

## DYNAMIC PENALIZED SPLINES FOR STREAMING DATA

Dingchuan Xue and Fang Yao

*School of Mathematical Sciences, Center for Statistical Science, Peking University*

### Supplementary Material

This is the supplementary material for dynamic penalized splines, which includes auxiliary lemmas and detailed proofs to the main theorems.

#### S1 Auxiliary Lemmas

In the proofs to our theorems, we need the following lemma from Nirenberg (2011), which is known as Gagliardo-Nirenberg interpolation inequality.

**Lemma 1.** *Fix  $1 \leq p, q, r \leq \infty$ ,  $s > 0$  and natural number  $m, j$ , if there is a real number  $\alpha$  such that*

$$\frac{1}{p} = j + \left(\frac{1}{r} - m\right)\alpha + \frac{1-\alpha}{q}, \quad \frac{j}{m} \leq \alpha < 1,$$

*then there are constants  $C_1, C_2$  such that for all functions  $g : (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,*

$$\|g^{(j)}\|_p \leq C_1 \|g^{(m)}\|_r^\alpha \|g\|_q^{1-\alpha} + C_2 \|g\|_s$$

*whenever both sides are well defined.*

Together with the inequality  $x^p \leq 1 - p + px$  where  $p \in (0, 1]$  and  $x \geq 0$ , we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $q$  be some positive integer,  $\lambda, n$  be positive numbers, non-negative numbers  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  be such that  $\alpha + \beta + \gamma = 2$ , and  $g \in H^q((0, 1))$  where  $H^q((0, 1))$  denote the Sobolev space, then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \|g^{(q)}\|_2^\alpha \|g'\|_2^\beta \|g\|_2^\gamma \\ & \leq 2\{C_1 w_1^{w_1} (1 - w_1)^{1-w_1} \lambda^{-w_1} n^{w_1-1} \\ & \quad + C_2 w_2^{w_2} (1 - w_2)^{1-w_2} \lambda^{-w_2} n^{w_2-1}\} \times \{\lambda \|g^{(m)}\|_2^2 + n \|g\|_2^2\}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S1.1})$$

where  $w_1 = (q\alpha + \beta)/(2q)$  and  $w_2 = \alpha/2$ .

We also need the next lemma, which is part of Theorem 6.25, Section 6.4 of Schumaker (2007).

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $f \in C^l([0, 1])$  with  $1 \leq q \leq \infty$  and  $1 \leq l \leq p$ . Let  $\kappa = \{0 = \kappa_1 \leq \dots \leq \kappa_K = 1\} \subseteq [0, 1]$ . Then there exists  $s \in \mathbb{S}_{\kappa, p+1}$  such that*

$$\|f^{(r)} - s^{(r)}\|_q \leq C \Delta^{l-r} \|f^{(l)}\|_q, \quad 0 \leq r \leq l - 1$$

and

$$\|s^{(p)}\|_\infty \leq C \omega_1(f^{(p)}, \Delta)_\infty$$

where

$$\omega_1(f^{(p)}, \Delta)_\infty = \sup\{|f^{(p)}(x) - f^{(p)}(y)| \mid 0 \leq x, y \leq 1, |x - y| \leq \Delta\},$$

$\Delta = \max_j |\kappa_{j+1} - \kappa_j|$  and  $C$  is a constant depending only on  $p$ .

## S2 Proof of Theorem 1

For simplicity, let  $C^i$ ,  $H^i$  and  $L^2$  denote  $C^i([0, 1])$ ,  $H^i((0, 1))$  and  $L^2((0, 1))$ .

The idea of our proof roots from Munteanu (1973). Let  $Z$  be the Hilbert space  $L^2 \times \mathbb{R}^n$ , with inner product defined by

$$\langle (g_1, z_{11}, \dots, z_{1n}), (g_2, z_{21}, \dots, z_{2n}) \rangle_Z = \lambda_n \int_0^1 g_1(x)g_2(x)dx + \sum_{i=1}^n z_{1i}z_{2i}.$$

