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## 1. Proofs of Theorems in Section 2 of the Main Paper

Throughout the document, we let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ be the probability space where a stationary Gaussian random field $Z(s)$ is defined. To self-contained, we state theorems and corollary again in this supplementary material.

Proof of Theorem 2.2. The proof of the consistency of $\theta_{m}$ is similar with Wu , Lim and Xiao (2013). So we only show (2.10) of the main paper.

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
0=\left.\frac{d}{d \theta} R_{m}\left(c^{*}, \theta\right)\right|_{\theta=\theta_{m}}= & -\log (m)+\frac{\dot{g}_{c^{*}, \theta_{m}}(2 \pi \boldsymbol{J} / m)}{g_{c^{*}, \theta_{m}}(2 \pi \boldsymbol{J} / m)}+\log (m) \frac{1}{m^{d-\theta_{m}}} \frac{\hat{I}_{m}^{\tau}(2 \pi \boldsymbol{J} / m)}{g_{c^{*}, \theta_{m}}(2 \pi \boldsymbol{J} / m)} \\
& -\frac{1}{m^{d-\theta_{m}}} \frac{\hat{I}_{m}^{\tau}(2 \pi \boldsymbol{J} / m)}{g_{c^{*}, \theta_{m}}^{2}(2 \pi \boldsymbol{J} / m)} \dot{g}_{c^{*}, \theta_{m}}(2 \pi \boldsymbol{J} / m),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $g_{\dot{c}, \theta}=d g_{c, \theta} / d \theta$. We can rewrite $\left.\frac{d}{d \theta} R_{m}\left(c^{*}, \theta\right)\right|_{\theta=\theta_{m}}$ as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.\frac{d}{d \theta} R_{m}\left(c^{*}, \theta\right)\right|_{\theta=\theta_{m}}= & -\log (m)+\frac{\dot{g}_{1, \theta_{m}}(2 \pi \boldsymbol{J} / m)}{g_{1, \theta_{m}}(2 \pi \boldsymbol{J} / m)}+\log (m) \frac{c_{0}}{c^{*}} m^{\theta_{m}-\theta_{0}} \frac{1}{m^{d-\theta_{0}}} \frac{\hat{I}_{m}^{\tau}(2 \pi \boldsymbol{J} / m)}{g_{c_{0}, \theta_{m}}(2 \pi \boldsymbol{J} / m)} \\
& -\frac{c_{0}}{c^{*}} m^{\theta_{m}-\theta_{0}} \frac{1}{m^{d-\theta_{0}}} \frac{\hat{I}_{m}^{\tau}(2 \pi \boldsymbol{J} / m)}{g_{c_{0}, \theta_{m}}(2 \pi \boldsymbol{J} / m)} \frac{\dot{g}_{1, \theta_{m}}(2 \pi \boldsymbol{J} / m)}{g_{1, \theta_{m}}(2 \pi \boldsymbol{J} / m)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that we have $\left(1 / m^{d-\theta_{0}}\right)\left(\hat{I}_{m}^{\tau}(2 \pi \boldsymbol{J} / m) / g_{c_{0}, \theta_{m}}(2 \pi \boldsymbol{J} / m)\right) \xrightarrow{p} 1$ since $\left(1 / m^{d-\theta_{0}}\right)\left(\hat{I}_{m}^{\tau}(2 \pi \boldsymbol{J} / m) / g_{c_{0}, \theta_{0}}(2 \pi \boldsymbol{J} / m)\right) \xrightarrow{p} 1$ by Lim and Stein (2008) and $\theta_{m}$ is consistent. Thus, from the continuity of $g$, for any $0<\epsilon<1$, there exists a positive integer $M_{\epsilon}$ independent of the value of $c^{*}$ such that

$$
P\left\{\left|\frac{1}{m^{d-\theta_{0}}} \frac{\hat{I}_{m}^{\tau}(2 \pi \boldsymbol{J} / m)}{g_{c_{0}, \theta_{m}}(2 \pi \boldsymbol{J} / m)}-1\right|<\epsilon\right\} \geq 1-\epsilon
$$

for all $m>M_{\epsilon}$. Note that

$$
\left\{\left|\frac{1}{m^{d-\theta_{0}}} \frac{\hat{I}_{m}^{\tau}(2 \pi \boldsymbol{J} / m)}{g_{c_{0}, \theta_{m}}(2 \pi \boldsymbol{J} / m)}-1\right|<\epsilon\right\}=\left\{1-\epsilon<\frac{1}{m^{d-\theta_{0}}} \frac{\hat{I}_{m}^{\tau}(2 \pi \boldsymbol{J} / m)}{g_{c_{0}, \theta_{m}}(2 \pi \boldsymbol{J} / m)}<1+\epsilon\right\} .
$$

