DISTRIBUTION FREE TWO-SAMPLE METHODS FOR JUDGMENT POST-STRATIFIED DATA Omer Ozturk

The Ohio State University

Supplementary Material

S1 Proof of Lemma 2

We first observe that the conditional expectation of T_{hq} , given the judgment ranks $\mathbf{R} = (R_1, \dots, R_n)$ and $\mathbf{W} = (W_1, \dots, W_m)$, is

$$E(T_{hq}|\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{W}) = N_h M_q \int (1 - G_{[q]}(y)) dF_{[h]}(y) = N_h M_q \tau_{[hq]}(F, G).$$

Using the iterative expectation, we obtain

$$E(T) = E(ET|\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{W}) = E(\frac{1}{d_n d_m} \sum_{h=1}^{H} \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \frac{I_{hx} I_{qy} N_n M_q}{N_h M_q} \int (1 - G_{[q]}(y) df_{[h]}(y), \quad (S1.1)$$

$$E(T) = \frac{1}{HQ} \sum_{h=1}^{H} \sum_{g=1}^{Q} \tau_{[hq]}(F,G) = \tau_{[..]}(F,G) = \int (1 - G(y)) dF(y) = \int F(y) dG(y).$$

This completes the proof of the expectation. For the proof of the variance, the conditional variance yields that

$$var(T) = Var(E(T|\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{W})) + E(var(T|\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{W})) = A_{n,m,[H,Q]}(F,G) + B_{n,m,[H,Q]}(F,G).$$

Note that from equation (S1.1) we have

$$A_{n,m,[H,Q]}(F,G) = var(\frac{1}{d_n d_m} \sum_{h=1}^{H} \sum_{q=1}^{Q} I_{hx} I_{qy} \tau_{[hq]}(F,G))$$

$$= \sum_{h=1}^{H} \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \sum_{h'=1}^{H} \sum_{q'=1}^{Q} \tau_{[hq]}(F,G) \tau_{[h'q']}(F,G) cov(\frac{I_{hx} I_{qy}}{d_n d_m}, \frac{I_{h'x} I_{q'y}}{d_n d_m}).$$

This sum can be partitioned into four different parts

$$\begin{split} A_{n,m,[H,Q]}(F,G) &= \sum_{h=1}^{H} \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \tau_{[hq]}^{2}(F,G) cov(\frac{I_{hx}I_{qy}}{d_{n}d_{m}},\frac{I_{hx}I_{qy}}{d_{n}d_{m}}) \\ &+ \sum_{h=1}^{H} \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \sum_{q'\neq q}^{Q} \tau_{[hq]}(F,G) \tau_{[hq']}(F,G) cov(\frac{I_{hx}I_{qy}}{d_{n}d_{m}},\frac{I_{hx}I_{q'y}}{d_{n}d_{m}}) \\ &+ \sum_{h=1}^{H} \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \sum_{h'\neq h}^{H} \tau_{[hq]}(F,G) \tau_{[h'q]}(F,G) cov(\frac{I_{hx}I_{qy}}{d_{n}d_{m}},\frac{I_{h'x}I_{qy}}{d_{n}d_{m}}) \\ &+ \sum_{h=1}^{H} \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \sum_{q'\neq q}^{Q} \sum_{h'\neq h}^{H} \tau_{[hq]}(F,G) \tau_{[h'q']}(F,G) cov(\frac{I_{hx}I_{qy}}{d_{n}d_{m}},\frac{I_{h'x}I_{q'y}}{d_{n}d_{m}}). \end{split}$$

Using the fact that I_{hx}/d_n , $h = 1, \dots, H$, and I_{qy}/d_m , $q = 1, \dots, Q$, are identically distributed, after some simplifications, $A_{n,m,[H,Q]}(F,G)$ can be written as

