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## Lemmas and Technical Proofs

Lemma 5. Under Condition 1 or conditions of Theorem 3, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n-1}\left(\widehat{\mathbf{A}}_{2}^{\prime} \mathbf{y}_{t} \mathbf{y}_{t}^{\prime} \widehat{\mathbf{A}}_{2}-\mathbf{A}_{2}^{\prime} \mathbf{y}_{t} \mathbf{y}_{t}^{\prime} \mathbf{A}_{2}\right)=o_{p}(1) \tag{S0.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We first show the case with fixed $p$. Since $\left\{\mathbf{x}_{t 2}, \mathbf{f}_{t}, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{t}\right\}$ is $\alpha$ mixing with mixing coefficients $\alpha_{m}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{m}^{1-1 / \gamma}<\infty \tag{S0.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

it follows that $\left\{\nabla \mathbf{y}_{t}\right\}$ is a $\alpha$ mixing process with mixing coefficients satisfying (S0.2). Thus, by Theorem 3.2.3 of Lin and Lu (1997), there exists a $p$-dimensional Gaussian process $\mathbf{g}(t)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{y}_{[n t]} / \sqrt{n} \xrightarrow{d} \mathbf{g}(t), \text { on } D[0,1] . \tag{S0.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (S0.3) and the continuous mapping theorem, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{t=1}^{n} \mathbf{y}_{t} \mathbf{y}_{t}^{\prime} \xrightarrow{d} \int_{0}^{1} \mathbf{g}(t) \mathbf{g}^{\prime}(t) d t \tag{S0.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further, by $\mathrm{E}\left\|\mathrm{x}_{t 2}\right\|^{2 \gamma}<\infty$ for some $\gamma>1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{1 \leq t \leq n}\left\|\mathbf{x}_{t 2}-\operatorname{Ex}_{t 2}\right\| / \sqrt{n}=o_{p}(1), \text { and } \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n}\left\|\mathbf{x}_{t 2}-\operatorname{Ex}_{t 2}\right\|=O_{p}(1) \tag{S0.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (S0.3) and (S0.5) (see Lemma 7 of ZRY) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{n^{3 / 2}}\left\|\sum_{t=1}^{n} \mathbf{y}_{t} \mathbf{x}_{t 2}^{\prime}\right\|_{2}=o_{p}(1) \tag{S0.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, by $\nabla \mathbf{x}_{t 1}=\mathbf{A}_{1}^{\prime} \nabla \mathbf{y}_{t}$, we know $\left(\nabla \mathbf{x}_{t 1}, \mathbf{x}_{t 2}\right)$ is also $\alpha$ mixing with mixing coefficients satisfying (S0.2). As a result, by the proof of Theorem 1 in ZRY,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\widehat{\mathbf{A}}_{2}-\mathbf{A}_{2}\right\|_{2}=O_{p}(1 / n) \tag{S0.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (S0.4), (S0.6) and (S0.7), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n-1}\left(\widehat{\mathbf{A}}_{2}^{\prime} \mathbf{y}_{t} \mathbf{y}_{t}^{\prime} \widehat{\mathbf{A}}_{2}-\mathbf{A}_{2}^{\prime} \mathbf{y}_{t} \mathbf{y}_{t}^{\prime} \mathbf{A}_{2}\right)\right\|_{2} \\
= & \|\left(\widehat{\mathbf{A}}_{2}-\mathbf{A}_{2}\right)^{\prime} \frac{\sum_{t=1}^{n-1} \mathbf{y}_{t}\left(\mathbf{A}_{2}^{\prime} \mathbf{y}_{t}\right)^{\prime}}{n}+\frac{\sum_{t=1}^{n-1}\left(\mathbf{A}_{2}^{\prime} \mathbf{y}_{t}\right) \mathbf{y}_{t}^{\prime}}{n}\left(\widehat{\mathbf{A}}_{2}-\mathbf{A}_{2}\right) \\
& +\left(\widehat{\mathbf{A}}_{2}-\mathbf{A}_{2}\right)^{\prime} \frac{\sum_{t=1}^{n-1} \mathbf{y}_{t} \mathbf{y}_{t}^{\prime}}{n}\left(\widehat{\mathbf{A}}_{2}-\mathbf{A}_{2}\right) \|_{2} \\
= & \left\|\left(\widehat{\mathbf{A}}_{2}-\mathbf{A}_{2}\right)^{\prime} \frac{\sum_{t=1}^{n-1} \mathbf{y}_{t} \mathbf{x}_{t 2}^{\prime}}{n}+\frac{\sum_{t=1}^{n-1} \mathbf{x}_{t 2} \mathbf{y}_{t}^{\prime}}{n}\left(\widehat{\mathbf{A}}_{2}-\mathbf{A}_{2}\right)+\left(\widehat{\mathbf{A}}_{2}-\mathbf{A}_{2}\right) \frac{\sum_{t=1}^{n-1} \mathbf{y}_{t} \mathbf{y}_{t}^{\prime}}{n}\left(\widehat{\mathbf{A}}_{2}-\mathbf{A}_{2}\right)^{\prime}\right\|_{2} \\
= & o_{p}(1) . \tag{S0.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Next, consider the case $p=o\left(n^{c}\right)$. Let $\boldsymbol{\varsigma}_{t}$ be a $k$-dimensional $I(1)$ process such that $\nabla \boldsymbol{\varsigma}_{t}=\mathbf{v}_{t}$. By Remark 2 of ZRY, we know that Condition 3 (i) and Remark 3 of ZRY hold for $\boldsymbol{\varsigma}_{t}$. Let $\mathbf{M}_{1}, \mathbf{M}_{2}$ be $k \times(p-r)$ and $k \times r$
matrices such that $\mathbf{M}$ given in (i) of Condition 3 satisfying $\mathbf{M}^{\prime}=\left(\mathbf{M}_{1}, \mathbf{M}_{2}\right)$. Let $\mathbf{F}(t)=\left(F^{1}(t), \cdots, F^{k}(t)\right)^{\prime}$ be defined as in ZRY and $\overline{\boldsymbol{\varsigma}}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{\varsigma}_{t}$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{t=1}^{n}\left(\mathbf{x}_{t 1}-\overline{\mathbf{x}}_{1}\right)\left(\mathbf{x}_{t 1}-\overline{\mathbf{x}}_{1}\right)^{\prime}-\mathbf{M}_{1}^{\prime} \int_{0}^{1} \mathbf{F}(t) \mathbf{F}^{\prime}(t) d t \mathbf{M}_{1}\right\|_{2} \\
= & \left\|\mathbf{M}_{1}^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{t=1}^{n}\left(\boldsymbol{\varsigma}_{t}-\overline{\boldsymbol{\varsigma}}\right)\left(\boldsymbol{\varsigma}_{t}-\overline{\boldsymbol{\varsigma}}\right)^{\prime}-\int_{0}^{1} \mathbf{F}(t) \mathbf{F}^{\prime}(t) d t\right) \mathbf{M}_{1}\right\|_{2}=o_{p}(
\end{align*}
$$