Let  $L : H^q \rightarrow Z$  be the bounded linear map given by

$$Lg = (g^{(q)}, g(x_1), \dots, g(x_n)).$$

Note that  $L(H^q)$  and  $L\mathcal{S}_{\kappa_j, p+1}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$  are closed subspace of  $Z$ . Let  $h = (0, y_1, \dots, y_n) \in Z$ , then  $L\hat{f}_n$  is the orthogonal projection from  $h$  to  $L\mathcal{S}_{\kappa_n, p+1}$ . Let  $G$  be the injection from  $H^q$  to  $L^2$ . We need to give a upper bound for  $\|Gf - G\hat{f}_n\|$ . To begin with, see that

$$\|Gf_0 - G\hat{f}_n\| = \|Lf_0 - L\hat{f}_n\| \cdot \frac{\|Gf_0 - G\hat{f}_n\|}{\|Lf_0 - L\hat{f}_n\|} \leq \|Lf_0 - L\hat{f}_n\| \sup_{g \in H^q} \frac{\|Gg\|}{\|Lg\|}.$$

Firstly consider  $\sup_{g \in H^q} \|g\|_2 / \|Lg\|$ , which is

$$\sup_{g \in H^q} \frac{\|g\|_2}{\left\{ \lambda_n \|g^{(q)}\|_2^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n g^2(x_i) \right\}^{1/2}}.$$

Suppose  $\lambda_n \|g^{(q)}\|_2^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n g^2(x_i) = \lambda_n \|g^{(q)}\|_2^2 + n \int_0^1 g^2(x) dF(x) + \mathbf{I}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{I}| &= n \left| \sum_{i=1}^n g^2(x_i) - n \int_0^1 g^2(x) dF(x) \right| \\ &= n \left| \int_0^1 g^2(x) d(F_n - F)(x) \right| \\ &= n \left| \int_0^1 g'(x) g(x) \{F_n(x) - F(x)\} dx \right| \\ &\leq n \|F_n - F\|_\infty \|g'\|_2 \|g\|_2. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\|g\|_K = \left( \lambda_n \|g^{(q)}\|_2^2 + n \|g\|_2^2 \right)^{1/2}$ , by (S1.1),  $|\mathbf{I}| \leq C_3 \|F_n - F\|_\infty \lambda_n^{-1/(2q)} n^{1/(2q)} \|g\|_K$

for some constant  $C_3$ . If  $\|F_n - F\|_\infty \lambda_n^{-1/(2q)} n^{1/(2q)} \leq 1/(2C_3)$  then

$$\frac{1}{2} \min \left\{ 1, \min_{0 \leq x \leq 1} F(x) \right\} \leq \frac{\|Lg\|^2}{\|g\|_K^2} \leq \frac{3}{2} \max \left\{ 1, \max_{0 \leq x \leq 1} F(x) \right\}. \quad (\text{S2.1})$$

Since  $\|g\|_K \geq n^{1/2} \|g\|_2$ ,

$$\left( \sup_{g \in H^q} \frac{\|g\|_2}{\|Lg\|} \right)^2 \leq \frac{2}{n \min \{1, \min_{0 \leq x \leq 1} F(x)\}} \quad (\text{S2.2})$$

with the assumption above.

Now consider  $\|Lf_0 - L\hat{f}_n\|$ . Let  $Q_1 : Z \rightarrow LH^q$  and  $Q_2 : Z \rightarrow LS_{\kappa_n, p+1}$

be orthogonal projection, then  $L\hat{f}_n = Q_2h$  and  $Q_2 = Q_2Q_1$ . We have that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\|Lf_0 - L\hat{f}_n\right\|^2 &= \|Lf_0 - Q_2Lf_0\|^2 + \left\|Q_2Lf_0 - L\hat{f}_n\right\|^2 \\ &= \|Lf_0 - Q_2Lf_0\|^2 + \|Q_2Q_1Lf_0 - Q_2Q_1h\|^2 \\ &\leq \|Lf_0 - Q_2Lf_0\|^2 + \|Q_1Lf_0 - Q_1h\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

