

Asymptotic distributions of the number of zeros of random
polynomials in Hayes equivalence class over a finite field

Jason Z. Gao

School of Mathematics and Statistics

Carleton University

Ottawa, Ontario K1S5B6

Canada

Definitions and Notation

- ▶ \mathbb{F}_q denotes the finite field with q elements, q is a power of a prime p , and $\mathbb{F}_q^* = \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0\}$.
- ▶ $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$ denotes the set of polynomials with coefficients in \mathbb{F}_q .
- ▶ $\deg(f)$ denotes the degree of the polynomial f .
- ▶ \mathcal{M} denotes the set of monic polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$,
 $\mathcal{M}_j := \{f \in \mathcal{M} : \deg(f) = j\}$.

Definitions and Notation

- ▶ \mathbb{F}_q denotes the finite field with q elements, q is a power of a prime p , and $\mathbb{F}_q^* = \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0\}$.
- ▶ $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$ denotes the set of polynomials with coefficients in \mathbb{F}_q .
- ▶ $\deg(f)$ denotes the degree of the polynomial f .
- ▶ \mathcal{M} denotes the set of monic polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$,
 $\mathcal{M}_j := \{f \in \mathcal{M} : \deg(f) = j\}$.
- ▶ For $f \in \mathcal{M}_d$, $\hat{f} = x^d f(1/x)$ is called the *reciprocal* of f .

Definitions and Notation

- ▶ \mathbb{F}_q denotes the finite field with q elements, q is a power of a prime p , and $\mathbb{F}_q^* = \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0\}$.
- ▶ $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$ denotes the set of polynomials with coefficients in \mathbb{F}_q .
- ▶ $\deg(f)$ denotes the degree of the polynomial f .
- ▶ \mathcal{M} denotes the set of monic polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$,
 $\mathcal{M}_j := \{f \in \mathcal{M} : \deg(f) = j\}$.
- ▶ For $f \in \mathcal{M}_d$, $\hat{f} = x^d f(1/x)$ is called the *reciprocal* of f .

For a non-negative integer ℓ and $Q \in \mathcal{M}_t$, two polynomials $f, g \in \mathcal{M}$ are *Hayes equivalent* with respect to ℓ and Q if $\gcd(f, Q) = \gcd(g, Q) = 1$ and

$$\hat{f}(x) \equiv \hat{g}(x) \pmod{x^{\ell+1}}, \quad (1)$$

$$f(x) \equiv g(x) \pmod{Q}. \quad (2)$$

Definitions and Notation

Condition (1) says that f and g have the same ℓ *leading coefficients*, that is,

$$\left[x^{\deg(f)-j} \right] f(x) = \left[x^{\deg(g)-j} \right] g(x), \quad 1 \leq j \leq \ell.$$

Definitions and Notation

Condition (1) says that f and g have the same ℓ *leading coefficients*, that is,

$$\left[x^{\deg(f)-j} \right] f(x) = \left[x^{\deg(g)-j} \right] g(x), \quad 1 \leq j \leq \ell.$$

The following two special cases are particularly interesting.

- (a) $Q = 1$. In this case, condition (2) is null, and Hayes equivalence is defined by the ℓ leading coefficients.
- (b) $Q = x^t$ for some $t > 0$. In this case, condition (2) says that f and g have the same t *ending coefficients*, that is

$$\left[x^j \right] f(x) = \left[x^j \right] g(x), \quad 0 \leq j \leq t - 1.$$

The Hayes group

Let $\mathcal{E}^{\ell, Q}$ denote the set of all Hayes equivalence classes with respect to ℓ, Q , and let $\langle f \rangle$ denote the equivalence class represented by a polynomial $f \in \mathcal{M}$. It is known [Hayes 65] that $\mathcal{E}^{\ell, Q}$ is a group under the operation $\langle f \rangle \langle g \rangle = \langle fg \rangle$.

