GENERATING DISTRIBUTIONS BY TRANSFORMATION OF SCALE

M.C. Jones

The Open University

Supplementary Material

S1 Proof of Proposition 2

Let subscripts ℓ and r denote left- and right-hand 'halves' of the unimodal densities fand g, that is, $f_{\ell}(x) = f(x)I(x < x_0)$, $g_{\ell}(x) = g(x)I(x < 0)$, $f_r(x) = f(x)I(x > x_0)$ and $g_r(y) = g(x)I(x > 0)$; all these functions are invertible and $f_r^{-1}(y) = \prod\{g_r^{-1}(y/2)\}$, $f_{\ell}^{-1}(y) = \prod\{-g_r^{-1}(y/2)\}$. If (X, Y) is uniformly distributed over the region between the x-axis and f, then, unconditionally, $X \sim f$ (this is the basis of many methods of random variate generation), but conditionally

$$X|Y = y \sim U\{f_{\ell}^{-1}(y), f_{r}^{-1}(y)\}.$$

Define $Z_1 = g_r^{-1}(Y/2) > 0$ and $Z = Z_1$ with probability 1/2 and $Z = -Z_1$ otherwise. It follows that

$$X|Z = z_1 > 0 \sim U\{\Pi(z_1) - \Pi(-z_1)\} + \Pi(-z_1) = Uz_1 + \Pi(z_1) - z_1$$

and

$$X|Z = z_2 = -z_1 < 0 \sim U\{\Pi(-z_1) - \Pi(z_1)\} + \Pi(z_1) = \Pi(z_1) - Uz_1 = Uz_2 + \Pi(z_2) - z_2.$$

Unconditionally, the form of (17) in the text follows.

It remains to deduce the distribution of Z_1 and hence of Z. A minor modification of Lemma 2.4 in Chaubey, Mudholkar, and Jones (2010) shows that $Y \in (0, 2g(0))$ has distribution function

$$F_{Y}(y) = \int_{0}^{y} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} I(0 \le z \le f(x)) dx dz$$

=
$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \min\{y, f(x)\} dx$$

=
$$\int_{-\infty}^{f_{\ell}^{-1}(y)} f(x) dx + \int_{f_{\ell}^{-1}(y)}^{f_{r}^{-1}(y)} y dx + \int_{f_{r}^{-1}(y)}^{\infty} f(x) dx$$

=
$$F\{f_{\ell}^{-1}(y)\} + y\{f_{r}^{-1}(y) - f_{\ell}^{-1}(y)\} + 1 - F\{f_{r}^{-1}(y)\}$$

and hence that $Z_1 \in \mathbb{R}^+$ has distribution function

$$F_{Z_1}(z) = 1 - F_Y(2g_r(z)) = 1 - [F\{\Pi(-z)\} + 2zg_r(z) + 1 - F\{\Pi(z)\}].$$

Differentiating,

$$f_{Z_1}(z) = -2\{-\pi(-z)g(z) + g(z) + zg'(z) - \pi(z)g(z)\} = -2zg'(z), \quad z > 0.$$

Similarly, $f_{-Z_1}(z) = -2zg'(z)$, z < 0, and the result of Proposition 2 follows.

S2 Proof of Shannon Entropy Version of Proposition 3

$$S(f) = -2 \int_{S_f} g(\Pi^{-1}(x)) \{ \log 2 + \log g(\Pi^{-1}(x)) \} dx$$

= $-\log 2 - 2 \int_{\mathcal{D}} \pi(y) g(y) \log g(y) dy$
= $-\log 2 - \int_{S_g} g(y) \log g(y) dy = S(g) - \log 2,$

the third equality arising since $2 \int_{\mathcal{D}} \pi(y)h(y)dy = \int_{\mathcal{D}} h(y)dy$ for any even function h. It remains to recall the standard result that $S(g_{\sigma}) = S(g) - \log \sigma$ when $g_{\sigma}(x) = \sigma g(\sigma x)$ and the Shannon entropy version of the proposition follows.