# ASYMPTOTIC OVERSHOOTS FOR ARITHMETIC I.I.D. RANDOM VARIABLES 

I-Ping Tu<br>Academia Sinica, Taipei


#### Abstract

The Sequential Probability Ratio Test (SPRT) has been widely applied in quality control and clinical studies. There are two important quantities in SPRT: $\left[1-E\left(e^{-\alpha S_{\tau_{+}}}\right)\right] / E\left(S_{\tau_{+}}\right)$for calculating the p-value and $E\left(S_{\tau_{+}}^{2}\right) / 2 E\left(S_{\tau_{+}}\right)$for estimating the sample size, where $S_{n}$ is the i.i.d. summation of random variables and $\tau_{+}$refers to the first time that $S_{n}$ becomes positive. For non-arithmetic i.i.d. random variables, Woodroofe (1979) provided computation formulas for these two quantities. To find the threshold for the IBD score statistics in testing genetic linkage, Tu and Siegmund (1999) provided a computation formula to calculate $\left[1-E\left(e^{-\alpha S_{\tau_{+}}}\right)\right] /\left(1-e^{-\alpha h}\right) E\left(S_{\tau_{+}}\right)$for arithmetic i.i.d. random variables when $\alpha$ is not too small. This paper gives another computation formula to calculate $\left[1-E\left(e^{-\alpha S_{+}}\right)\right] /\left(1-e^{-\alpha h}\right) E\left(S_{\tau_{+}}\right)$for arithmetic i.i.d. random variables, which can be applied for any positive $\alpha$ including $\alpha \downarrow 0$. We also provide a computation formula for $E\left(S_{\tau+}^{2}\right) / 2 E\left(S_{\tau_{+}}\right)$to estimate the overshoot for arithmetic i.i.d. random variables. Furthermore, we show that these two formula reproduce Woodroofe's non-arithmetic formula by letting the span $h$ go to zero, and we derive a computation formula to calculate $E\left(S_{\tau_{+}}\right)$, that can be applied to estimate the number of 'new-high' points in reaching a threshold.
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## 1. Introduction

A random variable $x$ is called arithmetic if it takes only values $0, \pm h, \pm 2 h$, $\ldots$, and $h$ is called its span if $h$ is the largest number that satisfies $P(x \in$ $\{0, \pm h, \pm 2 h, \ldots\})=1$. Let $x_{1}, x_{2} \ldots$ be i.i.d. from $f_{\omega}$ with positive mean $\mu$, and consider a test that terminates at $n=T$ when $T$ is the first time that $S_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}$ exceeds a threshold $b, T=\inf \left\{n: S_{n} \geq b\right\}$. Two basic problems encountered in sequential tests are the p-value calculation under the null hypothesis, to find an appropriate threshold $b$, and the sample size estimation under the alternative hypothesis, to estimate cost.
$S_{T}$ can be rewritten as an independent sum of positive random variables $S_{\tau_{+}}$. Let $\tau_{+}^{(0)}=0, \tau_{+}=\tau_{+}^{(1)}=\inf \left\{n: S_{n}>0\right\}, \tau_{+}^{(2)}=\inf \left\{n: S_{n}>S_{\tau_{+}^{(1)}}\right\}, \ldots$, $\tau_{+}^{(k)}=\inf \left\{n: S_{n}>S_{\tau_{+}^{(k-1)}}\right\}$, and observe that $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P\left(T=\tau_{+}^{(k)} \mid T<\infty\right)=1$,