$$\begin{split} A_{n,m,[H,Q]}(F,G) &= \sum_{h=1}^{H} \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \tau_{[hq]}^{2}(F,G) \left\{ E(\frac{I_{1x}^{2}}{d_{n}^{2}}) E(\frac{I_{1y}^{2}}{d_{m}^{2}}) - \frac{1}{H^{2}Q^{2}} \right\} \\ &+ \left\{ \sum_{h=1}^{H} \tau_{[h.]}^{2}(F,G) - \sum_{h=1}^{H} \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \tau_{[hq]}^{2}(F,G) \right\} \left\{ E(\frac{I_{1x}^{2}}{d_{n}^{2}}) E(\frac{I_{1y}I_{2y}}{d_{m}^{2}}) - \frac{1}{H^{2}Q^{2}} \right\} \\ &+ \left\{ \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \tau_{[.q]}^{2}(F,G) - \sum_{h=1}^{H} \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \tau_{[hq]}^{2}(F,G) \right\} \left\{ E(\frac{I_{1y}^{2}}{d_{m}^{2}}) E(\frac{I_{1x}I_{2x}}{d_{n}^{2}}) - \frac{1}{H^{2}Q^{2}} \right\} \\ &+ \left\{ \tau_{[..]}^{2}(F,G) - \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \tau_{[.q]}^{2}(F,G) - \sum_{h=1}^{H} \tau_{[h.]}^{2}(F,G) + \sum_{h=1}^{H} \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \tau_{[hq]}^{2}(F,G) \right\} \\ &\times \left\{ E(\frac{I_{1x}I_{2x}}{d_{n}^{2}}) E(\frac{I_{1y}I_{2y}}{d_{m}^{2}}) - \frac{1}{H^{2}Q^{2}} \right\} \end{split}$$

which completes the proof of $A_{n,m,\lceil H,Q \rceil}(F,G)$.

For the proof $B_{n,m,[H,Q]}(F,G)$, without loss of generality we assume that T is centered and write

$$T = \sum_{h=1}^{H} \sum_{q=1}^{Q} c_{hq} T_{hq}, \quad T_{hq} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \left\{ I(X_i \le Y_j) - \tau_{[hq]} \right\} I(R_i = h) I(W_j = q),$$

where $c_{hq} = \frac{I_{hx}I_{qy}}{N_h M_q d_n d_m}$. To compute $B_{n,m,[H,Q]}(F,G)$, we first consider

$$var(T|\boldsymbol{W},\boldsymbol{R}) = var\{\sum_{h=1}^{H} \sum_{q=1}^{Q} c_{hq} T_{hq} | \boldsymbol{W}, \boldsymbol{R}\} = \sum_{h=1}^{H} \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \sum_{h'=1}^{H} \sum_{q'=1}^{Q} c_{hq} c_{h'q'} cov(T_{hq}, T_{h'q'}) | \boldsymbol{W}, \boldsymbol{R}\}$$

We again partition this sum into four pieces

$$var(T|\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{R}) = \sum_{h=1}^{H} \sum_{q=1}^{Q} c_{hq}^{2} var(T_{hq}|\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{W})$$

$$+ \sum_{h=1}^{H} \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \sum_{q'\neq q}^{Q} c_{hq} c_{hq'} cov(T_{hq}, T_{hq'}) |\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{R}|$$

$$+ \sum_{h=1}^{H} \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \sum_{h'\neq h}^{H} c_{hq} c_{h'q} cov(T_{hq}, T_{h'q}) |\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{R}|$$

$$+ \sum_{h=1}^{H} \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \sum_{h'\neq h}^{H} \sum_{q'\neq q}^{Q} c_{hq} c_{h'q'} cov(T_{hq}, T_{h'q'}) |\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{R}|$$

$$= B_{1,n,m} + B_{2,n,m} + B_{3,n,m} + 0.$$

The last term in the above equation is zero since T_{hq} , $T_{h'q'}$ are conditionally independent given \mathbf{R} and \mathbf{W} . Let $K_{ij}(h,q) = I(X_{[h]i} \leq Y_{[q]j}) - \tau_{[hq]}$. Note that I_{hx} , $h = 1, \dots, H$, and I_{qy} , $q = 1, \dots, Q$, are identically distributed. We then simplify $B_{1,n,m}$

$$E(B_{1,n,m}) = E\left(\frac{I_{1x}^2 I_{1y}^2}{d_n^2 d_m^2 N_1 M_1}\right) \sum_{h=1}^H \sum_{q=1}^Q \left\{ \tau_{[hq]}(F,G) \left\{ 1 - \tau_{[hq]}(F,G) \right\} \right\}$$

$$- E\left(\frac{I_{1x}^2 I_{1y}^2}{d_n^2 d_m^2 N_1 M_1}\right) \left(\xi_{[QH]}(G,F) - \xi_{[HQ]}(F,G) \right)$$

