MODEL FREE MULTIVARIATE REDUCED-RANK REGRESSION WITH CATEGORICAL PREDICTORS

C. Messan Setodji and Lexin Li

RAND Corporation and North Carolina State University

Supplementary Material

This note contains proofs for Corollary 1 and Propositions 3 and 4.

S1. Proof of Proposition 3

With i.i.d. observations $\{(\boldsymbol{Y}_{i_w}, \boldsymbol{X}_{i_w}) : i = 1, ..., n_w\}$ and $n = \sum_{w=1}^c n_w$, the sample estimates of the quantities in $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\bullet}^{1/2} \boldsymbol{\beta}^* \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{-1/2}$ can be expressed as follows.

$$\begin{split} \hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{w} &= n_{w}^{-1} \sum_{i_{w}=1}^{n_{w}} (\boldsymbol{X}_{i_{w}} - \bar{\boldsymbol{X}}_{w}) (\boldsymbol{X}_{i_{w}} - \bar{\boldsymbol{X}}_{w})^{\mathsf{T}}, \\ \hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{k_{w}} &= n_{w}^{-1} \sum_{i_{w}=1}^{n_{w}} (\boldsymbol{X}_{i_{w}} - \bar{\boldsymbol{X}}_{w}) (Y_{i_{k_{w}}} - \bar{\boldsymbol{Y}}_{\bullet k_{w}}), \\ \hat{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{i_{k_{w}}} &= (Y_{i_{k_{w}}} - \bar{\boldsymbol{Y}}_{\bullet k_{w}}) - \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{i_{k_{w}}}^{\mathsf{T}} (\boldsymbol{X}_{i_{w}} - \bar{\boldsymbol{X}}_{w}), \\ \hat{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{iw} &= (\hat{\varepsilon}_{i_{1_{w}}}, \hat{\varepsilon}_{i_{2_{w}}}, \cdots, \hat{\varepsilon}_{i_{r_{w}}})^{\mathsf{T}}, \\ \hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{\bullet} &= \sum_{w=1}^{c} \hat{a}_{w}^{2} \hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{w} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{w=1}^{c} n_{w} \hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{w}, \\ \hat{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}_{w} &= n_{w}^{-1} \sum_{i_{w}=1}^{n_{w}} \hat{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{iw} \hat{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{i_{w}}^{\mathsf{T}}, \end{split}$$

where $\bar{\boldsymbol{X}}_w$ and $\bar{Y}_{\bullet k_w}$ are the sample average of \boldsymbol{X}_{i_w} and Y_{ik_w} , $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n_w$, and $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{k_w} = \hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_w^{-1} \hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{k_w}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_w = (\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{1_w}, \dots, \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{r_w}), \hat{a}_w = (n_w/n)^{1/2}$, and $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^* = (\hat{a}_1 \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_1, \dots, \hat{a}_c \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_c)$. It follows from Eaton and Tyler (1994) that the asymptotic distribution of

 $\hat{\Lambda}_d$ is the same as that of $\Lambda_d = \operatorname{trace}(\boldsymbol{U}\boldsymbol{U}^{\mathsf{T}}) = \operatorname{vec}(\boldsymbol{U})^{\mathsf{T}}\operatorname{vec}(\boldsymbol{U})$, where

$$\boldsymbol{U} = \sqrt{n} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{\mathsf{T}} (\hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{\bullet}^{1/2} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^* \hat{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}^{-1/2} - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\bullet}^{1/2} \boldsymbol{\beta}^* \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{-1/2}) \boldsymbol{\Psi}.$$

(Here \boldsymbol{U} and $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\bullet}^{1/2} \boldsymbol{\beta}^* \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{-1/2}$ correspond to Eaton and Tyler's \boldsymbol{Z}_n and \boldsymbol{B} , respectively, in their equations (4.4) and (4.1).) Consequently, it is sufficient to prove that vec(\boldsymbol{U}) is asymptotically normally distributed, with mean 0 and covariance matrix $\boldsymbol{\Delta}$. Note that

$$\boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\bullet}^{1/2} \boldsymbol{\beta}^* = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \boldsymbol{\beta}^* \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{-1/2} \boldsymbol{\Psi} = 0.$$
 (S1.1)