By Remark 3 of ZRY, we have $\lambda_{\text {min }}\left(\int_{0}^{1} \mathbf{F}(t) \mathbf{F}^{\prime}(t) d t\right) \geq 1 / k$ in probability. Since $c_{1} \leq \lambda_{\min }(\mathbf{M}) \leq \lambda_{\max }(\mathbf{M}) \leq c_{2}$, it follows $\lambda_{\min }\left(\mathbf{M}_{1}^{\prime} \int_{0}^{1} \mathbf{F}(t) \mathbf{F}^{\prime}(t) d t \mathbf{M}_{1}^{\prime}\right) \geq$ $1 / k$ in probability. Further, for any given $j \geq 0$,

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left\|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n-j}\left(\mathbf{x}_{t+j, 2}-\overline{\mathbf{x}}_{2}\right)\left(\mathbf{x}_{t 2}-\overline{\mathbf{x}}_{2}\right)^{\prime}-\operatorname{Cov}\left(\mathbf{x}_{t+j, 2}, \mathbf{x}_{t 2}\right)\right\|_{2} \\
&=\left\|\mathbf{M}_{2}^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n}\left[\left(\mathbf{v}_{t+j}-\overline{\mathbf{v}}\right)\left(\mathbf{v}_{t}-\overline{\mathbf{v}}\right)^{\prime}-\operatorname{Cov}\left(\mathbf{v}_{t+j}, \mathbf{v}_{t}\right)\right]\right) \mathbf{M}_{2}\right\|_{2}=o_{p}(1)(\mathrm{S} 0 a 110) \\
&\left\|\frac{1}{n^{3 / 2}} \sum_{t=1}^{n-j}\left(\mathbf{x}_{t+j, 1}-\overline{\mathbf{x}}_{2}\right)\left(\mathbf{x}_{t 2}-\overline{\mathbf{x}}_{2}\right)^{\prime}\right\|_{2}=\left\|\mathbf{M}_{1}^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{n^{3 / 2}} \sum_{t=1}^{n}\left(\boldsymbol{\varsigma}_{t+j}-\overline{\boldsymbol{\varsigma}}\right)\left(\mathbf{v}_{t}-\overline{\mathbf{v}}\right)^{\prime}\right) \mathbf{M}_{2}\right\|_{2} \\
&=O_{p}\left(k / n^{1 / 2}\right), \tag{S0.11}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathbf{v}_{t}$ is given in (i) of Condition 3.
By (S0.9)-(S0.11), similar to the proof of Theorem 3 in ZRY, it can be shown that when $k=o\left(n^{1 / 2-1 / \eta}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\widehat{\mathbf{A}}_{2}-\mathbf{A}_{2}\right\|_{2}=O_{p}\left(p^{1 / 2} k / n\right) \tag{S0.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similar to (S0.9), there exists a $k$-dimensional Gaussian process $\mathbf{w}(t)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{t=1}^{n} \mathbf{y}_{t} \mathbf{y}_{t}^{\prime}-\mathbf{A}_{1} \mathbf{M}_{1}^{\prime} \int_{0}^{1} \mathbf{w}(t) \mathbf{w}^{\prime}(t) d t \mathbf{M}_{1} \mathbf{A}_{1}^{\prime}\right\|_{2}=o_{p}(1) \tag{S0.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and similar to (S0.11), we can show (S0.6) holds provided $k / n^{1 / 2} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, by (S0.12) and (S0.13), we also have (S0.8) and complete the proof of Lemma 5 .

