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## Supplementary Material

This note contains proofs for Corollary 1 and Propositions 3 and 4.

## S1. Proof of Proposition 3

With i.i.d. observations $\left\{\left(\boldsymbol{Y}_{i_{w}}, \boldsymbol{X}_{i_{w}}\right): i=1, \ldots, n_{w}\right\}$ and $n=\sum_{w=1}^{c} n_{w}$, the sample estimates of the quantities in $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\bullet}^{1 / 2} \boldsymbol{\beta}^{*} \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{-1 / 2}$ can be expressed as follows.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{w} & =n_{w}^{-1} \sum_{i_{w}=1}^{n_{w}}\left(\boldsymbol{X}_{i_{w}}-\overline{\boldsymbol{X}}_{w}\right)\left(\boldsymbol{X}_{i_{w}}-\overline{\boldsymbol{X}}_{w}\right)^{\top}, \\
\hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{k_{w}} & =n_{w}^{-1} \sum_{i_{w}=1}^{n_{w}}\left(\boldsymbol{X}_{i_{w}}-\overline{\boldsymbol{X}}_{w}\right)\left(Y_{i k_{w}}-\bar{Y}_{\bullet k_{w}}\right), \\
\hat{\varepsilon}_{i k_{w}} & =\left(Y_{i k_{w}}-\bar{Y}_{\bullet k_{w}}\right)-\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{i k_{w}}^{\top}\left(\boldsymbol{X}_{i_{w}}-\overline{\boldsymbol{X}}_{w}\right), \\
\hat{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{i w} & =\left(\hat{\varepsilon}_{i 1 w_{w}}, \hat{\varepsilon}_{i 2_{w}}, \cdots, \hat{\varepsilon}_{i r_{w}}\right)^{\top}, \\
\hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{\bullet} & =\sum_{w=1}^{c} \hat{a}_{w}^{2} \hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{w}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{w=1}^{c} n_{w} \hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{w}, \\
\hat{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}_{w} & =n_{w}^{-1} \sum_{i_{w}=1}^{n_{w}} \hat{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{i w} \hat{\varepsilon}_{i w}^{\top},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\overline{\boldsymbol{X}}_{w}$ and $\bar{Y}_{\boldsymbol{o}_{w}}$ are the sample average of $\boldsymbol{X}_{i_{w}}$ and $Y_{i k_{w}}, i=1,2, \cdots, n_{w}$, and $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{k_{w}}=\hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{w}^{-1} \hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{k_{w}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{w}=\left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{1_{w}}, \ldots, \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{r_{w}}\right), \hat{a}_{w}=\left(n_{w} / n\right)^{1 / 2}$, and $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{*}=\left(\hat{a}_{1} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{1}, \ldots, \hat{a}_{c} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{c}\right)$.

It follows from Eaton and Tyler (1994) that the asymptotic distribution of $\hat{\Lambda}_{d}$ is the same as that of $\Lambda_{d}=\operatorname{trace}\left(\boldsymbol{U} \boldsymbol{U}^{\top}\right)=\operatorname{vec}(\boldsymbol{U})^{\top} \operatorname{vec}(\boldsymbol{U})$, where

$$
\boldsymbol{U}=\sqrt{n} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{\top}\left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{\bullet}^{1 / 2} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{*} \hat{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}^{-1 / 2}-\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\bullet}^{1 / 2} \boldsymbol{\beta}^{*} \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{-1 / 2}\right) \boldsymbol{\Psi}
$$

(Here $\boldsymbol{U}$ and $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{\bullet}}^{1 / 2} \boldsymbol{\beta}^{*} \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{-1 / 2}$ correspond to Eaton and Tyler's $\boldsymbol{Z}_{n}$ and $\boldsymbol{B}$, respectively, in their equations (4.4) and (4.1).) Consequently, it is sufficient to prove that $\operatorname{vec}(\boldsymbol{U})$ is asymptotically normally distributed, with mean 0 and covariance matrix $\boldsymbol{\Delta}$. Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{\top} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\bullet}^{1 / 2} \boldsymbol{\beta}^{*}=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \boldsymbol{\beta}^{*} \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{-1 / 2} \boldsymbol{\Psi}=0 . \tag{S1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The matrix $\boldsymbol{U}$ can be expanded as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{U}= & \sqrt{n} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{\top}\left\{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\bullet}^{1 / 2} \boldsymbol{\beta}^{*}\left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}^{-1 / 2}-\boldsymbol{\Omega}^{-1 / 2}\right)+\left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{\bullet}^{1 / 2}-\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\bullet}^{1 / 2}\right) \boldsymbol{\beta}^{*} \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{-1 / 2}\right. \\
& \left.+\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\bullet}^{1 / 2}\left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{*}-\boldsymbol{\beta}^{*}\right) \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{-1 / 2}\right\} \boldsymbol{\Psi}+O_{p}\left(n^{-1 / 2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

