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Abstract. This paper studies the Bahadur asymptotic efficiency of the maximum like-
lihood estimator for the generalized semiparametric model $Y=f(X, \beta)+g(T)+\varepsilon$.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathbf{U}=\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)$ be a sequence of independent, identically distributed (i.i.d.) observations. Bahadur (1960) proposed an asymptotically efficient concept for a consistent estimator based on the rate of its tail probability. It can be stated (under certain regularity conditions) that, for any consistent estimator $T_{n}(\mathbf{U})$,

$$
\liminf _{\zeta \rightarrow 0} \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n \zeta^{2}} \log P_{\beta}\left\{\left|T_{n}(\mathbf{U})-\beta\right| \geq \zeta\right\} \geq-\frac{I(\beta)}{2}
$$

and that the maximum likelihood estimator (MLE) $\beta_{n}$ achieves the lower bound, that is,

$$
\lim _{\zeta \rightarrow 0} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n \zeta^{2}} \log P_{\beta}\left\{\left|\beta_{n}-\beta\right| \geq \zeta\right\}=-\frac{I(\beta)}{2}
$$

where $I(\beta)$ is Fisher's information. In other words, for any consistent estimator $T_{n}, P_{\beta}\left\{\left|T_{n}(\mathbf{U})-\beta\right| \geq \zeta\right\}$ can not tend to zero faster than the exponential rate give by $\exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2} n \zeta^{2} I(\beta)\right\}$, and for MLE $\beta_{n}, P_{\beta}\left\{\left|\beta_{n}-\beta\right| \geq \zeta\right\}$ achieves this optimal exponential rate. The estimator which has reached this rate is called Bahadur asymptotically efficient (BAE). Fu (1973) showed, under regularity conditions which differ partly from Bahadur's, that a large class of consistent estimators $\left\{\beta_{n}^{*}\right\}$ is asymptotically efficient in Bahadur's sense. It also gave a simple and direct method to verify Bahadur's (1967) results. Cheng (1980) proved, under a weaker condition than Bahadur's, that the MLE in single-parameter and multiparameter cases are BAE. Lu (1983) studied the Bahadur efficiency of the MLE
for the linear model. There is considerable literature on BAE for parametric model estimation (see Fu (1982)).

This paper studies the BAE of the MLE for the generalized semiparametric model

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{i}=f\left(X_{i}, \beta\right)+g\left(T_{i}\right)+\varepsilon_{i}, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $X_{i}=\left(x_{i 1}, \ldots, x_{i q}\right), i=1, \ldots, n$, are given row vectors, $\beta$ is a $k \times 1$ vector of an unknown parameter to be estimated, $\varepsilon_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{n}$ are i.i.d. random variables with a common probability density function $\varphi(\cdot)$ with respect to the Lebesgue measure, $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ is an known function defined on $R^{q} \times R^{k}, g$ is an unknown Hölder continuous function of known order $p$ (see Chen (1988)) in $R^{1}, T$ and $\varepsilon$ are independent and $T$ follows a uniform distribution on $[0,1]$.

## 2. Assumptions and Statement of the Main Result

Let $\left\{T_{i}, Y_{i}, i=1, \ldots, n\right\}$ be a sample of size $n$ from the model (1.1). Assume $T_{i}$ and $\varepsilon_{i}$ are independent. Throughout this paper we denote a vector by a boldface letter, a matrix by a calligraphic letter. Define

$$
\begin{gathered}
\varepsilon=\left(\varepsilon_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{n}\right)^{\tau}, \quad f^{\prime}(x, \beta)=\left(\frac{\partial f(x, \beta)}{\partial \beta_{i}}\right)_{k \times 1}, \quad \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{T})=\left(g\left(T_{1}\right), \ldots, g\left(T_{n}\right)\right)^{\tau}, \\
\mathbf{f}^{\prime}(X, \beta)=\left(f^{\prime}\left(x_{1}, \beta\right), \ldots, f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}, \beta\right)\right), \quad \mathcal{R}_{\backslash}^{*}=\mathbf{f}^{\prime}(\mathcal{X}, \beta) \mathbf{f}^{\prime \tau}(\mathcal{X}, \beta) \\
I=I(\varphi)=\int\left(\psi^{\prime}(x)\right)^{2} \varphi(x) d x<\infty, \quad \text { where } \psi(x)=\frac{\varphi^{\prime}(x)}{\varphi(x)} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Definition 1. For $a \in R^{k},\|a\|=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}, \mathcal{B}_{1}=\left(b_{i j}\right)_{n_{1} \times n_{2}},|a|=\max _{1 \leq i \leq k}\left|a_{i}\right|$, $\left|\mathcal{B}_{\infty}\right|^{*}=\max _{\rangle, \mid}\left|L_{>}\right|,\left\|\mathcal{B}_{\infty}\right\|^{*}=\max _{\substack{-\in \mathcal{A} \backslash \epsilon \\\|\dashv\|=\infty}}\left\|\mathcal{B}_{\infty} \dashv\right\|$, where $\|\cdot\|$ denotes $L_{2}$-norm, and $\|\cdot\|^{*}$ matrix norm.

