

Supplementary Materials to “Order Determination for Spiked Type Models”

Yicheng Zeng and Lixing Zhu

*Department of Mathematics, Hong Kong Baptist University, Hong Kong*

*Center for Statistics and Data Science, Beijing Normal University at Zhuhai, China*

**Proof of Lemma 1.** Firstly, we check the requirement (i). As  $f_n$  is differentiable,  $\exists \xi_q \in (\hat{\lambda}_{q+1}/\sigma^2, \hat{\lambda}_q/\sigma^2)$ , s.t.

$$\hat{\delta}_q^* = f_n(\hat{\lambda}_q/\sigma^2) - f_n(\hat{\lambda}_{q+1}/\sigma^2) = f'_n(\xi_q)\hat{\delta}_q. \quad (1.1)$$

We then only need to check that

$$\mathbb{P}\{f'_n(\xi_q) \geq 1\} \longrightarrow 1, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (1.2)$$

By conditions (a) and (b), it suffices to show that

$$\mathbb{P}\{\xi_q > b - \kappa_n\} \longrightarrow 1, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (1.3)$$

On the other hand, from the definition of  $\kappa_n$  in condition (b), we have

$$\hat{\lambda}_{q+1}/\sigma^2 - b = o_P(\kappa_n), \quad (1.4)$$

which is equivalent to

$$\frac{\hat{\lambda}_{q+1}/\sigma^2 - b}{\kappa_n} = o_P(1). \quad (1.5)$$

Then we have

$$\mathbb{P}\{\xi_q > b - \kappa_n\} \geq \mathbb{P}\left\{\frac{\hat{\lambda}_{q+1}}{\sigma^2} > b - \kappa_n\right\} = \mathbb{P}\left\{\frac{\hat{\lambda}_{q+1}/\sigma^2 - b}{\kappa_n} > -1\right\} \rightarrow 1 \quad (1.6)$$

(i) is then verified.

Now we check (ii). Similarly, we have

$$\hat{\delta}_i^* = f'(\xi_i)\hat{\delta}_i/\sigma^2, \quad \text{for } q+1 \leq i \leq p-2, \quad (1.7)$$

where  $\xi_i \in (\hat{\lambda}_{i+1}/\sigma^2, \hat{\lambda}_i/\sigma^2)$ . Then it suffices to show that

$$\mathbb{P}\{\xi_i < b + \kappa_n\} \rightarrow 1, \quad \text{for } q+1 \leq i \leq p-2. \quad (1.8)$$

Since  $\xi_{q+1} > \dots > \xi_{p-2}$ , it is equivalent to

$$\mathbb{P}\{\xi_{q+1} < b + \kappa_n\} \rightarrow 1, \quad (1.9)$$

whose proof is completely parallel to that of (i).

For (iii), we have

$$\frac{\hat{\delta}_{q+1}^*}{\hat{\delta}_q^*} = \frac{f'_n(\xi_{q+1})\hat{\delta}_{q+1}}{f'_n(\xi_q)\hat{\delta}_q} \quad (1.10)$$

Condition (b) yields

$$f'_n(\xi_{q+1}) \leq f'_n(\xi_q), \quad (1.11)$$

since  $\xi_{q+1} < \hat{\lambda}_{q+1}/\sigma^2 < \xi_q$ . Therefore,

$$\frac{\hat{\delta}_{q+1}^*}{\hat{\delta}_q^*} \leq \frac{\hat{\delta}_{q+1}}{\hat{\delta}_q}. \quad (1.12)$$

The requirement (iii) is then proved and the proof of the lemma is finished.