$$+ E\left(\frac{I_{1x}^2 I_{1y}^2}{d_n^2 d_m^2 N_1}\right) \xi_{[QH]}(G,F) + E\left(\frac{I_{1x}^2 I_{1y}^2}{d_n^2 d_m^2 M_1}\right) \xi_{[HQ]}(F,G)$$

$$= E\left(\frac{I_{1x}^2 I_{1y}^2}{d_n^2 d_m^2 N_1 M_1}\right) \left\{ \tau_{..}(F,G) - \gamma_{HQ}(F,G) - \xi_{[QH]}(G,F) - \xi_{[HQ]}(F,G) \right\}$$

$$+ E\left(\frac{I_{1x}^2 I_{1y}^2}{d_n^2 d_m^2 N_1}\right) \xi_{[QH]}(G,F) + E\left(\frac{I_{1x}^2 I_{1y}^2}{d_n^2 d_m^2 M_1}\right) \xi_{[HQ]}(F,G).$$

With similar argument the expected value of $B_{2,n,m}$ reduces to

$$E(B_{2,n,m}) = E\left(\frac{I_{1x}^2 I_{1y} I_{2y}}{d_n^2 d_m^2 N_1}\right) \sum_{h=1}^H \sum_{q=1}^Q \sum_{q' \neq q}^Q E(K_{12}(h,q) K_{13}(h,q'))$$

$$= E\left(\frac{I_{1x}^2 I_{1y} I_{2y}}{d_n^2 d_m^2 N_1}\right) \sum_{h=1}^H \sum_{q=1}^Q \sum_{q' \neq q}^Q \int \{1 - F_{[q]}(y) - \tau_{[hq]}(F,G)\} \{1 - F_{[q']}(y) - \tau_{[hq']}(F,G)\} dF_{[h]}(y)$$

$$= E\left(\frac{I_{1x}^2 I_{1y} I_{2y}}{d_n^2 d_m^2 N_1}\right) \left\{Q^2 H \int (1 - G(y))^2 dF(y) - \gamma_{[H.]}(F,G) - \xi_{[QH]}(G,F)\right\}.$$

$$= E\left(\frac{I_{1x}^2 I_{1y} I_{2y}}{d_n^2 d_m^2 N_1}\right) \left\{Q^2 H \theta(G,F) - \gamma_{[H.]}(F,G) - \xi_{[QH]}(G,F)\right\}.$$

With similar computations, we also obtain

$$E(B_{3,n,m}) = E\left(\frac{I_{1y}^2 I_{1x} I_{2x}}{d_n^2 d_m^2 M_1}\right) \left\{H^2 Q \theta(F,G) - \gamma_{[.Q]}(F,G) - \xi_{[HQ]}(F,G)\right\}.$$

The proof is completed by combining the expressions in $B_{1,n,m}$, $B_{2,n,m}$ and $B_{3,n,m}$.

S2 Proof of Corollary 2

We first show that $(n+m)a_k(n, m, H, Q)$, $k = 1, \dots, 4$, in Lemma 2 are asymptotically negligible. Let n_0 be the minimum of n and m. Consider

$$\begin{split} &\lim_{n_0 \to \infty} (n+m) a_1(n,m,H,Q) = \lim_{n_0 \to \infty} (n+m) \left\{ E(\frac{I_{1x}I_{2x}}{d_n^2}) E(\frac{I_{1y}I_{2y}}{d_m^2}) - \frac{1}{H^2Q^2} \right\} \\ &= \lim_{n_0 \to \infty} (n+m) \left[\left\{ \frac{1}{H^2} - \frac{1}{H^2(H-1)} \sum_{h=1}^{H-1} (\frac{h}{H})^{n-1} \right\} \left\{ \frac{1}{Q^2} - \frac{1}{Q^2(Q-1)} \sum_{q=1}^{Q-1} (\frac{q}{Q})^{m-1} \right\} - \frac{1}{Q^2H^2} \right] \\ &= -\frac{1}{H^2Q^2(Q-1)} \sum_{q=1}^{Q-1} \lim_{n_0 \to \infty} (\frac{q}{Q})^{m-1} (n+m) \\ &- \frac{1}{Q^2H^2(H-1)} \sum_{h=1}^{H-1} \lim_{n_0 \to \infty} (\frac{h}{H})^{n-1} (n+m) \\ &+ \frac{1}{H^2(H-1)Q^2(Q-1)} \sum_{q=1}^{Q-1} \lim_{n_0 \to \infty} (\frac{q}{Q})^{m-1} \sum_{h=1}^{H-1} \lim_{n_0 \to \infty} (\frac{h}{H})^{n-1} (n+m) = 0. \end{split}$$