Figure 1. The solid red circles are examples of new-high points $\left(\tau_{+}^{(i)}, S_{\tau_{+}^{(i)}}\right)$, where $i=1, \ldots, 5$. The green (horizontal) lines describe the waiting time to reach the new-high points, and the blue (vertical) lines are the jump sizes of the new-high points. It can be observed from the figure that the first time to exceed some positive threshold must occur at a new-high point $\tau_{+}^{(i)}$ for some $i>0$, that is, $S_{T}=S_{\tau_{+}^{(i)}}$ for some $i>0$.
and $S_{\tau_{+}^{(1)}}, S_{\tau_{+}^{(2)}}-S_{\tau_{+}^{(1)}}, S_{\tau_{+}^{(3)}}-S_{\tau_{+}^{(2)}}, \ldots$ are positive with probability 1 and i.i.d.. This relates $S_{T}$ to $S_{\tau_{+}}$. Figure 1 gives an illustration of these ladder height random variables. We call the points $\left(\tau_{+}^{(k)}, S_{\tau_{+}^{(k)}}\right)$ 'new-high' points.

For a negative drift process, by applying the change of measure method, one can write

$$
\begin{align*}
P(T<\infty) & =\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E_{0}\left(I_{[T=n]}\right)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E_{\alpha}\left(\exp \left(-S_{n} \alpha+n \varphi(\alpha)\right) I_{[T=n]}\right) \\
& =e^{(-\alpha b)} E_{\alpha}\left(e^{-\alpha\left(S_{T}-b\right)}\right) \tag{1.1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\varphi(\alpha)$ is the $\log$ of the moment generating function of $x_{1}$, with $\alpha$ referring to the change of measure chosen by solving the equation $\varphi(\alpha)=0$ under the constraint that the mean, $\varphi^{\prime}(\alpha)$, is positive. In (1.1), $E_{\alpha}\left(e^{-\alpha\left(S_{T}-b\right)}\right)$ can be treated as a correction term for the contribution of the overshoot. Siegmund (1985, Chap. 8) provided asymptotic equations for the correction term in (1.1):
for i.i.d. non-arithmetic random variables,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{b \rightarrow \infty} E_{\alpha}\left(e^{-\alpha\left(S_{T}-b\right)}\right)=\frac{1-E_{\alpha}\left(e^{-\alpha S_{\tau_{+}}}\right)}{\alpha E_{\alpha}\left(S_{\tau_{+}}\right)} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for i.i.d. arithmetic random variables

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{b \rightarrow \infty} E_{\alpha}\left(e^{-\alpha\left(S_{T}-b\right)}\right)=h \frac{1-E_{\alpha}\left(e^{-\alpha S_{\tau_{+}}}\right)}{\left(1-e^{-\alpha h}\right) E_{\alpha}\left(S_{\tau_{+}}\right)} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Woodroofe (1979) provided a computation formula for (1.2), namely

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1-E\left(\exp \left(-\alpha S_{\tau_{+}}\right)\right)}{\alpha E\left(S_{\tau_{+}}\right)} \\
& \quad=\exp \left\{\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left[\frac{\alpha^{2}}{\alpha^{2}+t^{2}} \frac{\Im(\xi(t))-\frac{\pi}{2}}{t}-\frac{\alpha}{\alpha^{2}+t^{2}}(\Re(\xi(t))+\log (\mu t))\right] d t\right\} \tag{1.4}
\end{align*}
$$

while Tu and Siegmund (1999) provided a computation formula for (1.3):

$$
\begin{align*}
h \frac{1-E\left(\exp \left(-\alpha S_{\tau_{+}}\right)\right)}{(1-\exp (-\alpha h)) E\left(S_{\tau_{+}}\right)}= & \frac{1}{(1-\exp (-\alpha h))} \exp \left\{\frac { - 1 } { 2 \pi } \int _ { 0 } ^ { 2 \pi } d t \left[\frac{\xi(t / h) \exp (-\alpha h-i t)}{1-\exp (-\alpha h-i t)}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\frac{\xi(t / h)+\log (\mu(1-\exp (i t)) / h)}{1-\exp (i t)}\right]\right\} \tag{1.5}
\end{align*}
$$

(1.5) has been applied to approximate the tail probability of IBD scores in genetic linkage problems in Tu and Siegmund (1999), the scan statistics for arithmetic cases on genomic sequence alignment in Storey and Siegmund (2001), and the weighted scores of specific patterns on genomic sequence in Chan and Zhang (2007). (1.5) is correct for $\alpha$ not too close to 0 . However, when $\alpha \downarrow 0$, it may break down. In Theorem 1, we rewrite (1.5) and show that the new formula can reproduce (1.4) in Woodroofe (1979) by letting $h \downarrow 0$ in Corollary 1.

