

# SIMULTANEOUS CONFIDENCE BANDS AND HYPOTHESIS TESTING FOR SINGLE-INDEX MODELS

Gaorong Li<sup>a</sup>, Heng Peng<sup>b1</sup>, Kai Dong<sup>b</sup> and Tiejun Tong<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> *College of Applied Sciences, Beijing University of Technology, Beijing 100124, P. R. China*

<sup>b</sup> *Department of Mathematics, Hong Kong Baptist University, Hong Kong, P. R. China*

## Supplementary Material

This note contains two lemmas and the proofs for Theorem 1–Theorem 5.

### S1 Two lemmas

**Lemma 1** *Suppose that conditions C1–C3 hold. If  $h \rightarrow 0, nh^3 \rightarrow \infty$ , we have*

$$\sup_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \left| S_{n,l}^{\beta} - \mu_l f(u) - \mu_{l+1} f'(u)h \right| = O_P(h^2 + \delta_n), \quad l = 0, 1, 2, 3,$$

where  $\delta_n = \sqrt{\frac{\log n}{nh}}$ ,  $f'(u)$  is the derivative of  $f(u)$  and

$$S_{n,l}^{\beta} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{\beta^T \mathbf{X}_i - u}{h} \right)^l K_h(\beta^T \mathbf{X}_i - u), \quad l = 0, 1, 2, 3.$$

The details of proof can be found from Martins-Filho and Yao (2007). The following lemma provides the uniform convergence rates for the estimators  $\hat{\eta}$  and  $\hat{\eta}'$  respectively.

**Lemma 2** *Let  $\mathcal{B}_n = \{\beta : \|\beta - \beta_0\| \leq cn^{-1/2}\}$  for some positive constant  $c$ . Suppose that conditions C1–C5 hold, we have*

$$\sup_{u \in \mathcal{U}, \beta \in \mathcal{B}_n} |\hat{\eta}(u; \beta) - \eta(u)| = O_P(\delta_n), \tag{S1.1}$$

and

$$\sup_{u \in \mathcal{U}, \beta \in \mathcal{B}_n} |\hat{\eta}'(u; \beta) - \eta'(u)| = O_P(\delta_n/h). \tag{S1.2}$$

---

<sup>1</sup>Heng Peng is the corresponding author. E-mail: hpeng@math.hkbu.edu.hk.

The proof of Lemma 2 can be found from the full version of Wang *et al.* (2010) on the web arXiv.org at arXiv:0905.2042, hence we omit the details here.

## S2 Proof of Theorem 1

The proof of Theorem 1 can immediately be obtained from Carroll *et al.* (1997), Liang *et al.* (2010), or Chen, Gao and Li (2013). So we omit all the details.  $\square$

## S3 Proof of Theorem 2

By (2.7) in Section 2 and some simple calculations, we have

$$\hat{\eta}(u; \hat{\beta}_0) = \sum_{i=1}^n W_{ni}(u; \hat{\beta}_0) Y_i, \quad (\text{S3.1})$$

where

$$W_{ni}(u; \hat{\beta}_0) = \frac{n^{-1} K_h(\hat{\beta}_0^T \mathbf{X}_i - u) [S_{n,2}^{\hat{\beta}_0} - \{(\hat{\beta}_0^T \mathbf{X}_i - u)/h\} S_{n,1}^{\hat{\beta}_0}]}{S_{n,0}^{\hat{\beta}_0} S_{n,2}^{\hat{\beta}_0} - (S_{n,1}^{\hat{\beta}_0})^2}.$$

By Lemma 1, we have uniformly for  $u \in \mathcal{U}$  and  $\beta \in \mathcal{B}_n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} S_{n,0}^{\beta} &= f(u) + O_P(h^2 + \delta_n), & S_{n,1}^{\beta} &= O_P(h + \delta_n), \\ S_{n,2}^{\beta} &= \mu_2 f(u) + O_P(h^2 + \delta_n), & S_{n,3}^{\beta} &= O_P(h + \delta_n). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S3.2})$$