The Hayes group

Let $\mathcal{E}^{\ell, Q}$ denote the set of all Hayes equivalence classes with respect to ℓ, Q , and let $\langle f \rangle$ denote the equivalence class represented by a polynomial $f \in \mathcal{M}$. It is known [Hayes 65] that $\mathcal{E}^{\ell, Q}$ is a group under the operation $\langle f \rangle \langle g \rangle = \langle fg \rangle$. It is also known that

$$\mathcal{E}^{\ell, Q} \cong \mathcal{E}^{\ell, 1} \times \mathcal{E}^{0, Q},$$

$$|\mathcal{E}^{\ell, Q}| = q^\ell \Phi_t(Q), \text{ where } \Phi_j(Q) := |\{f \in \mathcal{M}_j : \gcd(f, Q) = 1\}|.$$

The Hayes group

Let $\mathcal{E}^{\ell, Q}$ denote the set of all Hayes equivalence classes with respect to ℓ, Q , and let $\langle f \rangle$ denote the equivalence class represented by a polynomial $f \in \mathcal{M}$. It is known [Hayes 65] that $\mathcal{E}^{\ell, Q}$ is a group under the operation $\langle f \rangle \langle g \rangle = \langle fg \rangle$. It is also known that

$$\mathcal{E}^{\ell, Q} \cong \mathcal{E}^{\ell, 1} \times \mathcal{E}^{0, Q},$$

$$|\mathcal{E}^{\ell, Q}| = q^\ell \Phi_t(Q), \text{ where } \Phi_j(Q) := |\{f \in \mathcal{M}_j : \gcd(f, Q) = 1\}|.$$

We shall use Iverson's bracket $\llbracket P \rrbracket$ which has value 1 if the predicate P is true and 0 otherwise.

Distribution of the number of zeros

Let $\mathcal{M}_k(\varepsilon)$ denote the set of polynomials in \mathcal{M} which have degree $k + t + \ell$ and are equivalent to ε . It is known that $|\mathcal{M}_k(\varepsilon)| = q^k$.

Distribution of the number of zeros

Let $\mathcal{M}_k(\varepsilon)$ denote the set of polynomials in \mathcal{M} which have degree $k + t + \ell$ and are equivalent to ε . It is known that $|\mathcal{M}_k(\varepsilon)| = q^k$.

Given $D \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q$ and $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{E}^{\ell, Q}$, let $Y_k(\varepsilon)$ be the number of zeros in D of a random polynomial $f \in \mathcal{M}_k(\varepsilon)$ (under uniform distribution). Some known work about the distribution of $Y_k(\varepsilon)$:

- ▶ For $D = \mathbb{F}_q$ and for all polynomials, that is, $\ell = 0, Q = 1$. [Knopfmacher-Knopfmacher 90]

Distribution of the number of zeros

Let $\mathcal{M}_k(\varepsilon)$ denote the set of polynomials in \mathcal{M} which have degree $k + t + \ell$ and are equivalent to ε . It is known that $|\mathcal{M}_k(\varepsilon)| = q^k$.

Given $D \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q$ and $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{E}^{\ell, Q}$, let $Y_k(\varepsilon)$ be the number of zeros in D of a random polynomial $f \in \mathcal{M}_k(\varepsilon)$ (under uniform distribution). Some known work about the distribution of $Y_k(\varepsilon)$:

- ▶ For $D = \mathbb{F}_q$ and for all polynomials, that is, $\ell = 0, Q = 1$. [Knopfmacher-Knopfmacher 90]
- ▶ For polynomials with given leading coefficients, i.e., $\ell \geq 1, Q = 1$. This is related to the distance distribution of Reed-Solomon code. [Zhou-Wang-Wang 17, Li-Wan 20, Gao-Li 23]

Distribution of the number of zeros

Let $\mathcal{M}_k(\varepsilon)$ denote the set of polynomials in \mathcal{M} which have degree $k + t + \ell$ and are equivalent to ε . It is known that $|\mathcal{M}_k(\varepsilon)| = q^k$.

Given $D \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q$ and $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{E}^{\ell, Q}$, let $Y_k(\varepsilon)$ be the number of zeros in D of a random polynomial $f \in \mathcal{M}_k(\varepsilon)$ (under uniform distribution). Some known work about the distribution of $Y_k(\varepsilon)$:

- ▶ For $D = \mathbb{F}_q$ and for all polynomials, that is, $\ell = 0, Q = 1$. [Knopfmacher-Knopfmacher 90]
- ▶ For polynomials with given leading coefficients, i.e., $\ell \geq 1, Q = 1$. This is related to the distance distribution of Reed-Solomon code. [Zhou-Wang-Wang 17, Li-Wan 20, Gao-Li 23]

Since $\gcd(f, Q) = 1$, we will assume

$D \subseteq \{x \in \mathbb{F}_q : Q(x) \neq 0\}$, and set $n := |D|$.

