporated into the term  $T_1$  in Lemma 2.

*Step 3: Bounding the term  $T_6$ .* We first consider bounding the tail probability as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbb{E}\{1_{(|\varepsilon_i| \geq C_\varepsilon)}\} &= \mathbb{P}(|\varepsilon_i| \geq C_\varepsilon) \\
 &\stackrel{(i)}{=} \mathbb{P}\{K_b(Y_i - y) \geq C_\varepsilon + f_*(y | \mathbf{X}_i)\} \\
 &\stackrel{(ii)}{\leq} \mathbb{P}[K\{(Y_i - y)/b\} \geq bC_\varepsilon] \\
 &\stackrel{(iii)}{\leq} \mathbb{P}[C_e \exp\{-|Y_i - y|/b\} \geq bC_\varepsilon] \\
 &= \mathbb{P}[|Y_i - y| \leq b \log\{C_e/(bC_\varepsilon)\}] \\
 &\stackrel{(iv)}{\leq} 2\mathcal{B}b \log\{C_e/(bC_\varepsilon)\},
 \end{aligned}$$

where (i) is derived by noting  $0 \leq f_* \leq \mathcal{B}$  and  $C_\varepsilon > \mathcal{B}$ , (ii) is due to the definition of the kernel function, (iii) follows from Assumption 1-(iii) with the constant  $C_e > 0$ , and (iv) is due to the density function  $f_*(y | \mathbf{X}_i) \in [0, \mathcal{B}]$ . Thus, the term  $T_6$  is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}
 T_6 &= \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_n} \left( \mathbb{E}_n \left[ \{\varepsilon_i - \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_n}(\varepsilon_i | \mathbf{X}_i)\}(\hat{f}_n - f_n)1_{(|\varepsilon_i| \geq C_\varepsilon)} \right] \right) \\
 &\stackrel{(i)}{\leq} \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_n} \left[ \mathbb{E}_n \left\{ |\varepsilon_i - \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_n}(\varepsilon_i | \mathbf{X}_i)| \cdot |\hat{f}_n - f_n| 1_{(|\varepsilon_i| \geq C_\varepsilon)} \right\} \right] \\
 &\stackrel{(ii)}{\leq} 2\mathcal{B} \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_n} \left\{ |\varepsilon_i - \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_n}(\varepsilon_i | \mathbf{X}_i)| 1_{(|\varepsilon_i| \geq C_\varepsilon)} \right\}, \\
 &\stackrel{(iii)}{\leq} C_t \log(1/b)b, \tag{S5.2}
 \end{aligned}$$

where (i) follows from taking the absolute value and (ii) is due to  $|\hat{f}_n| \leq \mathcal{B}$  and  $|f_n| \leq \mathcal{B}$ .

Since the expectation of  $\varepsilon_i$  and the tail probability  $\mathbb{P}(|\varepsilon_i| \geq C_\varepsilon)$  are bounded, we get (iii) with the constant  $C_t$ .

*Step 4: Bounding the term  $T_7$ .* Let us define  $v_j = (\log \mathcal{N}_\infty/n)^{1/2} \vee \|f_j - f_n\|_2$  and  $h_{i,j} = \{\varepsilon_i - \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_n}(\varepsilon_i | \mathbf{X}_i)\}(f_j - f_n)1_{(|\varepsilon_i| < C_\varepsilon)}/v_j$ , and let  $h_n = \max_j |\sum_i h_{i,j}|$ . By the definition of covering numbers, there exists a  $j^* \in \{1, \dots, \mathcal{N}_\infty\}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left[ \{\varepsilon_i - \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_n}(\varepsilon_i | \mathbf{X}_i)\}(\hat{f}_n - f_n)1_{(|\varepsilon_i| < C_\varepsilon)} \right] \\ & \leq \frac{2C_\varepsilon}{n} + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |\{\varepsilon_i - \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_n}(\varepsilon_i | \mathbf{X}_i)\}(f_{j^*} - f_n)1_{(|\varepsilon_i| < C_\varepsilon)}|. \end{aligned}$$

The term  $T_7$  can be bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} T_7 &= \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_n} \left( \mathbb{E}_n \left[ \{\varepsilon_i - \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_n}(\varepsilon_i | \mathbf{X}_i)\}(\hat{f}_n - f_n)1_{(|\varepsilon_i| < C_\varepsilon)} \right] \right) \\ &\leq \frac{2C_\varepsilon}{n} + \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_n} \left( \sum_i |h_{i,j^*}|v_{j^*}/n \right) \\ &\stackrel{(i)}{\leq} \frac{2C_\varepsilon}{n} + \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_n} \frac{h_n v_{j^*}}{n} \\ &\stackrel{(ii)}{\leq} \frac{2C_\varepsilon}{n} + \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_n} \left[ \frac{h_n}{n} \left\{ \|\hat{f}_n - f_*\|_2 + (\log \mathcal{N}_\infty/n)^{1/2} + \frac{1}{n} \right\} \right] \\ &\stackrel{(iii)}{\leq} \frac{2C_\varepsilon}{n} + \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_n} \left[ \frac{h_n}{n} \left\{ (\log \mathcal{N}_\infty/n)^{1/2} + \frac{1}{n} \right\} \right] + \frac{1}{n} \left\{ \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_n}(h_n^2) \right\}^{1/2} \times \left( \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_n} \|\hat{f}_n - f_*\|_2^2 \right)^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

where (i) follows from the definition of  $h_n$ , (ii) is due to the definition of  $v_{j^*}$ , and (iii) follows from the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality.