Hence, we have

$$S_{n,0}^{\beta} S_{n,2}^{\beta} - (S_{n,1}^{\beta})^2 = \mu_2 f^2(u) + O_P(h^2 + \delta_n). \quad (\text{S3.3})$$

By (S3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\eta}(u; \hat{\beta}_0) - \eta(u) &= \sum_{i=1}^n W_{ni}(u; \hat{\beta}_0) Y_i - \eta(u) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n W_{ni}(u; \hat{\beta}_0) \varepsilon_i + \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n W_{ni}(u; \hat{\beta}_0) \eta(\hat{\beta}_0^T \mathbf{X}_i) - \eta(u) \right] \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^n W_{ni}(u; \hat{\beta}_0) [\eta(\hat{\beta}_0^T \mathbf{X}_i) - \eta(\beta_0^T \mathbf{X}_i)] \\ &=: I_1 + I_2 - I_3. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S3.4})$$

For  $I_2$ , by using Taylor expansion and some calculations, and from (S3.2)–(S3.3) and condition C1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_2 &= [S_{n,0}^{\hat{\beta}_0} S_{n,2}^{\hat{\beta}_0} - (S_{n,1}^{\hat{\beta}_0})^2]^{-1} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_h(\hat{\beta}_0^T \mathbf{X}_i - u) \left[ S_{n,2}^{\hat{\beta}_0} - \{(\hat{\beta}_0^T \mathbf{X}_i - u)/h\} S_{n,1}^{\hat{\beta}_0} \right] \right. \\
&\quad \times \left( \eta(u) + \eta'(u)(\hat{\beta}_0^T \mathbf{X}_i - u) + \frac{1}{2} \eta''(u)(\hat{\beta}_0^T \mathbf{X}_i - u)^2 + O(|\hat{\beta}_0^T \mathbf{X}_i - u|^3) \right) \left. \right\} - \eta(u) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \eta''(u) h^2 [S_{n,0}^{\hat{\beta}_0} S_{n,2}^{\hat{\beta}_0} - (S_{n,1}^{\hat{\beta}_0})^2]^{-1} [(S_{n,2}^{\hat{\beta}_0})^2 - S_{n,1}^{\hat{\beta}_0} S_{n,3}^{\hat{\beta}_0}] + o_P(1) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \eta''(u) h^2 \mu_2 + O_P(h^2 + \delta_n). \tag{S3.5}
\end{aligned}$$

Now we consider  $I_3$ . By Theorem 1, we know that  $\hat{\beta}_0$  is a  $\sqrt{n}$ -consistent estimator, that is,  $\hat{\beta}_0$  satisfies that  $\hat{\beta}_0 \in \mathcal{B}_n$ . Note that  $\sup_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}, \beta \in \mathcal{B}_n} |\eta(\beta^T \mathbf{x}) - \eta(\beta_0^T \mathbf{x})| = O(n^{-1/2})$ . Invoking the Lemma 2 in Zhu and Xue (2006), it is easy to show that  $I_3 = o_P(n^{-1/2})$ .

We approximate the process  $I_1$  as follows. Following the steps of Lemma A.2 in Xia and Li (1999), we have

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_h(\beta_0^T \mathbf{X}_i - u) \{(\beta_0^T \mathbf{X}_i - u)/h\} \varepsilon_i = O_P(\delta_n). \tag{S3.6}$$

By the proof of Lemma A.1 in Xia *et al.* (2004), we can obtain that

$$\frac{1}{nf(u)} \sum_{i=1}^n K_h(\hat{\beta}_0^T \mathbf{X}_i - u) \varepsilon_i = \frac{1}{nf(u)} \sum_{i=1}^n K_h(\beta_0^T \mathbf{X}_i - u) \varepsilon_i + O_P(h\delta_n). \tag{S3.7}$$

By (S3.2), (S3.6)–(S3.7) and using the same argument of (S3.5), it is easy to show that