Asymptotic distribution of $Y_k(\varepsilon)$

Theorem 1 Let $Q \in \mathcal{M}_t$ and $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{E}^{\ell, Q}$.

(a) As $k - r \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(Y_k(\varepsilon) = r) = \binom{n}{r} \left(\frac{1}{q}\right)^r \left(1 - \frac{1}{q}\right)^{n-r} (1 + o(1)).$$

(b) As $n, k - r \rightarrow \infty$ and for $r = o(\sqrt{n})$, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(Y_k(\varepsilon) = r) \sim e^{-n/q} \frac{1}{r!} \left(\frac{n}{q}\right)^r.$$

Asymptotic distribution of $Y_k(\varepsilon)$

Theorem 1 Let $Q \in \mathcal{M}_t$ and $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{E}^{\ell, Q}$.

(a) As $k - r \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(Y_k(\varepsilon) = r) = \binom{n}{r} \left(\frac{1}{q}\right)^r \left(1 - \frac{1}{q}\right)^{n-r} (1 + o(1)).$$

(b) As $n, k - r \rightarrow \infty$ and for $r = o(\sqrt{n})$, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(Y_k(\varepsilon) = r) \sim e^{-n/q} \frac{1}{r!} \left(\frac{n}{q}\right)^r.$$

Define $\mu_m(r) := \sum_{j=0}^m (-1)^j \binom{n-r}{j} q^{-j}$. We note

$$\left| \mu_m(r) - \left(1 - \frac{1}{q}\right)^{n-r} \right| \leq \binom{n-r}{m+1} q^{-(m+1)} \leq \frac{1}{(m+1)!}.$$

Asymptotics for large r

Theorem 2 Let $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{E}^{\ell, Q}$ and $D = \{x \in \mathbb{F}_q : Q(x) \neq 0\}$. Suppose either $\ell \geq 1$ or $\ell = 0, Q = x^t$. Then, uniformly for $0 \leq r \leq k + t + \ell$, as $k \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(Y_k = r) \sim \mu_{k+t+\ell-r}(r) \binom{n}{r} q^{-r},$$

provided that either of the following conditions holds:

(a) there are constants $c, c' \in (0, 1)$ such that $t + \ell \leq c' \sqrt{n}$, $k \leq cn$ and

$$\frac{p-1}{p} c \ln \frac{1}{c} + (1-c) \ln \frac{1}{1-c} - \frac{1+c}{p} \ln(1+c) > c' \ln(2p).$$

(b) there are constants $c, c' \in (0, 1)$ such that $t + \ell \leq c' \sqrt{n}$, $k \leq cn$, $p \geq c/c' \geq 1$ and $(1-c) \ln \frac{1}{1-c} > c' \ln \frac{1}{c'}$.

Outline of the proofs

It is convenient to define $\langle f \rangle = 0$ when $\gcd(f, Q) \neq 1$. Let

$$r(f) := |\{x \in D : f(x) = 0\}|,$$

and consider the following generating function:

$$G(z, u) = \sum_{f \in \mathcal{M}} \langle f \rangle z^{\deg(f)} u^{r(f)},$$

Outline of the proofs

It is convenient to define $\langle f \rangle = 0$ when $\gcd(f, Q) \neq 1$. Let

$$r(f) := |\{x \in D : f(x) = 0\}|,$$

and consider the following generating function:

$$G(z, u) = \sum_{f \in \mathcal{M}} \langle f \rangle z^{\deg(f)} u^{r(f)},$$

The standard generating function argument gives

$$\begin{aligned} G(z, u) &= \frac{1}{1 - qz} z^{t+\ell} (1 + (u-1)z)^n \sum_{\varepsilon \in \mathcal{E}^{\ell, Q}} \varepsilon \\ &\quad + \left(\sum_{j=0}^{t+\ell-1} z^j \sum_{g \in \mathcal{M}_j} \langle g \rangle \right) \prod_{\alpha \in D} (\langle 1 \rangle + (u-1)z \langle x - \alpha \rangle). \end{aligned}$$