According to the definition of  $h_{i,j}$ , it holds that  $|h_{i,j}| \leq 4\mathcal{B}C_\varepsilon/v_j$ . Now we consider the

variance of  $h_{i,j}$  as follows

$$\begin{aligned}\text{var}(h_{i,j}) &= \text{var} \left[ \{\varepsilon_i - \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_n}(\varepsilon_i \mid \mathbf{X}_i)\} (f_j - f_n) 1_{(|\varepsilon_i| < C_\varepsilon)} / v_j \right] \\ &\leq 4C_\varepsilon^2 \mathbb{E}\{(f_j - f_n)^2\} / \|f_j - f_n\|_2^2 = 4C_\varepsilon^2.\end{aligned}$$

Since  $\varepsilon_i$  is truncated and  $h_n$  is bounded by the definition of  $v_j$ , it is ready to apply the Bernstein inequality in Lemma 1, and the tail probability satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}(h_n > t) &= \mathbb{P} \left( \max_j \left| \sum_{i=1}^n [\{\varepsilon_i - \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_n}(\varepsilon_i \mid \mathbf{X}_i)\} (f_j - f_n) 1_{(|\varepsilon_i| < C_\varepsilon)}] / v_j \right| > t \right) \\ &\stackrel{(i)}{\leq} 2\mathcal{N}_\infty \exp \left[ -t^2 / \{8C_\varepsilon^2 n + 8C_\varepsilon \mathcal{B} t / (3v_j)\} \right] \\ &\stackrel{(ii)}{\leq} 2\mathcal{N}_\infty \exp \left[ -t^2 / \left\{ 8C_\varepsilon^2 n + 8C_\varepsilon \mathcal{B} t \sqrt{n} / \left( 3\sqrt{\log \mathcal{N}_\infty} \right) \right\} \right],\end{aligned}$$

where (i) follows from the union bound argument and (ii) is due to the definition that  $v_j \geq (\log \mathcal{N}_\infty / n)^{1/2}$ . Consequently, the expectation of  $h_n$  can be bounded as follows

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_n}(h_n) &\stackrel{(i)}{\leq} \int_{t>0} \mathbb{P} \left( \max_j \left| \sum_{i=1}^n [\{\varepsilon_i - \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_n}(\varepsilon_i \mid \mathbf{X}_i)\} (\hat{f}_n - f_n) 1_{(|\varepsilon_i| < C)}] / v_{j^*} \right| > t \right) dt \\ &\stackrel{(ii)}{\leq} a_n + \int_{a_n}^\infty 2\mathcal{N}_\infty \exp \left[ -t^2 / \left\{ 8C_\varepsilon^2 n + 8C_\varepsilon \mathcal{B} t \sqrt{n} / \left( 3\sqrt{\log \mathcal{N}_\infty} \right) \right\} \right] dt \\ &\stackrel{(iii)}{\leq} a_n + \int_{a_n}^\infty 2\mathcal{N}_\infty \exp \left\{ -3t (\log \mathcal{N}_\infty / n)^{1/2} / (16C_\varepsilon \mathcal{B}) \right\} dt \\ &\stackrel{(iv)}{\leq} a_n + \frac{32C_\varepsilon \mathcal{B}}{3(\log \mathcal{N}_\infty / n)^{1/2}} \mathcal{N}_\infty \exp \left\{ -3a_n (\log \mathcal{N}_\infty / n)^{1/2} / (16C_\varepsilon \mathcal{B}) \right\} \\ &\stackrel{(v)}{\leq} 16C_\varepsilon \mathcal{B} (n \log \mathcal{N}_\infty)^{1/2} / 3 + 32C_\varepsilon \mathcal{B} (n / \log \mathcal{N}_\infty)^{1/2} / 3,\end{aligned}$$

where (i) is due to the property of the expectation, (ii) follows from the truncation at  $t = a_n$  and the tail probability of Bernstein inequality, (iii) is satisfied when  $t \geq 3C_\varepsilon (n \log \mathcal{N}_\infty)^{1/2} / \mathcal{B}$ ,

(iv) follows from an integration, and (v) is derived from taking  $a_n = 16C_\varepsilon\mathcal{B}(n \log \mathcal{N}_\infty)^{1/2}/3 \geq 3C_\varepsilon(n \log \mathcal{N}_\infty)^{1/2}/\mathcal{B}$ .

