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## Supplementary Material

## A Appendix: Proof of Theorem 3.3.

We start the proof with the negative result. The proof is standard. We exhibit a small perturbation that cannot be detected. The perturbed density should remain a probability density function with a bounded second derivative. It should be however very wiggly so that the exponential mixing would smooth it out to make it hardly detectable through $\psi$. Very convenient candidates could be high derivatives of the normal density, but the supports of these functions are not bounded, while the support of $\vartheta$ is bounded at least from below. We therefore use derivatives of approximations of the normal density. Here are the details.

Consider

$$
\pi_{m}(\xi)=\pi_{m}(\xi ; c, d)=\left\{1-\left(\frac{\xi-c}{d}\right)^{2}\right\}^{m} \mathbf{1}\{\xi \in(c-d, c+d)\}
$$

for some $c, d$, where $\mathbf{1}$ denotes the indicator function. $\pi_{m}$ is approximately the normal pdf normalized improperly, cf. (11) below. Note that for $k \leq m$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{c-d}^{c+d} e^{u \xi} \pi_{m}^{(k)}(\xi) d \xi=(-1)^{k} u^{k} \int e^{u \xi} \pi_{m}(\xi) d \xi . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{m}^{(2 k)}(c)=(-1)^{k} d^{-2 k}\binom{m}{k}(2 k)! \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Write

$$
\pi_{m}(\xi)=\left(1-\frac{\xi-c}{d}\right)^{m}\left(1+\frac{\xi-c}{d}\right)^{m}
$$

and taking the derivative of the RHS:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \pi_{m}^{2 k}(\xi) \\
& =d^{-2 k} \sum_{i=0}^{2 k}\binom{2 k}{i}(-1)^{i} \frac{m!}{(m-i)!}(1-\tilde{\xi})^{m-i} \frac{m!}{(m-2 k+i)!}(1+\tilde{\xi})^{m-2 k+i}  \tag{3}\\
& =d^{-2 k} \sum_{i=0}^{k}(-1)^{i} a_{i}, \quad \text { say. }
\end{align*}
$$

For simplicity we write $\tilde{\xi}=(\xi-c) / d$. Note that

$$
\frac{a_{i+1}}{a_{i}}=\frac{2 k-i}{i+1} \frac{m-i}{m-2 k+i+1} \frac{1+\tilde{\xi}}{1-\tilde{\xi}}
$$

It follows that the RHS of (3) is a sum of unimodal terms with alternating signs (i.e., there is an $l$ such that $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{l}$ is an increasing sequence, while $a_{l}, \ldots, a_{k}$ is a decreasing one), where $l$ is defined by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2 k-l}{l+1} \frac{m-l}{m-2 k+l+1}=\{1+\odot(1)\} \frac{1-\tilde{\xi}}{1+\tilde{\xi}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{l} & \geq a_{l}-\sum_{j=1}\left(a_{l+2 j-1}-a_{l+2 j}\right)-\sum_{j=1}\left(a_{l-2 j+1}-a_{l-2 j}\right) \\
& =(-1)^{l} \sum_{i=0}^{2 k}(-1)^{i} a_{i}  \tag{5}\\
& =a_{l}-a_{l+1}+\sum_{j=1}\left(a_{l+2 j}-a_{l+2 j+1}\right)-a_{l-1}+\sum_{j=1}\left(a_{l-2 j}-a_{l-2 j-1}\right) \\
& \geq-a_{l}
\end{align*}
$$

where, if necessarily, the sequences are padded by zeros at the ends. But then for some $C=\mathcal{O}(1), C$ may vary from line to line:

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{l}=(2 k)!\binom{m}{l}\binom{m}{2 k-l}(1-\tilde{\xi})^{m-l}(1+\tilde{\xi})^{m-2 k+l} \\
& \leq C(2 k)!\frac{m^{2 m}(1-\tilde{\xi})^{m-l}(1+\tilde{\xi})^{m-2 k+l}}{l^{l}(m-l)^{m-l}(2 k-l)^{2 k-l}(m-2 k+l)^{m-2 k+l}}  \tag{6}\\
&=C(2 k)!\left(1-\tilde{\xi}^{2}\right)^{m-2 k}\left\{\frac{k(1-\tilde{\xi})}{2 k-l}\right\}^{2 k-l}\left\{\frac{k(1+\tilde{\xi})}{l}\right\}^{l}\left\{\frac{m}{k}\right\}^{2 k} \\
& \times\left\{1+\frac{l}{m-l}\right\}^{m-l}\left\{1+\frac{2 k-l}{m-2 k+l}\right\}^{m-2 k+l}
\end{align*}
$$