Under the alternative hypothesis, where $0<E\left(x_{1}\right)<\infty$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(T)=\frac{b}{\mu}+\frac{E\left(S_{T}-b\right)}{\mu} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In estimating the sample size, $E\left(S_{T}-b\right) / \mu$ can be viewed as a correction term for the overshoot. With the condition $E\left(x_{1}^{2}\right)<\infty$, Siegmund (1985) provided the asymptotic equations for this correction term: for i.i.d. non-arithmetic random variables,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{b \rightarrow \infty} E\left(S_{T}-b\right)=\frac{E\left(S_{\tau_{+}}^{2}\right)}{2 E\left(S_{\tau_{+}}\right)} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for i.i.d. arithmetic random variables,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{b \rightarrow \infty} E\left(S_{T}-b\right)=\frac{E\left(S_{\tau_{+}}^{2}\right)}{2 E\left(S_{\tau_{+}}\right)}-\frac{h}{2} \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

For (1.7), Woodroofe (1979) showed that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{E\left(S_{\tau_{+}}^{2}\right)}{2 E\left(S_{\tau_{+}}\right)}=\frac{E\left(x_{1}^{2}\right)}{4 E\left(x_{1}\right)}+\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{(\Re(\xi(t))+\log (\mu t))}{t^{2}} d t \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this paper, we provide an expression for (1.8) in Theorem 2, and prove that it converges to (1.9) as $h \downarrow 0$.

This paper is organized as follows. The main results are in Section 2 and are illustrated by several examples in Section 3. Results are applied to estimate the overshoot, the waiting time and the number of 'new-high' points, and then compared with simulations. The paper ends with a discussion section. The technical proofs are put in an appendix that is available at (http://www3.stat.sinica.edu.tw /statistica/).

## 2. Main Results

Let $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots$ be arithmetic i.i.d. random variables with span $h, \mu=E\left(x_{1}\right)>$ $0, E\left(x_{1}^{2}\right)<\infty$, and $\tau_{+}=\inf \left\{n: S_{n}>0\right\}$. Let $\phi(t)=E\left(\exp \left(i t x_{1}\right)\right), \xi(t)=$ $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi^{n}(t) / n=-\log (1-\phi(t)), \Re(\xi(t))=-(1 / 2) \log \left((1-\Re(\phi(t)))^{2}+(\Im(\phi(t)))^{2}\right)$, and $\Im(\xi(t))=\tan ^{-1}[\Im(\phi(t)) /(1-\Re(\phi(t)))]$. ( $\quad$ means real part and $\Im$ means imaginary part of complex variables).
Theorem 1. In the given notation,

$$
\begin{align*}
& h \frac{1-E\left(\exp \left(-\alpha S_{\tau_{+}}\right)\right)}{(1-\exp (-\alpha h)) E\left(S_{\tau_{+}}\right)} \\
& \quad=\exp \left\{\frac{-h}{2 \pi} \int_{-\frac{\pi}{h}}^{\frac{\pi}{h}} d t\left[\left(\xi(t)+\log \left(\frac{\mu\left(1-e^{i t h}\right)}{h}\right)\right)\left(\frac{e^{-\alpha h-i h t}}{1-e^{-\alpha h-i h t}}+\frac{1}{1-e^{i h t}}\right)\right]\right\} . \tag{2.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Corollary 1. With the condition $\lim \sup |\phi(t)|<1$, (1.4) in Woodroofe (1979) can be reproduced by taking the limit as $h \downarrow 0$ in (2.1):