In a similar fashion, it can be shown that $(n+m)a_k(n,m,H,Q)$, $k=2,\cdots,4$, also converge to zero as the minimum of n and m goes to infinity. Hence, we proved that $A_{n,m,[H,Q]}(F,G)$ is asymptotically zero.

In expression $B_{n,m,[H,Q]}(F,G)$, we first show that the $(n+m)b_k(n,m,H,Q)$, k=1,2,3, converge to zero. Note that I_{1x} , I_{1y} , d_n , d_m , N_1 and M_1 are positive random variables. It is then justified to interchange the limit with the expectation in the following expression

$$\lim_{n_0 \to \infty} E\left(\frac{(n+m)I_{1x}^2}{d_n^2 N_1}\right) = E\left\{\lim_{n_0 \to \infty} \frac{n+m}{n} \lim_{n_0 \to \infty} \frac{I_{1x}^2}{d_n^2} \lim_{n_0 \to \infty} \frac{n}{N_1}\right\} = \frac{H}{\lambda H^2}.$$

Using Lemma 1, we show that

$$\begin{split} &\lim_{n_0 \to \infty} E(\frac{I_{1x}^2}{d_n^2}) &= &\lim_{n_0 \to \infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{H^2} (1 + \sum_{h=1}^{H-1} (\frac{h}{H})^{n-1}) \right\} = 1/H^2, \\ &\lim_{n_0 \to \infty} E\left\{ \frac{I_{1x}I_{2x}}{d_n^2} \right\} &= &\lim_{n_0 \to \infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{H^2} - \frac{1}{H^2(H-1)} \sum_{q=1}^{H-1} (\frac{h}{H})^{n-1} \right\} = 1/H^2, \\ &\lim_{n_0 \to \infty} E(\frac{I_{1x}^2}{d_n^2 N_1}) &= &E\left\{ \lim_{n_0 \to \infty} \frac{I_{1x}^2}{d_n^2} \lim_{n_0 \to \infty} \frac{n}{N_1} \lim_{n_0 \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \right\} = 0. \end{split}$$

Similar results can be established for the limits of the expected values of Y-sample sample sizes. Only difference is that the λ and H in the above equations will be replaced with $1 - \lambda$ and Q in the Y-sample sample sizes. Using these limits, we show that

$$\lim_{n_0 \to \infty} (n+m)b_k(n, m, H, Q) = 0, \quad k = 1, 2, 3,$$

$$\lim_{n_0 \to \infty} (n+m)b_4(n, m, H, Q) = \frac{1}{(1-\lambda)QH^2}$$

and

$$\lim_{n_0 \to \infty} (n+m)b_5(n, m, H, Q) = \frac{1}{\lambda Q^2 H}$$

This completes the proof.

S3 Proof of Lemma 3

Without loss of generality, we consider the centered version of T

$$T = \sum_{h=1}^{H} \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \frac{I_{hx}I_{qy}}{d_n d_m N_h M_q} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \left\{ I(X_i \le Y_j) - \tau_{[h,q]}(F,F) \right\} I(R_i = h)I(W_j = q).$$

Let $\psi_1(x, R_i = h) = E(T|X_i = x, R_i = h, d_n, N_h, I_{hx})$ and $\psi_2(y, W_j = q) = E(T|Y_j = y, W_j = q, d_m, M_q, I_{qy}) - 1/2$. Then the projection of T, T_P , is given by

$$T_p = \sqrt{n+m} \left\{ \sum_{h=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \psi_1(X_i, R_i = h) + \sum_{q=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \psi_1(Y_j, W_j = q) \right\},$$

where

$$\psi_1(X_i, R_i = h) = \frac{I_{hx}}{d_n N_h} (1 - F(X_i) - \bar{\tau}_{[h.]}(F, F)) I(R_i = h)$$

$$\psi_2(Y_j, W_j = q) = \frac{I_{qy}}{d_m M_q} (F(Y_j) - \bar{\tau}_{[.q]}(F, F)) I(W_j = q),$$

and $\bar{\tau}_{[h.]} = \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \tau_{[hq]}(F,F)/Q$. We finish the proof by observing $E(\sqrt{n+m}(T-T_p)^2 = var(\sqrt{n+m}(T) - var(\sqrt{n+m}T_p))$ goes to zero as n_0 approaches to infinity.