Lemma 6. Under Condition 1,

$$
\left\|\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{t=1}^{n} \nabla \mathbf{y}_{t} \mathbf{y}_{t-1}^{\prime}\left(\widehat{\mathbf{A}}_{2}-\mathbf{A}_{2}\right)\right\|_{2}=o_{p}(1)
$$

and under the conditions of Theorem 3,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{t=1}^{n} \nabla \mathbf{y}_{t} \mathbf{y}_{t-1}^{\prime}\left(\widehat{\mathbf{A}}_{2}-\mathbf{A}_{2}\right)\right\|_{2}=O_{p}\left(p^{1 / 2} k^{2} / n^{1 / 2}\right) \tag{S0.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. When $p$ is fixed, similar to (S0.6), we have

$$
\frac{1}{n^{3 / 2}}\left\|\sum_{t=1}^{n} \nabla \mathbf{y}_{t} \mathbf{y}_{t-1}^{\prime}\right\|_{2}=o_{p}(1)
$$

As a result, it follows from (S0.7) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{t=1}^{n} \nabla \mathbf{y}_{t} \mathbf{y}_{t-1}^{\prime}\left(\widehat{\mathbf{A}}_{2}-\mathbf{A}_{2}\right)\right\|_{2}=o_{p}(1) \tag{S0.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $p$ tends to infinity as $n \rightarrow \infty$, using the same idea as in (S0.11), we can show

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{n^{3 / 2}}\left\|\sum_{t=1}^{n} \nabla \mathbf{y}_{t} \mathbf{y}_{t-1}^{\prime}\right\|_{2}=O_{p}\left(k / n^{1 / 2}\right) \tag{S0.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, by (S0.12) and $p \leq k=o\left(n^{1 / 2}\right)$, it follows that

$$
\left\|\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{t=1}^{n} \nabla \mathbf{y}_{t} \mathbf{y}_{t-1}^{\prime}\left(\widehat{\mathbf{A}}_{2}-\mathbf{A}_{2}\right)\right\|_{2}=O_{p}\left(p^{1 / 2} k^{2} / n^{1 / 2}\right)
$$

Thus, we have Lemma 6.
Lemma 7. Let $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}=\mathrm{E}\left\{\left(\mathbf{f}_{t-1}^{\prime}, \cdots, \mathbf{f}_{t-s}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{f}_{t-1}^{\prime}, \cdots, \mathbf{f}_{t-s}^{\prime}\right)\right\}$. Under Condition 1
, for any given positive integer s,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{n}\left[\sum_{t=s+1}^{n}\left(\widehat{\mathbf{f}}_{t-1}^{\prime}, \cdots, \widehat{\mathbf{f}}_{t-s}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}\left(\widehat{\mathbf{f}}_{t-1}^{\prime}, \cdots, \widehat{\mathbf{f}}_{t-s}^{\prime}\right)-M\right] \xrightarrow{p} \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \tag{S0.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and under the condition of Theorem 3, in probability

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{n}\left[\sum_{t=s+1}^{n}\left(\widehat{\mathbf{f}}_{t-1}^{\prime}, \cdots, \widehat{\mathbf{f}}_{t-s}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}\left(\widehat{\mathbf{f}}_{t-1}^{\prime}, \cdots, \widehat{\mathbf{f}}_{t-s}^{\prime}\right)-M\right]>0 \tag{S0.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{A}>0$ means that $\mathbf{A}$ is a positive definition matrix.
Proof. By some elementary computation, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\widehat{\mathbf{f}}_{t} & =\left[\mathbf{f}_{t}+\mathbf{B}^{\prime} \varepsilon_{t}\right]+\left[(\widehat{\mathbf{B}}-\mathbf{B})^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{B} \mathbf{f}_{t}+\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{t}\right)\right]+\left[\widehat{\mathbf{B}}^{\prime}(\mathbf{D}-\widehat{\mathbf{D}}) \mathbf{x}_{t 2}\right]+\left[\widehat{\mathbf{B}}^{\prime} \widehat{\mathbf{D}}\left(\mathbf{A}_{2}-\widehat{\mathbf{A}}_{2}\right)^{\prime} \mathbf{y}_{t-1}\right] \\
& \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{4} \boldsymbol{\zeta}_{t, i} . \tag{S0.19}
\end{align*}
$$

Next, we first show (S0.17) holds for fixed $p$. By (S0.33) (see below), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\widehat{\mathbf{B}}-\mathbf{B}\|_{2}=O_{p}\left(n^{-1 / 2}\right) \tag{S0.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

which gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=s+1}^{n}\left(\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{t-1,2}^{\prime}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{\zeta}_{t-s, 2}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}\left(\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{t-1,2}^{\prime}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{\zeta}_{t-s, 2}^{\prime}\right)\right\|_{2}=o_{p}(1) \tag{S0.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, by (S0.29) (see below) and (S0.7), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=3}^{4}\left\|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=s+1}^{n}\left(\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{t-1, i}^{\prime}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{\zeta}_{t-s, i}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}\left(\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{t-1, i}^{\prime}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{\zeta}_{t-s, i}^{\prime}\right)\right\|_{2}=o_{p}(1) \tag{S0.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, by law of large numbers for $\alpha$-mixing process, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{n}\left[\sum_{t=s+1}^{n}\left(\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{t-1,1}^{\prime}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{\zeta}_{t-s, 1}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}\left(\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{t-1,1}^{\prime}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{\zeta}_{t-s, 1}^{\prime}\right)-M\right] \xrightarrow{p} \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \tag{S0.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (S0.21)-(S0.23) yields that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=s}^{n}\left[\left(\widehat{\mathbf{f}}_{t-1}\right)^{\prime}, \cdots,\left(\widehat{\mathbf{f}}_{t-s}\right)^{\prime}\right]^{\prime}\left[\left(\widehat{\mathbf{f}}_{t-1}\right)^{\prime}, \cdots,\left(\widehat{\mathbf{f}}_{t-s}\right)^{\prime}\right] \\
= & \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=s+1}^{n}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{4} \boldsymbol{\zeta}_{t-1, i}^{\prime}, \cdots, \sum_{i=1}^{4} \boldsymbol{\zeta}_{t-s, i}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{4} \boldsymbol{\zeta}_{t-1, i}^{\prime} \cdots, \sum_{i=1}^{4} \boldsymbol{\zeta}_{t-s, i}^{\prime}\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=s+1}^{n}\left(\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{t-1,1}^{\prime}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{\zeta}_{t-s, 1}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}\left(\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{t-1,1}^{\prime}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{\zeta}_{t-s, 1}^{\prime}\right)+o_{p}(1) \xrightarrow{p} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}
\end{aligned}
$$