Similarly, the expectation of  $h_n^2$  can be bounded as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_n}(h_n^2) &\stackrel{(i)}{\leq} \int_{t>0} \mathbb{P} \left( \max_j \left| \sum_{i=1}^n [\{\varepsilon_i - \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_n}(\varepsilon_i | \mathbf{X}_i)\}(\hat{f}_n - f_n)1_{(|\varepsilon_i|<C)}] / v_{j^*} \right| > t^{1/2} \right) dt \\
&\stackrel{(ii)}{\leq} a_n^2 + \int_{a_n^2}^{\infty} 2\mathcal{N}_\infty \exp \left[ -t / \left\{ 8C_\varepsilon^2 n + 8C_\varepsilon\mathcal{B}(tn)^{1/2} / \left( 3\sqrt{\log \mathcal{N}_\infty} \right) \right\} \right] dt \\
&\stackrel{(iii)}{\leq} a_n^2 + \int_{a_n^2}^{\infty} 2\mathcal{N}_\infty \exp \{ -3t^{1/2}(\log \mathcal{N}_\infty/n)^{1/2}/(16C_\varepsilon\mathcal{B}) \} dt \\
&\stackrel{(iv)}{\leq} a_n^2 + 4\mathcal{N}_\infty \exp \left\{ \frac{-3a_n(\log \mathcal{N}_\infty/n)^{1/2}}{16C_\varepsilon\mathcal{B}} \right\} \left( \frac{16C_\varepsilon\mathcal{B}a_n}{3(\log \mathcal{N}_\infty/n)^{1/2}} + \frac{2^8 C_\varepsilon^2 \mathcal{B}^2 n}{9 \log \mathcal{N}_\infty} \right) \\
&\stackrel{(v)}{\leq} \frac{2^8 C_\varepsilon^2 \mathcal{B}^2 n \log \mathcal{N}_\infty}{9} + \frac{2^{10} C_\varepsilon^2 \mathcal{B}^2 n}{9} + \frac{2^{10} C_\varepsilon^2 \mathcal{B}^2 n}{9 \log \mathcal{N}_\infty},
\end{aligned}$$

where (i) is due to the property of the expectation, (ii) follows from the Bernstein inequality, (iii) is satisfied by choosing  $\sqrt{t} \geq 3C_\varepsilon(n \log \mathcal{N}_\infty)^{1/2}/\mathcal{B}$ , (iv) follows from an integration, and (v) is derived from taking  $a_n = 16C_\varepsilon\mathcal{B}(n \log \mathcal{N}_\infty)^{1/2}/3 \geq 3C_\varepsilon(n \log \mathcal{N}_\infty)^{1/2}/\mathcal{B}$ .

Combining the results, we can get

$$\begin{aligned}
T_7 &\leq \frac{2C_\varepsilon}{n} + \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_n} \left[ \frac{h_n}{n} \left\{ (\log \mathcal{N}_\infty/n)^{1/2} + \frac{1}{n} \right\} \right] + \frac{1}{n} \{ \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_n}(h_n^2) \}^{1/2} \times \left( \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_n} \|\hat{f}_n - f_*\|_2^2 \right)^{1/2} \\
&\leq \frac{2C_\varepsilon}{n} + \frac{16C_\varepsilon\mathcal{B} \log \mathcal{N}_\infty}{3n} + \frac{32C_\varepsilon\mathcal{B}}{3n} + \frac{8C_\varepsilon\mathcal{B}(\log \mathcal{N}_\infty)^{1/2}}{3n^{3/2}} + \frac{16C_\varepsilon\mathcal{B}}{3n^{3/2}\sqrt{\log \mathcal{N}_\infty}} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{n} \left( \frac{2^8 C_\varepsilon^2 \mathcal{B}^2 n \log \mathcal{N}_\infty}{9} + \frac{2^{10} C_\varepsilon^2 \mathcal{B}^2 n}{9} + \frac{2^{10} C_\varepsilon^2 \mathcal{B}^2 n}{9 \log \mathcal{N}_\infty} \right)^{1/2} \times \left( \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_n} \|\hat{f}_n - f_*\|_2^2 \right)^{1/2}. \quad (\text{S5.3})
\end{aligned}$$

*Step 5: Combining error bounds.* Combining the bounds of  $T_5$ ,  $T_6$ , and  $T_7$  given by