To deal with the following terms of the RHS of (6) we assume that $0<l \leq k$. The case $2 k>l \geq k$ is dealt similarly. The case of $l \in\{0,2 k\}$ is simple:

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\{\frac{k(1-\tilde{\xi})}{2 k-l}\right\}^{2 k-l}\left\{\frac{k(1+\tilde{\xi})}{l}\right\}^{l} & =\left\{\frac{k(1-\tilde{\xi})}{2 k-l}\right\}^{2(k-l)}\left\{\frac{1+\tilde{\xi}}{1-\tilde{\xi}} \frac{2 k-l}{l}\right\}^{l} \\
& \leq 2^{k}\left\{\frac{1+\tilde{\xi}}{1-\tilde{\xi}} \frac{2 k-l}{l+1}\right\}^{l}  \tag{7}\\
& \leq 3^{k}\left\{\frac{m-2 k+l+1}{m-l}\right\}^{l}, \quad \text { by } \\
& \leq 3^{k}
\end{align*}
$$

The next bound is easy,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{1+\frac{l}{m-l}\right\}^{m-l}\left\{1+\frac{2 k-l}{m-2 k+l}\right\}^{m-2 k+l}<e^{2 k} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $(1+1 / x)^{x}<e^{x}$ for any $x>0$. We conclude from (3), (5), (7), and (8):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\pi_{m}^{(2 k)}\right\|_{\infty} \leq a_{l} \leq C(2 k)!\left\{c_{2} \frac{m}{k}\right\}^{2 k} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $c_{2}>1$.
Let

$$
\Delta_{m, k}(\xi)=\frac{d^{2 k}}{(2 k+2)!\left(c_{1} c_{2}\right)^{2 k}} \pi_{m}^{(2 k)}(\xi)
$$

where we take $m=\left\lceil c_{1} k\right\rceil$. Note that by (9) $\Delta_{m, k}^{(2)}$ is uniformly bounded, while by (2)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{m, k}(c) \geq c_{3} k^{-2}\left(c_{1} c_{2}\right)^{-2 k}\binom{m}{k} \geq c_{4}^{-k} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $c_{4}>1$. However by (1)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int \xi^{-1}\left\{e^{u \xi}-1\right\}\left\{\vartheta(\xi)+\xi \Delta_{m, k}(\xi)\right\} d \xi \\
&=\psi(u)+\frac{d^{-2(m-k)}}{(2 k+2)!c_{2}^{2 k}} u^{2 k} \int_{a}^{b} \pi_{m}(\xi) e^{u \xi} d \xi \\
&=\psi(u)+(-1)^{k}\{1+\circ(1)\} \frac{d^{2 k}}{(2 k+2)!c_{2}^{2 k}} u^{2 k} \int_{a}^{b} e^{-m(\xi-c)^{2} / d^{2}} e^{u \xi} d \xi \\
&=\psi(u)+(-1)^{k}\{1+\circ(1)\} \frac{\sqrt{2 \pi} d^{2 k+1}}{(2 k+2)!m^{1 / 2} c_{2}^{2 k}} u^{2 k} e^{u c}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence if

$$
\frac{d^{2 k+1}}{(2 k+2)!m^{1 / 2}\left(c_{1} c_{2}\right)^{2 k}}=\mathcal{o}\left(n^{-1 / 2}\right)
$$

or $k \log k-\log n \rightarrow \infty$, then one would not be able to test between $\vartheta$ to $\vartheta+\xi \Delta_{m, k}$. In particular this happens when $k=\log n / \log \log n$. However, then, by (10), $n^{\alpha} \Delta_{m, k}(c) \rightarrow \infty$ for any $\alpha>0$. This proves that $\vartheta$ cannot be estimated in any $n^{\alpha}, \alpha>0$ rate.

We move now to the positive result. We suggest an estimator of the mixing density $\vartheta$ whose rate of convergence is easy to evaluate. Of course, the practical way would be the standard least squares as discussed in Subsection 3.3 , but then rates are difficult to evaluate. We suggest therefore in the proof a kernel estimator of $g$ given by $\int \hat{\psi}(u) \bar{K}(u) d u$ for some $\bar{K}$ given below. Here are the details.