□

**Proof of Theorem 2.** We only need to check that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \frac{\hat{\delta}_{i+1}^* + c_n}{\hat{\delta}_i^* + c_n} > \tau \right\} = 1, \quad \text{for } q < i \leq L - 2 \quad (1.13)$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \frac{\hat{\delta}_{q+1}^* + c_n}{\hat{\delta}_q^* + c_n} \leq \tau \right\} = 1. \quad (1.14)$$

On one hand, since Lemma 1 ensures the requirement (ii), for  $q < i \leq L - 2$ ,

$$\hat{\delta}_i^* = o_p(c_n), \quad (1.15)$$

which leads to  $\hat{\delta}_i^* c_n^{-1} = o_p(1)$ . Then

$$\frac{\hat{\delta}_{i+1}^* + c_n}{\hat{\delta}_i^* + c_n} = \frac{\hat{\delta}_{i+1}^* c_n^{-1} + 1}{\hat{\delta}_i^* c_n^{-1} + 1} = \frac{o_p(1) + 1}{o_p(1) + 1} \xrightarrow{P} 1 > \tau. \quad (1.16)$$

That is,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \frac{\hat{\delta}_{i+1}^* + c_n}{\hat{\delta}_i^* + c_n} > \tau \right\} = 1, \quad \text{for } q < i \leq L - 2. \quad (1.17)$$

On the other hand, because of (i), (ii) and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \frac{\hat{\delta}_{q+1}^*/\sigma^2 + c_n}{\hat{\delta}_q^*/\sigma^2 + c_n} \leq \tau \right\} \rightarrow 1, \quad (1.18)$$

we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \frac{\hat{\delta}_{q+1}^* + c_n}{\hat{\delta}_q^* + c_n} \leq \tau \right\} \rightarrow 1. \quad (1.19)$$

Thus,  $\hat{q}_n^{TVACLE}$  is equal to  $q$  with a probability going to 1. The proof is concluded.  $\square$

**Proof of Proposition 3 and Theorem 3.** When  $\sigma^2 = 1$ , Wang and Yao (2017) provided the limiting spectral distribution (LSD) of the matrix  $\mathbf{F}_n = \mathbf{S}_1 \mathbf{S}_2^{-1}$  and established the phase transition phenomenon for those extreme eigenvalues of  $\mathbf{F}_n$ . When  $0 < c \leq 1$ , the empirical spectral distribution (ESD) of  $\mathbf{F}_n$  weakly converges to a distribution  $F_{c,y}$  with the density function

$$f_{c,y}(x) = \frac{(1-y)\sqrt{(b_1-x)(x-a_1)}}{2\pi x(c+xy)}, \quad a_2 \leq x \leq b_2, \quad (1.20)$$

where  $a_2 = (\frac{1-\sqrt{c+y-cy}}{1-y})^2$  and  $b_2 = (\frac{1+\sqrt{c+y-cy}}{1-y})^2$ . Similarly as that of spiked population models, when  $c > 1$ , there is an additional probability measure of mass  $1 - \frac{1}{c}$  for  $F_{c,y}$ . Further, they also proved a phase transition phenomenon that almost surely

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\lambda}_i &\rightarrow \varphi(\lambda_i), & \lambda_i &> \gamma(1 + \sqrt{c+y-cy}), \\ \hat{\lambda}_i &\rightarrow b_2, & 1 &< \lambda_i \leq \gamma(1 + \sqrt{c+y-cy}), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\gamma = \frac{1}{1-y} \in (1, +\infty)$  and  $\varphi(x) = \frac{\gamma x(x-1+c)}{x-\gamma}$ ,  $x \neq \gamma$ .

Under the general Fisher matrix with the spiked structure

$$\text{spec}(\Sigma_1 \Sigma_2^{-1}) = \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_{q_1}, \sigma^2, \dots, \sigma^2\}. \quad (1.21)$$

Using the simple transformation  $\hat{\lambda}_i \mapsto (\sigma^2)^{-1}\hat{\lambda}_i$ , we can similarly achieve the results in the case of  $\sigma^2 = 1$ . The empirical spectral distribution of  $\mathbf{F}_n$  weakly converges to a distribution  $F_{c,y,\sigma^2}$  with the density function

$$f_{c,y,\sigma^2}(x) = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} f_{c,y}\left(\frac{x}{\sigma^2}\right), \quad \sigma^2 a_1 < x < \sigma^2 b_1, \quad (1.22)$$

and the additional point mass  $1 - \frac{1}{c}$  at origin  $x = 0$  also exists when  $c > 1$ .

