

**SEMPARAMETRIC ESTIMATION OF A SELF-EXCITING  
REGRESSION MODEL WITH AN APPLICATION  
IN RECURRENT EVENT DATA ANALYSIS**

Fangfang Bai, Feng Chen, and Kani Chen

*University of International Business and Economics,  
University of New South Wales, and  
Hong Kong University of Science and Technology*

*Supplementary Material:* This supplementary file contains the proofs of the theoretical results in Section 3 of the paper.

**S.1: Two technical lemmas** Let  $\|a\|$  denote the Euclidean norm for a vector  $a$  and  $\|f\|_\infty$  the supremum norm for a function  $f$ . Let  $\xrightarrow{P}$  denote convergence in probability. Furthermore, we use  $\lesssim$  to indicate that the function on its left-hand side is bounded by a positive constant times the the function on its right-hand side. For any  $\theta_1 = (\beta_1^\top, g_1)^\top \in \Theta$  and  $\theta_2 = (\beta_2^\top, g_2)^\top \in \Theta$ , define a semi-metric  $\rho(\theta_1, \theta_2)$  by,

$$\rho(\theta_1, \theta_2) = \|\beta_1 - \beta_2\| + \int_0^\tau |g_1(t) - g_2(t)| dt.$$

Let  $N_{[\cdot]}(\epsilon, \mathcal{F}, \rho)$  and  $N(\epsilon, \mathcal{F}, \rho)$  be the bracketing number and covering number with respect to  $\rho(\cdot, \cdot)$  of a function class  $\mathcal{F}$ , which is defined, e.g. in van der Vaart and Wellner (1996); van der Vaart (1998).

**Lemma 1.** *Assume  $\mathcal{F}$  is the set of all monotone polynomial splines with order  $d$  and is a  $q$ -dimensional linear space. Then for any  $\eta > 0$  and  $\epsilon < \eta$ ,*

$$\log N_{[\cdot]}(\epsilon, \mathcal{F}, \rho) \lesssim q \log\left(\frac{\eta}{\epsilon}\right).$$

*Proof.* See Lemma A1 of Lu et al. (2009). □

**Lemma 2.** *Suppose  $f$  is a monotone nonincreasing function with bounded  $r$ -th derivative. Then there exists a monotone nonincreasing spline function  $f_n$  with order  $d \geq r + 1$*

and knot sequence  $0 = \xi_1 = \dots = \xi_d < \xi_{d+1} < \dots < \xi_{\kappa_n} < \xi_{\kappa_n+1} = \dots = \xi_{\kappa_n+d} = \tau$ , such that

$$\|f - f_n\| = O(\kappa_n^{-r}),$$

*Proof.* Similar to Lemma A1 of Lu et al. (2007).  $\square$

**S.2: Proof of Theorem 1** First, we show that

$$\sup_{\theta \in \Theta} |\ell_n(\theta) - E\ell(\theta, W)| \xrightarrow{P} 0.$$

Define a function class  $\mathcal{G} = \{g(t) : g(t) \text{ is a nonincreasing and bounded function}\}$ . By Theorem 2.7.5 in van der Vaart and Wellner (1996), we have

$$\log N_{[]}(\epsilon, \mathcal{G}, \rho_1) \lesssim \frac{1}{\epsilon}, \quad (\text{S2.1})$$

where  $\rho_1(g_1, g_2) = \int_0^\tau |g_1(s) - g_2(s)| ds$ , for any  $g_1, g_2 \in \mathcal{G}$ . Define two function classes

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_1 &= \{\ell(\beta, g, W); \beta \in \mathcal{B}, \text{ for any fixed } g \in \mathcal{G}\}, \\ \mathcal{F}_2 &= \{\ell(\beta, g, W); g \in \mathcal{G}, \text{ for any fixed } \beta \in \mathcal{B}\}. \end{aligned}$$

By conditions C2 and C3, both  $\mathcal{F}_1$  and  $\mathcal{F}_2$  have integrable envelope functions. Since for any fixed  $g$ ,  $\ell(\beta, g)$  is Lipschitz with respect to  $\beta$ , we have  $N_{[]}(\epsilon, \mathcal{F}_1, \|\cdot\|) \lesssim (\frac{1}{\epsilon})^p$ . Similarly, together with (S2.1), we have  $\log N_{[]}(\epsilon, \mathcal{F}_2, \rho_1) \lesssim \frac{1}{\epsilon}$ .