(S5.1), (S5.2), and (S5.3), we can bound the term  $T_2$  as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_n} \left[ \mathbb{E}_n \{ \varepsilon_i(\hat{f}_n - f_n) \} \right] \\
 & \leq 3C_K^2 b^{2\beta} + \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(f_n - f_*)^2/4 + \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_n} \{ \mathbb{E}_n(\hat{f}_n - f_*)^2 \}/8 \\
 & \quad + C_t \log(1/b)b + \frac{2C_\varepsilon}{n} + \frac{16C_\varepsilon \mathcal{B} \log \mathcal{N}_\infty}{3n} + \frac{32C_\varepsilon \mathcal{B}}{3n} + \frac{8C_\varepsilon \mathcal{B} (\log \mathcal{N}_\infty)^{1/2}}{3n^{3/2}} + \frac{16C_\varepsilon \mathcal{B}}{3n^{3/2} \sqrt{\log \mathcal{N}_\infty}} \\
 & \quad + \frac{1}{n} \left( \frac{2^8 C_\varepsilon^2 \mathcal{B}^2 n \log \mathcal{N}_\infty}{9} + \frac{2^{10} C_\varepsilon^2 \mathcal{B}^2 n}{9} + \frac{2^{10} C_\varepsilon^2 \mathcal{B}^2 n}{9 \log \mathcal{N}_\infty} \right)^{1/2} \times \left( \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_n} \|\hat{f}_n - f_*\|_2^2 \right)^{1/2} \\
 & \lesssim b^{2\beta} + \log(1/b)b + \frac{1}{4} \|f_n - f_*\|^2 + \frac{1}{8} \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_n} \left\{ \mathbb{E}_n(\hat{f}_n - f_*)^2 \right\} \\
 & \quad + \frac{\log \mathcal{N}_\infty}{n} + \left( \frac{\log \mathcal{N}_\infty}{n} \right)^{1/2} \left( \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_n} \|\hat{f}_n - f_*\|_2^2 \right)^{1/2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

## S6 Proof of Theorem 1

Combining all the terms together, we can get the excess risk

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_n} \|\hat{f}_n - f_*\|_2^2 \\
 & \leq T_1 + 4T_2 + 2T_3 \\
 & \leq \frac{\log \mathcal{N}_\infty}{n} (2^{11} \mathcal{B}^4/9 + 2^6 \mathcal{B}^2/3) + \frac{1}{n} (2^{12} \mathcal{B}^4/9 + 18\mathcal{B} + 2^7 \mathcal{B}^2/3) + \frac{1}{n \log \mathcal{N}_\infty} (2^{12} \mathcal{B}^4/9) \\
 & \quad + \frac{(\log \mathcal{N}_\infty)^{1/2}}{n^{3/2}} 2^6 \mathcal{B}^2/3 + \frac{1}{n^{3/2} (\log \mathcal{N}_\infty)^{1/2}} 2^7 \mathcal{B}^2/3 \\
 & \quad + 12C_K^2 b^{2\beta} + \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{X}}(f_n - f_*)^2 + \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_n} \{ \mathbb{E}_n(\hat{f}_n - f_*)^2 \}/2 \\
 & \quad + 4C_t \log(1/b)b + \frac{8C_\varepsilon}{n} + \frac{2^6 C_\varepsilon \mathcal{B} \log \mathcal{N}_\infty}{3n} + \frac{2^7 C_\varepsilon \mathcal{B}}{3n} + \frac{2^5 C_\varepsilon \mathcal{B} (\log \mathcal{N}_\infty)^{1/2}}{3n^{3/2}} + \frac{2^6 C_\varepsilon \mathcal{B}}{3n^{3/2} \sqrt{\log \mathcal{N}_\infty}} \\
 & \quad + \frac{4}{n} \left( \frac{2^8 C_\varepsilon^2 \mathcal{B}^2 n \log \mathcal{N}_\infty}{9} + \frac{2^{10} C_\varepsilon^2 \mathcal{B}^2 n}{9} + \frac{2^{10} C_\varepsilon^2 \mathcal{B}^2 n}{9 \log \mathcal{N}_\infty} \right)^{1/2} \times \left( \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_n} \|\hat{f}_n - f_*\|_2^2 \right)^{1/2} + 2T_3.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $|a - b| \leq 2a^{1/2}c + d$  implies  $a - 2b \leq 2d + 4c^2$  for positive numbers  $a, b, c, d$ , we