Since $\dot{g}(2 \pi \boldsymbol{J} / m)<0$ [ Wu (2011)], we have the following inequalities by replacing $\left(1 / m^{d-\theta_{0}}\right)\left(\hat{I}_{m}^{\tau}(2 \pi \boldsymbol{J} / m) / g_{c_{0}, \theta_{m}}(2 \pi \boldsymbol{J} / m)\right)$ with $1-\epsilon$ and $1+\epsilon$, respectively, in the expression of $\left.\frac{d}{d \theta} R_{m}\left(c^{*}, \theta\right)\right|_{\theta=\theta_{m}}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\log (m)+\frac{\dot{g}_{1, \theta_{m}}(2 \pi \boldsymbol{J} / m)}{g_{1, \theta_{m}}(2 \pi \boldsymbol{J} / m)}+\log (m) \frac{c_{0}}{c^{*}} m^{\theta_{m}-\theta_{0}}(1-\epsilon) \\
& \quad-\frac{c_{0}}{c^{*}} m^{\theta_{m}-\theta_{0}} \frac{\dot{g}_{1, \theta_{m}}(2 \pi \boldsymbol{J} / m)}{g_{1, \theta_{m}}(2 \pi \boldsymbol{J} / m)}(1-\epsilon)<\left.\frac{d}{d \theta} R_{m}(c, \theta)\right|_{\theta=\theta_{m}}=0  \tag{1.1}\\
& -\log (m)+\frac{\dot{g}_{1, \theta_{m}}(2 \pi \boldsymbol{J} / m)}{g_{1, \theta_{m}}(2 \pi \boldsymbol{J} / m)}+\log (m) \frac{c_{0}}{c^{*}} m^{\theta_{m}-\theta_{0}}(1+\epsilon) \\
& \quad-\frac{c_{0}}{c^{*}} m^{\theta_{m}-\theta_{0}} \frac{\dot{g}_{1, \theta_{m}}(2 \pi \boldsymbol{J} / m)}{g_{1, \theta_{m}}(2 \pi \boldsymbol{J} / m)}(1+\epsilon)>\left.\frac{d}{d \theta} R_{m}(c, \theta)\right|_{\theta=\theta_{m}}=0 . \tag{1.2}
\end{align*}
$$

(1.1) and (1.2) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\frac{\dot{g}_{1, \theta_{m}}(2 \pi \boldsymbol{J} / m)}{g_{1, \theta_{m}}(2 \pi \boldsymbol{J} / m)}-\log (m)\right)\left(1-\frac{c_{0}}{c^{*}} m^{\theta_{m}-\theta_{0}}(1-\epsilon)\right)<0 .  \tag{1.3}\\
& \left(\frac{\dot{g}_{1, \theta_{m}}(2 \pi \boldsymbol{J} / m)}{g_{1, \theta_{m}}(2 \pi \boldsymbol{J} / m)}-\log (m)\right)\left(1-\frac{c_{0}}{c^{*}} m^{\theta_{m}-\theta_{0}}(1+\epsilon)\right)>0 . \tag{1.4}
\end{align*}
$$

From (1.3) and (1.4), we have

$$
\frac{c_{0}}{c^{*}} m^{\theta_{m}-\theta_{0}}(1-\epsilon)<1 \text { and } \frac{c_{0}}{c^{*}} m^{\theta_{m}-\theta_{0}}(1+\epsilon)>1
$$

since $\left(\dot{g}_{1, \theta_{m}}(2 \pi \boldsymbol{J} / m) / g_{1, \theta_{m}}(2 \pi \boldsymbol{J} / m)-\log (m)\right)<0$ for large enough $m$ due to the boundedness of $g$ and $\dot{g}$ shown in Wu, Lim and Xiao (2013). By taking the logarithm on both sides of the above inequalities, we obtain (2.10) of the main paper.