Hence, for the function class  $\mathcal{F}^* = \{\ell(\theta, W) : \theta \in \Theta\}$ , the bracketing number satisfies  $\log N_{[]}(\epsilon, \mathcal{F}^*, \rho) \lesssim \frac{1}{\epsilon}$ . By the Glivenko-Cantelli theorem, we have

$$\sup_{\theta \in \Theta} |\ell_n(\theta) - E\ell(\theta, W)| \xrightarrow{P} 0. \quad (\text{S2.2})$$

Since  $g_0 \in \mathcal{F}_r$ , by Lemma 2 there exists a  $g_{0n} \in \mathcal{F}_r^n$  such that  $\sup_{t \in [0, \tau]} |g_0(t) - g_{0n}(t)| = O(\kappa_n^{-r})$ . Let  $\theta_{0n} = (\beta_0^\top, g_{0n})^\top$ . Then clearly,

$$\rho(\theta_0, \theta_{0n}) \longrightarrow 0. \quad (\text{S2.3})$$

Note that  $\Theta_n \subset \Theta$ , by (S2.2), we obtain

$$\sup_{\theta \in \Theta_n} |\ell_n(\theta) - E\ell(\theta, W)| \xrightarrow{P} 0. \quad (\text{S2.4})$$

Clearly,  $\Theta_n$  is compact with respect to  $\rho(\cdot, \cdot)$ , and  $\ell_n(\theta)$  is continuous in  $\theta \in \Theta_n$ . Since  $\theta_0$  is the unique maximizer of  $E\ell(\theta, W)$  on  $\Theta$ , we have that  $\theta_{0n}$  is the unique maximizer of  $E\ell(\theta, W)$  on  $\Theta_n$ . These facts and (S2.4) yield

$$\rho(\widehat{\theta}_n, \theta_{0n}) \xrightarrow{P} 0. \quad (\text{S2.5})$$

Finally, combining (S2.3) and (S2.5), we have  $\rho(\widehat{\theta}_n, \theta_0) \xrightarrow{P} 0$ .  $\square$

**S.3: Proof of Theorem 2** Let  $g_{0n} = \arg \min_{g \in \mathcal{F}_r^n} \|g_0 - g\|_\infty$  and  $\theta_{0n} = (\beta_0^\top, g_{0n})^\top$ . Then by Lemma 2, we have  $\|g_0 - g_{0n}\| = O(\kappa_n^{-r})$ , and therefore

$$\rho(\theta_{0n}, \theta_0) = \rho_1(g_0, g_{0n}) = O(\kappa_n^{-r}). \quad (\text{S3.1})$$

We next show that  $\rho(\widehat{\theta}_n, \theta_{0n}) = O_p((\frac{\kappa_n}{n})^{\frac{1}{2}})$ . Let  $\delta$  be a fixed positive constant. Consider a class of functions

$$\mathcal{M}_{n,\delta} = \{\ell(\theta, W) - \ell(\theta_{0n}, W); \rho(\theta, \theta_{0n}) \leq \delta, \theta \in \Theta_n\}.$$

Define two function classes:

$$\mathcal{M}_1 = \{\ell(\beta, g_{0n}, W) - \ell(\beta_0, g_{0n}, W); \|\beta - \beta_0\| \leq \delta, \beta \in \mathcal{B}\},$$

$$\mathcal{M}_2 = \{\ell(\beta_0, g, W) - \ell(\beta_0, g_{0n}, W); \int_0^\tau |g(t) - g_{0n}(t)| dt \leq \delta, g \in \mathcal{F}_r^n\}.$$