The matrix U can be expanded as

$$U = \sqrt{n} \Gamma^{\mathsf{T}} \{ \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\bullet}^{1/2} \boldsymbol{\beta}^* (\hat{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}^{-1/2} - \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{-1/2}) + (\hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{\bullet}^{1/2} - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\bullet}^{1/2}) \boldsymbol{\beta}^* \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{-1/2} \\ + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\bullet}^{1/2} (\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^* - \boldsymbol{\beta}^*) \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{-1/2} \} \boldsymbol{\Psi} + O_p(n^{-1/2})$$

By (S1.1) the first and second terms are 0, so we have

$$\boldsymbol{U} = \sqrt{n} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\bullet}^{1/2} (\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^* - \boldsymbol{\beta}^*) \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{-1/2} \boldsymbol{\Psi} + O_p(n^{-1/2})$$
(S1.2)

and the limiting distribution of \boldsymbol{U} is the same as that of $\boldsymbol{U}_0 = \sqrt{n} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\bullet}^{1/2} (\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^* - \boldsymbol{\beta}^*) \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{-1/2} \boldsymbol{\Psi}$. Li, Cook and Chiaromonte(2003) and Cook and Setodji(2003) proved that

$$\hat{a}_{w}\sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta}_{j_{w}}-\beta_{j_{w}}) = \sqrt{n_{w}}(\hat{\beta}_{j_{w}}-\beta_{j_{w}}) = n_{w}^{-1/2} \sum_{u=1}^{n_{w}} \mathbf{Z}_{i_{w}}\varepsilon_{ij_{w}} + O_{p}(n_{w}^{-1/2}).$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{split} \sqrt{n_w}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{j_w} - \boldsymbol{\beta}_{j_w}) &= n_w^{-1/2} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_w^{-1/2} \sum_{i=1}^{n_w} \boldsymbol{Z}_{i_w} \varepsilon_{ij_w} + O_p(n_w^{-1/2}), \\ \sqrt{n_w}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_w - \boldsymbol{\beta}_w) &= n_w^{-1/2} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_w^{-1/2} \sum_{i=1}^{n_w} \left(\boldsymbol{Z}_{i_w} \varepsilon_{i1_w}, \boldsymbol{Z}_{i_w} \varepsilon_{2j_w}, \dots, \boldsymbol{Z}_{i_w} \varepsilon_{ir_w} \right) + O_p(n_w^{-1/2}) \\ \sqrt{n}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \boldsymbol{\beta}) &= \left(\sqrt{n_1}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_1 - \boldsymbol{\beta}_1), \sqrt{n_2}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_2 - \boldsymbol{\beta}_2), \dots, \sqrt{n_c}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_c - \boldsymbol{\beta}_c) \right). \end{split}$$

Defining $\boldsymbol{R}_w = n_w^{-1/2} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_w^{-1/2} \sum_{i=1}^{n_w} \left(\boldsymbol{Z}_{i_w} \varepsilon_{i_{1_w}}, \boldsymbol{Z}_{i_w} \varepsilon_{2j_w}, \dots, \boldsymbol{Z}_{i_w} \varepsilon_{i_{r_w}} \right)$ and $\boldsymbol{R} = (\boldsymbol{R}_1, \boldsymbol{R}_2, \dots, \boldsymbol{R}_c)$, so that $\boldsymbol{U}_0 = \boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\bullet}^{1/2} \boldsymbol{R} \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{-1/2} \boldsymbol{\Psi}$, and

$$\operatorname{vec}(\boldsymbol{U}_0) = [(\boldsymbol{\Psi}^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{-1/2}) \otimes (\boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\bullet}^{1/2})]\operatorname{vec}(\boldsymbol{R}),$$

By the central limit theorem, we then have

$$\operatorname{vec}(\boldsymbol{U}_0) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} \mathcal{N}(0, \boldsymbol{\Delta}),$$

S2

where

$$\boldsymbol{\Delta} = \sum_{w=1}^{c} [(\boldsymbol{\Psi}_{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{w}^{-1/2}) \otimes (\boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\bullet}^{1/2} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{w}^{-1/2})] (\operatorname{Var}(\boldsymbol{T}_{w})) [(\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{w}^{-1/2} \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{w}) \otimes (\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{w}^{-1/2} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\bullet}^{1/2} \boldsymbol{\Gamma})]$$