match each term in the above inequality as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_n} \|\hat{f}_n - f_*\|_2^2 \\
 & \leq \frac{\log \mathcal{N}_\infty}{n} (2^{12} \mathcal{B}^4 / 9 + 2^7 \mathcal{B}^2 / 3) + \frac{1}{n} (2^{13} \mathcal{B}^4 / 9 + 36 \mathcal{B} + 2^8 \mathcal{B}^2 / 3) + \frac{1}{n \log \mathcal{N}_\infty} (2^{13} \mathcal{B}^4 / 9) \\
 & \quad + \frac{(\log \mathcal{N}_\infty)^{1/2}}{n^{3/2}} 2^7 \mathcal{B}^2 / 3 + \frac{1}{n^{3/2} (\log \mathcal{N}_\infty)^{1/2}} 2^8 \mathcal{B}^2 / 3 + 24 C_K^2 b^{2\beta} + \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_n} \{ \mathbb{E}_n (\hat{f}_n - f_*)^2 \} \\
 & \quad + 2^3 C_t \log(1/b) b + \frac{2^4 C_\varepsilon}{n} + \frac{2^7 C_\varepsilon \mathcal{B} \log \mathcal{N}_\infty}{3n} + \frac{2^8 C_\varepsilon \mathcal{B}}{3n} + \frac{2^6 C_\varepsilon \mathcal{B} (\log \mathcal{N}_\infty)^{1/2}}{3n^{3/2}} + \frac{2^7 C_\varepsilon \mathcal{B}}{3n^{3/2} \sqrt{\log \mathcal{N}_\infty}} \\
 & \quad + \frac{2^6}{n^2} \left( \frac{2^8 C_\varepsilon^2 \mathcal{B}^2 n \log \mathcal{N}_\infty}{9} + \frac{2^{10} C_\varepsilon^2 \mathcal{B}^2 n}{9} + \frac{2^{10} C_\varepsilon^2 \mathcal{B}^2 n}{9 \log \mathcal{N}_\infty} \right) + 6T_3.
 \end{aligned}$$

Incorporating the term  $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_n} \{ \mathbb{E}_n (\hat{f} - f_*)^2 \}$  at right by Lemma 3, we can get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_n} \|\hat{f}_n - f_*\|_2^2 \\
 & \leq \frac{\log \mathcal{N}_\infty}{n} (2^{12} \mathcal{B}^4 / 3 + 2^7 \mathcal{B}^2) + \frac{1}{n} (2^{13} \mathcal{B}^4 / 3 + 108 \mathcal{B} + 2^8 \mathcal{B}^2) + \frac{1}{n \log \mathcal{N}_\infty} (2^{13} \mathcal{B}^4 / 3) \\
 & \quad + \frac{(\log \mathcal{N}_\infty)^{1/2}}{n^{3/2}} 2^7 \mathcal{B}^2 + \frac{1}{n^{3/2} (\log \mathcal{N}_\infty)^{1/2}} 2^8 \mathcal{B}^2 + 48 C_K^2 b^{2\beta} \\
 & \quad + 2^4 C_t \log(1/b) b + \frac{2^5 C_\varepsilon}{n} + \frac{2^8 C_\varepsilon \mathcal{B} \log \mathcal{N}_\infty}{3n} + \frac{2^9 C_\varepsilon \mathcal{B}}{3n} + \frac{2^7 C_\varepsilon \mathcal{B} (\log \mathcal{N}_\infty)^{1/2}}{3n^{3/2}} + \frac{2^8 C_\varepsilon \mathcal{B}}{3n^{3/2} \sqrt{\log \mathcal{N}_\infty}} \\
 & \quad + \frac{1}{n} \left( \frac{2^{15} C_\varepsilon^2 \mathcal{B}^2 \log \mathcal{N}_\infty}{9} + \frac{2^{17} C_\varepsilon^2 \mathcal{B}^2}{9} + \frac{2^{17} C_\varepsilon^2 \mathcal{B}^2}{9 \log \mathcal{N}_\infty} \right) + 12T_3 \\
 & \lesssim \frac{\log \mathcal{N}_\infty}{n} + b^{2\beta} + b \log(1/b) + T_3.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then we complete the proof of Theorem 1.

## S7 The VC-dimension and the pseudodimension for neural networks

We first provide the formal definition of the VC-dimension and pseudodimension.

**Definition 1.** (Shattering, VC-dimension, Györfi et al., 2002, Definitions 9.5, 9.6) Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a class of subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , and let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . For  $\mathcal{D}_n^* = \{\mathbf{z}_1, \dots, \mathbf{z}_n\}$ , where  $\mathbf{z}_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , define  $s(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{D}_n^*) = |\{A \cap \mathcal{D}_n^* : A \in \mathcal{A}\}|$ . The  $n$ -th shatter coefficient of  $\mathcal{A}$  is defined as

$$S(\mathcal{A}, n) = \max_{\mathcal{D}_n^*} s(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{D}_n^*). \quad (\text{S7.1})$$

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a class of subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with  $\mathcal{A} \neq \emptyset$ . The Vapnik–Chervonenkis dimension (VC-dimension) of  $\mathcal{A}$  is defined by

$$\text{VCdim}(\mathcal{A}) = \sup\{n \in \mathbb{N} : S(\mathcal{A}, n) = 2^n\}. \quad (\text{S7.2})$$