And by (S2.1),

$$\begin{aligned} \|Lf_0 - Q_2Lf_0\|^2 &= \inf_{g \in H^q} \|Lf_0 - Lg\|^2 \leq \|Lf_0 - Ls\|^2 \\ &= \lambda_n \|(f_0 - s)^{(q)}\|_2^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n (f_0 - s)^2(x_i) \\ &\leq \frac{3 \max_x F(x)}{2} \left\{ \lambda_n \|(f_0 - s)^{(q)}\|_2^2 + n \|f_0 - s\|_2^2 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2, there is  $s \in \mathbb{S}_{\kappa_n, p+1}$  such that

$$\left\|f_0^{(q)} - s^{(q)}\right\|_2 \leq \left\|f_0^{(q)} - s^{(q)}\right\|_\infty \leq C_4 \left\|f_0^{(q)}\right\|_\infty$$

and

$$\|f_0 - s\|_2 \leq C_4 \Delta_n^{2l_0} \left\|f_0^{(l_0)}\right\|_2$$

for some constant  $C_4$ , where  $l_0 = \min\{l, p+1\}$ . Thus there is some constant

$C_5$  so that

$$\|Lf_0 - Q_2Lf_0\|^2 \leq C_5 \left( \lambda_n \left\|f_0^{(q)}\right\|_\infty^2 + n \Delta_n^{2l_0} \left\|f_0^{(l_0)}\right\|_2^2 \right). \quad (\text{S2.3})$$

Notice that

$$\|Q_1Lf_0 - Q_1h\| = \sup_{g \in H^q} \frac{\langle Lg, Lf_0 - h \rangle_Z}{\|Lg\|}.$$

For all  $g \in H^q$ , we have

$$\langle Lg, Lf_0 - h \rangle_Z = \lambda_n \int_0^1 f_0^{(q)}(x)g^{(q)}(x)dx - \sum_{i=1}^n g(x_i)\varepsilon_i$$

and

$$\lambda_n \left| \int_0^1 f_0^{(q)}(x)g^{(q)}(x)dx \right| \leq \lambda_n \|f_0^{(q)}\|_2 \|g^{(q)}\|_2 \leq \lambda_n^{1/2} \|f_0^{(q)}\|_2 \|Lg\|$$

whenever  $\|F_n - F\|_\infty \lambda_n^{-1/(2q)} n^{1/(2q)} \leq 1/(2C_3)$ . And

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n g(x_i)\varepsilon_i \right| &= \int_0^1 g(x)dE_n(x) = g(1)E(1) - \int_0^1 g'(x)E_n(x)dx \\ &\leq M_n(\|g\|_\infty + \|g'\|_1). \end{aligned} \tag{S2.4}$$

By Lemma 1, there are constants  $C_6$  and  $C_7$  such that

$$\|g\|_\infty + \|g'\|_1 \leq C_6 \|g^{(q)}\|_2^{\frac{1}{2q}} \|g\|_2^{\frac{2q-1}{2q}} + C_7 \|g\|_2. \tag{S2.5}$$

And with the assumption of (S2.1), there is constants  $C_8$  such that

$$C_6 \|g^{(q)}\|_2^{\frac{1}{2q}} \|g\|_2^{\frac{2q-1}{2q}} + C_7 \|g\|_2 \leq C_8 \left( \lambda_n^{-\frac{1}{4q}} n^{-\frac{2q-1}{4q}} + n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \|Lg\|.$$

So

$$\|Q_1 Lf_0 - Q_1 h\| \leq \lambda_n^{1/2} \|f_0^{(q)}\|_2 + C_8 \left( \lambda_n^{-\frac{1}{4q}} n^{-\frac{2q-1}{4q}} + n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right).$$

Combining this inequality with (S2.2) and (S2.3) completes the proof The-

orem 1. □

### S3 Proof of Theorem 2

Let  $\tilde{L} : H^q \rightarrow Z$  be the bounded linear map given by

$$\tilde{L}g = (g^{(q)}, P_1g(x_1), \dots, P_n g(x_n)),$$

then  $\tilde{L}H^q$  and  $\tilde{L}S_{\kappa_j, p+1}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$  are closed subspace of  $Z$ . Let  $h =$