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lim _{h \downarrow 0} \frac{-h}{2 \pi} \int_{-\frac{\pi}{h}}^{\frac{\pi}{h}} d t\left[\left(\xi(t)+\log \left(\frac{\mu\left(1-e^{i t h}\right)}{h}\right)\right)\left(\frac{e^{-\alpha h-i h t}}{1-e^{-\alpha h-i h t}}+\frac{1}{1-e^{i h t}}\right)\right] \\
\quad=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left[\frac{\alpha^{2}}{\alpha^{2}+t^{2}} \frac{\Im(\xi(t))-\frac{\pi}{2}}{t}-\frac{\alpha}{\alpha^{2}+t^{2}}(\Re(\xi(t))+\log (\mu t))\right] d t .
\end{gathered}
$$

Theorem 2. One has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{E\left(S_{\tau_{+}}^{2}\right)}{2 E\left(S_{\tau_{+}}\right)}=\frac{E\left(x_{1}^{2}\right)}{4 \mu}+\frac{h}{4}-\frac{h^{2}}{4 \pi} \int_{-\frac{\pi}{h}}^{\frac{\pi}{h}} d t \frac{\left[\Re(\xi(t))+\log \left(\frac{\mu}{h}\right)+\log \left(2\left|\sin \left(\frac{h t}{2}\right)\right|\right)\right]}{\cos (h t)-1} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Corollary 2. With the condition $\lim \sup |\phi(t)|<1$, (1.9) in Woodroofe (1979) can be reproduced by taking the limit as $h \downarrow 0$ in (2.2):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{h \downarrow 0} \frac{h}{4}-\frac{h^{2}}{4 \pi} \int_{-\frac{\pi}{h}}^{\frac{\pi}{h}} d t \frac{\left[\Re(\xi(t))+\log \left(\frac{\mu}{h}\right)+\log \left(2\left|\sin \left(\frac{h t}{2}\right)\right|\right)\right]}{\cos (h t)-1} \\
& \quad=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{(\Re(\xi(t))+\log (\mu t))}{t^{2}} d t
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 3. One has

$$
\begin{align*}
\log \left[\frac{E\left(S_{\tau_{+}}\right)}{h}\right]= & \frac{h}{4 \pi} \int_{-\frac{\pi}{h}}^{\frac{\pi}{h}} d t\left(\Re(\xi(t))+\log \left(\frac{\mu}{h}\right)+\log \left(2\left|\sin \left(\frac{h t}{2}\right)\right|\right)\right) \\
& -\frac{h}{4 \pi} \int_{-\frac{\pi}{h}}^{\frac{\pi}{h}} d t\left(\left[\Im(\xi(t))-\frac{\pi}{2}+\frac{h t}{2}\right] \frac{1}{\tan \left(\frac{h t}{2}\right)}\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

## 3. Examples and Simulations

We give some examples to demonstrate how the theorems work.

### 3.1. Example 1: Bernoulli random variables

Bernoulli random variable with parameter $p>0$ is a place to start because we know that $S_{\tau_{+}}=1$ with probability 1 , which means that (2.1) should output 1, (2.2) should output .5, and (2.3) should output 0 . The characteristic function for a Bernoulli random variable is $\phi(t)=(1-p)+p \exp (i t)$, and $\xi(t)=-\log (1-\phi(t))=-\log \left(p\left(1-e^{i t}\right)\right)$, such that $\xi(t)+\log (\mu(1-$ $\left.\left.e^{i t}\right)\right)=0$ so (2.1) outputs 0 as expected. The real part of $\xi(t)$ is $\Re(\xi(t))=$ $-\log (p)-\log \left[\sqrt{(1-\cos (t))^{2}+\sin ^{2} t}=-\log (p)-\log (2|\sin (t / 2)|)\right.$, and the imaginary part for $\xi(t)$ is $\Im(\xi(t))=\tan ^{-1}(\cot (t / 2))=\pi / 2-t / 2$. Because $E\left(x_{1}\right)=$ $E\left(x_{1}^{2}\right)=p$ and the span $h=1$, the three integrals in (2.2) and (2.3) are 0 with $E\left(S_{\tau_{+}}^{2}\right) / 2 E\left(S_{\tau_{+}}\right)=0.5$ and $\log \left[E\left(S_{\tau_{+}}\right)\right]=0$, as expected.