**Definition 2.** (Pseudodimension, Bartlett et al., 2019, Definition 2) Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a class of functions from  $\mathcal{X}$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ . The pseudodimension of  $\mathcal{F}$ , denoted as  $\text{Pdim}(\mathcal{F})$ , is the largest integer  $m$  for which there exist  $\{\mathbf{z}_1, \dots, \mathbf{z}_m\}$  in  $\mathcal{X}^m$  and  $\{a_1, \dots, a_m\}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^m$  such that for any  $(b_1, \dots, b_m) \in \{0, 1\}^m$ , there exists  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  such that

$$\forall i \in \{1, \dots, m\}, f(\mathbf{z}_i) > a_i \iff b_i = 1.$$

The VC-dimension and pseudodimension are both defined to characterize the complexity of a functional class. In our context, we specify the functional class  $\mathcal{F}_n$  as the deep ReLU neural network functional class and aim to bound the covering numbers  $\sup_{\mathcal{D}_n} \mathcal{N}_\infty(1/n, \mathcal{F}_n, \mathcal{D}_n)$ . Following Bartlett et al. (2019), for a functional class  $\mathcal{F}$ , we define  $\text{VCdim}(\mathcal{F}) = \text{VCdim}\{\text{sgn}(\mathcal{F})\}$ , where

$$\text{sgn}(\mathcal{F}) = \{\text{sgn}(f) : f \in \mathcal{F}\}, \quad (\text{S7.3})$$

and  $\text{sgn}(x)$  is an indicator function of whether  $x$  is positive or not. It is known that

$\text{VCdim}(\mathcal{F}) \leq \text{Pdim}(\mathcal{F})$  (Anthony and Bartlett, 1999, Theorem 14.1). Moreover, if  $\mathcal{F}$  is a neural network functional class, we can identify another functional class  $\mathcal{F}'$  with one more parameter and one more layer, such that  $\text{Pdim}(\mathcal{F}) \leq \text{VCdim}(\mathcal{F}')$ . Thus, we can conclude that  $\text{Pdim}(\mathcal{F}) \asymp \text{VCdim}(\mathcal{F})$ . We aim to obtain a bound for the covering number  $\sup_{\mathcal{D}_n} \mathcal{N}_\infty(1/n, \mathcal{F}_n, \mathcal{D}_n)$ . By Theorem 12.2 in Anthony and Bartlett (1999) and the VC-dimension bounds, the VC-dimension satisfies

$$\mathcal{ST} \log(\mathcal{S}/\mathcal{T}) \lesssim \text{VCdim}(\mathcal{F}_n) \lesssim \mathcal{ST} \log(\mathcal{S}).$$

According to Bartlett et al. (2019), for  $n \geq \text{Pdim}(\mathcal{F})$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \log \left\{ \sup_{\mathcal{D}_n} \mathcal{N}_\infty(1/n, \mathcal{F}_n, \mathcal{D}_n) \right\} / n &\leq \text{Pdim}(\mathcal{F}) \log \{ en^2 \mathcal{B}_0 / \text{Pdim}(\mathcal{F}) \} / n \\ &\lesssim \mathcal{ST} \log(\mathcal{S}) \log(n) / n. \end{aligned} \tag{S7.4}$$

This inequality implies Corollary 1.

## S8 Proof of Corollary 2

Given the error bound in Corollary 1 and approximation error in Lemma 5, to get the consistency, we let  $\mathcal{S} \rightarrow \infty$ , and  $\mathcal{ST} \log(\mathcal{S}) \log(n) / n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

To get the optimal convergence rate, we need to balance the stochastic error term and the approximation error term in

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_n} \|\hat{f}_n - f_*\|_2^2 \lesssim \frac{1}{n} \mathcal{ST} \log(\mathcal{S}) \log(n) + n^{-2\beta/(2\beta+d)} \log n + (NM)^{-4\beta/d}.$$

From Lemma 5, the network width and depth satisfy  $\mathcal{W} = O(N \log N)$  and  $\mathcal{T} = O(M \log M)$ .

Moreover, for a feedforward network, the size satisfies  $\mathcal{S} = O(\mathcal{W}^2 \mathcal{T})$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n} \mathcal{S} \mathcal{T} \log(\mathcal{S}) \log(n) &\lesssim \frac{1}{n} \mathcal{W}^2 \mathcal{T}^2 \log(\mathcal{S}) \log(n) \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{n} N^2 M^2 \log^4(n), \end{aligned}$$

where we used  $\log N \lesssim \log n$  and  $\log M \lesssim \log n$  for polynomial choices of  $N$  and  $M$ . Thus, to attain the minimax rate (up to logarithmic factors), it is sufficient to choose  $(N, M)$  so that

$$\frac{(NM)^2}{n} \asymp n^{-2\beta/(2\beta+d)} \quad \text{and} \quad (NM)^{-4\beta/d} \asymp n^{-2\beta/(2\beta+d)},$$

which is achieved by taking  $NM \asymp n^{d/(4\beta+2d)}$ . We provide two illustrative specifications corresponding to different width/depth scalings.