$(0, y_i) \in Z$ , then  $\tilde{L}\tilde{f}$  is the orthogonal projection from  $h$  to  $\tilde{L}S_{\kappa_n, p+1}$ . Let  $G$

be the injection from  $H^q$  to  $L^2$ . Again we have

$$\|Gf_0 - G\tilde{f}_n\| = \|\tilde{L}f_0 - \tilde{L}\tilde{f}_n\| \cdot \frac{\|Gf_0 - G\tilde{f}_n\|}{\|\tilde{L}f_0 - \tilde{L}\tilde{f}_n\|} \leq \|\tilde{L}f_0 - \tilde{L}\tilde{f}_n\| \sup_{g \in H^q} \frac{\|Gg\|}{\|\tilde{L}g\|}.$$

First we prove that

$$\sup_{g \in H^q} \frac{\|Gg\|}{\|\tilde{L}g\|} = O_p(n^{-1/2}). \quad (\text{S3.1})$$

Let  $m = \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ . The same proof as (S2.1) yields that

$$\|g\|_2^2 \leq \|g\|_K^2 \leq \frac{1}{n} \left( \lambda_n \|g^{(q)}\|_2^2 + \sum_{i=m}^n g^2(x_i) \right) O_p(1), \quad (\text{S3.2})$$

where the  $O_p(1)$  does not depend on  $g$ . The inequality (S3.1) holds as long

as we prove that

$$\sum_{i=m}^n g^2(x_i) - \sum_{i=m}^n (P_i g)^2(x_i) = \|g\|_K^2 O_p(1). \quad (\text{S3.3})$$

Put

$$\mathbf{\Pi} = \sum_{j=m}^n \int_0^1 \{(P_j g)^2(x) - g^2(x)\} dF(x)$$

and

$$\mathbf{III} = \sum_{j=m}^n (j-m+1) \int_0^1 \{(P_{j+1}g)^2(x) - (P_jg)^2(x)\} d\{\tilde{F}_j(x) - F(x)\}$$

where  $P_{n+1} = I$  and  $\tilde{F}_j = (jF_j - mF_m)/(j-m+1)$ , then  $\sum_{i=m}^n g^2(x_i) -$

$\sum_{i=m}^n (P_i g)^2(x_i) = \mathbf{II} + \mathbf{III}$ . Note that

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{II}| &\leq \max_x F(x) \sum_{j=m}^n \int_0^1 (P_j g(x) - g(x))(P_j g(x) + g(x)) dx \\ &\leq \max_x F(x) \sum_{j=m}^n \|g - P_j g\|_2 (\|g\|_2 + \|P_j g\|_2) \\ &\leq \max_x F(x) \sum_{j=m}^n \|g - P_j g\|_{H^1} (\|g\|_{H^1} + \|P_j g\|_{H^1}) \\ &\leq 2 \max_x F(x) \sum_{j=m}^n \|g - P_j g\|_{H^1} \|g\|_{H^1}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2,

$$\begin{aligned} \|g - P_j g\|_{H^1}^2 &= \inf_{s \in \mathbb{S}_{\kappa_j, p+1}} \left( \|g' - s'\|_2^2 + \|g - s\|_2^2 \right) \\ &\leq \Delta_j^{2q-2} \|g^{(q)}\|_2^2 + \Delta_j^{2q} \|g^{(q)}\|_2^2 \\ &\leq 2\Delta_j^{2q-2} \|g^{(q)}\|_2^2. \end{aligned} \tag{S3.4}$$

Then

$$|\mathbf{II}| \leq 4 \max_x F(x) \sqrt{2} \|g^{(q)}\|_2 (\|g\|_2 + \|g'\|_2) \sum_{j=m}^n \Delta_j^{q-1}.$$

By (S1.1) and the assumption that  $D_1 n^{1/(2q-1)} \leq \lambda_n \leq D_2 n^{1/(2q-1)}$  and

$\Delta_n = O_p(n^{-\nu})$  for some  $\nu > (2q-1)/\{(2q+1)(2q-3)\}$ , we have  $|\mathbf{II}| =$

$\|g\|_K^2 o_p(1)$ .