The phase transition phenomenon is modified as

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\lambda}_i &\rightarrow \sigma^2 \varphi(\lambda_i/\sigma^2), & \lambda_i > \sigma^2 \gamma(1 + \sqrt{c + y - cy}), \\ \hat{\lambda}_i &\rightarrow \sigma^2 b_2, & \sigma^2 < \lambda_i \leq \sigma^2 \gamma(1 + \sqrt{c + y - cy}), \end{aligned}$$

where the parameters  $b_2$ ,  $\gamma$  and the function  $\varphi$  have the same definitions as those in the case with  $\sigma^2 = 1$ .

Recall that  $q := \#\{\lambda_i : \lambda_i > \sigma^2 \gamma(1 + \sqrt{c + y - cy})\}$ . According to these results, for any fixed  $L$  with  $q + 3 < L < p$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\lambda}_i &\rightarrow \sigma^2 \varphi(\lambda_i/\sigma^2), & 1 \leq i \leq q, \\ \hat{\lambda}_i &\rightarrow \sigma^2 b_2, & q + 1 \leq i \leq L. \end{aligned} \quad (1.23)$$

That is, when  $i$  is larger than  $q$ , the estimated eigenvalue  $\hat{\lambda}_i$  converges to the right edge  $\sigma^2 b_2$  of the support of  $F_{c,y,\sigma^2}$ . This means that any eigenvalues such that  $\sigma^2 < \lambda_i \leq \sigma^2 \gamma(1 + \sqrt{c + y - cy})$  cannot be identified through the estimated eigenvalues and then show the optimality of this lower bound.

Thus, the Proposition 3 has been proved.

Modifying the result of Wang and Yao (2017), we can show that those extreme eigenvalues  $\hat{\lambda}_i$  corresponding to  $\lambda_i > \sigma^2\gamma(1 + \sqrt{c + y - cy})$  satisfy Central Limiting Theorem and thus have the convergence rate of order  $1/\sqrt{n}$ . For the fluctuation of those eigenvalues which stick to the bulk, Han et al. (2016) showed that  $n^{2/3}(\hat{\lambda}_{q+1} - \sigma^2 b_2)$  is asymptotically Tracy-Widom distributed. Han et al. (2018) established an asymptotic joint distribution for  $(n^{2/3}(\hat{\lambda}_{q+1} - \sigma^2 b_2), n^{2/3}(\hat{\lambda}_{q+2} - \sigma^2 b_2), \dots, n^{2/3}(\hat{\lambda}_{q+k} - \sigma^2 b_2))$  for any fixed  $k$ . Thus, for any fixed  $L > q$ ,  $n^{2/3}(\hat{\lambda}_i - \sigma^2 b_2) = O_p(n^{-2/3})$  for  $q+1 \leq i \leq L$ .

We omit the remainder of the proof, since it is exactly the same with that of spiked population models.  $\square$

**Proof of Proposition 1.** Let  $\Sigma_y = \text{Cov}(y_t, y_{t-1})$  be the lag-1 auto-covariance matrices of  $y_t$  and  $\Sigma_x = \text{Cov}(x_t, x_{t-1})$  the lag-1 auto-covariance matrix of  $x_t$ . As shown in Li et al. (2017), the sample auto-covariance matrix of  $y_t$  is