*Fixed depth, increasing width.* Set  $M$  fixed and  $N \asymp n^{d/(4\beta+2d)}$ . Then Lemma 5 implies  $\mathcal{W} = O(n^{d/(4\beta+2d)} \log n)$  and  $\mathcal{T} = O(1)$ , and thus  $\mathcal{S} = O(\mathcal{W}^2 \mathcal{T}) = O(n^{d/(2\beta+d)} \log^2 n)$ . The first term of (4.3) becomes

$$\mathcal{S} \mathcal{T} \log(\mathcal{S}) \log(n) / n \lesssim n^{d/(2\beta+d)-1} \log^4(n) = n^{-2\beta/(2\beta+d)} \log^4(n).$$

*Fixed width, increasing depth.* Alternatively, set  $N$  fixed and  $M \asymp n^{d/(4\beta+2d)}$  (so that still  $NM \asymp n^{d/(4\beta+2d)}$ ). Then  $\mathcal{W} = O(1)$  while  $\mathcal{T} = O(M \log M) = O(n^{d/(4\beta+2d)} \log n)$ , and

hence  $\mathcal{S} = O(\mathcal{W}^2\mathcal{T}) = O(n^{d/(4\beta+2d)} \log n)$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}\mathcal{T} \log(\mathcal{S}) \log(n)/n &\lesssim \{n^{d/(4\beta+2d)} \log n\} \{n^{d/(4\beta+2d)} \log n\} \log n \log n/n \\ &\lesssim n^{d/(2\beta+d)-1} \log^4(n) = n^{-2\beta/(2\beta+d)} \log^4(n). \end{aligned}$$

In both cases, the remaining two terms in (4.3) can be controlled by  $n^{-2\beta/(2\beta+d)}$  (up to logarithmic factors), which yields (4.4). Motivated by the common viewpoint that depth can be more important than width for ReLU networks (e.g., Vardi et al. (2022)), we present the increasing-depth / bounded-width regime in Corollary 2 as the main-text illustration.

### S9 Proof of Corollary 3

According to Jiao et al. (2023), under Assumption 3, by applying Theorem 6.2 in Jiao et al. (2023) and Corollary 1, we obtain that, given  $N$ ,  $M$ , and the bandwidth  $b = n^{-1/(2\beta+d_{\mathcal{M}})} \wedge n^{-2\beta/(2\beta+d_{\mathcal{M}})}$ , the excess risk can be bounded by

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_n} \|\hat{f}_n - f_*\|_2^2 \lesssim \mathcal{S}\mathcal{T} \log(\mathcal{S}) \log(n)/n + n^{-2\beta/(2\beta+d_{\mathcal{M}})} \log n + \lceil \beta \rceil^4 d d_{\mathcal{M}}^{3\lceil \beta \rceil - 2} (NM)^{-4\beta/d_{\mathcal{M}}}.$$

By balancing the stochastic error and approximation error, we further establish a bound of order  $n^{-2\beta/(2\beta+d_{\mathcal{M}})} \log^4 n$ .

## S10 Convergence Rate of the NW Estimator for Conditional Density Estimation

In this section, we provide a self-contained derivation showing that the Nadaraya–Watson (NW) type kernel estimator for conditional density estimation can achieve the minimax optimal rate when appropriate higher-order kernels are employed.

Let  $K(\cdot)$  and  $L(\cdot)$  be kernel functions and  $b_y, b_x$  be bandwidths for  $y$  and  $\mathbf{x}$ , respectively. The NW estimator can be written as the ratio of a joint density estimator and a marginal density estimator:

$$\hat{f}_{NW}(y | \mathbf{x}) := \frac{\hat{f}_{XY}(\mathbf{x}, y)}{\hat{f}_X(\mathbf{x})} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n K_{b_y}(Y_i - y) L_{b_x}(\mathbf{X}_i - \mathbf{x})}{\sum_{i=1}^n L_{b_x}(\mathbf{X}_i - \mathbf{x})},$$

where  $\hat{f}_{XY}(\mathbf{x}, y) = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{b_y}(Y_i - y) L_{b_x}(\mathbf{X}_i - \mathbf{x})$  and  $\hat{f}_X(\mathbf{x}) = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n L_{b_x}(\mathbf{X}_i - \mathbf{x})$ , with  $K_{b_y}(u) = K(u/b_y)/b_y$  and  $L_{b_x}(\mathbf{v}) = L(\mathbf{v}/b_x)/b_x^d$ .

Assume that  $f_*(y | \mathbf{x})$  belongs to the  $\beta$ -Hölder class on  $[0, 1]^{d+1}$ , the kernels  $K$  and  $L$  are of order  $\beta$  (i.e.,  $\int u^j K(u) du = 0$  for  $j = 1, \dots, \lfloor \beta \rfloor - 1$  and similarly for  $L$ ), and  $f_X(\mathbf{x})$  is bounded away from zero on  $[0, 1]^d$ .