Next we prove  $|\mathbf{III}| = \|g\|_{K^2}^2 o_p(1)$ . Let  $u_j = (j - m + 1)\|\tilde{F}_j - F\|_\infty$ , one has  $u_j \leq j\|F_j - F\|_\infty + m\|F_m - F\|_\infty$ . Then  $Eu_j^2 = O(n)$ . Integration by parts yields that

$$|\mathbf{III}| = \sum_{j=m}^n u_j \int_0^1 \left| \frac{d}{dx} \{ (P_{j+1}g)^2(x) - (P_jg)^2(x) \} \right|^2 dx.$$

Let  $\delta_j = 1$  for  $\kappa_{j+1} \neq \kappa_j$  and  $\delta_j = 0$  for  $\kappa_{j+1} = \kappa_j$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &= \sum_{j=m}^n \delta_j u_j^2 \int_0^1 \{P_{j+1}g(x) + P_jg(x)\}^2 dx, \\ A_2 &= \sum_{j=m}^n \delta_j u_j^2 \int_0^1 \{(P_{j+1}g)'(x) + (P_jg)'(x)\}^2 dx, \\ A_3 &= \sum_{j=m}^n \int_0^1 \{P_{j+1}g(x) - P_jg(x)\}^2 dx, \\ A_4 &= \sum_{j=m}^n \int_0^1 \{(P_{j+1}g)'(x) - (P_jg)'(x)\}^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

By the Cauchy–Schwartz inequality,

$$|\mathbf{III}| \leq (A_1 A_4)^{1/2} + (A_2 A_3)^{1/2}.$$

Now

$$A_1 \leq \sum_{j=m}^n \delta_j u_j^2 (\|P_jg\|_2 + \|P_{j+1}g\|_2)^2.$$

Since  $\|P_jg\|_2^2 \leq \|P_jg\|_{H^1}^2 \leq \|g\|_{H^1}^2$ , we have  $A_1 \leq \|g\|_{H^1}^2 \sum_{j=m}^n \delta_j u_j^2$ . Similarly, with  $\|(P_jg)'\|_2^2 \leq \|P_jg\|_{H^1}^2 \leq \|g\|_{H^1}^2$ , we have  $A_2 \leq \|g\|_{H^1}^2 \sum_{j=m}^n \delta_j u_j^2$ .

Also by (S3.4),

$$\begin{aligned} A_3 &= \sum_{j=m}^n \|P_{j+1}g - P_jg\|_2^2 \leq \sum_{j=m}^n \|P_{j+1}g - P_jg\|_{H^1}^2 \\ &= \|g - P_mg\|_{H^1}^2 \leq 2\Delta_m^{2q-2} \|g^{(q)}\|_2^2, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} A_4 &= \sum_{j=m}^n \|(P_{j+1}g)' - (P_jg)'\|_2^2 \leq \sum_{j=m}^n \|P_{j+1}g - P_jg\|_{H^1}^2 \\ &= \|g - P_mg\|_{H^1}^2 \leq 2\Delta_m^{2q-2} \|g^{(q)}\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$|\mathbf{III}| \leq 2\sqrt{2} \left( \sum_{j=m}^n \delta_j u_j^2 \right)^{1/2} \Delta_m^{q-1} \|g\|_{H^1} \|g^{(q)}\|_2.$$

Assumption 5 gives that  $\Delta_n = O_p(n^{-\nu})$  and  $\sum_{j=m}^n \delta_j u_j^2 = o_p(n^{(2q-2)\nu+2q/(2q+1)})$ .

By inequality (S1.1) we have  $|\mathbf{III}| = \|g\|_K^2 o_p(1)$ . Thus we have proved

(S3.1).

Now we prove that  $\|\tilde{L}f_0 - \tilde{L}\tilde{f}\| = O_p(n^{1/(4q+2)})$ .

Let  $\tilde{Q}_1 : Z \rightarrow \tilde{L}H^q$  and  $\tilde{Q}_2 : Z \rightarrow \tilde{L}\mathbb{S}_{\kappa_n, p+1}$  be orthogonal projection, then  $\tilde{L}\tilde{f} = \tilde{Q}_2 h$  and  $\tilde{Q}_2 = \tilde{Q}_2 \tilde{Q}_1$ . We have that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{L}f_0 - \tilde{L}\tilde{f}\|^2 &= \|\tilde{L}f_0 - \tilde{Q}_2 \tilde{L}f_0\|^2 + \|\tilde{Q}_2 \tilde{L}f_0 - \tilde{Q}_2 h\|^2 \\ &\leq \|\tilde{L}f_0 - \tilde{Q}_2 \tilde{L}f_0\|^2 + \|\tilde{Q}_1 \tilde{L}f_0 - \tilde{Q}_1 h\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2 there exist a  $s \in \mathbb{S}_{\kappa_n, p+1}$  and constant  $C_9$  such that