$$\begin{aligned}
 \hat{\Sigma}_y &= \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=2}^{T+1} y_t y_{t-1}' = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=2}^{T+1} (\mathbf{A}x_t + \varepsilon_t)(\mathbf{A}x_{t-1} + \varepsilon_{t-1})' \\
 &= \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=2}^{T+1} \mathbf{A}x_t x_{t-1}' \mathbf{A}' + \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=2}^{T+1} (\mathbf{A}x_t \varepsilon_{t-1}' + \varepsilon_t x_{t-1}' \mathbf{A}') + \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=2}^{T+1} \varepsilon_t \varepsilon_{t-1}' \\
 &:= \mathbf{P}_\mathbf{A} + \hat{\Sigma}_\varepsilon,
 \end{aligned} \tag{1.24}$$

where the matrix  $\hat{\Sigma}_\varepsilon = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=2}^{T+1} \varepsilon_t \varepsilon_{t-1}'$  is the sample auto-covariance matrix of noise sequence  $\{\varepsilon_t\}$ . Notice that the matrix  $\mathbf{P}_\mathbf{A}$  is of finite rank, then the matrix  $\hat{\Sigma}_y$  can be viewed as a finite-rank perturbation of  $\hat{\Sigma}_\varepsilon$ . Since both  $\hat{\Sigma}_\varepsilon$

and  $\hat{\Sigma}_y$  are asymmetric matrices, Li et al. (2017) considered their singular values. This is equivalent to considering the square root of the eigenvalues of the matrices  $\hat{\mathbf{M}}_\varepsilon := \hat{\Sigma}_\varepsilon \hat{\Sigma}'_\varepsilon$  and  $\hat{\mathbf{M}}_y := \hat{\Sigma}_y \hat{\Sigma}'_y$ , respectively.

Define  $\hat{\Sigma}_y/\sigma^2 = \mathbf{P}_\mathbf{A}/\sigma^2 + \hat{\Sigma}_\varepsilon/\sigma^2$ , we can reduce the problem to the case with  $\sigma^2 = 1$ . When  $p/T \rightarrow y > 0$ , Li et al. (2015) proved that the empirical spectral distribution of  $\hat{\mathbf{M}}_\varepsilon$  almost surely converges to a non-random limiting distribution, whose Stieltjes transformation  $\mathcal{S}(z)$  defined in (4.10) satisfies the equation

$$z^2 \mathcal{S}^3(z) - 2z(y-1)\mathcal{S}^2(z) + (y-1)^2 \mathcal{S}(z) - z\mathcal{S}(z) - 1 = 0.$$

This limiting spectral distribution is continuous with a compact support  $[a_1 \mathbf{1}_{\{y \geq 1\}}, b_1]$ , where

$$a_1 = (-1 + 20y + 8y^2 - (1 + 8y)^{3/2})/8,$$

$$b_1 = (-1 + 20y + 8y^2 + (1 + 8y)^{3/2})/8$$

From Wang and Yao (2016), the largest eigenvalue  $\hat{\lambda}_{\varepsilon,1}$  of  $\hat{\mathbf{M}}_\varepsilon$  almost surely converges to the right edge  $b_1$ . Like the previous models, for any fixed  $L > q_0 + 1$ , and any  $1 \leq i \leq L$  the largest eigenvalues  $\hat{\lambda}_{\varepsilon,i}$  of  $\hat{\mathbf{M}}_\varepsilon$  converge to the same value  $b_1$ . Further, for general  $\sigma^2$ , the result of Li et al. (2017) implies that the limiting spectral distribution of the perturbed matrix  $\hat{\mathbf{M}}_y$  is identical to that of  $\hat{\mathbf{M}}_\varepsilon$ . They also built a phase transition phenomenon

for those extreme eigenvalues  $\hat{\lambda}_1 \geq \dots \geq \hat{\lambda}_q$ . The following proposition confirms the optimality of the bound restriction  $\mathcal{T}_1(i) < \mathcal{T}(b_1+)$  such that the corresponding  $q$  factors in  $\mathbf{P}_\mathbf{A}$  can be identified.