Because  $\ell(\beta, g, W)$  is Lipschitz with respect to  $\beta$ , the bracketing number of the function class  $\mathcal{M}_1$  with any fixed  $g_{0n}$  satisfies  $N_{[\cdot]}(\varepsilon, \mathcal{M}_1, \|\cdot\|) \lesssim (\frac{\delta}{\varepsilon})^p$ . Similarly, with any fixed  $\beta \in \mathcal{B}$ , for the function class  $\mathcal{M}_2$  we have  $N_{[\cdot]}(\varepsilon, \mathcal{M}_2, \rho_1) \lesssim (\frac{\delta}{\varepsilon})^{\kappa_n}$ . Then it follows that

$$N_{[\cdot]}(\varepsilon, \mathcal{M}_{n,\delta}, \rho) \leq N_{[\cdot]}(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \mathcal{M}_1, \|\cdot\|) N_{[\cdot]}(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \mathcal{M}_2, \rho_1).$$

Therefore, the entropy of the function class  $\mathcal{M}_{n,\delta}$  satisfies

$$\log N_{[\cdot]}(\varepsilon, \mathcal{M}_{n,\delta}, \rho) \lesssim \kappa_n \log \left(\frac{\delta}{\varepsilon}\right).$$

Hence, the bracketing integral  $J_{[\cdot]}(\delta, \mathcal{M}_{n,\delta}, \rho)$  (defined e.g. in van der Vaart and Wellner, 1996, p. 324) of the function class  $\mathcal{M}_{n,\delta}$  satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} J_{[\cdot]}(\delta, \mathcal{M}_{n,\delta}, \rho) &= \int_0^\delta [1 + \log N_{[\cdot]}(\varepsilon, \mathcal{M}_{n,\delta}, \rho)]^{1/2} d\varepsilon \\ &\leq \int_0^\delta [1 + A\kappa_n \log \frac{\delta}{\varepsilon}]^{1/2} d\varepsilon \\ &\lesssim \kappa_n^{1/2} \delta. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.4.2 in van der Vaart and Wellner (1996), we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
& E\left(\sup_{\frac{\delta}{2} < \rho(\theta, \theta_{0n}) \leq \delta} |(\ell_n(\theta) - \ell_n(\theta_{0n})) - E(\ell_n(\theta) - \ell_n(\theta_{0n}))|\right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} J_{[\cdot]}(\delta, \mathcal{M}_{n,\delta}, \rho) \left(1 + \frac{J_{[\cdot]}(\delta, \mathcal{M}_{n,\delta}, \rho)}{\delta^2 \sqrt{n}} A_3\right) \\
& \lesssim \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \kappa_n^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta (1 + \kappa_n^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta / \delta^2 \sqrt{n} A_3) \\
& = (\kappa_n/n)^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta (1 + (\kappa_n/n)^{\frac{1}{2}} / \delta A_3) = O\left(\left(\frac{\kappa_n}{n}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Also note by Taylor's expansion that,

$$\sup_{\delta/2 < \rho(\theta, \theta_{0n}) \leq \delta, \theta \in \Theta_n} E(\ell(\theta, W)) - E(\ell(\theta_{0n}, W)) \lesssim -\delta^2.$$

Now, apply Theorem 3.4.1 in van der Vaart and Wellner (1996) with  $\phi_n(\delta) = \delta \cdot \kappa_n^{1/2}$ ,  $\delta_n \equiv 0$  and  $r_n = (n/\kappa_n)^{1/2}$ , and we have

$$\rho(\widehat{\theta}_n, \theta_{0n}) = O\left(\left(\frac{\kappa_n}{n}\right)^{1/2}\right).$$

This, together with (S3.1), yields that  $\rho(\widehat{\theta}_n, \theta_0) = O_p\left(\left(\frac{\kappa_n}{n}\right)^{1/2} + \kappa_n^{-r}\right)$ , which concludes the proof of Theorem 2.  $\square$

**S.4: Proof of Theorem 3** The proof of the asymptotic normality proceeds as follows. The least-favorable direction for  $\beta$  is first obtained, and then we use a Taylor expansion for the score function of  $\beta$  and  $g$  along an approximately least-favorable direction.