$$\|f_0^{(k)} - s^{(k)}\|_2 \leq C_9 \Delta_n^{q+1-k} \|f_0^{(q+1)}\|_2, \quad k = 0, 1, q.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \tilde{L}f_0 - \tilde{Q}_2 \tilde{L}f_0 \right\|^2 &= \inf_{g \in H^q} \left\| \tilde{L}f_0 - \tilde{L}g \right\|^2 \leq \left\| \tilde{L}f_0 - \tilde{L}s \right\|^2 \\
&= \lambda_n \left\| (f_0 - s)^{(q)} \right\|_2^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n (P_i f_0 - P_i s)^2(x_i) \\
&\leq \lambda_n \left\| (f_0 - s)^{(q)} \right\|_2^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n \|P_i f_0 - P_i s\|_\infty^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 1 implies that  $\|g\|_\infty^2 \leq C_{10} \|g\|_{H^1}^2$  for some constant  $C_{10}$  and all  $g \in H^1$ . So

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \tilde{L}f_0 - \tilde{Q}_2 \tilde{L}f_0 \right\|^2 &\leq \lambda_n \left\| (f_0 - s)^{(q)} \right\|_2^2 + C_{10} \sum_{i=1}^n \|P_i f_0 - P_i s\|_{H^1}^2 \\
&\leq \lambda_n \left\| (f_0 - s)^{(q)} \right\|_2^2 + C_{10} n \|f_0 - s\|_{H^1}^2 \\
&\leq (C_9 \lambda_n \Delta_n + C_9 C_{10} n \Delta_n^{2q} + C_9 C_{10} n \Delta_n^{2q+2}) \left\| f_0^{(q+1)} \right\|_2^2 \\
&= O_p(n^{1/(2q+1)}).
\end{aligned}$$

It remains to show that

$$\left\| \tilde{Q}_1 \tilde{L}f_0 - \tilde{Q}_1 h \right\| = \sup_{g \in H^q} \frac{\left( \tilde{L}g, \tilde{L}f_0 - h \right)_Z}{\left\| \tilde{L}g \right\|} = O_p(n^{1/(4q+2)}).$$

Put

$$\mathbf{IV} = \lambda_n \int_0^1 f_0^{(q)}(x) g^{(q)}(x) dx, \quad \mathbf{V} = \sum_{i=1}^n P_i g(x_i) \{P_i f_0(x_i) - f(x_i)\}, \quad \mathbf{VI} = \sum_{i=1}^n P_i g(x_i) \varepsilon_i,$$

$$\text{then } \left( \tilde{L}g, \tilde{L}f_0 - h \right)_Z = \mathbf{IV} + \mathbf{V} - \mathbf{VI}.$$

The easy part is for  $\mathbf{IV}$ , where

$$|\mathbf{IV}| \leq \lambda_n \left\| f_0^{(q)} \right\|_2 \left\| g^{(q)} \right\|_2 \leq \lambda_n^{1/2} \left\| f_0^{(q)} \right\|_2 \left\| \tilde{L}g \right\| = O_p(n^{1/(4q+2)}) \left\| \tilde{L}g \right\|.$$

Using Cauchy's inequality, we have

$$\mathbf{V}^2 \leq \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n (P_i g)^2(x_i) \right\} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n (P_i f_0(x_i) - f(x_i))^2 \right\},$$

where  $\sum_{i=1}^n (P_i g)^2(x_i) \leq \left\| \tilde{L}g \right\|^2$ . And

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (P_i f_0(x_i) - f_0(x_i))^2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \|(I - P_i)f_0\|_\infty^2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \|(I - P_i)f_0\|_{H^1}^2.$$

By Lemma 2,

$$\|(I - P_i)f_0\|_{H^1}^2 = \inf_{e \in \mathbb{S}_{\kappa_i, p+1}} \|f_0 - e\|_{H^1}^2 \leq (\Delta_i^{2q} + \Delta_i^{2q+2}) \left\| f_0^{(q+1)} \right\|_2^2.$$

Because  $\Delta_i \geq \Delta_{i+1}$  and  $E\Delta_i = O(n^{-\nu})$ ,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (\Delta_i^{2q} + \Delta_i^{2q+2}) = O_p(n^{1/(2q+1)})$$

and  $\mathbf{V} = O_p(n^{1/(4q+2)}) \left\| \tilde{L}g \right\|$ .