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 &= [S_{n,0}^{\hat{\beta}_0} S_{n,2}^{\hat{\beta}_0} - (S_{n,1}^{\hat{\beta}_0})^2]^{-1} S_{n,2}^{\hat{\beta}_0} \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_h(\hat{\beta}_0^T \mathbf{X}_i - u) \varepsilon_i \right) \\
&\quad - [S_{n,0}^{\hat{\beta}_0} S_{n,2}^{\hat{\beta}_0} - (S_{n,1}^{\hat{\beta}_0})^2]^{-1} S_{n,1}^{\hat{\beta}_0} \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_h(\hat{\beta}_0^T \mathbf{X}_i - u) \{(\hat{\beta}_0^T \mathbf{X}_i - u)/h\} \varepsilon_i \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{nf(u)} \sum_{i=1}^n K_h(\beta_0^T \mathbf{X}_i - u) \varepsilon_i + O_P(\delta_n(h + \delta_n)) \\
&=: \tilde{I}_1(u) + O_P(\delta_n(h + \delta_n))
\end{aligned}$$

uniformly for  $u \in \mathcal{U}$ . This also implies that  $\|I_1 - \tilde{I}_1(u)\|_\infty = O_P(\delta_n(h + \delta_n))$ .

Next, we will derive the asymptotic distribution of  $\tilde{I}_1(u)$ . For convenience, let

$$\begin{aligned}
\{nhf(u)\sigma^{-2}\nu_0^{-1}\}^{1/2} \tilde{I}_1(u) &= \{nhf(u)\sigma^2\nu_0\}^{-1/2} \sum_{i=1}^n K\left(\frac{\beta_0^T \mathbf{X}_i - u}{h}\right) \varepsilon_i \\
&=: \{nhf(u)\sigma^2\nu_0\}^{-1/2} \xi(u). \tag{S3.8}
\end{aligned}$$

Divide the interval  $[b_1, b_2]$  into  $N$  subintervals  $J_r = [d_{r-1}, d_r), r = 1, 2, \dots, N-1, J_N = [d_{N-1}, b_2]$  where  $d_r = b_1 + \frac{b_2-b_1}{N}r$ . Define  $U_i = \beta_0^T \mathbf{X}_i$  and  $\tilde{U}_i = d_r I(U_i \in J_r), r = 1, \dots, N$ , and it is obvious that  $U_i - \tilde{U}_i = O(N^{-1})$ . Then, by law of large numbers for the random sequence  $\{\varepsilon_i\}, i = 1, \dots, n$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(u) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left[ K\left(\frac{U_i - u}{h}\right) - K\left(\frac{\tilde{U}_i - u}{h}\right) \right] \varepsilon_i + \sum_{i=1}^n K\left(\frac{\tilde{U}_i - u}{h}\right) \varepsilon_i \\ &= O_P(h^{-1}) + \sum_{i=1}^n K\left(\frac{\tilde{U}_i - u}{h}\right) \varepsilon_i \\ &=: O_P(h^{-1}) + \tilde{\xi}(u) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S3.9})$$

uniformly for  $u \in [b_1, b_2]$ . By the definition of  $\tilde{U}_i$ , we have that

$$\tilde{\xi}(u) = \sum_{r=1}^N K\left(\frac{d_r - u}{h}\right) \sum_{i=1}^n I(\tilde{U}_i \in J_r) \varepsilon_i.$$

Let  $\tilde{\xi}_t = \sum_{r=1}^t \sum_{i=1}^n I(U_i \in J_r) \varepsilon_i = \sum_{i=1}^n I(b_1 \leq U_i \leq d_t) \varepsilon_i, \tilde{\xi}_0 = 0$ . Then, by Lemma 2 in Zhang, Fan and Sun (2009), for any  $t = 1, \dots, N$  and  $u \in [b_1, b_2]$ , we have

$$|\tilde{\xi}_t - N^{1/2} W(G(d_t))| = O(N^{1/4} \log N) \quad a.s.,$$

where  $W(\cdot)$  is a Wiener process and  $G(c) = \int_{b_1}^c \sigma^2 f(v) dv$ . By Abel's transform, we have