Definition 2. The estimator $\tilde{h}_{n}\left(Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{n}\right)$ of $\beta$ is called a locally uniformly consistent estimator of $\beta$ if for every $\beta_{0} \in R^{k}$, there exists $\delta>0$ such that for each $\zeta>0$

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{\left|\beta-\beta_{0}\right|<\delta} P_{\beta}\left\{\left\|\tilde{h}_{n}-\beta\right\|>\zeta\right\}=0 .
$$

Definition 3. Assume $\mathcal{R}^{*-1}$ exists. The consistent estimator $\tilde{h}_{n}$ of $\beta$ is said to be Bahadur asymptotically efficient, if for each $\beta_{0} \in R^{k}$,

$$
\limsup _{\zeta \rightarrow 0} \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\zeta^{2}}\left\|\mathcal{R}^{*-1}\right\|^{*} \log P_{\beta_{0}}\left\{\left\|\tilde{h}_{n}-\beta_{0}\right\|>\zeta\right\} \leq-I / 2
$$

In the paper, we assume that $\varphi(x)$ is positive and twice differentiable in $R^{1}$, $\lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(x)=\lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \varphi^{\prime}(x)=0$, and $\left\|f^{\prime}(x, \beta)\right\|$ is bounded.

In the following we list the sufficient conditions for our main result.

1. There exist constants $C_{1}, C_{2}>0$ such that $C_{1} \leq \mu_{1} \leq \cdots \leq \mu_{k} \leq C_{2}$, where $\mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{k}$ are eigenvalues of $n^{-1} \mathcal{R}_{\uparrow}^{*}$. Denote $R=C_{2} / C_{1}$.
2. There exist a number $\varsigma>1 / 2$ and a function $H_{\beta}(x)$ satisfying $E\left|H_{\beta}(X)\right|<\infty$ such that $\left\|f^{\prime}\left(x, \beta^{\Delta}\right)-f^{\prime}(x, \beta)\right\| \leq H_{\beta}(x)\left\|\beta^{\Delta}-\beta\right\|^{\text {s }}$.
3. $\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int \sup _{|h| \leq \delta}\left|\psi^{\prime}(y+h)-\psi^{\prime}(y)\right| \varphi(y) d y=0$.
4. There exists $t_{0}>0$ such that

$$
\int \exp \left\{t_{0}|\psi(x)|\right\} \varphi(x) d x<\infty \quad \text { and } \quad \int \exp \left\{t_{0}\left|\psi^{\prime}(x)\right|\right\} \varphi(x) d x<\infty
$$

5. There exist a measurable function $h(x)>0$ and a nondecreasing function $\gamma(t)$ which is positive for $t>0$ and $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \gamma(t)=0$ such that $\int \exp \{h(x)\} \varphi(x) d x$ $<\infty$ and $|\psi(x+t)-\psi(x)| \leq h(x) \gamma(t)$ whenever $|t| \leq\left|t_{0}\right|$.
Throughout this paper, it is understood that the MLE $\beta_{M L}$ of $\beta$ is based on $\left\{Y_{i}=f\left(X_{i}, \beta\right)+\hat{g}_{n}\left(T_{i}\right)+\varepsilon_{i}, i=1, \ldots, n\right\}$, where $\hat{g}_{n}$ is an estimator for $g$ suggested by Chen (1988) which satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|g\left(T_{i}\right)-\hat{g}_{n}\left(T_{i}\right)\right| \leq B_{2} M_{n}^{-p}, \quad i=1, \ldots, n \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

