# Introduction to Machine Learning 

## Chapter 8. Nonparametric Methods
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A Parametric: Bernoulli, multinomial, normal, MLE,etc. Multivariate methods: parameter estimation, classification, regression under normality.

A Semiparametric methods: mixture densities, clustering.
^ Nonparametric methods

- density estimation
- histogram
- kernel estimator
- $k$-nearest neighbor estimator
- regression
- running mean smoother
- kernel smoother
- local polynomial fit, running line smoother
- classification


## Parametric vs. nonparametric

Parametric: data are drawn from a probability distribution of specific form up to unknown parameters.

Semiparametric: in between, contains parametric and nonparametric components.

Nonparametric: data are drawn from a certain unspecified probability distribution.

## Basic philosophy of nonparametric estimation/prediction

- The world is smooth and functions are changing slowly.
- Similar instances mean similar things.
- Unlike parametric methods, there is no single global model; local models are estimated as they are needed, affected only by closeby training data.
- Learn to know "similar patterns" from training set, and "interpolate" from them to find the right output (in prediction).
- Need a distance measure for similarity and interpolation.

Different nonparametric algorithms differ in ways that they define similarity.

## Heavier computational cost than parametric ones

In machine learning literature, nonparametric methods are also call instance-based or memory-based learning algorithms.

- Store the training instances in a lookup table and interpolate from these for prediction.
- Lazy learning algorithm, as opposed to the eager parametric methods, which have simple model and a small number of parameters, and once parameters are learned we no longer keep the training set.


## Density estimation

## Histogram

Training data: $\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$ iid from a distribution with probability density function $p(x)$.

- Determine an origin and a bin width.
- Divide the space into equal sized bins with bin width $h$.
- $\hat{p}(x)=\frac{\#\left\{x_{i} \text { in the same bin as } x\right\}}{n h}$.
- Average shifted histogram: form histograms with different origins and average these histograms.


Figure 1.16 : Five histograms of the Buffalo snowfall data with the same binwidth $h=10$, but with different origins $x_{0}=0,2,4,6,8$, and the average shifted histogram built from these five histograms.

Kernels as similarity measure

- Order 2 kernel $K(t)$ : a pdf itself, $K(t) \geq 0, \int K(t) d t=1$, $\int t K(t) d t=0$, and $\int t^{2} K(t) d t>0$.
- $K_{h}(t)=\frac{1}{h} K\left(\frac{t}{h}\right)$.
- similarity between $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}: K_{h}\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right)$.


Fig. 2.4 Kernel estimate showing individual kernels. Window width 0.4.



Fig. 2.5 Kernel estimates showing individual kernels. Window widths: (a) 0.2; (b) 0.8 .

## Kernel estimator

- Choose a kernel as weight function.
- Decide a window width.
- $\widehat{p}(x)=\frac{1}{n h} \sum_{i=1}^{n} K\left(\frac{x-x_{i}}{h}\right)$.
- Small $h$ : each training instance has a large effect in a small region and no effect on distant points.

Larger $h$ : weight function is flatter and more spread out. There is more overlap of the kernels and we get a smoother estimate.

- Ideally: use a varying adaptive window width; smaller $h$ for dense-data region and larger $h$ for sparse-data region.


## $k$-nearest neighbor estimator

- It adapts the amount of smoothing to the local density of data.
- The probability that a point $x$ falls within $V$ centered at $x$ : $\theta=\int_{V} p(t) d t \approx p(x) V \approx k / n$. naive $k$-nearest neighbor estimator: $\widehat{p}(x)=\frac{k}{n V}, V=2 d_{k}(x)$.
- The degree of smoothing is controlled by $k$, the number of neighbors taken into account.
- $\hat{p}(x)=\frac{1}{n d_{k}(x)} \sum_{i=1}^{n} K\left(\frac{x-x_{i}}{d_{k}(x)}\right)$, kernel $k$-nearest neighbor.

This is a kernel estimator with adaptive variable window width.