and (S0.17) follows.
Now, we turn to show the case with $p$ varying with $n$. Since $p=o\left(n^{1 / 2}\right)$, (S0.23) still holds. Note that $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=s}^{n}\left(\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{t-1, i}^{\prime}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{\zeta}_{t-s, i}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}\left(\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{t-1, i}^{\prime}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{\zeta}_{t-s, i}^{\prime}\right) \geq$ $\mathbf{0}$ for $i=1, \cdots, 4$. For the proof of (S0.18), it is enough to show for all $1 \leq i \neq j \leq 4$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=s+1}^{n}\left(\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{t-1, i}^{\prime}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{\zeta}_{t-s, i}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}\left(\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{t-1, j}^{\prime}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{\zeta}_{t-s, j}^{\prime}\right)\right\|_{2}=o_{p}(1) \tag{S0.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

We only give $i=1, j=4$ in details, other cases can be shown similarly. Since $\mathbf{y}_{t}=\mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}_{t}$, it follows from (2.1) that
$\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{t, 1}=\mathbf{B}^{\prime}\left(\nabla \mathbf{y}_{t}-\mathbf{D} \mathbf{x}_{t-1,2}\right)=\mathbf{B}^{\prime} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{e}_{t}-\mathbf{B}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{D}+\mathbf{A}_{2}\right) \mathbf{x}_{t-1,2}=\mathbf{B}^{\prime} \mathbf{A M v} \mathbf{v}_{t}-\mathbf{B}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{D}+\mathbf{A}_{2}\right) \mathbf{M}_{2}^{\prime} \mathbf{v}_{t-1}$.
Thus, by the fact that for any $-s-1 \leq j \leq s+1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\sum_{t=1}^{n} \sum_{s=1}^{t} \mathbf{v}_{s} \mathbf{v}_{t+j}\right\|_{2}=O_{p}(k n) \tag{S0.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

and (S0.12), we have the left-hand side of (S0.24) is of order $O_{p}\left(p^{1 / 2} k^{2} / n\right)=$ $o_{p}(1)$, where ( S 0.25 ) holds because the components of $\mathbf{v}_{t}$ are independent. Thus, we have (S0.18) and complete the proof of Lemma 7.

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $\mathbf{b}_{i}, i=1, \cdots, p$ be the rows of $\mathbf{B}$. Lemmas 5
and 6 implies that for any $1 \leq i \leq p$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\sqrt{n}\left(\widehat{\mathbf{d}}_{i}-\mathbf{d}_{i}\right) & =\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{t=1}^{n}\left(\mathbf{b}_{i} \mathbf{f}_{t}+\varepsilon_{t}^{i}\right) \mathbf{y}_{t-1}^{\prime} \mathbf{A}_{2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\mathbf{A}_{2}^{\prime} \mathbf{y}_{t-1}\right)\left(\mathbf{A}_{2}^{\prime} \mathbf{y}_{t-1}\right)^{\prime}\right)^{-1}+o_{p}(1) \\
& =\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{t=1}^{n}\left(\mathbf{b}_{i} \mathbf{f}_{t}+\varepsilon_{t}^{i}\right) \mathbf{x}_{t-1,2}^{\prime}\right)\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \mathbf{x}_{t 2} \mathbf{x}_{t 2}^{\prime}\right)^{-1}+o_{p}(1) . \tag{S0.26}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\left\{\mathbf{x}_{t 2}\right\}$ is $\alpha$ mixing with mixing coefficients satisfying (S0.2), it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \mathbf{x}_{t 2} \mathbf{x}_{t 2}^{\prime} \xrightarrow{p} \mathrm{E}\left(\mathbf{x}_{t 2} \mathbf{x}_{t 2}^{\prime}\right)=: \boldsymbol{\Pi} . \tag{S0.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, by central limit theory (CLT) for $\alpha$-mixing process $\left\{\left(\mathbf{b}_{i} \mathbf{f}_{t}+\varepsilon_{t}^{i}\right) \mathbf{x}_{t-1,2}^{\prime}, 1 \leq i \leq p\right\}$, there exists a $p r \times p r$ matrix $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\left(\sum_{t=1}^{n}\left(\mathbf{b}_{1} \mathbf{f}_{t}+\varepsilon_{t}^{1}\right) \mathbf{x}_{t-1,2}^{\prime}, \cdots, \sum_{t=1}^{n}\left(\mathbf{b}_{p} \mathbf{f}_{t}+\varepsilon_{t}^{p}\right) \mathbf{x}_{t-1,2}^{\prime}\right) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \boldsymbol{\Lambda}) \tag{S0.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, by (S0.27) and (S0.28), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{n}(\operatorname{vech}(\widehat{\mathbf{D}})-\operatorname{vech}(\mathbf{D})) \xrightarrow{d} N\left(0, \boldsymbol{\Pi}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Lambda} \boldsymbol{\Pi}^{-1}\right) . \tag{S0.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further, by (S0.29) and (S0.7), it is easy to show that

$$
\|\widehat{\mathbf{C}}-\mathbf{C}\|_{2}=\left\|(\widehat{\mathbf{D}}-\mathbf{D}) \mathbf{A}_{2}^{\prime}+\widehat{\mathbf{D}}\left(\widehat{\mathbf{A}}_{2}^{\prime}-\mathbf{A}_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right\|_{2}=O_{p}\left(n^{-1 / 2}\right)
$$