6. The MLE $\beta_{M L}$ exists, and for each $\delta>0, \beta_{0} \in R^{k}$, there exist constants $K=K\left(\delta, \beta_{0}\right)$ and $\rho=\rho\left(\delta, \beta_{0}\right)>0$, such that

$$
P_{\beta_{0}}\left\{\left|\beta_{M L}-\beta_{0}\right|>\delta\right\} \leq K \exp \left\{-\rho\left\|\mathcal{R}_{\backslash}^{*}\right\|^{*} \delta^{\epsilon}\right\} .
$$

The following theorem gives our main result that $\beta_{M L}$ is a BAE estimator of $\beta$.

Theorem. Suppose conditions $1-3$ hold, $\tilde{h}_{n}$ is a locally uniformly consistent estimator; then for each $\beta_{0} \in R^{k}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{\zeta \rightarrow 0} \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\zeta^{2}} \| \mathcal{R}_{\^{*-1} \|^{*} \log P_{\beta_{0}}\left\{\left\|\tilde{h}_{n}-\beta_{0}\right\|>\zeta\right\} \geq-\frac{I}{2} . . ~ . ~}^{\text {. }} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the conditions 1 to 6 hold, then for each $\beta_{0} \in R^{k}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{\zeta \rightarrow 0} \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\zeta^{2}}\left\|\mathcal{R}_{\backslash}^{*-1}\right\|^{*} \log P_{\beta_{0}}\left\{\left\|\beta_{M L}-\beta_{0}\right\|>\zeta\right\} \leq-\frac{I}{2} . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark. The result (2.3) implies that $\beta_{M L}$ is asymptotically efficient in Bahadur's sense.

## 3. Proof of the Main Result

We first prove the result (2.2). The proof is divided into three steps. First step: get a UMP test $\Phi_{n}^{*}$ whose power is $1 / 2$ for the hypothesis $H_{0}: \beta=\beta_{0} \mathrm{VS}$ $H_{1}: \beta=\beta_{n}$. Second step: by constructing a test $\Phi_{n}(\mathbf{Y})$ corresponding to $\tilde{h}_{n}$, show that the power of the constructed test is larger than $1 / 2$. The last step: by using the Neyman-Pearson Fundamental Lemma, show that $E_{\beta_{0}} \Phi_{n}$, the level of $\Phi_{n}$, is larger than $E_{\beta_{0}} \Phi_{n}^{*}$.
Proof of (2.2). For each $\zeta>0$, set

$$
\beta_{n}=\beta_{0}+\frac{\mathcal{R}_{\backslash}^{*-1} a_{n}}{\left\|\mathcal{R}_{\backslash}^{*-1}\right\|^{*}} \zeta
$$

where $a_{n} \in R^{k}, a_{n}^{\tau} \mathcal{R}_{\backslash}^{*-1} a_{n}=\left\|\mathcal{R}_{\backslash}^{*-1}\right\|^{*}$ and $\left\|a_{n}\right\|=1$. Let $l_{i}=(0, \ldots, 1, \ldots, 0)^{\tau} \in$ $R^{k}$. It is easy to show $\left\|\mathcal{R}_{\backslash}^{*-1}\right\|^{*} \geq a^{\tau} \mathcal{R}_{\backslash}^{*-1} a \geq 1 /\left\|\mathcal{R}_{\|}^{*}\right\|^{*}$, and

$$
\left|\beta_{n}-\beta_{0}\right| \leq\left\|\mathcal{R}_{\backslash}^{*}\right\| \cdot \| \mathcal{R}_{\^{*-\infty} \|^{*} \zeta \leq \mathcal{R} \zeta . ~ . ~}^{\text {. }}
$$

Denote

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_{n}(\mathbf{Y}) & =\prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\varphi\left(y_{i}-f\left(x_{i}, \beta_{n}\right)-g\left(t_{i}\right)\right)}{\varphi\left(y_{i}-f\left(x_{i}, \beta_{0}\right)-g\left(t_{i}\right)\right)} \\
\Delta_{i} & =f\left(x_{i}, \beta_{n}\right)-f\left(x_{i}, \beta_{0}\right), \quad d_{n}=\exp \left\{\frac{I(1+\mu) \zeta^{2}}{\left.2 \| \mathcal{R}_{\backslash^{*-1} \|^{*}}\right\} \quad(\mu>0) .} .\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