By (S1.1) the first and second terms are 0 , so we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{U}=\sqrt{n} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{\top} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\bullet}^{1 / 2}\left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{*}-\boldsymbol{\beta}^{*}\right) \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{-1 / 2} \boldsymbol{\Psi}+O_{p}\left(n^{-1 / 2}\right) \tag{S1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the limiting distribution of $\boldsymbol{U}$ is the same as that of $\boldsymbol{U}_{0}=\sqrt{n} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{\top} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{1 / 2}\left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{*}-\right.$
 proved that

$$
\hat{a}_{w} \sqrt{n}\left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{j_{w}}-\boldsymbol{\beta}_{j_{w}}\right)=\sqrt{n_{w}}\left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{j_{w}}-\boldsymbol{\beta}_{j_{w}}\right)=n_{w}^{-1 / 2} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{w}^{-1 / 2} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{w}} \boldsymbol{Z}_{i_{w}} \varepsilon_{i j_{w}}+O_{p}\left(n_{w}^{-1 / 2}\right) .
$$

Consequently,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sqrt{n_{w}}\left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{j_{w}}-\boldsymbol{\beta}_{j_{w}}\right) & =n_{w}^{-1 / 2} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{w}^{-1 / 2} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{w}} \boldsymbol{Z}_{i_{w}} \varepsilon_{i j_{w}}+O_{p}\left(n_{w}^{-1 / 2}\right), \\
\sqrt{n_{w}}\left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{w}-\boldsymbol{\beta}_{w}\right) & =n_{w}^{-1 / 2} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{w}^{-1 / 2} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{w}}\left(\boldsymbol{Z}_{i_{w}} \varepsilon_{i 1_{w}}, \boldsymbol{Z}_{i_{w}} \varepsilon_{2 j_{w}}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{Z}_{i_{w}} \varepsilon_{i r_{w}}\right)+O_{p}\left(n_{w}^{-1 / 2}\right), \\
\sqrt{n}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}-\boldsymbol{\beta}) & =\left(\sqrt{n_{1}}\left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{1}-\boldsymbol{\beta}_{1}\right), \sqrt{n_{2}}\left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{2}-\boldsymbol{\beta}_{2}\right), \ldots, \sqrt{n_{c}}\left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{c}-\boldsymbol{\beta}_{c}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Defining $\boldsymbol{R}_{w}=n_{w}^{-1 / 2} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{w}^{-1 / 2} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{w}}\left(\boldsymbol{Z}_{i_{w}} \varepsilon_{i 1_{w}}, \boldsymbol{Z}_{i_{w}} \varepsilon_{2 j_{w}}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{Z}_{i_{w}} \varepsilon_{i r_{w}}\right)$ and $\boldsymbol{R}=\left(\boldsymbol{R}_{1}\right.$, $\left.\boldsymbol{R}_{2}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{R}_{c}\right)$, so that $\boldsymbol{U}_{0}=\boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{\top} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\bullet}^{1 / 2} \boldsymbol{R} \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{-1 / 2} \boldsymbol{\Psi}$, and

$$
\operatorname{vec}\left(\boldsymbol{U}_{0}\right)=\left[\left(\boldsymbol{\Psi}^{\top} \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{-1 / 2}\right) \otimes\left(\boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{\top} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\bullet}^{1 / 2}\right)\right] \operatorname{vec}(\boldsymbol{R}),
$$

By the central limit theorem, we then have

$$
\operatorname{vec}\left(\boldsymbol{U}_{0}\right) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} \mathcal{N}(0, \boldsymbol{\Delta})
$$

where

$$
\boldsymbol{\Delta}=\sum_{w=1}^{c}\left[\left(\Psi_{w}^{\top} \Omega_{w}^{-1 / 2}\right) \otimes\left(\boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{\top} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\bullet}^{1 / 2} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{w}^{-1 / 2}\right)\right]\left(\operatorname{Var}\left(\boldsymbol{T}_{w}\right)\right)\left[\left(\Omega_{w}^{-1 / 2} \Psi_{w}\right) \otimes\left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{w}^{-1 / 2} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\bullet}^{1 / 2} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}\right)\right]
$$