$$\tilde{\xi}(u) = K\left(\frac{b_2 - u}{n}\right) \tilde{\xi}_N - \sum_{r=1}^{N-1} \left[ K\left(\frac{d_{r+1} - u}{h}\right) - K\left(\frac{d_r - u}{h}\right) \right] \tilde{\xi}_r$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \sum_{r=1}^{N-1} \left[ K\left(\frac{d_{r+1} - u}{h}\right) - K\left(\frac{d_r - u}{h}\right) \right] [\tilde{\xi}_r - N^{1/2} W(G(d_r))] \right\|_{\infty} \\ &\leq \left\| \max_{1 \leq r \leq N} |\tilde{\xi}_r - N^{1/2} W(G(d_r))| \sum_{r=1}^{N-1} \left| K\left(\frac{d_{r+1} - u}{h}\right) - K\left(\frac{d_r - u}{h}\right) \right| \right\| \\ &= O_P(N^{1/4} \log N). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\xi}(u) &= N^{1/2} K\left(\frac{b_2 - u}{h}\right) W(G(b_2)) \\ &\quad - N^{1/2} \sum_{r=1}^{N-1} \left[ K\left(\frac{d_{r+1} - u}{h}\right) - K\left(\frac{d_r - u}{h}\right) \right] W(G(d_r)) + O_P(N^{1/4} \log N) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S3.10})$$

uniformly for  $u \in [b_1, b_2]$ .

For a Wiener process, it is known that (Csörgö and Révész 1981, Page 44)

$$\sup_{t \in [b_1, b_2]} |W(G(t + \varsigma)) - W(G(t))| = O(\{\varsigma \log(1/\varsigma)\}^{1/2}) \quad a.s.$$

when  $\varsigma$  is any small number. Using this property and the boundness of  $K(\cdot)$ , we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{r=1}^{N-1} \left[ K\left(\frac{d_{r+1} - u}{h}\right) - K\left(\frac{d_r - u}{h}\right) \right] W(G(d_r)) \\ &= \int_{b_1}^{b_2} W(G(v)) dK\left(\frac{v - u}{h}\right) + O_P(\{N^{-1} \log N\}^{1/2}) \end{aligned}$$

uniformly for  $u \in [b_1, b_2]$ . Together with (S3.9) and (S3.10), it is easy to show that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| (nh)^{-1/2} \xi(u) - h^{-1/2} \int_{b_1}^{b_2} K\left(\frac{v - u}{h}\right) dW(G(v)) \right\|_{\infty} \\ &= O_P((nh^3)^{-1/2} + (nh)^{-1/2} N^{1/4} \log N). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S3.11})$$

Note that the order is  $O_P((nh^3)^{-1/2} + (nh^2)^{-1/4} \log n)$  if  $N$  is taken as  $N = O(n)$ . Let

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{1n}(u) &= h^{-1/2} \int_{b_1}^{b_2} K\left(\frac{v - u}{h}\right) dW(G(v)), \\ Z_{2n}(u) &= h^{-1/2} \int_{b_1}^{b_2} K\left(\frac{v - u}{h}\right) [\sigma^2 f(v)]^{1/2} dW(v - b_1), \\ Z_{3n}(u) &= h^{-1/2} \int_{b_1}^{b_2} K\left(\frac{v - u}{h}\right) dW(v - b_1). \end{aligned}$$

For a Gaussian process, invoking Lemma 2.1–Lemma 2.5 in Claeskens and Van Keilegom (2003), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|Z_{1n}(u) - Z_{2n}(u)\|_{\infty} = O_P(h^{1/2}), \\ & \|(\sigma^2 f(u))^{-1/2} Z_{2n}(u) - Z_{3n}(u)\|_{\infty} = O_P(h^{1/2}). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S3.12})$$

By (S3.11) and (S3.12), we have

$$\|(nh\sigma^2 f(u))^{-1/2} \xi(u) - Z_{3n}(u)\|_{\infty} = O_P((nh^3)^{-1/2} + (nh^2)^{-1/4} \log n + h^{1/2}).$$