Let
$$\bar{\psi}_1 = (\bar{\psi}_{1,1}, \dots, \bar{\psi}_{1,H})^{\top}$$
 and $\bar{\psi}_2 = (\bar{\psi}_{2,1}, \dots, \bar{\psi}_{2,Q})^{\top}$, where

$$\bar{\psi}_{1,h} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \psi_1(X_i, R_i = h)}{\sqrt{N_h}}, \text{ and } \bar{\psi}_{2,q} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{m} \psi_2(X_i, W_j = q)}{\sqrt{M_q}}.$$

Using Theorem 3.2 in Gutts (2005, p. 347), or modifying the proof of Theorem 1 in Ozturk (2014) to a two sample problem, one can show that $\bar{\psi}_1$ and $\bar{\psi}_2$ converge to H- and Q-dimensional normal random vectors with mean zero and variances Σ_1 and Σ_2 , respectively, where

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 = diag\left(var(1 - F(X_{[h]}) - \bar{\tau}_{[h.]}(F, F))\right) \text{ and } \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_2 = diag\left(var(F(Y_{[q]}) - \bar{\tau}_{[.q]})\right).$$

Let $U(N, I_x) = (\frac{I_{1x}\sqrt{n}}{d_n\sqrt{N_1}}, \cdots, \frac{I_{Hx}\sqrt{n}}{d_n\sqrt{N_H}})$ and $U(M, I_y) = (\frac{I_{1y}\sqrt{m}}{d_m\sqrt{M_1}}, \cdots, \frac{I_{Qy}\sqrt{m}}{d_m\sqrt{M_Q}})$. For large n and m, it is easy to observe that $U(N, I_x)$ and $U(M, I_y)$ converge in probability to $(1/\sqrt{H}, \cdots, 1/\sqrt{H})$ and $(1/\sqrt{Q}, \cdots, 1/\sqrt{Q})$, respectively. As n_0 goes to infinity we observe that

$$\sqrt{\frac{n+m}{n}}\boldsymbol{U}(\boldsymbol{N},\boldsymbol{I}_x)\bar{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_{\boldsymbol{1}}+\sqrt{\frac{n+m}{m}}\boldsymbol{U}(\boldsymbol{M},\boldsymbol{I}_y)\bar{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_{\boldsymbol{2}}$$

converges to a normal distribution with mean zero and variance $\sigma_{H,Q}^2$, where

$$\sigma_{H,Q}^2 = \frac{1}{\lambda} \left\{ 1/3 - \frac{1}{H} \sum_{h=1} \left(\int F(y) dF_{[h]}(y) \right)^2 \right\} + \frac{1}{(1-\lambda)} \left\{ 1/3 - \frac{1}{Q} \sum_{q=1} \left(\int F(y) dF_{[q]}(y) \right)^2 \right\}.$$

S4 Proof of Lemma 4

Let $A_{1,k-1,t}$ be the event that $N_1=n_1$, $M_1=m_1$ and there exist exactly k-1 matching non-empty judgment classes in X- and Y-samples $(N_{i_2}>0,\cdots,N_{i_k}>0;M_{i_2}>0,\cdots,M_{i_k}>0)$ and t non-matching non-empty judgment classes in X-samples $(N_{i_{k+1}}>0,\cdots,N_{i_{k+t}}>0;M_{i_{k+1}}=0,\cdots,M_{i_{k+t}}=0)$

$$A_{1,k-1,t} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} N_1 = n_1, M_1 = m_1, N_{i_2} > 0, \cdots, N_{i_k} > 0 \cdots, N_{i_{k+t}} > 0, \text{ and} \\ M_{i_2} > 0 \cdots, M_{i_k} > 0, M_{i_{k+1}} = 0, \cdots, M_{i_{k+t}} = 0, M_{i_{k+t+1}} \ge 0 \cdots, M_{i_H} \ge 0 \end{array} \right\},$$