**Lemma A.** (Li et al. (2017)) *Denote  $\mathcal{T}(\cdot)$  as the  $T$ -transformation of the Limiting Spectral Distribution (LSD) for matrix  $\hat{\mathbf{M}}_y/\sigma^4$ . Suppose that the model (3.17) satisfies Assumptions 3.1-3.3,  $\{\varepsilon_t\}$  are normally distributed and the loading matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  is standardized as  $\mathbf{A}'\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{I}_k$ . Let  $\hat{\lambda}_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq q_0$  denote the  $q_0$  largest eigenvalues of  $\hat{\mathbf{M}}_y$ . Then for each  $1 \leq i \leq q_0$ ,  $\hat{\lambda}_i/\sigma^4$  converges almost surely to a limit  $\beta_i$ . Moreover,*

$$\beta_i > b_1 \text{ when } \mathcal{T}_1(i) < \mathcal{T}(b_1+),$$

and

$$\beta_i = b_1 \text{ when } \mathcal{T}_1(i) \geq \mathcal{T}(b_1+)$$

where

$$\mathcal{T}_1(i) = \frac{2y\sigma^2\gamma_0(i) + \gamma_1(i)^2 - \sqrt{(2y\sigma^2\gamma_0(i) + \gamma_1(i)^2)^2 - 4y^2\sigma^4(\gamma_0(i)^2 - \gamma_1(i))^2}}{2\gamma_0(i)^2 - 2\gamma_1(i)^2}.$$

From this lemma, we can see that the bound for the number of common factors determined by the constraint  $\mathcal{T}_1(i) < \mathcal{T}(b_1+)$  is optimal. That is, only  $q$  common factors in  $\mathbf{P}_\mathbf{A}$  can be well separated from the noise  $\varepsilon_t$ 's

---

theoretically. This is because  $\hat{\lambda}_{q+1}$  will converge to  $b_1$  and thus cannot be well separated from those large estimated eigenvalues of  $\hat{\Sigma}_\varepsilon$  that tend to the right edge  $b_1$  as well.  $\square$

**A justification of Proposition 2.** By the results of Wang and Yao (2016), the phase transitions hold. Further, under the assumption that the estimated eigenvalues  $\hat{\lambda}_i$  for  $i > q$  have the convergence rate of order  $O_p(n^{-2/3})$ , the results hold by following the arguments used in spiked population models.  $\square$

## References

- Han, X., Pan, G. and Zhang, B. (2016). The Tracy–Widom law for the largest eigenvalue of F type matrices. *Ann. Statist.* **44**, 1564–1592.
- Han, X., Pan, G. and Yang, Q. (2018). A unified matrix model including both CCA and F matrices in multivariate analysis: the largest eigenvalue and its applications. *Bernoulli* **24**, 3447–3468.
- Li, Z., Pan, G. and Yao, J. (2015). On singular value distribution of large-dimensional autocovariance matrices. *J. Multivariate Anal.* **137**, 119–140.
- Li, Z., Wang, Q. and Yao, J. (2017). Identifying the number of factors from singular values of a large sample auto-covariance matrix. *Ann. Statist.* **45**, 257–288.

---

Wang, Q. and Yao, J. (2016). Moment approach for singular values distribution of a large auto-covariance matrix. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist.* **52**, 1641–1666.

Wang, Q. and Yao, J. (2017). Extreme eigenvalues of large-dimensional spiked Fisher matrices with application. *Ann. Statist.* **45**, 415-460.

Yicheng Zeng, Department of Mathematics, Hong Kong Baptist University, Kowloon Tong, Kowloon, Hong Kong, China

E-mail: [statzyc@gmail.com](mailto:statzyc@gmail.com)

Lixing Zhu, Department of Mathematics, Hong Kong Baptist University, Kowloon Tong, Kowloon, Hong Kong, China

Center for Statistics and Data Science, Beijing Normal University at Zhuhai, Zhuhai, Guangdong, China

E-mail: [lzhu@hkbu.edu.hk](mailto:lzhu@hkbu.edu.hk)