### 3.2. Example 2: Mixture of Poisson random variables

This example comes from the conditional behavior of a function of a Markov Chain ( Tu and Siegmund (1999)). Let $\left\{x_{i}, i \geq 1\right\}$ be i.i.d. with $x_{1}=3 y_{1}+$ $y_{2}-y_{3}-3 y_{4}$, where the $y_{i}$ 's are i.i.d. Poisson variables with parameters $\lambda_{i}$. We adjust the parameters of the Poisson random variables linearly in such a way that $\lambda_{i}=s p_{i}$, where $\sum_{i=1}^{4} p_{i}=1$, and $0<s<\infty$. When $s \rightarrow 0, S_{\tau_{+}}$will be very similar to $S_{\tau_{+}}^{(r)}$ of a random walk with jump sizes $3,1,-1,-3$, and with probabilities $p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}$ and $p_{4} . S_{\tau_{+}}^{(r)}$ can be solved exactly, and the solution can provide a check.

Table 1. Numerical calculations of $\left[1-E\left(e^{-\alpha S_{\tau_{+}}^{(r)}}\right)\right] /\left(1-e^{-\alpha}\right) E\left(S_{\tau_{+}}^{(r)}\right)$ for the random walk $(3,1,-1,-3)$ with $p_{1}=0.6157, p_{2}=0.0269, p_{3}=0.3304$ and $p_{4}=0.0269$ are shown.

| Calculations of $\frac{1-E\left(e^{-\alpha S_{+}^{(r)}}\right.}{\left(1-e^{-\alpha}\right) E\left(S_{+}^{(r)}\right)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\alpha$ | 1 | 0.1 | 0.01 | 0.001 | 0.0001 | 0.00001 |
| Martingale Method | 0.5571 | 0.9202 | 0.9914 | 0.9991 | 0.9999 | 1.0 |
| Equation (1.5) | 0.5574 | 0.9233 | 1.0232 | 1.3682 | 14.29 | 641.1 |
| Theorem 1 | 0.5571 | 0.9202 | 0.9915 | 0.9992 | 1.0 | 1.0 |

Table 2. The parameters for this table are $\lambda_{i}=p_{i} s$ where $p_{1}=0.6157$, $p_{2}=0.0269, p_{3}=0.3304$ and $p_{4}=0.0269 ; E\left[\left(S_{\tau_{+}}^{(r)}\right)^{2}\right] / 2 E\left[S_{\tau_{+}}^{(r)}\right]$ and $E\left[S_{\tau_{+}}^{(r)}\right]$ are calculated as a check for $s \downarrow 0$.

| Random Walk | Mixture of Poisson |  | $s=0.001$ | $s=0.01$ | $s=0.1$ | $s=1$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\frac{E\left[\left(S_{\tau_{+}}^{(r)}\right)^{2}\right]}{2 E\left[S_{+}^{(r)}\right]}=1.3632$ | $\frac{E\left(S_{\tau_{+}}^{2}\right)}{2 E\left(S_{\tau_{+}}\right)}$ | Theorem 2 | 1.3642 | 1.3726 | 1.4567 | 2.2785 |
| $E\left[S_{\tau_{+}}^{(r)}\right]=2.5387$ | $E\left(S_{\tau_{+}}\right)$ | Theorem 3 | 2.5395 | 2.5468 | 2.6210 | 3.4338 |