From the expression of the loglikelihood (eq. (2.4) in the paper), the score function for  $\beta$  and the score operator for  $g$  are respectively,

$$\begin{aligned}
\ell_\beta &= -XC + \int_0^C \frac{X}{X^\top \beta + \int_0^t g(t-s) dN(s)} dN(t) \\
\ell_g[h] &= -\int_0^C \int_0^t h(t-s) dN(s) dt + \int_0^C \frac{\int_0^t h(t-s) dN(s)}{X^\top \beta + \int_0^t g(t-s) dN(s)} dN(t).
\end{aligned}$$

### Step 1.

For semiparametric models, the least-favorable submodel is the submodel that achieves the infimum of the information over all submodels (Bickel et al., 1993; van der Vaart, 1998). First, we show that the least-favorable submodel exists.

Note that  $\ell_g[\cdot]$  is a linear operator from  $\mathcal{F}_r$  to  $L_2(P_{\theta_0})$ , where  $P_{\theta_0}$  is the true probability distribution of  $W$ , and that the closed linear space spanned by the score functions

for  $g$  is,

$$\left\{ - \int_0^C \int_0^t h(t-s) dN(s) dt + \int_0^C \frac{\int_0^t h(t-s) dN(s)}{X^\top \beta + \int_0^t g(t-s) dN(s)} dN(t); h \in \mathcal{F}_r \right\}.$$

The dual operator  $\ell_g^* : L_2(P_{\theta_0}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_r$ , satisfies that for any  $h \in \mathcal{F}_r$  and measurable function  $u(W)$ ,

$$E[\ell_g[h](W)u(W)] = \int_0^\tau \ell_g^*[u](t)h(t) dt.$$

To find the least-favorable direction for  $\beta$  is equivalent to solve the following equation,

$$\ell_g^*[\ell_g[h]] = \ell_g^*\ell_\beta. \quad (\text{S4.1})$$

Since equation (S4.1) is a Fredholm-type equation, the existence of the solution is equivalent to showing that the equation  $\ell_g^*[\ell_g[h]] = 0$  has a trivial solution. Note that if  $\ell_g^*[\ell_g[h]] = 0$ , then  $E[\ell_g[h]^2] = 0$ , that is  $\ell_g[h] = 0$ . It is clear that  $h = 0$ . Therefore, the least-favorable direction for  $\beta$  exists. Actually, the least-favorable direction for  $\beta$  is the projection of the score function  $\ell_\beta$  on the linear closed space spanned by the score function  $\ell_g[h]$ .

### Step 2.

Denote the least-favorable direction for  $\beta$  as  $h^*(t)$ . We choose an approximate submodel  $(\widehat{\beta}_n + \epsilon b, \widehat{g}_n + \epsilon \widehat{h}_n)$ , where  $\widehat{h}_n$  is the spline approximation for the least-favorable function  $h^*(t) \in \mathcal{F}_r$  (so  $\|\widehat{h}_n(t) - h^*(t)\| = O(\kappa_n^{-r})$ ).