Next, we show (b) of Theorem 1. Observe that

$$
\widehat{\mathbf{v}}_{t}=\nabla \mathbf{y}_{t}-\widehat{\mathbf{D}} \widehat{\mathbf{A}}_{2}^{\prime} \mathbf{y}_{t-1}=\left(\nabla \mathbf{y}_{t}-\mathbf{D} \mathbf{x}_{t-1,2}\right)-(\widehat{\mathbf{D}}-\mathbf{D})\left[\left(\widehat{\mathbf{A}}_{2}-\mathbf{A}_{2}\right)^{\prime} \mathbf{y}_{t-1}+\mathbf{x}_{t-1,2}\right]-\mathbf{D}\left(\widehat{\mathbf{A}}_{2}-\mathbf{A}_{2}\right)^{\prime} \mathbf{y}_{t-1}
$$

which means that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n-j}\left[\widehat{\mathbf{v}}_{t+j} \widehat{\mathbf{v}}_{t}^{\prime}-\mathrm{E}\left(\nabla \mathbf{y}_{t+j}-\mathbf{D} \mathbf{x}_{t+j-1}\right)\left(\nabla \mathbf{y}_{t}-\mathbf{D} \mathbf{x}_{t-1}\right)^{\prime}\right] \\
= & \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n-j}\left[\left(\nabla \mathbf{y}_{t+j}-\mathbf{D} \mathbf{x}_{t+j-1}\right)\left(\nabla \mathbf{y}_{t}-\mathbf{D} \mathbf{x}_{t-1}\right)^{\prime}-\mathrm{E}\left(\nabla \mathbf{y}_{t+j}-\mathbf{D} \mathbf{x}_{t+j-1}\right)\left(\nabla \mathbf{y}_{t}-\mathbf{D} \mathbf{x}_{t-1}\right)^{\prime}\right] \\
& +(\widehat{\mathbf{D}}-\mathbf{D})\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n-j}\left[\left(\widehat{\mathbf{A}}_{2}-\mathbf{A}_{2}\right)^{\prime} \mathbf{y}_{t+j-1}+\mathbf{x}_{t+j-1,2}\right]\left[\left(\widehat{\mathbf{A}}_{2}-\mathbf{A}_{2}\right)^{\prime} \mathbf{y}_{t-1}+\mathbf{x}_{t-1,2}\right]^{\prime}\right)(\widehat{\mathbf{D}}-\mathbf{D})^{\prime} \\
& +\mathbf{D}\left(\widehat{\mathbf{A}}_{2}-\mathbf{A}_{2}\right)^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n-j} \mathbf{y}_{t+j-1} \mathbf{y}_{t-1}^{\prime}\right)\left(\widehat{\mathbf{A}}_{2}-\mathbf{A}_{2}\right) \mathbf{D}^{\prime} \\
& -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n-j}\left(\nabla \mathbf{y}_{t+j}-\mathbf{D} \mathbf{x}_{t+j-1,2}\right)\left\{\left[\mathbf{y}_{t-1}^{\prime}\left(\widehat{\mathbf{A}}_{2}-\mathbf{A}_{2}\right)+\mathbf{x}_{t-1,2}^{\prime}\right](\widehat{\mathbf{D}}-\mathbf{D})^{\prime}+\mathbf{y}_{t-1}^{\prime}\left(\widehat{\mathbf{A}}_{2}-\mathbf{A}_{2}\right) \mathbf{D}^{\prime}\right\} \\
& -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n-j}\left\{(\widehat{\mathbf{D}}-\mathbf{D})\left[\left(\widehat{\mathbf{A}}_{2}-\mathbf{A}_{2}\right)^{\prime} \mathbf{y}_{t+j-1}+\mathbf{x}_{t+j-1,2}\right]+\mathbf{D}\left(\widehat{\mathbf{A}}_{2}-\mathbf{A}_{2}\right)^{\prime} \mathbf{y}_{t+j-1}\right\}\left(\nabla \mathbf{y}_{t}-\mathbf{D} \mathbf{x}_{t-1,2}\right)^{\prime} \\
& +\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n-j}\left[\left(\widehat{\mathbf{A}}_{2}-\mathbf{A}_{2}\right)^{\prime} \mathbf{y}_{t+j-1} \mathbf{y}_{t-1}^{\prime}+\mathbf{x}_{t+j-1,2} \mathbf{y}_{t-1}^{\prime}\right]\left(\widehat{\mathbf{A}}_{2}-\mathbf{A}_{2}\right) \mathbf{D}^{\prime} \\
& +\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n-j} \mathbf{D}\left(\widehat{\mathbf{A}}_{2}-\mathbf{A}_{2}\right)^{\prime}\left[\mathbf{y}_{t+j-1} \mathbf{y}_{t-1}^{\prime}\left(\widehat{\mathbf{A}}_{2}-\mathbf{A}_{2}\right)+\mathbf{y}_{t+j-1} \mathbf{x}_{t-1,2}^{\prime}\right](\widehat{\mathbf{D}}-\mathbf{D})^{\prime} . \tag{S0.30}
\end{align*}
$$

By (S0.7), (S0.29) and the law of large numbers, we have that the spectral norm of the last six terms of the right-hand side in (S0.30) is $O_{p}\left(n^{-1}\right)$. And by CLT of $\alpha$ mixing process, for any given $j$, the first term of the right-hand side of (S0.30) is $O_{p}\left(n^{-1 / 2}\right)$. Similarly, we can show