Note that $\operatorname{Var}(\boldsymbol{T}_w) = \operatorname{E}(\boldsymbol{T}_w \boldsymbol{T}_w^{\mathsf{T}}) - \operatorname{E}(\boldsymbol{T}_w) \operatorname{E}(\boldsymbol{T}_w)^{\mathsf{T}}$. Because $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_w$ is an OLS residual of the regression within the subgroup w, we have $\operatorname{Cov}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_w, \boldsymbol{Z}_w) = 0$ and so $\operatorname{E}(\boldsymbol{T}_w) = 0$. From this we can write $\operatorname{Var}(\boldsymbol{T}_w) = \operatorname{E}(\boldsymbol{T}_w \boldsymbol{T}_w^{\mathsf{T}})$ and

$$\boldsymbol{\Delta} = \sum_{w=1}^{c} [(\boldsymbol{\Psi}_{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{w}^{-1/2}) \otimes (\boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\bullet}^{1/2} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{w}^{-1/2})] (\mathrm{E}(\boldsymbol{T}_{w} \boldsymbol{T}_{w}^{\mathsf{T}})) [(\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{w}^{-1/2} \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{w}) \otimes (\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{w}^{-1/2} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\bullet}^{1/2} \boldsymbol{\Gamma})].$$

The conclusion then follows.

S2. Proof of Corollary 1

With either assumptions (a) or (b), we have $\operatorname{Var}(\boldsymbol{T}_w) = \Omega_w \otimes \mathbf{I}_p$, and thus,

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{\Delta} &= \sum_{w=1}^{c} [(\Psi_{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \Omega_{w}^{-1/2}) \otimes (\mathbf{\Gamma}^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\bullet}^{1/2} \mathbf{\Sigma}_{w}^{-1/2})] (\operatorname{Var}(\boldsymbol{T}_{w})) [(\Omega_{w}^{-1/2} \Psi_{w}) \otimes (\mathbf{\Sigma}_{w}^{-1/2} \mathbf{\Sigma}_{\bullet}^{1/2} \mathbf{\Gamma})] \\ &= \sum_{w=1}^{c} [(\Psi_{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \Omega_{w}^{-1/2}) \otimes (\mathbf{\Gamma}^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\bullet}^{1/2} \mathbf{\Sigma}_{w}^{-1/2})] (\Omega_{w} \otimes \mathbf{I}_{p}) [(\Omega_{w}^{-1/2} \Psi_{w}) \otimes (\mathbf{\Sigma}_{w}^{-1/2} \mathbf{\Sigma}_{\bullet}^{1/2} \mathbf{\Gamma})] \\ &= \sum_{w=1}^{c} [(\Psi_{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \Omega_{w}^{-1/2} \Omega_{w}) \otimes (\mathbf{\Gamma}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{\Sigma}_{\bullet}^{1/2} \mathbf{\Sigma}_{w}^{-1/2} \mathbf{I}_{p})] [(\Omega_{w}^{-1/2} \Psi_{w}) \otimes (\mathbf{\Sigma}_{w}^{-1/2} \mathbf{\Sigma}_{\bullet}^{1/2} \mathbf{\Gamma})] \\ &= \sum_{w=1}^{c} [(\Psi_{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \Omega_{w}^{1/2}) \otimes (\mathbf{\Gamma}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{\Sigma}_{\bullet}^{1/2} \mathbf{\Sigma}_{w}^{-1/2})] [(\Omega_{w}^{-1/2} \Psi_{w}) \otimes (\mathbf{\Sigma}_{w}^{-1/2} \mathbf{\Sigma}_{\bullet}^{1/2} \mathbf{\Gamma})] \\ &= \sum_{w=1}^{c} [(\Psi_{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \Omega_{w}^{1/2}) (\Omega_{w}^{-1/2} \Psi_{w})] \otimes [(\mathbf{\Gamma}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{\Sigma}_{\bullet}^{1/2} \mathbf{\Sigma}_{w}^{-1/2}) (\mathbf{\Sigma}_{w}^{-1/2} \mathbf{\Sigma}_{\bullet}^{1/2} \mathbf{\Gamma})] \\ &= \sum_{w=1}^{c} [\Psi_{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \Psi_{w}] \otimes [\mathbf{\Gamma}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{\Sigma}_{\bullet}^{1/2} \mathbf{\Sigma}_{w}^{-1/2} \mathbf{\Gamma}]. \end{split}$$

If in addition $\Sigma_{\bullet} = \Sigma_1 = \Sigma_2 = \ldots = \Sigma_c$, then we have $\Delta = \mathbf{I}_{(p-d)(rc-d)}$, and consequently $\hat{\Lambda}_d$ converges to a chi-squared distribution with (p-d)(rc-d)degrees of freedom.