Generating function and moments

Let D_j be the set of all j -subsets of D , and

$$W_j(\varepsilon) = \sum_{g \in \mathcal{M}_{k+t+l-j}} \sum_{S \in D_j} \left[\langle g \rangle \prod_{\alpha \in S} \langle x - \alpha \rangle = \varepsilon \right]. \quad (3)$$

Generating function and moments

Let D_j be the set of all j -subsets of D , and

$$W_j(\varepsilon) = \sum_{g \in \mathcal{M}_{k+t+\ell-j}} \sum_{S \in D_j} \left[\langle g \rangle \prod_{\alpha \in S} \langle x - \alpha \rangle = \varepsilon \right]. \quad (3)$$

Proposition 1

$$\begin{aligned} [z^{k+t+\ell} \varepsilon] G(z, u) &= \sum_{j=0}^k q^{k-j} \binom{n}{j} (u-1)^j \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=k+1}^{k+t+\ell} W_j(\varepsilon) (u-1)^j, \\ \mathbb{E} \left(\binom{Y_k(\varepsilon)}{j} \right) &= \llbracket j \leq k \rrbracket \binom{n}{j} q^{-j} \\ &\quad + \llbracket k+1 \leq j \leq k+t+\ell \rrbracket q^{-k} W_j(\varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

Generating function and moments

Let D_j be the set of all j -subsets of D , and

$$W_j(\varepsilon) = \sum_{g \in \mathcal{M}_{k+t+\ell-j}} \sum_{S \in D_j} \left[\langle g \rangle \prod_{\alpha \in S} \langle x - \alpha \rangle = \varepsilon \right]. \quad (3)$$

Proposition 1

$$\begin{aligned} [z^{k+t+\ell} \varepsilon] G(z, u) &= \sum_{j=0}^k q^{k-j} \binom{n}{j} (u-1)^j \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=k+1}^{k+t+\ell} W_j(\varepsilon) (u-1)^j, \\ \mathbb{E} \left(\binom{Y_k(\varepsilon)}{j} \right) &= \llbracket j \leq k \rrbracket \binom{n}{j} q^{-j} \\ &\quad + \llbracket k+1 \leq j \leq k+t+\ell \rrbracket q^{-k} W_j(\varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

Sieve formula and Bonferroni inequalities

Sieve formula Let Y be any random variable which takes non-negative integer values $0, 1, \dots, M$. We have

$$\mathbb{P}(Y = r) = \sum_{j=r}^M (-1)^{j+r} \binom{j}{r} \mathbb{E} \left(\binom{Y}{j} \right).$$

Sieve formula and Bonferroni inequalities

Sieve formula Let Y be any random variable which takes non-negative integer values $0, 1, \dots, M$. We have

$$\mathbb{P}(Y = r) = \sum_{j=r}^M (-1)^{j+r} \binom{j}{r} \mathbb{E} \left(\binom{Y}{j} \right).$$

Moreover, for each $r \leq m \leq M$, we have

$$\left| \mathbb{P}(Y = r) - \sum_{j=r}^{m-1} (-1)^{j+r} \binom{j}{r} \mathbb{E} \left(\binom{Y}{j} \right) \right| \leq \binom{m}{r} \mathbb{E} \left(\binom{Y}{m} \right).$$

Sieve formula and Bonferroni inequalities

Sieve formula Let Y be any random variable which takes non-negative integer values $0, 1, \dots, M$. We have

$$\mathbb{P}(Y = r) = \sum_{j=r}^M (-1)^{j+r} \binom{j}{r} \mathbb{E} \left(\binom{Y}{j} \right).$$

Moreover, for each $r \leq m \leq M$, we have

$$\left| \mathbb{P}(Y = r) - \sum_{j=r}^{m-1} (-1)^{j+r} \binom{j}{r} \mathbb{E} \left(\binom{Y}{j} \right) \right| \leq \binom{m}{r} \mathbb{E} \left(\binom{Y}{m} \right).$$

This and Proposition 1 immediately give Theorem 1 by choosing $m = k$.