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n-j} \overline{\mathbf{v}} \widehat{\mathbf{v}}_{t}^{\prime}\right\|_{2}=O_{p}\left(n^{-1}\right) \tag{S0.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\widehat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{v}(j)-\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{v}(j)\right\|_{2}=O_{p}\left(n^{-1 / 2}\right) \tag{S0.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{v}(j)=\mathrm{E}\left(\nabla \mathbf{y}_{t+j}-\mathbf{D} \mathbf{x}_{t+j-1}\right)\left(\nabla \mathbf{y}_{t}-\mathbf{D} \mathbf{x}_{t-1}\right)^{\prime}$. Since $j_{0}$ is fixed, it
follows from (S0.32) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\widehat{\mathbf{W}}-\sum_{j=1}^{j_{0}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{v}(j) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{v}^{\prime}(j)\right\|_{2}=O_{p}\left(n^{-1 / 2}\right) . \tag{S0.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $D(\mathcal{M}(\widehat{\mathbf{B}}), \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{B}))=O_{p}\left(\left\|\widehat{\mathbf{W}}-\sum_{j=1}^{j_{0}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{v}(j) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{v}^{\prime}(j)\right\|_{2}\right)$ (see for example, Chang, Guo and Yao (2015)), we have (b) of Theorem 1 as desired.

Now, we turn to show (c). By (S0.19), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\sum_{t=s+1}^{n}\left[\widehat{\mathbf{f}}_{t-1}^{\prime}, \cdots, \widehat{\mathbf{f}}_{t-s}^{\prime}\right]^{\prime} \widehat{\mathbf{f}}_{t}-\sum_{i=1}^{s} \mathbf{E}_{i} \widehat{\mathbf{f}}_{t-i}\right]^{\prime} \\
= & \sum_{t=s+1}^{n}\left[\mathbf{f}_{t-1}^{\prime}+\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{t-1}^{\prime} \mathbf{B}, \cdots, \mathbf{f}_{t-s}^{\prime}+\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{t-s}^{\prime} \mathbf{B}\right]^{\prime}\left[\mathbf{e}_{t}^{\prime}+\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{t}^{\prime} \mathbf{B}\right] \\
& -\sum_{t=s+1}^{n}\left[\mathbf{f}_{t-1}^{\prime}+\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{t-1}^{\prime} \mathbf{B}, \cdots, \mathbf{f}_{t-s}^{\prime}+\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{t-s}^{\prime} \mathbf{B}\right]^{\prime}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{s} \varepsilon_{t-i}^{\prime} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{E}_{i}^{\prime}\right] \\
& +\sum_{t=s+1}^{n}\left[\mathbf{f}_{t-1}^{\prime}+\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{t-1}^{\prime} \mathbf{B}, \cdots, \mathbf{f}_{t-s}^{\prime}+\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{t-s}^{\prime} \mathbf{B}\right]^{\prime}\left[\sum_{j=2}^{4}\left(\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{t, j}-\sum_{i=1} \mathbf{E}_{i} \boldsymbol{\zeta}_{t-i, j}\right)\right]^{\prime} \\
& +\sum_{t=s+1}^{n} \sum_{j=2}^{4}\left[\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{t-1, j}^{\prime}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{\zeta}_{t-s, j}^{\prime}\right]^{\prime}\left[\mathbf{e}_{t}+\mathbf{B}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{t}-\sum_{i=1}^{s} \mathbf{E}_{i} \mathbf{B}^{\prime} \varepsilon_{t-i}+\sum_{j=2}^{4}\left(\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{t, j}-\sum_{i=1} \mathbf{E}_{i} \boldsymbol{\zeta}_{t-i, j}\right)\right]^{\prime} \\
=: & \sum_{i=1}^{4} \Delta_{n i} . \tag{S0.34}
\end{align*}
$$

By (S0.7), (S0.20) and (S0.29), we can show that for any given positive integer $s$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\Delta_{n 3}\right\|_{2}+\left\|\Delta_{n 4}\right\|_{2}=O_{p}(\sqrt{n}) \tag{S0.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, since for any $1 \leq i, j \leq s$ and $l \neq i$, $\operatorname{vech}\left\{\left(\mathbf{f}_{t-i}+\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\mathbf{B} \varepsilon_{t-i}\right)\left(\mathbf{e}_{t}^{\prime}+\varepsilon_{t}^{\prime} \mathbf{B}\right), \mathbf{f}_{t-i} \varepsilon_{t-j}^{\prime} \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{B}^{\prime} \mathbf{v}_{t-i} \varepsilon_{t-l}^{\prime} \mathbf{B}\right\}$ is a $\alpha$ mixing process with finite $2 \gamma$-moment and mixing coefficients satisfying (S0.2), it follows from the CLT of $\alpha$ mixing process (see for example Corollary 3.2.1 of Lin and Lu)
that for some matrix $\Gamma_{1}$,
$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{t=s+1}^{n} \operatorname{vech}\left\{\left(\mathbf{f}_{t-i}+\mathbf{B} \varepsilon_{t-i}\right)\left(\mathbf{e}_{t}^{\prime}+\varepsilon_{t}^{\prime} \mathbf{B}\right), \mathbf{f}_{t-i} \varepsilon_{t-j}^{\prime} \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{B}^{\prime} \mathbf{v}_{t-i} \varepsilon_{t-l}^{\prime} \mathbf{B}\right\} \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \Gamma(\mathbb{S}) 0.36)$
Set $\Omega=\left[\sum_{t=s+1}^{n}\left(\widehat{\mathbf{f}}_{t-1}^{\prime}, \cdots, \widehat{\mathbf{f}}_{t-s}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}\left(\widehat{\mathbf{f}}_{t-1}^{\prime}, \cdots, \widehat{\mathbf{f}}_{t-s}^{\prime}\right)-M\right]$. By the definition of $\widehat{\mathbf{E}}_{i}, i=1,2, \cdots, s$, we have