Let $Y_{i}=\exp \left(\theta S_{i}^{(r)}\right)$, where $\theta$ is a complex root of the equation $\phi(\theta)=$ $\log E\left(\exp \left(\theta S_{1}^{(r)}\right)\right)=0$. If $p_{1}=0.6157, p_{2}=0.0269, p_{3}=0.3304$ and $p_{4}=0.0269$, we have $\theta=-0.6787+i(1.0351)$. It can be observed that $\left\{Y_{i}, i>0\right\}$ is a Martingale and that $\tau_{+} \equiv \inf \left\{n ; S_{n}^{(r)}>0\right\}=\inf \left\{n ; Y_{n}>\exp (\theta)\right\}$ is a stopping time. The possible values for $S_{\tau_{+}}^{(r)}$ are $\{1,2,3\}$. We apply $E\left(Y_{\tau_{+}}\right)=E\left(Y_{1}\right)=1$ to solve $\pi_{i}=P\left(S_{\tau_{+}}^{(r)}=i\right)$, and then $\left[1-E\left(e_{\tau_{+}}^{-\alpha S^{(r)}}\right)\right] /\left[\left(1-e^{-\alpha}\right) E\left(S_{\tau_{+}}^{(r)}\right)\right], E\left(S_{\tau_{+}}^{(r)}\right)$ and $E\left[\left(S_{\tau_{+}}^{(r)}\right)^{2}\right]$ can be calculated exactly. For this random walk example, we compare (1.5) in Tu and Siegmund (1999) with (2.1) for various $\alpha$ in Table 1. (1.5) breaks down for small $\alpha$, while (2.1) improves substantially on it.

In Table 2, the Theorem 2 and Theorem 3 calculations for $E\left(S_{\tau_{+}}^{2}\right) / 2 E\left(S_{\tau_{+}}\right)$ and $E\left(S_{\tau_{+}}\right)$for the Poisson variables with $\lambda_{i}=s p_{i}$ are shown. In Table 3, various bounds on $\tau_{+}$are set in simulating $E\left(S_{\tau_{+}}^{2}\right) / 2 E\left(S_{\tau_{+}}\right)$to show the efficiency that the theoretical results can provide.

### 3.3. Example 3: A sequential test example

Consider $\left\{x_{i}, i \geq 1\right\}$ to be i.i.d. with

$$
x_{1}=a_{1} y_{1}+a_{2} y_{2}-b_{1} y_{3}-b_{2} y_{4}
$$

where $y_{i}$ are independent Poisson variables with mean $\lambda_{i}$. The mean $\mu=E\left(x_{i}\right)>$ 0 is chosen in such a way that $a_{1}=b_{1}=1, a_{2}=b_{2}=M a_{1}, \lambda_{1}=1.1, \lambda_{3}=1$,

Table 3. The Poisson parameters for this table are those of Table 2. In this simulation, various upper bounds (K) on $\tau_{+}$are set to show the values that $\tau_{+}$acquires to get a reasonable result.

|  | $\frac{E\left[S_{\tau_{+} \wedge K}^{2}\right]}{2 E\left[S_{\tau_{+} \wedge K}\right]}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K | $s=0.001$ | $s=0.01$ | $s=0.1$ | $s=1$ |
| $\infty$ | 1.378 | 1.370 | 1.457 | 2.257 |
| 20 | 2.014 | 2.028 | 1.870 | 2.241 |
| 100 | 2.056 | 1.979 | 1.488 | 2.262 |
| 200 | 1.858 | 1.846 | 1.456 | 2.366 |
| 400 | 1.726 | 1.582 | 1.460 | 2.266 |
| 600 | 1.644 | 1.460 | 1.410 | 2.283 |
| 800 | 1.629 | 1.457 | 1.441 | 2.291 |
| 1000 | 1.514 | 1.413 | 1.467 | 2.272 |
| 1500 | 1.444 | 1.369 | 1.509 | 2.230 |
| 2000 | 1.383 | 1.384 | 1.460 | 2.176 |

$\lambda_{2}=\lambda_{1} / M$, and $\lambda_{4}=\lambda_{3} / M$, where $M$ is a positive integer referring to the ratio between high level and low level. These parameters are designed so that the four terms in the model have roughly equal means. The accumulated sum is $S_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}$. A sequential test stops when $S_{n}$ reaches 15 .