This, together with (S3.8), and invoking Theorem 1 and Theorem 3.1 in Bickel and Rosenblatt (1973), when  $h = O(n^{-\rho})$ ,  $1/5 < \rho < 1/3$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & P\left\{(-2 \log\{h/(b_2 - b_1)\})^{1/2} \left(\nu_0^{-1/2} \left\| (nh\sigma^2 f(u))^{-1/2} \xi(u) \right\|_{\infty} - d_{n0}\right) < x\right\} \\ & \longrightarrow \exp(-2e^{-x}). \end{aligned}$$

Summarizing the above results, we complete the proof of Theorem 2.  $\square$

## S4 Proof of Theorem 3

We also can finish the proof of Theorem 3 along the same lines as the proof of Theorem 2, here we omit the details of proof.  $\square$

## S5 Proof of Theorem 4

To prove the theorem, we need to derive the rate of convergence for the bias and variance estimators. We first consider the difference between  $\widehat{\text{bias}}(\hat{\eta}(u; \hat{\beta}_0)|\mathcal{D})$  and  $2^{-1}h^2\mu_2\eta''(u)$ . By using the standards as in the proof of Lemma 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\widehat{\text{bias}}(\hat{\eta}(u; \hat{\beta}_0)|\mathcal{D}) - 2^{-1}h^2\mu_2\eta''(u)\|_\infty &= O_P(h^2\{\sqrt{\log n/nh_*^5}\}) \\ &= O_P(h^2(n^{-1/7}\log^{1/2}n)), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S5.1})$$

where  $h_* = n^{-1/7}$  comes from the pilot estimation of  $\eta''(\cdot)$ .

Furthermore, by Lemma 1, we have

$$\left\| \frac{h}{n} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{W}^2 \mathbf{X} - f(u) \tilde{S}(u) \right\|_\infty = o_P(1),$$

where  $\tilde{S}(u) = \begin{pmatrix} \nu_0 & 0 \\ 0 & \nu_2 \end{pmatrix}$ . For the estimator of variance  $\sigma^2$  defined in Section 2.3, Tong and Wang (2005) showed that  $\hat{\sigma}^2$  is a consistent estimator of  $\sigma^2$  and  $\text{bias}(\hat{\sigma}^2) = O(n^{-3+3\tau})$  by taking  $m = cn^\tau$  with the constant  $c > 0$  and  $0 \leq \tau \leq \frac{1}{3}$ .

These results, together with Theorem 1, by some simple calculations, it is easy to show that

$$\left\| nh \widehat{\text{Var}}\{\hat{\eta}(u; \hat{\beta}_0)|\mathcal{D}\} - \frac{\nu_0}{f(u)} \sigma^2 \right\|_\infty = o_P(1). \quad (\text{S5.2})$$

By (S5.1) and (S5.2), and invoking the result of Theorem 2, we finish the proof of Theorem 4.  $\square$

## S6 Proof of Theorem 5

Under the null hypothesis, model (1.1) reduces to

$$Y_i = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1(\beta_0^T \mathbf{X}_i) + \varepsilon_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

For the convenience, we use the matrix and vector notations in the following. Let  $e_n$  be an  $n \times 1$  vector with all elements being ones, and  $\mathbf{X}^* = \Gamma \mathbf{X}$  be an  $n \times p$  matrix. By

Theorem 1, it is known that  $\hat{\beta}_0$  is a  $\sqrt{n}$ -consistent estimator of  $\beta_0$ . By the definitions of  $\hat{\varepsilon}^*$  and least squares estimators of  $\gamma_0$  and  $\gamma_1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\varepsilon}^* &= \Gamma \varepsilon - (\hat{\gamma}_0 - \gamma_0) \Gamma e_n + \gamma_1 (\Gamma \mathbf{X} \beta_0) - \hat{\gamma}_1 (\Gamma \mathbf{X} \hat{\beta}_0) \\ &= \Gamma \varepsilon - (\hat{\gamma}_0 - \gamma_0) \Gamma e_n - (\hat{\gamma}_1 - \gamma_1) (\mathbf{X}^* \beta_0) \\ &\quad - (\hat{\gamma}_1 - \gamma_1) \mathbf{X}^* (\hat{\beta}_0 - \beta_0) - \gamma_1 \mathbf{X}^* (\hat{\beta}_0 - \beta_0) \\ &=: J_1 - J_2 - J_3 - J_4 - J_5,\end{aligned}$$

and  $J_1 \sim N(0, \sigma^2 I_n)$ . Let  $J_{ki}$  denote the  $i$ th components of the vectors  $J_k, k = 1, \dots, 5$ , respectively. Then we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \hat{\varepsilon}_i^{*2} = \sum_{i=1}^m (J_{1i} - J_{2i} - J_{3i} - J_{4i} - J_{5i})^2. \quad (\text{S6.1})$$