Proof of Corollary 2.1. First, it can be easily shown that when $\max \{0,(d-2) / d\}<$ $\gamma<\frac{d-1}{d}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{m^{d-\theta_{0}}} \frac{\hat{I}_{m}^{\tau}(2 \pi \boldsymbol{J} / m)}{g_{c_{0}, \theta_{0}}(2 \pi \boldsymbol{J} / m)} \rightarrow 1 \quad \text { a.e. } \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

by the Borel-Cantelli lemma and the Chebyshev's inequality with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Var}\left(\frac{1}{m^{d-\theta_{0}}} \frac{\hat{I}_{m}^{\tau}(2 \pi \boldsymbol{J} / m)}{g_{c_{0}, \theta_{0}}(2 \pi \boldsymbol{J} / m)}\right) \sim m^{-2 \eta} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, the strong consistency of $\theta_{m}$ can be shown in a similar way as the proof of Theorem 3 in Wu, Lim and Xiao (2013) by using (1.5).

Proof of Theorem 2.4. Only the proof of case (i) $\left(c^{*}>c_{0}\right)$ is presented, and that of case (ii) can be shown similarly.

Suppose that the result (i) does not hold, that is, there exists $\delta>0$ and $M_{1}>0$ such that

$$
P\left(\theta_{0}>\theta_{m}\right)>\delta
$$

for $m>M_{1}$. By the consistency of a smoothed periodogram (Lim and Stein (2008)), we have

$$
d_{m}:=\frac{\hat{I}_{m}^{\delta}(2 \pi \boldsymbol{J} / m)}{m^{d-\theta_{0}} g_{c^{*}, \theta_{0}}(2 \pi \boldsymbol{J} / m)} \xrightarrow{p} c_{0} / c^{*} .
$$

Then, there exists a subsequence of $\{m\},\left\{m_{k}\right\}$, such that $d_{m_{k}}$ converges to $c_{0} / c^{*}$ almost surely. By the Egorov's Theorem (Folland, (1999)), there exists $\mathcal{G}_{\delta} \subset \Omega$ such that $d_{m_{k}}$ converges to $c_{0} / c^{*}$ uniformly on $\mathcal{G}_{\delta}$ and $P\left(\mathcal{G}_{\delta}\right)>1-\delta / 2$. Since $c_{0} / c^{*}<1$, there exists $M_{2}$ such that $d_{m_{k}}<1$ on $\mathcal{G}_{\delta}$ for $m_{k}>M_{2}$ by the uniform convergence.

Let $\Omega_{m_{k}}=\left\{\omega \in \Omega: \theta_{0}>\theta_{m_{k}}\right\}$. On $\Omega_{m_{k}}$, we have $g_{c^{*}, \theta_{0}}\left(2 \pi \boldsymbol{J} / m_{k}\right)<g_{c^{*}, \theta_{m_{k}}}\left(2 \pi \boldsymbol{J} / m_{k}\right)$ since $\dot{g}\left(2 \pi \boldsymbol{J} / m_{k}\right)<0$. Then, we have

$$
m_{k}^{\theta_{m_{k}}-\theta_{0}} \frac{g_{c^{*}, \theta_{0}}\left(2 \pi \boldsymbol{J} / m_{k}\right)}{g_{c^{*}, \theta_{m_{k}}}\left(2 \pi \boldsymbol{J} / m_{k}\right)}<1 \text { on } \Omega_{m_{k}} .
$$

Thus, we can show $R_{m_{k}}\left(c^{*}, \theta_{m_{k}}\right)-R_{m_{k}}\left(c^{*}, \theta_{0}\right)>0$ on $\Omega_{m_{k}} \bigcap \mathcal{G}_{\delta}$ using the Lemma 2 of Wu, Lim and Xiao (2013). Note that, by construction, $P\left(\Omega_{m_{k}} \bigcap \mathcal{G}_{\delta}\right)>\delta / 2>0$ since $P\left(\Omega_{m_{k}}\right)>\delta$ and $P\left(\mathcal{G}_{\delta}\right)>1-\delta / 2$ for $m_{k}>M=\max \left\{M_{1}, M_{2}\right\}$ which contradicts to the fact that $\theta_{m_{k}}$ is the minimizer of $R_{m_{k}}\left(c^{*}, \theta\right)$.