By the Neyman-Pearson Fundamental Lemma, there exists a test $\Phi_{n}^{*}(\mathbf{Y})$ such that

$$
E_{\beta_{n}}\left\{\Phi_{n}^{*}(\mathbf{Y})\right\}=\frac{1}{2}
$$

Under the $H_{0}$, we have the following inequality:

$$
E_{\beta_{0}}\left\{\Phi_{n}^{*}(\mathbf{Y})\right\} \geq \int_{\Gamma_{n} \leq d_{n}} \Phi_{n}^{*}(\mathbf{Y}) d P_{n \beta_{0}} \geq \frac{1}{d_{n}}\left[\frac{1}{2}-\int_{\Gamma_{n}(\mathbf{Y})>d_{n}} \Phi_{n}^{*}(\mathbf{Y}) d P_{n \beta_{n}}\right]
$$

If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{\beta_{n}}\left\{\Gamma_{n}(\mathbf{Y})>d_{n}\right\} \leq \frac{1}{4}, \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

then for $n$ large enough,

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\beta_{0}}\left\{\Phi_{n}^{*}(\mathbf{Y})\right\} \geq \frac{1}{4 d_{n}} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define

$$
\Phi_{n}(Y)= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if }\left|a_{n}^{\tau}\left(\tilde{h}_{n}-\beta_{0}\right)\right| \geq \lambda^{\prime} \zeta  \tag{3.3}\\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

where $\lambda^{\prime} \in(0,1)$. Since $a_{n}^{\tau}\left(\beta_{n}-\beta_{0}\right)=\zeta$ and $\tilde{h}_{n}$ is a locally uniformly consistent estimator, we have

$$
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} E_{\beta_{n}}\left\{\Phi_{n}(\mathbf{Y})\right\} \geq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{\beta_{n}}\left\{\left\|\tilde{h}_{n}-\beta_{n}\right\| \leq\left(1-\lambda^{\prime}\right) \zeta\right\}=1
$$

We have from Neyman-Pearson Fundamental Lemma that, for $n$ large enough,

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\beta_{0}}\left\{\Phi_{n}(\mathbf{Y})\right\} \geq E_{\beta_{0}}\left\{\Phi_{n}^{*}(\mathbf{Y})\right\} . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (3.2), (3.3) and (3.4) that

$$
P_{\beta_{0}}\left\{\left\|\tilde{h}_{n}-\beta_{0}\right\| \geq \lambda^{\prime} \zeta\right\} \geq P_{\beta_{0}}\left\{\left|a_{n}^{\tau}\left(\tilde{h}_{n}-\beta_{0}\right)\right| \geq \lambda^{\prime} \zeta\right\}=E_{\beta_{0}}\left\{\Phi_{n}(\mathbf{Y})\right\} \geq \frac{1}{4 d_{n}}
$$

By letting $\mu \rightarrow 0$ and $\lambda^{\prime} \rightarrow 1$, this would completes the proof of (2.2) if (3.1) is proved.

Now we return to prove the inequality (3.1). Let $\Delta_{i}=f\left(X_{i}, \beta_{n}\right)-f\left(X_{i}, \beta_{0}\right)=$ $f^{\prime}\left(X_{i}, \tilde{\beta}_{n}\right) \cdot\left(\beta_{n}-\beta\right)$; then by condition 2 , we know that $\left\|\Delta_{i}\right\| \leq R C \zeta$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Delta_{i}{ }^{2} \leq \frac{2 a_{n}^{\tau} \mathcal{R}_{\backslash}^{*-1} a_{n}}{\left\|\mathcal{R}_{\backslash}^{*-1}\right\|^{*}} \zeta^{2}=\frac{2 \zeta^{2}}{\left\|\mathcal{R}_{\backslash}^{*-1}\right\|^{*}} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to the Taylor expansion, for $\zeta$ sufficiently small,