S3. Proof of Propostion 4

The joint asymptotic distribution of the p-d smallest singular values of $\sqrt{n}\mathbf{M}$ is the same as the distribution of the singular values of the matrix $\mathbf{V} = \sqrt{n}\mathbf{\tilde{\Gamma}}^{\mathsf{T}}(\mathbf{\hat{M}} - \mathbf{M})\mathbf{\tilde{\Psi}}$ (Eaton and Tyler, 1994). This implies that the asymptotic distribution of $\tilde{\Lambda}_d$ is the same as that of $\operatorname{vec}(\mathbf{V})^{\mathsf{T}}\operatorname{vec}(\mathbf{V})$, i.e., the sum of the squared elements of \mathbf{V} . Consequently, it is sufficient to show that $\operatorname{vec}(\mathbf{V})$ is asymptotically normally distributed with mean 0 and covariance matrix $\mathbf{\tilde{\Delta}}$.

Let $\boldsymbol{N}_w = (\boldsymbol{M}_{1w}, \dots, \boldsymbol{M}_{rw})$. Since $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}}^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{M}_{kw} = 0$ for any k and w,

$$\boldsymbol{V} = \sqrt{n} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}}^{\mathsf{T}} (\hat{\boldsymbol{M}} - \boldsymbol{M}) \tilde{\boldsymbol{\Psi}} = \sum_{w=1}^{c} \sqrt{n_{w}} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}}^{\mathsf{T}} (\hat{\boldsymbol{N}}_{w} - \boldsymbol{N}_{w}) \tilde{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}_{w} \equiv \sum_{w=1}^{c} \sqrt{n_{w}} \boldsymbol{V}_{w}$$

where \hat{N}_w is the sample estimate of N_w . This implies $\operatorname{vec}(V) = \sum_{w=1}^c \operatorname{vec}(V_w)$. Since the V_w 's are mutually independent, we can determine the limiting distribution of just one $\operatorname{vec}(V_w)$, and then add them to obtain our desired result.

Note that $\operatorname{vec}(\boldsymbol{V}_w)$ can be rewritten as $\operatorname{vec}(\boldsymbol{V}_w) = (\tilde{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}_w^{\mathsf{T}} \otimes \tilde{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}}^{\mathsf{T}})\operatorname{vec}(\hat{\boldsymbol{N}} - \boldsymbol{N})$. Cook and Li (2004) showed that, with $\xi_{k_w}^{(i)}$ and $\xi_w^{(i)}$ denoting the *i*th observation of the random variable $\xi_{k_w} \in \mathbb{R}^{p^2}$ and $\xi_w \in \mathbb{R}^{rp^2}$ respectively,

$$\operatorname{vec}(\hat{M}_{k_w} - M_{k_w}) = G_{k_w} \times \left(\frac{1}{n_w} \sum_{i=1}^{n_w} \xi_{k_w}^{(i)}\right) + O_p(n_w^{-1}).$$

In the multivariate setting, this leads to

$$\operatorname{vec}(\hat{\boldsymbol{N}}_w - \boldsymbol{N}_w) = \boldsymbol{G}_w \times \left(\frac{1}{n_w} \sum_{i=1}^{n_w} \xi_w^{(i)}\right) + O_p(n_w^{-1}).$$

Since each \boldsymbol{G}_w is a $rp^2 \times rp^2$ constant matrix, $\sqrt{n}\operatorname{vec}(\hat{\boldsymbol{N}}_w - \boldsymbol{N}_w)$ converges in distribution to a rp^2 -dimensional multivariate normal with mean 0 and covariance matrix $\boldsymbol{G}_w \mathrm{E}(\xi_w \xi_w^{\mathsf{T}}) \boldsymbol{G}_w^{\mathsf{T}}$. Consequently, $\sqrt{n} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}}^{\mathsf{T}} (\hat{\boldsymbol{M}} - \boldsymbol{M}) \tilde{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}$ converges to a multivariate normal distribution with mean 0 and covariance matrix $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\Delta}} =$ $\sum_{w=1}^c (\tilde{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}_w \otimes \tilde{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}})^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{G}_w \mathrm{E}(\xi_w \xi_w^{\mathsf{T}}) \boldsymbol{G}_w^{\mathsf{T}} (\tilde{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}_w \otimes \tilde{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}})$. This completes the proof. \Box

Additional References

Eaton, M.L. and Tyler, D. (1994). The asymptotic distribution of singular values with application to canonical correlations and correspondence analysis., *Journal of Multivariate Analysis*, **50**, 238–264.