The function $A_j(a, b)$ and its bounds

Define

$$\begin{aligned} A_j(a, b) &= [z^j] \left((1-z)^{-ab} (1-z^p)^{-a(1-b)/p} \right) \\ &= \sum_{0 \leq i \leq j/p} \binom{ab + j - ip - 1}{j - ip} \binom{a(1-b)/p + i - 1}{i}. \end{aligned}$$

The function $A_j(a, b)$ and its bounds

Define

$$\begin{aligned} A_j(a, b) &= [z^j] \left((1-z)^{-ab} (1-z^p)^{-a(1-b)/p} \right) \\ &= \sum_{0 \leq i \leq j/p} \binom{ab + j - ip - 1}{j - ip} \binom{a(1-b)/p + i - 1}{i}. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 3 Let $b \in (0, 1]$ and $a > 0$. Then

(a) For all $p > 0$, we have

$$\ln A_j(a, b) \leq \frac{j}{p} \ln \frac{a+j}{j} + \frac{a(1-b)}{p} \ln \frac{a+j}{a} + ab \ln(2p),$$

(b) For $p \geq c_j/b \geq 1$, we have

$$\ln A_j(a, b) \leq j \ln \frac{ab+j}{j} + ab \ln \frac{ab+j}{ab} + \frac{a \ln 4}{p} 2^{-pab/j}.$$

Estimate for $W_j(\varepsilon)$ using Weil's bound

Proposition 2 Let $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{E}$, $k+1 \leq j \leq k+t+\ell$,
 $\gamma := \min\{1, (t+\ell-1)\sqrt{q}/n\}$, and
 $D = \{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q : Q(\alpha) \neq 0\}$. Suppose $\ell \geq 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| W_j(\varepsilon) - \frac{\Phi_{k+t+\ell-j}(Q)}{\Phi_t(Q)} \binom{n}{j} q^{-\ell} \right| \\ & \leq \frac{|\mathcal{E}^{\ell, Q}| - 1}{|\mathcal{E}^{\ell, Q}|} \binom{t+\ell-1}{t+\ell+k-j} q^{(t+\ell+k-j)/2} A_j(n, \gamma). \end{aligned}$$

Estimate for $W_j(\varepsilon)$ using Weil's bound

Proposition 2 Let $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{E}$, $k+1 \leq j \leq k+t+\ell$,
 $\gamma := \min\{1, (t+\ell-1)\sqrt{q}/n\}$, and
 $D = \{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q : Q(\alpha) \neq 0\}$. Suppose $\ell \geq 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| W_j(\varepsilon) - \frac{\Phi_{k+t+\ell-j}(Q)}{\Phi_t(Q)} \binom{n}{j} q^{-\ell} \right| \\ & \leq \frac{|\mathcal{E}^{\ell, Q}| - 1}{|\mathcal{E}^{\ell, Q}|} \binom{t+\ell-1}{t+\ell+k-j} q^{(t+\ell+k-j)/2} A_j(n, \gamma). \end{aligned}$$

The proof uses *characters* χ over $\mathcal{E}^{\ell, Q}$:

$$W_j(\varepsilon) = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{E}^{\ell, Q}|} \sum_{\chi} \chi(\varepsilon^{-1}) \left(\sum_{g \in \mathcal{M}_{k+t+\ell-j}} \chi(g) \right) \sum_{S \in D_j} \prod_{\alpha \in S} \chi(x - \alpha),$$

Estimate for $W_j(\varepsilon)$ using Weil's bound

Proposition 2 Let $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{E}$, $k+1 \leq j \leq k+t+\ell$,
 $\gamma := \min\{1, (t+\ell-1)\sqrt{q}/n\}$, and
 $D = \{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q : Q(\alpha) \neq 0\}$. Suppose $\ell \geq 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| W_j(\varepsilon) - \frac{\Phi_{k+t+\ell-j}(Q)}{\Phi_t(Q)} \binom{n}{j} q^{-\ell} \right| \\ & \leq \frac{|\mathcal{E}^{\ell, Q}| - 1}{|\mathcal{E}^{\ell, Q}|} \binom{t+\ell-1}{t+\ell+k-j} q^{(t+\ell+k-j)/2} A_j(n, \gamma). \end{aligned}$$

The proof uses *characters* χ over $\mathcal{E}^{\ell, Q}$:

$$W_j(\varepsilon) = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{E}^{\ell, Q}|} \sum_{\chi} \chi(\varepsilon^{-1}) \left(\sum_{g \in \mathcal{M}_{k+t+\ell-j}} \chi(g) \right) \sum_{S \in D_j} \prod_{\alpha \in S} \chi(x - \alpha),$$

Weil's bound for character sums, and and Li-Wan's
"coordinate-sieve" formula.