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c}
\widehat{\mathbf{E}}_{1}^{\prime}-\mathbf{E}_{1}^{\prime} \\
\vdots \\
\widehat{\mathbf{E}}_{s}^{\prime}-\mathbf{E}_{s}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right)=\Omega^{-1}\left[\left(\begin{array}{c}
\sum_{t=s}^{n} \widehat{\mathbf{f}}_{t-1}\left(\widehat{\mathbf{f}}_{t}-\sum_{i=1}^{s} \mathbf{E}_{i} \widehat{\mathbf{f}}_{t-i}\right)^{\prime} \\
\vdots \\
\sum_{t=s}^{n} \widehat{\mathbf{f}}_{t-s}\left(\widehat{\mathbf{f}}_{t}-\sum_{i=1}^{s} \mathbf{E}_{i} \widehat{\mathbf{f}}_{t-i}\right)^{\prime}
\end{array}\right)+M\left(\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{E}_{1}^{\prime} \\
(\mathrm{S} 0 \\
\mathbf{E}_{s}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right) 3.7\right)
$$

Thus, by Lemma 7 and (S0.34)-(S0.36), we have conclusion (c) and complete the proof of Theorem 1.

Next, we first develop bounds for the estimated eigenvalues $\hat{\lambda}_{j}, j=$ $1,2, \cdots p$.

Lemma 8. Let $\lambda_{j}, j=1, \cdots, p$ be the eigenvalues of $\mathbf{W}_{v}$. Under Condition 1 or conditions of Theorem 3,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\widehat{\lambda}_{m}-\lambda_{m}\right|=O_{p}\left(p n^{-1 / 2}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad\left|\widehat{\lambda}_{m+1}\right|=O_{p}\left(p n^{-1 / 2}\right) . \tag{S0.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By (b) of Theorem 1 and (b) of Theorem 3, we have for any $1 \leq i \leq p$,

$$
\left|\widehat{\lambda}_{i}-\lambda_{i}\right| \leq\left\|\widehat{\mathbf{W}}_{v}-\mathbf{W}_{v}\right\|_{2}=O_{p}\left(p n^{-1 / 2}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \lambda_{m+1}=\cdots=\lambda_{p}=0
$$

This gives Lemma 8 as desired.
Proof of Theorem 2. It is enough to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} P\{\tilde{m}<m\}=0 \tag{S0.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose $\tilde{m}<m$ is true, then by Lemma 8 , there exists a positive constant
$c_{1}$ such that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left\{\widehat{\lambda}_{\tilde{m}+1} / \widehat{\lambda}_{\tilde{m}} \geq c_{1}\right\}=1, \quad \text { and } \quad \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left\{\widehat{\lambda}_{m+1} / \widehat{\lambda}_{m}<c_{1} / 2\right\}=1
$$

This implies that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left\{\widehat{\lambda}_{\tilde{m}+1} / \widehat{\lambda}_{\tilde{m}}>\widehat{\lambda}_{m+1} / \widehat{\lambda}_{m}\right\}=1
$$

which contradicts the definition of $\widetilde{m}$. Thus, (S0.39) holds.
Proof of Theorem 3. Since $p=o\left(n^{1 / 2}\right)$ and $\left\{\mathbf{x}_{t 2}\right\}$ is a $\alpha$ mixing process with mixing coefficients satisfying ( S 0.2 ), it follows that ( S 0.27 ) also holds for this case. Further, note that for any $1 \leq i \leq p$ and $1 \leq j \leq r$, applying CLT of mixing process to $\left\{\left(\mathbf{b}_{i} \mathbf{f}_{t}+\varepsilon_{t}^{i}\right) x_{t-1,2}^{j}\right\}$, which is a $\alpha$ mixing process with coefficients satisfying (3.2), we get

$$
\left|\sum_{t=1}^{n}\left(\mathbf{b}_{i} \mathbf{f}_{t}+\varepsilon_{t}^{i}\right) x_{t-1,2}^{j}\right|=O_{p}(\sqrt{n})
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n}\left(\mathbf{B f}_{t}+\varepsilon_{t}\right) \mathbf{x}_{t-1,2}^{\prime}\right\|_{2}=O_{p}\left(n^{-1 / 2}(p r)^{1 / 2}\right) \tag{S0.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, by Lemmas 5 and 6 ,

$$
\begin{align*}
\|\widehat{\mathbf{D}}-\mathbf{D}\|_{2} & =\left\|\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n} \nabla \mathbf{y}_{t} \mathbf{y}_{t-1}^{\prime} \widehat{\mathbf{A}}_{2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \widehat{\mathbf{A}}_{2}^{\prime} \mathbf{y}_{t-1} \mathbf{y}_{t-1}^{\prime} \widehat{\mathbf{A}}_{2}\right)^{-1}-\mathbf{D}\right\|_{2} \\
& =\left\|\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n} \nabla \mathbf{y}_{t} \mathbf{x}_{t-1,2}^{\prime}\right)\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \mathbf{x}_{t-1,2} \mathbf{x}_{t-1,2}^{\prime}\right)^{-1}-\mathbf{D}\right\|_{2}+O_{p}\left(p^{1 / 2} k^{2} / n\right) \\
& =\left\|\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n}\left(\mathbf{B} \mathbf{f}_{t}+\varepsilon_{t}\right) \mathbf{x}_{t-1,2}^{\prime}\right)\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \mathbf{x}_{t-1,2} \mathbf{x}_{t-1,2}^{\prime}\right)^{-1}\right\|_{2}+O_{p}\left(p^{1 / 2} k^{2} / n\right) \\
& =O_{p}\left(n^{-1 / 2}(p r)^{1 / 2}+p^{1 / 2} k^{2} / n\right), \tag{S0.41}
\end{align*}
$$