where i_2, \dots, i_H is a permutation of integers $(2, \dots, H)$ and $1 \le k \le k^*$, $k^* = min(n, m, H)$. Note that $N_h, h = 1, \dots, H$, and $M_h, h = 1, \dots, H$, are identically distributed. The probability of the event $A_{1,k-1,t}$ can be computed by considering all possible combinations yielding the event in set $A_{1,k-1,t}$

$$P(A_{1,k-1,t}) = C_{H,k,t}P\left(\begin{array}{c} N_1 = n_1, M_1 = m_1, N_j > 0, j = 2, \cdots, k + t, M_i > 0, i = 2, \cdots, k \\ M_r = 0, r = k + 1, \cdots, k + t; M_z \ge 0, z = k + t + 1, \cdots, H \end{array}\right),$$

where

$$C_{H,k,t} = \begin{pmatrix} H-1\\ k-1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} H-k\\ t \end{pmatrix}$$

and $0 \le t \le t^*$ with $t^* = min(n-1, H-k)$. Let

$$N_{[a:b]} = \{N_a > 0, \dots, N_b > 0\}, \quad N_{[a:b]}^* = \{N_a = 0, \dots, N_b = 0\}, \quad N_{[a,b]}^+ = \{N_a \ge 0, \dots, N_b \ge 0\}.$$

We also use equivalent definitions for $M_{[a:b]}$, $M_{[a:b]}^*$ and $M_{[a,b]}^+$. Since (N_1, \dots, N_H) and (M_1, \dots, M_H) are independent, we have

$$P(A_{1,k-1,t}) = {\binom{H-1}{k-1}} {\binom{H-k}{t}} P(N_1 = n_1; N_{[2:k+t]}; N_{[k+t+1:H]}^*)$$

$$\times P(M_1 = m_1; M_{[2:k]}; M_{[k+1:k+t]}^*; M_{[k+t+1:H]}^+).$$

Since M_h , $h = 1, \dots, H$, are identically distributed, we can rearrange the subscript in sets M^+ and M^* as follows

$$P(M_1 = m_1; M_{[2:k]}; M_{[k+1:k+t]}^*; M_{[k+t+1:H]}^+) = P(M_1 = m_1; M_{[2:k]}; M_{[k+1:H-t]}^+; M_{[H-t+1:H]}^*).$$

By conditioning on the given value of $N_1 = n_1$ we write

$$P(P(N_1 = n_1; N_{[2:k+t]}; N_{[k+t+1:H]}^*) = P(N_{[2:k+t]}; N_{[k+t+1:H]}^* | N_1 = n_1) P(N_1 = n_1)$$

$$= \sum_{n_{[2:k+t]}} \binom{n - n_1}{n_2, \dots, n_{k+t}} \binom{n}{n_1} \frac{1}{H^n}, \quad 1 \le n_1 \le n - k - t + 1$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{k+t-1} (-1)^{j-1} \binom{k+t-1}{j-1} (k+t-j)^{n-n_1} \binom{n}{n_1} H^{-n}, 1 \le n_1 \le n - k - t + 1,$$

where the notation $\sum_{n_{[2:k+t]}}$ indicates the sum over the index set $\{n_2 > 0, \dots, n_{k+r} > 0\}$.

We now derive a similar expression for the probabilities in Y-sample sample size vector. Again we condition on the given value of $M_1 = m_1$ to write

$$\begin{split} &P(M_{1}=m_{1};M_{[2:k]};M_{[k+1:H-t]}^{+};M_{[H-t+1:H]}^{*})\\ &=P(M_{[2:k]};M_{[k+1:H-t]}^{+};M_{[H-t+1:H]}^{*}|M_{1}=m_{1})P(M_{1}=m_{1})\\ &=\sum_{u=0}^{H-k-t}\binom{H-k-t}{u}P(M_{[2:k+u]};M_{[k+u+1:H]}^{*}|M_{1}=m_{1})P(M_{1}=m_{1}),\quad 1\leq m_{1}\leq m-k-u+1\\ &=\sum_{u=0}^{u^{*}}\binom{H-k-t}{u}\sum_{m_{[2:k+u]}}\binom{m-m_{1}}{m_{2},\cdots,m_{k+u}}\binom{m}{m_{1}}\frac{1}{H^{m}},\quad 1\leq m_{1}\leq m-k-u+1\\ &=\sum_{u=0}^{u^{*}}\binom{H-k-t}{u}\sum_{i=1}^{k+u-1}(-1)^{i-1}\binom{k+u-1}{i-1}\frac{\binom{m}{m_{1}}}{H^{m}}(k+u-i)^{m-m_{1}},\quad 1\leq m_{1}\leq m-k-u+1, \end{split}$$