Since the estimator  $(\widehat{\beta}_n, \widehat{g}_n)$  maximizes the log likelihood function along this submodel, then

$$P_n[\ell_\beta(\widehat{\beta}_n, \widehat{g}_n) + \ell_g(\widehat{\beta}_n, \widehat{g}_n)[\widehat{h}_n]] = 0.$$

By the Lipschitz property, the function class

$$\left\{ \ell_\beta(\beta, g) + \ell_g(\beta, g)[h]; \|\beta - \beta_0\| \lesssim \left(\frac{\kappa_n}{n}\right)^{-1/2}, \|g - g_0\| \lesssim \kappa_n^{-r}, \|h - h^*\| \lesssim \kappa_n^{-r} \right\} \quad (\text{S4.2})$$

is a Donsker class. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{\|\beta - \beta_0\| \lesssim \left(\frac{\kappa_n}{n}\right)^{-1/2}, \|g - g_0\| \lesssim \kappa_n^{-r}, \|h - h^*\| \lesssim \kappa_n^{-r}} \left| \sqrt{n}(P_n - P)(\ell_\beta(\beta, g) + \ell_g(\beta, g)[h]) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - [\ell_\beta(\beta_0, g_0) + \ell_g(\beta_0, g_0)[h^*]] \right| = o_p(1). \quad (\text{S4.3}) \end{aligned}$$

Combining (S4.2) and (S4.3), we have that

$$\sqrt{n}P_n(\ell_\beta(\beta_0, g_0) + \ell_g(\beta_0, g_0)[h^*]) + o_p(1) = -\sqrt{n}P(\ell_\beta(\hat{\beta}_n, \hat{g}_n) + \ell_g(\hat{\beta}_n, \hat{g}_n)[\hat{h}_n]).$$

Then, after Taylor expansion of the right hand side of last equation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{n}P_n(\ell_\beta(\beta_0, g_0) + \ell_g(\beta_0, g_0)[h^*]) + o_p(1) &= -\sqrt{n}P(\ell_{\beta\beta}(\beta_0, g_0) + \ell_{\beta g}(\beta_0, g_0)[h^*])(\hat{\beta}_n - \beta_0) \\ &\quad - \sqrt{n}P\{\ell_{\beta g}(\beta_0, g_0)[\hat{g}_n - g_0] + \ell_{gg}(\beta_0, g_0)[h^*, \hat{g}_n - g_0]\} \\ &\quad + \sqrt{n}O(\|\hat{\beta} - \beta_0\|^2 + \|\hat{g}_n - g_0\|^2 + \|\hat{h}_n - h^*\|^2), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S4.4})$$

where  $\ell_{\beta g}(\beta_0, g_0)[\hat{g}_n - g]$  is the derivative of  $\ell_\beta$  along the path  $\beta = \beta_0, g = g_0 + \epsilon(\hat{g}_n - g)$  and  $\ell_{gg}(\beta_0, g_0)[h^*, \hat{g}_n - g_0]$  is the derivative of  $\ell_g[h^*]$  along the path  $\beta = \beta_0, g = g_0 + \epsilon(\hat{g}_n - g)$ . Since  $h^*$  is the least-favorable direction for  $\beta$ , we have that

$$P\{\ell_{\beta g}(\beta_0, g_0)[\hat{g}_n - g] + \ell_{gg}(\beta_0, g_0)[h^*, \hat{g}_n - g_0]\} = 0.$$

Moreover, by the assumed conditions that  $\kappa_n^2/n \rightarrow 0$  and  $n\kappa_n^{-4r} \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , and the convergence rate of  $(\hat{\beta}_n^\top, \hat{g}_n^\top)^\top$ , the third term of the right hand side of (S4.4) is  $o_p(1)$ . Therefore, (S4.4) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{n}P\{\ell_{\beta\beta}(\beta_0, g_0) + \ell_{\beta g}(\beta_0, g_0)[h^*]\}(\hat{\beta}_n - \beta_0) \\ = -\sqrt{n}P_n(\ell_\beta(\beta_0, g_0) + \ell_g(\beta_0, g_0)[h^*]) + o_p(1). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S4.5})$$