We first consider the orders of  $J_{ki}^2, k = 1, \dots, 5$ . By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$J_{3i}^2 = (\hat{\gamma}_1 - \gamma_1)^2 \left[ \sum_{j=1}^p X_{ij}^* \beta_{0j} \right]^2 \leq (\hat{\gamma}_1 - \gamma_1)^2 \|\beta_0\|^2 \sum_{j=1}^p X_{ij}^{*2}, \quad (\text{S6.2})$$

where  $\beta_{0j}$  is the  $j$ th component of the vector  $\beta_0$ . Note that  $\|\beta_0\| = 1$  and  $|\hat{\gamma}_1 - \gamma_1| = O_P(n^{-1/2})$ , and from the condition (C6), we have

$$\sum_{i=n_0}^m J_{3i}^2 \leq (\hat{\gamma}_1 - \gamma_1)^2 \sum_{j=1}^p \sum_{i=n_0}^{n/(\log \log n)^4} X_{ij}^{*2} = O_P\{(\log \log n)^{-4}\}. \quad (\text{S6.3})$$

From  $\|\hat{\beta}_0 - \beta_0\| = O_P(n^{-1/2})$ , condition (C6) and the same argument, it is easy to show that

$$\sum_{i=n_0}^m J_{4i}^2 = O_P\{n^{-1}(\log \log n)^{-4}\}, \quad \sum_{i=n_0}^m J_{5i}^2 = O_P\{(\log \log n)^{-4}\}.$$

By  $|\hat{\gamma}_0 - \gamma_0| = O_P(n^{-1/2})$  and the definition of  $\Gamma$ , it is easy to check that  $\sum_{i=1}^m J_{2i}^2 = O_P(n^{-1}) = o_P(1)$ . Note that

$$\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m J_{1i}^2 \leq \sigma^2 + \max_{1 \leq m \leq n} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m (J_{1i}^2 - \sigma^2) = o_P(\log \log n). \quad (\text{S6.4})$$

Next we will consider the order of cross-terms in (S6.1). Since  $J_{1i}$  controls the convergence rate of the other terms, we only need to consider the orders of  $J_{1i} J_{ki}, k = 2, 3, 4, 5$ . By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, (S6.3) and (S6.4), we have

$$\left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \sum_{i=n_0}^m J_{1i} J_{3i} \right| \leq \left\{ \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=n_0}^m J_{1i}^2 \right\}^{1/2} \left\{ \sum_{i=n_0}^m J_{3i}^2 \right\}^{1/2} = O_P\{(\log \log n)^{-3/2}\}. \quad (\text{S6.5})$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \sum_{i=n_0}^m J_{1i} J_{2i} \right| &\leq \left\{ \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=n_0}^m J_{1i}^2 \right\}^{1/2} \left\{ \sum_{i=n_0}^m J_{2i}^2 \right\}^{1/2} = O_P\{(\log \log n/n)^{1/2}\}, \\ \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \sum_{i=n_0}^m J_{1i} J_{4i} \right| &\leq \left\{ \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=n_0}^m J_{1i}^2 \right\}^{1/2} \left\{ \sum_{i=n_0}^m J_{4i}^2 \right\}^{1/2} = O_P\{n^{-1/2}(\log \log n)^{-3/2}\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \sum_{i=n_0}^m J_{1i} J_{5i} \right| \leq \left\{ \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=n_0}^m J_{1i}^2 \right\}^{1/2} \left\{ \sum_{i=n_0}^m J_{5i}^2 \right\}^{1/2} = O_P\{(\log \log n)^{-3/2}\}.$$