Weil's bound

Weil's bound: for each $\chi \neq 1$ and $d \leq t + \ell - 1$, we have

$$\left| \sum_{g \in \mathcal{M}_d} \chi(g) \right| \leq \binom{t + \ell - 1}{d} q^{d/2}.$$

Weil's bound

Weil's bound: for each $\chi \neq 1$ and $d \leq t + \ell - 1$, we have

$$\left| \sum_{g \in \mathcal{M}_d} \chi(g) \right| \leq \binom{t + \ell - 1}{d} q^{d/2}.$$

The condition $\ell \geq 1$ implies $\chi^i \neq 1$ when $p \nmid i$. This together with the condition $D = \{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q : Q(\alpha) \neq 0\}$ give

$$\left| \sum_{\alpha \in D} \chi^i(x - \alpha) \right| \leq \gamma n. \quad (p \nmid i) \quad (4)$$

Theorem 2 holds for those D satisfying (4).

Coordinate-sieve formula

Let $\bar{D}^j := \{(x_1, \dots, x_j) : x_i \in D \text{ are all distinct}\}$ and $c_i(\tau)$ be the number of cycles of length i in a permutation τ of j elements. Define $l(\tau) = \sum_i c_i$, $l' = \sum_{i, p \nmid i} c_i$.

Coordinate-sieve formula

Let $\bar{D}^j := \{(x_1, \dots, x_j) : x_i \in D \text{ are all distinct}\}$ and $c_i(\tau)$ be the number of cycles of length i in a permutation τ of j elements. Define $l(\tau) = \sum_i c_i$, $l' = \sum_{i, p \nmid i} c_i$.

Li-Wan's "coordinate-sieve" formula gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{S \in D_j} \prod_{\alpha \in S} \chi(x - \alpha) \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{j!} \left| \sum_{(x_1, \dots, x_j) \in \bar{D}^j} \prod_{i=1}^j \chi(x - x_i) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{j!} \sum_{\tau} \prod_{p|i} \left| \sum_{\alpha \in D} \chi^i(x - \alpha) \right|^{c_i(\tau)} \prod_{p \nmid i} \left| \sum_{\alpha \in D} \chi^i(x - \alpha) \right|^{c_i(\tau)} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{j!} \sum_{\tau} n^{l(\tau) - l'(\tau)} (\gamma n)^{l'(\tau)} = A_j(n, \gamma). \end{aligned}$$

Estimate for $\Phi_j(Q)$

Recall $\Phi_j(Q) := |\{f \in \mathcal{M}_j : \gcd(f, Q) = 1\}|$.

Estimate for $\Phi_j(Q)$

Recall $\Phi_j(Q) := |\{f \in \mathcal{M}_j : \gcd(f, Q) = 1\}|$. Let $\{P_i : i \in I\}$ be the set of distinct irreducible factors of Q , where $P_i \in \mathcal{M}_{d_i}$. Then the sieve formula gives

$$\Phi_j(Q) = \sum_{S \subseteq I} (-1)^{|S|} \left[\sum_{i \in S} d_i \leq j \right] q^{j - \sum_{i \in S} d_i},$$
$$q^j \left(1 - \sum_{i \in I} q^{-d_i} \right) \leq \Phi_j(Q) \leq q^j.$$

Estimate for $\Phi_j(Q)$

Recall $\Phi_j(Q) := |\{f \in \mathcal{M}_j : \gcd(f, Q) = 1\}|$. Let $\{P_i : i \in I\}$ be the set of distinct irreducible factors of Q , where $P_i \in \mathcal{M}_{d_i}$. Then the sieve formula gives

$$\Phi_j(Q) = \sum_{S \subseteq I} (-1)^{|S|} \left[\sum_{i \in S} d_i \leq j \right] q^{j - \sum_{i \in S} d_i},$$
$$q^j \left(1 - \sum_{i \in I} q^{-d_i} \right) \leq \Phi_j(Q) \leq q^j.$$

We note

$$|I| \leq \sum_{i \in I} d_i \leq t \leq \sqrt{n},$$
$$\Phi_j(Q) = q^j \left(1 + O\left(\sqrt{n}/q\right) \right).$$

Theorem 2 follows from Propositions 1–3.

Thanks. Questions?