Note that $\operatorname{Var}\left(\boldsymbol{T}_{w}\right)=\mathrm{E}\left(\boldsymbol{T}_{w} \boldsymbol{T}_{w}^{\top}\right)-\mathrm{E}\left(\boldsymbol{T}_{w}\right) \mathrm{E}\left(\boldsymbol{T}_{w}\right)^{\top}$. Because $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{w}$ is an OLS residual of the regression within the subgroup $w$, we have $\operatorname{Cov}\left(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{w}, \boldsymbol{Z}_{w}\right)=0$ and so $\mathrm{E}\left(\boldsymbol{T}_{w}\right)=0$. From this we can write $\operatorname{Var}\left(\boldsymbol{T}_{w}\right)=\mathrm{E}\left(\boldsymbol{T}_{w} \boldsymbol{T}_{w}^{\top}\right)$ and

$$
\boldsymbol{\Delta}=\sum_{w=1}^{c}\left[\left(\Psi_{w}^{\top} \Omega_{w}^{-1 / 2}\right) \otimes\left(\boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{\top} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\bullet}^{1 / 2} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{w}^{-1 / 2}\right)\right]\left(\mathrm{E}\left(\boldsymbol{T}_{w} \boldsymbol{T}_{w}^{\top}\right)\right)\left[\left(\Omega_{w}^{-1 / 2} \Psi_{w}\right) \otimes\left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{w}^{-1 / 2} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\bullet}^{1 / 2} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}\right)\right]
$$

The conclusion then follows.

## S2. Proof of Corollary 1

With either assumptions (a) or (b), we have $\operatorname{Var}\left(\boldsymbol{T}_{w}\right)=\Omega_{w} \otimes \mathbf{I}_{p}$, and thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{\Delta} & =\sum_{w=1}^{c}\left[\left(\Psi_{w}^{\top} \Omega_{w}^{-1 / 2}\right) \otimes\left(\boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{\top} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\bullet}^{1 / 2} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{w}^{-1 / 2}\right)\right]\left(\operatorname{Var}\left(\boldsymbol{T}_{w}\right)\right)\left[\left(\Omega_{w}^{-1 / 2} \Psi_{w}\right) \otimes\left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{w}^{-1 / 2} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\bullet}^{1 / 2} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}\right)\right] \\
& =\sum_{w=1}^{c}\left[\left(\Psi_{w}^{\top} \Omega_{w}^{-1 / 2}\right) \otimes\left(\boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{\top} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\bullet}^{1 / 2} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{w}^{-1 / 2}\right)\right]\left(\Omega_{w} \otimes \mathbf{I}_{p}\right)\left[\left(\Omega_{w}^{-1 / 2} \Psi_{w}\right) \otimes\left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{w}^{-1 / 2} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\bullet}^{1 / 2} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}\right)\right] \\
& =\sum_{w=1}^{c}\left[\left(\Psi_{w}^{\top} \Omega_{w}^{-1 / 2} \Omega_{w}\right) \otimes\left(\boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{\top} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\bullet}^{1 / 2} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{w}^{-1 / 2} \mathbf{I}_{p}\right)\right]\left[\left(\Omega_{w}^{-1 / 2} \Psi_{w}\right) \otimes\left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{w}^{-1 / 2} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\bullet}^{1 / 2} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}\right)\right] \\
& =\sum_{w=1}^{c}\left[\left(\Psi_{w}^{\top} \Omega_{w}^{1 / 2}\right) \otimes\left(\boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{\top} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\bullet}^{1 / 2} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{w}^{-1 / 2}\right)\right]\left[\left(\Omega_{w}^{-1 / 2} \Psi_{w}\right) \otimes\left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{w}^{-1 / 2} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\bullet}^{1 / 2} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}\right)\right] \\
& =\sum_{w=1}^{c}\left[\left(\Psi_{w}^{\top} \Omega_{w}^{1 / 2}\right)\left(\Omega_{w}^{-1 / 2} \Psi_{w}\right)\right] \otimes\left[\left(\boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{\top} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\bullet}^{1 / 2} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{w}^{-1 / 2}\right)\left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{w}^{-1 / 2} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\bullet}^{1 / 2} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}\right)\right] \\
& =\sum_{w=1}^{c}\left[\Psi_{w}^{\top} \Psi_{w}\right] \otimes\left[\boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{\top} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\bullet}^{1 / 2} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{w}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\bullet}^{1 / 2} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

If in addition $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\bullet}=\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{1}=\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{2}=\ldots=\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{c}$, then we have $\boldsymbol{\Delta}=\mathbf{I}_{(p-d)(r c-d)}$, and consequently $\hat{\Lambda}_{d}$ converges to a chi-squared distribution with $(p-d)(r c-d)$ degrees of freedom.