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \log \frac{\varphi\left(Y_{i}\right)}{\varphi\left(Y_{i}+\Delta_{i}\right)}=-\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\{\psi\left(Y_{i}\right) \Delta_{i}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\psi^{\prime}\left(Y_{i}\right)+R_{i}\left(Y_{i}\right)\right) \Delta_{i}^{2}\right\}
$$

where $R_{i}\left(Y_{i}\right)=\psi^{\prime}\left(Y_{i}+\theta_{i} \Delta_{i}\right)-\psi^{\prime}\left(Y_{i}\right), 0<\theta_{i}<1$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{\beta_{n}}\left\{\Gamma_{n}(Y)>d_{n}\right\}=P_{0}\left\{\prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\varphi\left(Y_{i}\right)}{\varphi\left(Y_{i}+\Delta_{i}\right)}>d_{n}\right\} \\
= & P_{0}\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \log \frac{\varphi\left(Y_{i}\right)}{\varphi\left(Y_{i}+\Delta_{i}\right)}>\frac{I(1+\mu) \zeta^{2}}{2\left\|\mathcal{R}_{\backslash}^{*-1}\right\|^{*}}\right\} \\
\leq & P_{0}\left\{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} I(\varphi) \Delta_{i}^{2}>\frac{I(1+\mu / 2) \zeta^{2}}{2\left\|\mathcal{R}_{\backslash}^{*-1}\right\|^{*}}\right\}+P_{0}\left\{-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \psi\left(Y_{i}\right) \Delta_{i}>\frac{I \mu \zeta^{2}}{12\left\|\mathcal{R}_{\backslash}^{*-1}\right\|^{*}}\right\} \\
& +P_{0}\left\{-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{2}\left[\psi^{\prime}\left(Y_{i}\right)+I(\varphi)\right] \Delta_{i}^{2}>\frac{I \mu \zeta^{2}}{12\left\|\mathcal{R}_{\backslash}^{*-1}\right\|^{*}}\right\} \\
& +P_{0}\left\{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} R_{i}\left(Y_{i}\right) \Delta_{i}^{2}>\frac{I \mu \zeta^{2}}{12\left\|\mathcal{R}_{\backslash}^{*-1}\right\|^{*}}\right\} \\
= & P_{1}+P_{2}+P_{3}+P_{4}, \quad \text { say. }
\end{aligned}
$$

We now estimate the four probabilities $P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}$ and $P_{4}$, respectively.

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{1}=P_{0}\left\{I(\varphi) \frac{1}{\left\|\mathcal{R}_{\backslash}^{*-1}\right\|^{*}}>\frac{I(1+\mu / 2)}{\left\|\mathcal{R}_{\backslash}^{*-1}\right\|^{*}}\right\}=0 \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from the Tchebichev inequality, condition 1 and (3.5) that

$$
\begin{gathered}
P_{2}=P_{\mathbf{T}}\left[P_{0}\left\{\left.-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \psi\left(Y_{i}\right) \Delta_{i}>\frac{I \mu \zeta^{2}}{12\left\|\mathcal{R}_{\backslash}^{*-1}\right\|^{*}} \right\rvert\, \mathbf{T}\right\}\right] \\
\leq P_{\mathbf{T}}\left[\frac{144\left\|\mathcal{R}_{\backslash}^{*-1}\right\|^{*}}{I \mu^{2} \zeta^{2}}\right] \rightarrow 0, \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty, \\
P_{3} \leq P_{\mathbf{T}}\left[\left(\frac{6\left\|\mathcal{R}_{\backslash}^{*-1}\right\|^{*}}{I \mu \zeta^{2}}\right)^{2}(C R \zeta)^{2} \frac{\zeta^{2}}{\left\|\mathcal{R}_{\backslash}^{*-1}\right\|^{*}} E_{0}\left(\psi^{\prime}\left(Y_{1}\right)+I(\varphi)\right)^{2}\right] \rightarrow 0, \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty, \\
P_{4} \leq P_{\mathbf{T}}\left[\frac{6\left\|\mathcal{R}_{\backslash}^{*-1}\right\|^{*}}{I \mu \zeta^{2}} \cdot \frac{1}{\left\|\mathcal{R}_{\backslash}^{*-1}\right\|^{*}} \zeta^{2} E_{0}\left\{\max _{1 \leq i \leq n} \mid R_{i}\left(Y_{i}\right) \| \mathbf{T}\right\}\right] .
\end{gathered}
$$

From condition 3 , and for $\zeta$ be sufficiently small such that $\left|\Delta_{i}\right|<\delta$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{0}\left\{\max _{1 \leq i \leq n}\left|R_{i}\left(Y_{i}\right)\right| \mid \mathbf{T}\right\} \leq \int \sup _{|h|<\delta}\left|\psi^{\prime}(y+h)-\psi^{\prime}(y)\right| \varphi(y) d y \leq \frac{\mu}{24} . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining the results (3.6) to (3.7), we have completed the proof of (3.1). The proof of (2.2) is now complete.