Using a standard change-of-variables and Taylor expansion argument (similar to the proof of Lemma 1, but applied to both  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $y$ ), the kernel estimators satisfy:

$$\mathbb{E}\{\hat{f}_{XY}(\mathbf{x}, y)\} = f_{XY}(\mathbf{x}, y) + O(b_x^\beta + b_y^\beta), \quad \mathbb{E}\{\hat{f}_X(\mathbf{x})\} = f_X(\mathbf{x}) + O(b_x^\beta).$$

A Taylor expansion of the ratio  $\hat{f}_{XY}(\mathbf{x}, y)/\hat{f}_X(\mathbf{x})$  around its population counterpart  $f_{XY}(\mathbf{x}, y)/f_X(\mathbf{x}) = f_*(y | \mathbf{x})$  yields a pointwise bias of  $O(b_x^\beta + b_y^\beta)$  and thus a squared bias of  $O(b_x^{2\beta} + b_y^{2\beta})$ .

Moreover, since  $\int K_{b_y}^2 = O(1/b_y)$  and  $\int L_{b_x}^2 = O(1/b_x^d)$ , we have  $\text{var}\{\hat{f}_{XY}(\mathbf{x}, y)\} =$

$O\{(nb_x^d b_y)^{-1}\}$ . The ratio structure, combined with the assumption that  $f_X(\mathbf{x}) \gtrsim 1$ , implies  $\text{var}\{\hat{f}_{NW}(y | \mathbf{x})\} = O\{(nb_x^d b_y)^{-1}\}$ .

Consequently, the integrated mean squared error (IMSE) satisfies:

$$\mathbb{E} \iint \{\hat{f}_{NW}(y | \mathbf{x}) - f_*(y | \mathbf{x})\}^2 dy d\mathbf{x} \lesssim b_x^{2\beta} + b_y^{2\beta} + \frac{1}{nb_x^d b_y}.$$

Balancing the bias and variance terms by setting  $b_x \asymp b_y \asymp n^{-1/(2\beta+d+1)}$  yields the minimax optimal rate:

$$\text{IMSE} \lesssim n^{-2\beta/(2\beta+d+1)}.$$

This rate matches the minimax lower bound for conditional density estimation when the risk is integrated over both  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $y$ , where the effective nonparametric dimension is  $d + 1$ . Note that achieving this rate requires kernels of order  $\beta$ ; the original analysis by Hyndman et al. (1996) focused on the case  $\beta = 2$  with second-order kernels.

## Bibliography

- Anthony, M. and P. L. Bartlett (1999). *Neural Network Learning: Theoretical Foundations*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Bartlett, P. L., N. Harvey, C. Liaw, and A. Mehrabian (2019). Nearly-tight VC-dimension and pseudodimension bounds for piecewise linear neural networks. *Journal of Machine Learning Research* 20(63), 1–17.
- Györfi, L., M. Kohler, A. Krzyżak, and H. Walk (2002). *A Distribution-Free Theory of Nonparametric Regression*. Springer Series in Statistics. New York, NY: Springer.

- Hyndman, R. J., D. M. Bashtannyk, and G. K. Grunwald (1996). Estimating and visualizing conditional densities. *Journal of Computational and Graphical Statistics* 5(4), 315–336.
- Jiao, Y., G. Shen, Y. Lin, and J. Huang (2023). Deep nonparametric regression on approximate manifolds: Nonasymptotic error bounds with polynomial prefactors. *The Annals of Statistics* 51(2), 691–716.
- Schmidt-Hieber, J. (2020). Nonparametric regression using deep neural networks with ReLU activation function. *The Annals of Statistics* 48(4), 1875–1897.
- Vardi, G., G. Yehudai, and O. Shamir (2022). Width is less important than depth in ReLU neural networks. In *Proceedings of Thirty Fifth Conference on Learning Theory*, pp. 1249–1281. PMLR.
- Vershynin, R. (2018). *High-Dimensional Probability: An Introduction with Applications in Data Science*. Cambridge Series in Statistical and Probabilistic Mathematics. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Wainwright, M. J. (2019). *High-Dimensional Statistics: A Non-Asymptotic Viewpoint*. Cambridge Series in Statistical and Probabilistic Mathematics. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Chenxuan He

Institute of Statistics and Big Data, Renmin University of China, Beijing, China

E-mail: hechenxuan@ruc.edu.cn

Yuan Gao

LPMC & KLMDASR, School of Statistics and Data Science, Nankai University, Tianjin, China

E-mail: yuangao@nankai.edu.cn

Liping Zhu

Center for Applied Statistics and Institute of Statistics and Big Data, Renmin University of China, Beijing, China

E-mail: zhu.liping@ruc.edu.cn

Jian Huang

Department of Data Science and AI and Department of Applied Mathematics, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hong Kong, China

E-mail: j.huang@polyu.edu.hk

Chenxuan He and Yuan Gao are co-first authors.