## Generalization to multivariate data

- product kernel: $K(\mathbf{t})=\prod_{j=1}^{d} K\left(t_{j}\right)$.
- d-dimensional observations, the multivariate kernel density estimator: $\hat{p}(x)=\frac{1}{n \mathbf{h}^{\mathrm{d}}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} K\left(\frac{x-x_{i}}{h}\right)$.
- Curse of dimensionality. Think of 8-dimensional histogram with 10 bins per dimension, then there are $10^{8}$ bins in total. Unless we have enormous amount of data, most of these bins will be empty.
- Instability, high variation in estimation/prediction.
- naive $k$-nn estimator: $\hat{p}(x)=\frac{k}{n V}, V$ : volume of $d$-dimensional ball with radius $d_{k}(x)=\left\|x-x_{(k)}\right\|$.

Sample size required (accurate to about 3 significant figures) to ensure that the relative mean square error at zero is less than 0.1, when estimating a standard multivariate normal density using a normal kernel and the window width that minimizes the mean square error at zero.

| Dimension | Required sample size |
| :---: | ---: |
| 1 | 4 |
| 2 | 19 |
| 3 | 67 |
| 4 | 223 |
| 5 | 768 |
| 6 | 2790 |
| 7 | 10700 |
| 8 | 43700 |
| 9 | 187000 |
| 10 | 482000 |

the relative mean square error at zero: $E(\hat{f}(0)-f(0))^{2} / f^{2}(0)$.

Things you must learn from this course Dimension reduction

- Dimension reduction: subset (variables) selection, PCA, factor analysis, multi-dimensional scaling, linear discriminant analysis, SIR, etc.
- CCA (canonical correlation analysis).
- Most methods are based on spectral analysis.

Eigen-decomposition, elicit leading eigen-components, or
Singular value decomposition.
SIR: Eigen-decomposition of between group (slice) covariance with respect to $\Sigma_{X}$.

Linear discriminant analysis.

- Support vector machines sequel: SVM classification, SVR, reduced SVM, etc.


## Singular value decomposition

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \qquad[\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y}]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
x_{1}^{\prime} & y_{1}^{\prime} \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
x_{n}^{\prime} & y_{n}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]_{n \times(p+q)} \\
& \text { e.g., } \operatorname{Cov}(X)=\mathbb{X} \mathbb{X} \text { and } \operatorname{Cov}(X, Y)=\mathbb{X}^{\prime} \mathbb{Y} . \quad \text { (assume centered) } \\
& \text { SVD: } \mathbb{X}^{\prime} \mathbb{Y}=\mathbb{U}_{p \times p} \mathbb{D}_{p \times q} \mathbb{V}_{q \times q}^{\prime}, \text { where } \mathbb{U}, \mathbb{V} \text { orthogonal, } \mathbb{D} \text { diagonal. } \\
& (\mathbb{X} \mathbb{U})^{\prime}(\mathbb{Y} \mathbb{V})=\mathbb{D} .
\end{aligned}
$$

$\mathbb{U}$ and $\mathbb{V}$ : two new coordinate systems for $R^{p}$ and $R^{q}$ respectively.

Nonparametrics + Dimension reduction
concept first, then technique.

Regression

Parametric vs. nonparametric: global vs. local models

- Given the iid training data $\left\{\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$, where $y_{i}=g\left(x_{i}\right)+\epsilon_{i}$. Assume $\epsilon_{i}, x_{i}$ independent, $E \epsilon_{i}=0, \operatorname{Var}\left(\epsilon_{i}\right)=\sigma^{2}$.
- $g(x)$ : regression surface;
parametric: e.g., regression line; a global model; nonparametric: e.g., mixture of kernels, local polynomials.
- $y$ : regression surface observed with noise.


## Regresssorgram

- $\widehat{g}(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}(x) y_{i}$ with $\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}(x)=1$. Or equivalently, $\widehat{g}(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}(x) y_{i} / \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}(x)$.
- Partition the interval (or region) into bins.
- $w_{i}(x)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } x_{i} \text { is in the same bin with } x \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}$


## running mean smoother

- $\widehat{g}(x)=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{h}\left(x-x_{i}\right) y_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{h}\left(x-x_{i}\right)}, \quad w_{h}(t)=\frac{1}{h}$ if $|t| \leq h$, zero otherwise.