Now, we outline our proof of the result (2.3). First, by using the Taylor expansion and condition 2, we get the expansion of the projection, $a^{\tau}\left(\beta_{M L}-\beta\right)$, of $\beta_{M L}-\beta$ on the unit sphere $\|a\|=1$. Second we decompose $P_{\beta_{0}}\left\{\left|a^{\tau}\left(\beta_{M L}-\beta_{0}\right)\right|>\right.$ $\zeta\}$ into five terms. Last step, we calculate, carefully, the value of each term.

It follows from the definition of $\beta_{M L}$, the Taylor expansion and condition 2 that

$$
\begin{aligned}
a^{\tau}\left(\beta_{M L}-\beta\right)= & -\left((\mathcal{F I})^{-1}+\tilde{W}\right)\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} \psi\left(Y_{i}-f\left(X_{i}, \beta\right)-g\left(T_{i}\right)\right) a^{\tau} \mathcal{R}_{\backslash}^{*-1}\right] \\
& -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \psi^{\prime}\left(Y_{i}-f\left(X_{i}, \beta\right)^{*}-g^{*}\left(T_{i}\right)\right) a^{\tau} \mathcal{R}^{*-1} f^{\prime}\left(X_{i}, \beta\right)\left(\hat{g}_{n}\left(T_{i}\right)-g\left(T_{i}\right)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $f\left(X_{i}, \beta\right)^{*}$ lies between $f\left(X_{i}, \beta_{M L}\right)$ and $f\left(X_{i}, \beta\right)$, and $g^{*}\left(T_{i}\right)$ lies between $g\left(T_{i}\right)$ and $\hat{g}_{n}\left(T_{i}\right)$. Denote

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{i}\left(Y_{i}, X_{i}, T_{i}\right)=\psi^{\prime}\left(Y_{i}-f\left(X_{i}, \beta\right)^{*}-g^{*}\left(T_{i}\right)\right)-\psi^{\prime}\left(Y_{i}-f\left(X_{i}, \beta\right)-g\left(T_{i}\right)\right), \\
& R_{1}^{*}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[I+\psi^{\prime}\left(Y_{i}-f\left(X_{i}, \beta\right)-g\left(T_{i}\right)\right)\right] \mathcal{R}_{\backslash}^{*-1} f^{\prime}\left(X_{i}, \beta\right) f^{\prime \tau}\left(X_{i}, \beta\right), \\
& R_{2}^{*}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} R_{i}\left(Y_{i}, X_{i}, T_{i}\right) \mathcal{R}_{\backslash}^{*-1} f^{\prime}\left(X_{i}, \beta\right) f^{\prime \tau}\left(X_{i}, \beta\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\alpha$ be sufficiently small such that $\operatorname{det}\left(-\mathcal{F I}+\mathcal{R}_{\infty}^{*}+\mathcal{R}_{\in}^{*}\right) \neq \prime$ when $\mid R_{1}^{*}+$ $R_{2}^{*} \mid<\alpha$. Hence $\left(-\mathcal{F I}+R_{1}^{*}+R_{2}^{*}\right)^{-1}$ exists, and we denote it by $-\left((\mathcal{F I})^{-1}+\tilde{W}\right)$. Moreover, according to continuity, there is a nondecreasing function $\eta(\alpha)>0$ such that $|\tilde{W}|<\eta(\alpha)$ and $\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \eta(\alpha)=0$ when $\left|R_{1}^{*}+R_{2}^{*}\right|<\alpha$. Hence, we have, for every $0<\lambda<1 / 4, a \in R^{k}$ and $\|a\|=1$, that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{\beta_{0}}\left\{\left|a^{\tau}\left(\beta_{M L}-\beta_{0}\right)\right|>\zeta\right\} \\
\leq & P_{\beta_{0}}\left\{\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \psi\left(Y_{i}-f\left(X_{i}, \beta_{0}\right)-g\left(T_{i}\right)\right) a^{\tau} \mathcal{R}_{\backslash}^{*-1} f^{\prime}\left(X_{i}, \beta\right)\right|>(1-2 \lambda) I \zeta\right\} \\
& +P_{\beta_{0}}\left\{\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} a^{\tau} \psi\left(Y_{i}-f\left(X_{i}, \beta_{0}\right)-g\left(T_{i}\right)\right) \mathcal{R}_{\backslash}^{*-1} f^{\prime}\left(X_{i}, \beta\right)\right|>\frac{\lambda \zeta}{\eta(2 \alpha)}\right\} \\
& +P_{\beta_{0}}\left\{\left|R_{1}^{*}\right|>\alpha\right\}+P_{\beta_{0}}\left\{\left|R_{2}^{*}\right|>\alpha\right\} \\
& +P_{\beta_{0}}\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\hat{g}_{n}\left(T_{i}\right)-g\left(T_{i}\right) \| \psi^{\prime}\left(Y_{i}-f\left(X_{i}, \beta\right)^{*}-g^{*}\left(T_{i}\right)\right) a^{\tau} \mathcal{R}_{\backslash}^{*-1} f^{\prime}\left(X_{i}, \beta\right)\right|>\lambda \zeta\right\} \\
= & P_{1}+P_{2}+P_{3}+P_{4}+P_{5}, \text { say. }
\end{aligned}
$$