If $\psi(u)=\int g(u ; \xi) \vartheta(\xi) d \xi$, let $\psi_{s}=\psi_{s}(u)=e^{-u s}(\psi(u)-1)$. Assume for simplicity (but wlog) that by assumption $\vartheta(\xi)=0$ for $\xi \notin\left(s_{0}-d, s_{0}+d\right)$. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{s}(u) & =\int e^{u(\xi-s)} \xi^{-1} \vartheta(\xi) d \xi-e^{-u s} \int \xi^{-1} \vartheta(\xi) d \xi \\
\psi_{s}^{(k)}(u) & =\int(\xi-s)^{k} e^{u(\xi-s)} \xi^{-1} \vartheta(\xi) d \xi-(-1)^{k} s^{k} e^{-u s} \int \xi^{-1} \vartheta(\xi) d \xi
\end{aligned}
$$

then formally:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sqrt{\frac{m}{2 \pi d^{2}}} \sum_{k=0}^{m}\binom{m}{k}\left\{\frac{-1}{d^{2}}\right\}^{k} \psi_{s}^{(2 k)}(u) \\
& =\sqrt{\frac{m}{2 \pi d^{2}}} \int \pi_{m}(\xi ; s, d) e^{u(\xi-s)} \xi^{-1} \vartheta(\xi) d \xi \\
& \quad-\sqrt{\frac{m}{2 \pi d^{2}}} \pi_{m}(s ; 0, d) e^{-u s} \int \xi^{-1} \vartheta(\xi) d \xi
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\pi_{m}(\cdot)=\pi_{m}(\cdot ; s, d)$. Note that for any smooth bounded function $h$ with two bounded derivatives:

$$
\begin{align*}
\sqrt{\frac{m}{2 \pi d^{2}}} & \int \pi_{m}(\xi ; s, d) h(\xi) d \xi \\
& =\sqrt{\frac{m}{d^{2}}} \int \varphi\{\sqrt{m}(\xi-s) / d\} h(\xi) d \xi+\mathcal{O}\left(m^{-1}\right)  \tag{11}\\
& =h(s)+\mathcal{O}\left(m^{-1}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where $\varphi$ is the standard normal density. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{\frac{m}{2 \pi d^{2}}} \sum_{k=0}^{m}\binom{m}{k}\left(\frac{-1}{d^{2}}\right)^{k} \psi_{s}^{(2 k)}(u) \rightarrow s^{-1} \xi(s) \quad \text { as } m \rightarrow \infty \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\hat{\psi}_{s}$ be an estimator of $\psi_{s}$. Let $K$ be a smooth kernel of order $2 m$, integrated to 1 , and with bounded support kernel. Then by (12) $\vartheta(s)$ can be estimated by

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{\vartheta}(s) & =s \sqrt{\frac{m}{2 \pi d^{2}}} \sum_{k=0}^{m}\binom{m}{k}\left(\frac{-1}{d^{2}}\right)^{k} \int K(u) \hat{\psi}_{s}^{(2 k)}(u) d u \\
& =s \sqrt{\frac{m}{2 \pi d^{2}}} \sum_{k=0}^{m}\binom{m}{k}\left(\frac{-1}{d^{2}}\right)^{k} \int K^{(2 k)}(u) \hat{\psi}_{s}(u) d u  \tag{13}\\
& =\int \bar{K}(u) \hat{\psi}_{s}(u) d u
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\bar{K}(u) \equiv s \sqrt{\frac{m}{2 \pi d^{2}}} \sum_{k=0}^{m}\binom{m}{k}\left(\frac{-1}{d^{2}}\right)^{k} K^{(2 k)}(u)
$$

Since we have already developed the machinery we pick

$$
K(u)=\gamma_{m} \sqrt{\frac{2 m}{2 \pi \sigma^{2}}} \pi_{2 m}\left(u ; u_{0}, \sigma\right)
$$

where $\gamma_{m}=1+\circ(1)$. Hence by (9)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\bar{K}\|_{\infty} \leq s \frac{m}{2 \pi \sigma d} \sum_{k=0}^{m}\binom{m}{k}\left(\frac{c m}{k}\right)^{2 k}(2 k)!=\mathcal{O}\left(c^{m} m^{m}\right) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\psi_{s}$ can be estimated at a standard polynomial rate, $\hat{\psi}-\psi=\mathcal{O}_{p}\left(n^{-\gamma}\right)$, then, by (13) and (14)m $\hat{\psi}$ induce an error of $\mathcal{O}\left(c^{m} m^{m} / n^{\gamma}\right)$. To this we the bias of $\mathcal{O}\left(m^{-1}\right)$ as given by (11) should be added. The minimization of the error estimate is obtained therefore of the order of the value at $m$ when these two terms are equal:

$$
m \log m-\gamma \log n=\log m
$$

By taking $m=m_{n}=\alpha \log n / \log \log n$ the rate of

$$
\hat{\vartheta}(s)-\vartheta(s)=\mathcal{O}_{p}\left(n^{-\alpha \log \log n / \log n}\right)
$$

is achieved for any $\alpha<1$. We have shown that the optimal rate of convergence is $n^{\alpha_{n}}$ for some $\alpha_{n} \rightarrow 0$ slowly, which complete the proof.