In this example, $T=\inf \left\{n: S_{n} \geq 15\right\}$. Theorem 2 can be applied to estimate $E(T)=E\left(S_{T}\right) / \mu$ by approximating the overshoot part $\left[E\left(S_{T}\right)-b\right]$ as [ $\left.E\left(S_{\tau_{+}}^{2}\right) / 2 E\left(S_{\tau_{+}}\right)-1 / 2\right]$. Theorem 3 can estimate the number of new-high points during the course as $E\left(S_{T}\right) / E\left(S_{\tau_{+}}\right)$. The estimators are summarized in Table 4. In Table 5, the comparisons between the estimators and the simulations are shown for the waiting time, new high points and the overshoot for various M.

## 4. Discussion

The major challenge in calculating the overshoots is to manage the divergent points of the integrated function such that the orders of limit and integral are interchangeable. Complex analysis is applied to find the functions to solve these problems. The Theorem 1 formula for $\left[1-E\left(\exp \left(-\alpha S_{\tau_{+}}\right)\right)\right] /[(1-\exp (-\alpha h))$ $E\left(S_{\tau_{+}}\right)$] is more robust (over $\alpha$ ) than that provided by Tu and Siegmund (1999). Our modification also makes it possible to reproduce the non-arithmetic result (Woodroofe (1979)) by letting $h$ go to 0 .

A concern in the three theorems is that the imaginary part of the log function is not well defined. For example, with $f(t) e^{i 2 \pi}, \log \left(f(t) e^{i 2 \pi}\right)=\log (f(t))+i(2 \pi)$, which means that the $\log$ function is ambiguous at multiples of $i(2 \pi)$. Fortunately, this does not cause problems. The ambiguity of the log functions fall on the imaginary part, and the functions containing the imaginary parts of the log

Table 4. The estimators for overshoot, waiting time and the number of 'new-high' points.

|  | Overshoot | Waiting Time | New High Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Estimator | $\frac{E\left(S_{\tau_{+}}^{2}\right)}{2 E\left(S_{\tau_{+}}\right)}-0.5$ | $\left[b+\frac{E\left(S_{\tau_{+}}^{2}\right)}{2 E\left(S_{\tau_{+}}\right)}-0.5\right] / \mu$ | $\left[b+\frac{E\left(S_{\tau_{+}}^{2}\right)}{2 E\left(S_{\tau_{+}}\right)}-0.5\right] / E\left(S_{\tau_{+}}\right)$ |

Table 5. The performance of the estimators in Table 4.

|  | Overshoot |  | Waiting Time |  | New High Points |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M | Estim. | Simul. | Estim. | Simul. | Estim. | Simul. |
| 5 | 2.0138 | 1.9858 | 85.0692 | 84.7034 | 5.432 | 5.4321 |
| 6 | 2.3317 | 2.3101 | 86.6585 | 86.7464 | 5.1281 | 5.1087 |
| 7 | 2.6579 | 2.6305 | 88.2897 | 88.0976 | 4.8895 | 4.8693 |
| 8 | 2.9917 | 2.9439 | 89.9586 | 89.6466 | 4.6991 | 4.6780 |
| 9 | 3.3323 | 3.3557 | 91.6614 | 90.8089 | 4.5448 | 4.5456 |
| 10 | 3.6790 | 3.7345 | 93.3949 | 92.9253 | 4.4185 | 4.4152 |

functions are all periodic odd functions, which means that the ambiguous part contributes 0 after integration.

The model in Example 3 of Section 3 can be applied to modeling accumulated gain or loss in an investment course or an insurance program. To make the applications of these results to more practical and interesting problems, the assumptions on the random variables $x_{i}$ need to be more flexible. For example, the i.i.d. assumption could be relaxed to one of equal mean and equal variance, or to Markov random variables. The problems become more challenging, but the reward grows.
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