In the following we use Lemma 1 of Lu (1983) to calculate each term above. We only calculate the probability $P_{4}$, since the probabilities $P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}, P_{5}$ are obtained similarly.

It follows from condition 4 that,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|R_{i}\left(Y_{i}, X_{i}, T_{i}\right)\right|=\mid \psi^{\prime}\left(Y_{i}-f\left(X_{i}, \beta\right)^{*}-g^{*}\left(T_{i}\right)-\psi^{\prime}\left(Y_{i}-f\left(X_{i}, \beta_{0}\right)-g\left(T_{i}\right)\right) \mid\right. \\
\leq & h\left(Y_{i}-f\left(X_{i}, \beta_{0}\right)-g\left(T_{i}\right)\right) \gamma\left(f^{\prime}\left(X_{i}, \beta\right)\left(\beta_{M L}-\beta_{0}\right)-\left(g^{*}\left(T_{i}\right)-g\left(T_{i}\right)\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Denote $h_{0}=E_{\beta_{0}} h$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{4} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{k} \sum_{s=1}^{k} P_{\beta_{0}}\left\{\mid \sum_{i=1}^{n} h\left(Y_{i}-f\left(X_{i}, \beta_{0}\right)-g\left(T_{i}\right)\right) \gamma\left(f^{\prime}\left(X_{i}, \beta\right)\left(\beta_{M L}-\beta_{0}\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\quad-\left(g^{*}\left(T_{i}\right)-g\left(T_{i}\right)\right)\right) l_{j}^{\tau} \mathcal{R}_{\backslash}^{*-1} f^{\prime}\left(X_{i}, \beta\right) f^{\prime \tau}\left(X_{i}, \beta\right) l_{s} \mid \geq \alpha\right\} \\
& \leq \sum_{j=1}^{k} \sum_{s=1}^{k}\left[P_{\beta_{0}}\left\{\bigcup_{i=1}^{n}\left|\hat{g}_{n}\left(T_{i}\right)-g\left(T_{i}\right)\right|>\delta\right\}+P_{\beta_{0}}\left\{\left|\beta_{M L}-\beta_{0}\right| \geq \delta\right\}\right. \\
& \\
& +P_{\beta_{0}}\left\{\mid \sum_{i=1}^{n} h\left(Y_{i}-f\left(X_{i}, \beta_{0}\right)-g\left(T_{i}\right)\right) \gamma(C \delta+\delta) l_{j}^{\tau} \mathcal{R}_{\backslash}^{*-1}\right. \\
& \left.\left.\quad f^{\prime}\left(X_{i}, \beta\right) f^{\prime \tau}\left(X_{i}, \beta\right) l_{s} \mid \geq \alpha\right\}\right] \\
& = \\
& P_{4}^{(1)}+P_{4}^{(2)}+P_{4}^{(3)}, \quad \text { say. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\zeta \leq\left(2 \rho /\left((1-2 \lambda)^{2} I\right)\right)^{1 / 2}$. It follows from condition 5 and $\left|a^{\tau} \mathcal{R}^{*-1} a\right| \geq$ $1 /\left\|\mathcal{R}^{*}\right\|^{*}$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho\left(\delta, \beta_{0}\right)\left\|\mathcal{R}_{\backslash}^{*}\right\|^{*} \geq \frac{(1-2 \lambda)^{2} I}{2 a^{\tau} \mathcal{R}_{\backslash}^{*-1} a} \zeta^{2} \text { and } P_{4}^{(2)} \leq K\left(\delta, \beta_{0}\right) \exp \left\{-\frac{(1-2 \lambda)^{2} I}{2 a^{\tau} \mathcal{R}_{\backslash}^{*-1} a} \zeta^{2}\right\} . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\sigma_{h}^{2}=E_{\beta_{0}}\left[h\left(Y_{i}-f\left(X_{i}, \beta_{0}\right)-g\left(T_{i}\right)\right)-h_{0}\right]^{2}$; it follows from Lemma 1 of Lu (1983) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{4}^{(3)} \leq & P_{\beta_{0}}\left\{\mid \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[h\left(Y_{i}-f\left(X_{i}, \beta_{0}\right)-g\left(T_{i}\right)\right)-h_{0}\right]\right. \\
& \left.l_{j}^{\tau} \mathcal{R}^{*-1} f^{\prime}\left(X_{i}, \beta\right) f^{\prime \tau}\left(X_{i}, \beta\right) l_{s} \left\lvert\, \geq \frac{\alpha}{2 \gamma(C \delta+\delta)}\right.\right\} \\
\leq & 2 \exp \left\{-\left(\frac{\alpha}{2 \gamma(C \delta+\delta)}\right)^{2} /\left(2 C^{2} R \sigma_{h}^{2} a^{\tau} \mathcal{R}_{\backslash}^{*-1} a\right)\left(1+O_{4}\left(\frac{\alpha}{2 \gamma(C \delta+\delta)}\right)\right)\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\left|O_{4}(\alpha /(2 \gamma(C \delta+\delta)))\right| \leq B_{4} \alpha / 2 \gamma(C \delta+\delta), B_{4}$ depends on $h\left(\varepsilon_{1}\right)$ only but not $n$. Further, for $\zeta$ small enough,