## Kernel estimator, kernel smoother

- $\widehat{g}(x)=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{h}\left(x-x_{i}\right) y_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{h}\left(x-x_{i}\right)}, \quad w_{h}(t)=\frac{1}{h}$ if $|t| \leq h$, zero otherwise.

Uniform kernel weight function.

- Replace the above weight function (which is a uniform kernel) by a general kernel $K$.
- $\widehat{g}(x)=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} K_{h}\left(x-x_{i}\right) y_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} K_{h}\left(x-x_{i}\right)}$.
- $k$-nearest neighbor smoother: take $h=d_{k}(x)$.


## Local polynomials regression -local constant fit

Parametric: global model; bias and variance issues. Nonparametric: local model; bias, variance.

- fitting criterion: in a small region around $x_{0}, g(x) \approx a_{0}$,

$$
\widehat{a}_{0}=\arg \min _{a_{0}} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i}-a_{0}\right)^{2} w_{i}, \quad \sum_{i} w_{i}=1
$$

- Take derivative wrt $a_{0}$, set it to zero. $\hat{a}_{0}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i} w_{i}$.
- Kernel weights: $w_{i}=K_{h}\left(x_{0}-x_{i}\right) / \sum_{i=1}^{n} K_{h}\left(x_{0}-x_{i}\right)$.
$\widehat{g}\left(x_{0}\right)=\frac{n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i} K_{h}\left(x_{0}-x_{i}\right)}{n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n}} K_{h}\left(x_{0}-x_{i}\right)$.
$\widehat{g}(x)=\frac{n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i} K_{h}\left(x-x_{i}\right)}{n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n}} K_{h}\left(x-x_{i}\right):$ Nadaraya-Watson kernel est.

Local polynomials regression -local linear fit

- fitting criterion: in a small region around $x_{0}$, $g(x) \approx a_{0}+b_{0}\left(x-x_{0}\right)$,
$\widehat{a}_{0}=\arg \min _{a_{0}} \min _{b_{0}} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i}-a_{0}-b_{0}\left(x_{i}-x_{0}\right)\right)^{2} w_{i}, \quad \sum_{i} w_{i}=1$.
- Kernel weights: $w_{i}=K_{h}\left(x_{0}-x_{i}\right) / \sum_{i=1}^{n} K_{h}\left(x_{0}-x_{i}\right)$.
- Homework-IV, problem-1: $\hat{a}_{0}=$ ? $\hat{g}(x)=$ ?


## Homework problem 1, due 11/25

Assume we have iid data $\left\{\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$, where $y_{i}=g\left(x_{i}\right)+\epsilon_{i}$. Suppose that $g(x)$ is approximated locally by a linear polynomial with kernel weight function $K_{h}\left(x-x_{i}\right)$.

- fitting criterion: in a small region around $x_{0}$,

$$
g(x) \approx a_{0}+b_{0}\left(x-x_{0}\right)
$$

$$
\left(\hat{a}_{0}, \hat{b}_{0}\right)=\arg \min _{a_{0}, b_{0}} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i}-a_{0}-b_{0}\left(x_{i}-x_{0}\right)\right)^{2} w_{i}
$$

- Kernel weights: $w_{i}=K_{h}\left(x_{0}-x_{i}\right) / \sum_{i=1}^{n} K_{h}\left(x_{0}-x_{i}\right)$.

Derive the local linear estimator $\widehat{g}(x)$.

## Running line smoother (LOWESS)

locally weighted scatter plot smoothing

- Fit a local linear polynomial via the method on the last slide.
- Calculate residuals, $r_{k}=y_{k}-\hat{y}_{k}$, and assign weight to each residual, $\delta_{k}=B\left(r_{k} /\right.$ median $\left(\left|r_{1}\right|, \ldots,\left|r_{n}\right|\right)$, where $B(t)=(1-$ $\left.|t|^{2}\right)^{2}$. New weights for observations: $w_{i}^{\text {new }}(x)=\delta_{i} w_{i}^{\text {orig }}(x)$.
- Carry through again a local linear fit with new weights. Observations showing large residuals in the initial fit are downweighted in the second fit.
- Repeat a number of times.