## S3. Proof of Propostion 4

The joint asymptotic distribution of the $p-d$ smallest singular values of $\sqrt{n} \boldsymbol{M}$ is the same as the distribution of the singular values of the matrix $\boldsymbol{V}=$ $\sqrt{n} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}}^{\top}(\hat{\boldsymbol{M}}-\boldsymbol{M}) \tilde{\Psi}$ (Eaton and Tyler, 1994). This implies that the asymptotic distribution of $\tilde{\Lambda}_{d}$ is the same as that of $\operatorname{vec}(\boldsymbol{V})^{\top} \operatorname{vec}(\boldsymbol{V})$, i.e., the sum of the squared elements of $\boldsymbol{V}$. Consequently, it is sufficient to $\operatorname{show}$ that $\operatorname{vec}(\boldsymbol{V})$ is asymptotically normally distributed with mean 0 and covariance matrix $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\Delta}}$.

Let $\boldsymbol{N}_{w}=\left(\boldsymbol{M}_{1_{w}}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{M}_{r_{w}}\right)$. Since $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}}^{\top} \boldsymbol{M}_{k_{w}}=0$ for any $k$ and $w$,

$$
\boldsymbol{V}=\sqrt{n} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}}^{\top}(\hat{\boldsymbol{M}}-\boldsymbol{M}) \tilde{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}=\sum_{w=1}^{c} \sqrt{n_{w}} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}}^{\top}\left(\hat{\boldsymbol{N}}_{w}-\boldsymbol{N}_{w}\right) \tilde{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}_{w} \equiv \sum_{w=1}^{c} \sqrt{n_{w}} \boldsymbol{V}_{w}
$$

where $\hat{\boldsymbol{N}}_{w}$ is the sample estimate of $\boldsymbol{N}_{w}$. This implies $\operatorname{vec}(\boldsymbol{V})=\sum_{w=1}^{c} \operatorname{vec}\left(\boldsymbol{V}_{w}\right)$. Since the $\boldsymbol{V}_{w}$ 's are mutually independent, we can determine the limiting distribution of just one $\operatorname{vec}\left(\boldsymbol{V}_{w}\right)$, and then add them to obtain our desired result.

Note that $\operatorname{vec}\left(\boldsymbol{V}_{w}\right)$ can be rewritten as $\operatorname{vec}\left(\boldsymbol{V}_{w}\right)=\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}_{w}^{\top} \otimes \tilde{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}}^{\top}\right) \operatorname{vec}(\hat{\boldsymbol{N}}-\boldsymbol{N})$. Cook and $\operatorname{Li}(2004)$ showed that, with $\xi_{k_{w}}^{(i)}$ and $\xi_{w}^{(i)}$ denoting the $i$ th observation of the random variable $\xi_{k_{w}} \in \mathbb{R}^{p^{2}}$ and $\xi_{w} \in \mathbb{R}^{r p^{2}}$ respectively,

$$
\operatorname{vec}\left(\hat{\boldsymbol{M}}_{k_{w}}-\boldsymbol{M}_{k_{w}}\right)=\boldsymbol{G}_{k_{w}} \times\left(\frac{1}{n_{w}} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{w}} \xi_{k_{w}}^{(i)}\right)+O_{p}\left(n_{w}^{-1}\right) .
$$

In the multivariate setting, this leads to

$$
\operatorname{vec}\left(\hat{\boldsymbol{N}}_{w}-\boldsymbol{N}_{w}\right)=\boldsymbol{G}_{w} \times\left(\frac{1}{n_{w}} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{w}} \xi_{w}^{(i)}\right)+O_{p}\left(n_{w}^{-1}\right)
$$

Since each $\boldsymbol{G}_{w}$ is a $r p^{2} \times r p^{2}$ constant matrix, $\sqrt{n} \operatorname{vec}\left(\hat{\boldsymbol{N}}_{w}-\boldsymbol{N}_{w}\right)$ converges in distribution to a $r p^{2}$-dimensional multivariate normal with mean 0 and covariance matrix $\boldsymbol{G}_{w} \mathrm{E}\left(\xi_{w} \xi_{w}^{\top}\right) \boldsymbol{G}_{w}^{\top}$. Consequently, $\sqrt{n} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}}^{\top}(\hat{\boldsymbol{M}}-\boldsymbol{M}) \tilde{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}$ converges to a multivariate normal distribution with mean 0 and covariance matrix $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\Delta}}=$ $\sum_{w=1}^{c}\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}_{w} \otimes \tilde{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}}\right)^{\top} \boldsymbol{G}_{w} \mathrm{E}\left(\xi_{w} \xi_{w}^{\top}\right) \boldsymbol{G}_{w}^{\top}\left(\tilde{\mathbf{\Psi}}_{w} \otimes \tilde{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}}\right)$. This completes the proof.
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