$$
P_{4}^{(3)} \leq 2 \exp \left\{-\frac{(1-2 \lambda)^{2} I \zeta^{2}}{2 a^{\tau} \mathcal{R}_{\backslash}^{*-1} a}\right\} .
$$

From (2.1) we know that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{4}^{(1)}=P_{\beta_{0}}\left\{\bigcup_{i=1}^{n}\left|\left(\hat{g}_{n}\left(T_{i}\right)-g\left(T_{i}\right)\right)\right|>\delta\right\}=0 . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining the results of (3.8) to (3.9), we have

$$
P_{4} \leq(2+K) \exp \left\{-\frac{(1-2 \lambda)^{2} I \zeta^{2}}{2 a^{\tau} \mathcal{R}_{\backslash}^{*-1} a}\right\}
$$

This implies that we have proved
$P_{\beta_{0}}\left\{\left|a^{\tau}\left(\beta_{M L}-\beta_{0}\right)\right|>\zeta\right\} \leq\left(K k^{2}+K+4 k^{2}+2 k\right) \exp \left\{-\frac{(1-2 \lambda)^{2} I \zeta^{2}}{2 a^{\tau} \mathcal{R}_{\backslash}^{*-1} a}(1+O(\zeta))\right\}$.
$O(\zeta)=\min \left\{O_{1}(\zeta), O_{2}(\zeta), O_{3}(\zeta), O_{4}(\zeta), O_{5}(\zeta)\right\},|O(\zeta)| \leq \operatorname{CRB}\left(\eta^{-1}(2 \alpha)+1\right)$.
Hence

$$
\limsup _{\zeta \rightarrow 0} \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a^{\tau} \mathcal{R}^{*-1} a}{\zeta^{2}} \log P_{\beta_{0}}\left\{\left|a^{\tau}\left(\beta_{M L}-\beta_{0}\right)\right|>\zeta\right\} \leq-\frac{(1-2 \lambda)^{2} I}{2} .
$$

Since $a$ is arbitrary, the result (2.3) follows from $\lambda \rightarrow 0$. This completes the proof of our main result (2.3).
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