### Step 3.

We are going to show that the matrix  $P\{\ell_{\beta\beta}(\beta_0, g_0) + \ell_{\beta g}(\beta_0, g_0)[h^*]\}$  is nonsingular. It suffices to show that for a vector  $a$ , if

$$a^\top P\{\ell_{\beta\beta}(\beta_0, g_0) + \ell_{\beta g}(\beta_0, g_0)[h^*]\}a = 0, \quad (\text{S4.6})$$

then  $a = 0$ . Since  $h^*$  is the least-favorable direction for  $\beta$ , (S4.6) becomes

$$P\left[a^\top \ell_\beta(\beta_0, g_0) + a^\top \ell_g(\beta_0, g_0)[h^*]\right]^2 = 0.$$

Then,  $a^\top \ell_\beta(\beta_0, g_0) + a^\top \ell_g(\beta_0, g_0)[h^*] = 0$ . We can conclude that  $a^\top (X + \int_0^t h^*(t-s) dN(s)) = 0$ . By C4, we have  $a = 0$ . Hence, the derivative matrix is nonsingular.

By equation (S4.5), we have that

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta}_n - \beta_0) = -\left\{P[\ell_{\beta\beta}(\beta_0, g_0) + \ell_{\beta g}(\beta_0, g_0)[h^*]]\right\}^{-1} \sqrt{n}P_n(\ell_\beta(\beta_0, g_0) + \ell_g(\beta_0, g_0)[h^*]) + o_p(1).$$

Hence,  $\sqrt{n}(\widehat{\beta}_n - \beta_0)$  converges to a normal distribution. The influence function is

$$-\left\{P[\ell_{\beta\beta}(\beta_0, g_0) + \ell_{\beta g}(\beta_0, g_0)[h^*]]\right\}^{-1} \left\{\ell_{\beta}(\beta_0, g_0) + \ell_g(\beta_0, g_0)[h^*]\right\},$$

and thus the estimator  $\widehat{\beta}_n$  is semiparametrically efficient.

To show the consistency of the variance estimator  $\widehat{\Sigma}_{\beta}$ , the key is to show the information operator  $\mathcal{I}$ , given by

$$\mathcal{I} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ h_1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} b_2 \\ h_2 \end{pmatrix} \right] = - \left( b_1^\top P \ell_{\beta\beta} b_2 + b_1^\top P \ell_{\beta g}[h_2] + b_2^\top P \ell_{\beta g}[h_1] + P \ell_{g,g}[h_1, h_2] \right),$$

is uniformly consistently estimated by  $(\iota(\cdot)^\top, \pi_{B_n}(\cdot)^\top) \mathcal{I}_n (\iota(\cdot)^\top, \pi_{B_n}(\cdot)^\top)^\top$ , where  $\iota$  is the identity map,  $\pi_{B_n}$  is the operator that determines the vector of coefficients of the MBS approximation to a function, so that  $g_n(t) = B_n(t)^\top \pi_{B_n}(g)$ , and  $\mathcal{I}_n = -P_n \begin{pmatrix} \ell_{\beta\beta} & \ell_{\beta g}[B_n] \\ \ell_{\beta g}[B_n]^\top & \ell_{g g}[B_n, B_n] \end{pmatrix}$  is the observed information matrix. The details are omitted due to similarity to those of the consistency proof for the variance estimator in Zeng and Lin (2006).  $\square$

## References

- Bickel, P., Klaassen, C. A. J., Ritov, Y., and Wellner, J. A. (1993). *Efficient and Adaptive Estimation for Semiparametric Models*. The John Hopkins University Press, New York.
- Lu, M., Zhang, Y., and Huang, J. (2007). Estimation of the mean function with panel count data using monotone polynomial splines. *Biometrika*, 94(3):705–718.
- Lu, M., Zhang, Y., and Huang, J. (2009). Semiparametric estimation methods for panel count data using monotone B-splines. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 104(487):1060–1070.
- van der Vaart, A. (1998). *Asymptotic Statistics*. Cambridge university press, New York.
- van der Vaart, A. W. and Wellner, J. A. (1996). *Weak Convergence and Empirical Processes*. Springer, New York.
- Zeng, D. and Lin, D. Y. (2006). Efficient estimation of semiparametric transformation models for counting processes. *Biometrika*, 93(3):627–640.