Purpose: to robustify against outliers and to further smooth the local polynomial fit.

## Choice of smoothing parameter

In nonparametric methods, for density estimation or regression, one of the critical things is the smoothing parameter.

- Histogram bin width $h$.
- Kernel window width $h$.
- The number of neighbors $k$ in nearest-neighbor estimator.
- Small $h$ or $k$ leads to small bias but large variance. Larger $h$ or $k$ decreases variance but increases bias.


## Choice of smoothing parameter -cross validation

- Leave-one-out cross-validation: use $n-1$ sample data for training and test on the remaining one. This is repeated for all $n$ subset of size $n-1$. Computationally expensive.
- $\nu$-fold cross-validation: partition the training set into $\nu$ subsets, train on $\nu-1$ subsets and test on the remaining one. This procedure is repeated as each subset is withheld in turn.


## Classification

## Nonparametric classification via class-conditional densities -kernel approach

- Class conditional densities: $p\left(x \mid C_{i}\right)$.
- $\hat{p}\left(x \mid C_{i}\right)=\frac{1}{n_{i} h^{d}} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{i}} K\left(\frac{x-x_{j}}{h}\right), x_{j}$ from class $C_{i}$.
- Estimates for class distribution: $\hat{P}\left(C_{i}\right)=n_{i} / n$, $n_{i}$ : no. of data from $C_{i}, n$ : total no. of data.
- Discriminant rule: assign $x$ to the class which takes the maximum among $\widehat{p}\left(x \mid C_{i}\right) \widehat{P}\left(C_{i}\right)$.
$x \rightarrow \arg \max _{i} \hat{p}\left(x \mid C_{i}\right) \hat{P}\left(C_{i}\right)$.


## Nonparametric classification via class-conditional densities

-k nearest neighbor approach

- $\hat{p}\left(x \mid C_{i}\right) P\left(C_{i}\right)=\frac{k_{i}}{n_{i} V(x)} \cdot \frac{n_{i}}{n} \propto k_{i}$.

Assign $x$ to the class having most examples among the $k$ neighbors of the input. All neighbors have equal vote, and the class having the maximum number of voters among the the $k$ neighbors is chosen.

- $k_{i}$ : no. of neighbors out of the $k$ nearest that belong to $C_{i}$.
- $V(x)$ : the volume of a $d$-dimensional ball with radius $d_{k}(x)$.


## Kernels

## Examples of kernels

| Kernel | $K(u)$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Uniform | $\frac{1}{2} I(\|u\| \leq 1)$ |
| Triangle | $(1-\|u\|) I(\|u\| \leq 1)$ |
| Epanechnikov | $\frac{3}{4}\left(1-u^{2}\right) I(\|u\| \leq 1)$ |
| Quartic | $\frac{15}{16}\left(1-u^{2}\right)^{2} I(\|u\| \leq 1)$ |
| Triweight | $\frac{35}{32}\left(1-u^{2}\right)^{3} I(\|u\| \leq 1)$ |
| Gaussian | $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} u^{2}\right)$ |
| Cosinus | $\frac{\pi}{4} \cos \left(\frac{\pi}{2} u\right) I(\|u\| \leq 1)$ |

## Approximation by kernel convolution

- For $p, K \in L_{1}(R)$, we define their convolution $p * K$ as

$$
(p * K)(x)=\int p(x-t) K(t) d t=\int K(x-t) p(t) d t
$$

- For $p(x)$ being a pdf, $(p * K)(x)=\int K(x-t) d P(t)$, a natural empirical estimate is $\widehat{p}(x)=n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} K_{h}\left(x-x_{i}\right)$.
- Systematic bias is caused by convolution approximation.
- For $g(x)$ being a regression function,

$$
(K * g)(x)=\int K(x-t) g(t) d t=\int \frac{K(x-t) g(t)}{p(t)} d P(t)
$$

a natural empirical estimate is

$$
\widehat{g}(x)=n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} K_{h}\left(x-x_{i}\right) y_{i} / \widehat{p}(x)
$$

## Kernel convolution



True: blue curve, convolution approximation: red dotted curve