this combining with (S0.12) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\widehat{\mathbf{C}}-\mathbf{C}\|_{2}=\left\|(\widehat{\mathbf{D}}-\mathbf{D}) \mathbf{A}_{2}^{\prime}+\widehat{\mathbf{D}}^{\prime}\left(\widehat{\mathbf{A}}_{2}^{\prime}-\mathbf{A}_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right\|_{2}=O_{p}\left(n^{-1 / 2}(p r)^{1 / 2}+p^{1 / 2} k^{2} /\left(\mathbb{S}^{( }\right)\right) . \tag{0.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, (a) of Theorem 3 follows from (S0.41) and (S0.42).
Next, we show (b). It is easy to see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{t=1}^{n-j} \mathbf{y}_{t-1} \mathbf{y}_{t-1}^{\prime}\right\|_{2}=O_{p}(p) \tag{S0.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, by (S0.12), (S0.41) and (iii) of Condition 3, it can be shown that $\|\cdot\|_{2}$ norm of the last six terms of the right-hand side in (S0.30) are of order $o\left(p n^{-1 / 2}\right)$, provided $k=o\left(n^{1 / 2}\right)$ and $p=O\left(n^{1 / 4}\right)$. On the other hand, applying CLT of $\alpha$ mixing process to the first term of the right-hand side of (S0.30), we get for any given $j$, this term is of order $O_{p}\left(p n^{-1 / 2}\right)$. Similarly, we can show $n^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^{n-j} \overline{\mathbf{v}} \overline{\mathbf{v}}_{t}^{\prime}=O_{p}\left(n^{-1 / 2} p\right)$. Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\widehat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{v}(j)-\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{v}(j)\right\|_{2}=O_{p}\left(n^{-1 / 2} p\right) \tag{S0.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $j_{0}$ is fixed, it follows from (S0.44) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\widehat{\mathbf{W}}-\sum_{j=1}^{j_{0}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{v}(j) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{v}^{\prime}(j)\right\|_{2}=O_{p}\left(n^{-1 / 2} p\right) \tag{S0.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $D(\mathcal{M}(\widehat{\mathbf{B}}), \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{B}))=O_{p}\left(\left\|\widehat{\mathbf{W}}-\sum_{j=1}^{j_{0}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{v}(j) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{v}^{\prime}(j)\right\|_{2}\right)$ (see for example, Chang, Guo and Yao (2015)), we have (b) of Theorem 3 as desired.

In the following, we give the proof of (c). Let $\Delta_{n i}, i=1,2,3,4$ be defined as in (S0.34). By conclusions (a), (b) of Theorem 3 and (S0.12), we can show that

$$
\left\|\Delta_{n 3}+\Delta_{n 4}\right\|_{2}=O_{p}\left(n^{1 / 2}(p r)^{1 / 2}\left[n^{-1 / 2}(p r)^{1 / 2}+p^{1 / 2} k^{2} / n+p n^{-1 / 2}\right]+p^{1 / 2} k k^{2} \$ 0.46\right)
$$

On the other hand, applying CLT of $\alpha$ mixing to the elements of vech $\left\{\left(\mathbf{f}_{t-i}+\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\mathbf{B} \varepsilon_{t-i}\right)\left(\mathbf{e}_{t}^{\prime}+\varepsilon_{t}^{\prime} \mathbf{B}\right), \mathbf{f}_{t-i} \varepsilon_{t-j}^{\prime} \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{B}^{\prime} \mathbf{v}_{t-i} \varepsilon_{t-l}^{\prime} \mathbf{B}, l \neq i, 1 \leq i, j \leq s\right\}$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\Delta_{n 1}+\Delta_{n 2}-M\right\|_{2}=O_{p}\left((p m n)^{1 / 2}\right) \tag{S0.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining equations (S0.46)-(S0.47) with Lemma 7 and $p=o\left(n^{1 / 2}\right)$ yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(\mathbf{E}_{1}, \cdots, \mathbf{E}_{s}\right)\right\|_{2}=O\left(p^{1 / 2} k^{2} n^{-1}+p m^{1 / 2} n^{-1 / 2}\right) \tag{S0.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

this gives (c) and completes the proof of Theorem 3.
Proof of Theorem 4. By Lemma 8, Theorem 4 can be shown similarly as for Theorem 2. Therefore, we omit the detailed proofs.

Proof of Remark 1. Since the proofs are similar, we only show the case with fixed $p$ in details. It follows from the definition of $\widehat{m}$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=\widehat{m}+1}^{p} \widehat{\lambda}_{j}+\widehat{m} \omega_{n} \leq \sum_{j=m}^{p} \widehat{\lambda}_{p+1-j}+m \omega_{n} \tag{S0.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that $\widehat{m}>m$, it follows from (S0.49) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\widehat{m}-m) \omega_{n} \leq \sum_{j=m+1}^{\widehat{m}} \widehat{\lambda}_{j} \leq(\widehat{m}-m) \widehat{\lambda}_{m+1} \tag{S0.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\omega_{n} / n^{-1 / 2} \rightarrow \infty$, it follows from Lemma 8 that equation (S0.50) holds with probability zero. This gives that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} P\{\widehat{m}>m\}=0 \tag{S0.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, if $\widehat{m}<m$, equation (S0.49) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
(m-\widehat{m}) \widehat{\lambda}_{m} \leq \sum_{j=\widehat{m}+1}^{m} \widehat{\lambda}_{j} \leq(m-\widehat{m}) \omega_{n} \tag{S0.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 8 implies $\hat{\lambda}_{m} \geq \lambda_{m} / 2>0$. Thus, by (S0.52) and $\omega_{n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} P\{\widehat{m}<m\}=0 \tag{S0.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (S0.51) together with (S0.53) give the consistency of $\widehat{m}$ as desired.
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