where $u^* = min(m-1, H-k-t)$. The expected value $\frac{I_{1x}I_{1y}J_{1x}^aJ_{1y}^b}{d_{nm}^2}$ can be computed by using $P(A_{1,k-1,t})$ and appropriate limits in the summation indexes

$$\begin{split} E(\frac{I_{1x}I_{1y}J_{1x}^aJ_{1y}^b}{d_{nm}^2}) &= \sum_{k=1}^{k^*}\sum_{t=0}^{tm}\sum_{n_1=1}\sum_{m_1=1}\frac{1}{k^2n_1^am_1^b}P(A_{1,k-1,t})\\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{k^*}\sum_{t=0}^{t^*}\frac{\binom{H-1}{k-1}\binom{H-k}{t}}{H^{n+m}k^2}\left\{\sum_{n_1=1}^{n-k-t+1}\sum_{j=1}^{k+t-1}(-1)^{j-1}\binom{k+t-1}{j-1}\binom{n}{n_1}(k+t-j)^{n-n1}/n_1^a\right.\\ &\times \sum_{u=0}^{u^*}\binom{H-k-t}{u}\sum_{m_1=1}^{m-k-u+1}\sum_{i=1}^{k+u-1}(-1)^{i-1}\binom{k+u-1}{i-1}\binom{m}{m_1}(k+u-i)^{m-m_1}/m_1^b\right\}. \end{split}$$

S5 Proof of Lemma 5

First we consider the expected value

$$E(T_{\omega}) = E\{E(T_{\omega}|\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{W})\} = E\left\{\sum_{h=1}^{H} \frac{\omega_{h} I_{hx} I_{hy} N_{h} M_{h}}{N_{h} M_{h}} \int \{1 - G_{[h]}(y)\} dF_{[h]}(y)\right\}$$

$$= \sum_{h=1}^{H} E\left(\omega_{h} I_{hx} I_{hy}\right) \int \{1 - G_{[h]}(y)\} dF_{[h]}(y) = E\left(\omega_{1} I_{1x} I_{1y}\right) \sum_{h=1}^{H} \int \{1 - G_{[h]}(y)\} dF_{[h]}(y).$$

The last equality follows form the fact that $\omega_h I_{hx} I_{hy}$, $h = 1, \dots, H$, are identically distributed. Let $a = E(\omega_h I_{1x} I_{1y}) = \dots = E(\omega_H I_{Hx} I_{Hy})$. We have from the equation below

$$Ha = E\left\{\sum_{h=1}^{H} \omega_h I_{hx} I_{hy}\right\} = 1$$

that $a = \frac{1}{H}$. This completes the proof of the expectation.

For the proof of the variance we consider the conditional variance formula

$$V(T_{\omega}) = V(E(T_{\omega}|\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{W}) + E(V(T_{\omega}|\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{W})).$$

The first term in the above equation is zero since the conditional expectation is constant. In the second term, the conditional variance is the variance of the Mann-Whitney Wilcoxon rank-sum test statistic

$$V(T_{\omega}|\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{W}) = \sum_{h=1}^{H} \frac{\omega_h^2 I_{hx}^2 I_{hy}^2 N_h M_h (N_h + M_h + 1)}{12 N_h^2 M_h^2}.$$

Since $I_{hx}^2 = I_{hx}$ the variance of T_{ω} becomes

$$V(T_{\omega}) = \frac{H}{12} \left\{ E\left(\frac{\omega_1^2 I_{1x} I_{1y}}{N_1}\right) + E\left(\frac{\omega_1^2 I_{1x} I_{1y}}{M_1}\right) + E\left(\frac{\omega_1^2 I_{1x} I_{1y}}{N_1 M_1}\right) \right\}.$$

Bibliography

Gut, A. (2005). Probability: A Graduate Course, Springer, New York.

Ozturk, O. (2014). Statistical inference for population quantiles and variance in judgment post-stratified samples. *Computational Statistics and Data Analysis* 77, 188-205.