Summarizing the above results, we have

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \sum_{i=n_0}^m \hat{\varepsilon}_i^{*2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \sum_{i=n_0}^m J_{1i}^2 + O_P\{(\log \log n)^{-3/2}\}. \quad (\text{S6.6})$$

Let

$$T_n^* = \max_{1 \leq m \leq n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2m\sigma^4}} \sum_{i=1}^m (J_{1i}^2 - \sigma^2).$$

Invoking Theorem 1 in Darling and Erdős (1956), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} P\left(\sqrt{2 \log \log n} T_n^* - \{2 \log \log n + 0.5 \log \log \log n - 0.5 \log(4\pi)\} \leq x\right) \\ \longrightarrow \exp(-\exp(-x)). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S6.7})$$

By (S6.7), it is easy to check that

$$T_n^* = \{2 \log \log n\}^{1/2} \{1 + o_P(1)\}$$

and

$$T_{\log n}^* = \{2 \log \log \log n\}^{1/2} \{1 + o_P(1)\},$$

which implies that the maximum of  $T_n^*$  can not be achieved at  $m < \log n$ . By (3.5) in Section 3, we have

$$T_{AN}^* = \max_{1 \leq m \leq n/(\log \log n)^4} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2m\sigma^4}} \sum_{i=1}^m (\hat{\varepsilon}_i^{*2} - \sigma^2) + O_P\{(\log \log n)^{-3/2}\}.$$

Again invoking the result of  $|\hat{\sigma}^2 - \sigma^2| = O(n^{-3+3\tau})$  in Tong and Wang (2005), and by (S6.6), it is easy to obtain that

$$T_{AN}^* = T_n^* + O_P\{(\log \log n)^{-3/2}\}.$$

Summarizing the above results, we complete the proof of Theorem 5.  $\square$



## References

- Bickel, P. L. and Rosenblatt, M. (1973). On some global measures of the derivations of density function estimates. *Ann. Statist.* **1**, 1071–1095.
- Carroll, R. J., Fan, J., Gijbels, I. and Wand, M. P. (1997). Generalized partially linear single-index models. *Journal of American Statistical Association* **92**, 477–489.
- Chen, J., Gao, J. and Li, D. (2013). Estimation in a single-index panel data model with heterogeneous link functions. *Econometric Reviews* **33**, 928–955.
- Claeskens, G. and Van Keilegom, I. (2003). Bootstrap confidence bands for regression curves and their derivatives. *Ann. Statist.* **31**, 1852–1884.
- Csörgő, M. and Révész, P. (1981). *Strong Approximations in Probability and Statistics*. Academic Press, New York.
- Darling, D. A. and Erdős, P. (1956). A limit theorem for the maximum of normalized sums of independent random variables. *Duke Mathematical Journal* **23**, 143–155.
- Liang, H., Liu, X., Li, R. and Tsai, C. L. (2010). Estimation and testing for partially linear single-index models. *Ann. Statist.* **38**, 3811–3836.
- Martins-Filho, C. and Yao, F. (2007). Nonparametric frontier estimation via local linear regression. *Journal of Econometrics* **141**, 283–319.
- Wang, J. L., Xue, L. G., Zhu, L. X. and Chong, Y. (2010). Estimation for a partial-linear single-index model. *Ann. Statist.* **38**, 246–274.
- Xia, Y. and Li, W. K. (1999). On single-index coefficient regression models. *Journal of the American Statistical Association* **94**, 1275–1285.
- Xia, Y., Li, W. K., Tong, H. and Zhang, D. (2004). A goodness-of-fit test for single-index models. *Statistica Sinica* **14**, 1–39.
- Zhang, W. Y., Fan, J. Q. and Sun, Y. (2009). A semiparametric model for cluster data. *Ann. Statist.* **37**, 2377–2408.
- Zhu, L. X. and Xue, L. G. (2006). Empirical likelihood confidence regions in a partially linear single-index model. *J. R. Statist. Soc. B* **68**, 549–570.