Now we need to show that  $\mathbf{VI} / \left\| \tilde{L}g \right\| = O_p(n^{1/(4q+2)})$ . We have already seen in (S2.4) and (S2.5) that  $|\sum_{i=1}^n g(x_i)\varepsilon_i| = O_p(n^{1/(4q+2)})\|g\|_K$ , and in (S3.2) and (S3.3) that  $\|g\|_K = O_p(1) \left\| \tilde{L}g \right\|$ . It suffices to show that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (I - P_i)g(x_i)\varepsilon_i = O_p(n^{1/(4q+2)}) \left\| \tilde{L}g \right\|. \quad (\text{S3.5})$$

Let  $p_g(x)$  be the polynomial of degree at most  $q$  that  $\int_0^1 \{p_g(x) - g(x)\}dx = 0$  and  $p_g^{(k)}(1) - p_g^{(k)}(0) = g^{(k)}(1) - g^{(k)}(0)$  for  $k = 0, \dots, q-1$ .

Suppose  $g^{(q)} - p_g^{(q)}$  has the Fourier expansion

$$g^{(q)}(x) - p_g^{(q)}(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \cos(2\pi kx) + b_k \sin(2\pi kx),$$

$$g(x) - p_g(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (2\pi k)^{-2q} \{a_k \cos(2\pi kx) + b_k \sin(2\pi kx)\}.$$

Notice that  $(I - P_i)g = (I - P_i)(g - p_g)$  and  $\|g^{(q)}\|_2^2 \geq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (a_k^2 + b_k^2)$ , by

Cauchy-Schwartz inequality,

$$\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n (I - P_i)g(x_i)\varepsilon_i \right\}^2 \|g^{(q)}\|_2^{-2} \leq$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (2\pi k)^{-2q} \left[ \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^n (I - P_i) \cos(2\pi k \cdot)(x_i)\varepsilon_i \right\}^2 + \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n (I - P_i) \sin(2\pi k \cdot)(x_i)\varepsilon_i \right\}^2 \right].$$

(S3.6)

For all fixed function  $h$ ,

$$\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n (I - P_i)h(x_i)\varepsilon_i \right\}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (I - P_i)h^2(x_i)\varepsilon_i^2 + 2 \sum_{i < j} (I - P_i)h(x_i)(I - P_j)h(x_j)\varepsilon_i\varepsilon_j.$$

if  $(\varepsilon_i)_{i=1,2,\dots}$  are independent of  $(\kappa_i)_{i=1,2,\dots}$  and  $(x_i)_{i=1,2,\dots}$ , and pairwise uncorrelated, then for  $i < j$ ,

$$E(I - P_i)h(x_i)(I - P_j)h(x_j)\varepsilon_i\varepsilon_j = E(I - P_i)h(x_i)(I - P_j)h(x_j)E\varepsilon_i\varepsilon_j = 0,$$

otherwise if  $\varepsilon_j$  is independent of  $\kappa_i$  and  $x_i$  for  $i \leq j$  and  $(\varepsilon_i)_{i=1,2,\dots}$  are pairwise independent, then for  $i < j$ ,

$$E(I - P_i)h(x_i)(I - P_j)h(x_j)\varepsilon_i\varepsilon_j = E(I - P_i)h(x_i)(I - P_j)h(x_j)\varepsilon_i E\varepsilon_j = 0.$$

In either case  $\varepsilon_i$  is independent of  $(I - P_i)h^2(x_i)$ , so

$$E \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n (I - P_i)h(x_i)\varepsilon_i \right\}^2 = E \sum_{i=1}^n (I - P_i)h^2(x_i)\varepsilon_i^2 \leq \left( \sup_{i \geq 1} E\varepsilon_i^2 \right) E \sum_{i=1}^n (I - P_i)h^2(x_i).$$

Replace  $h$  with  $\cos(2\pi k \cdot)$ , for some constant  $C_{11}$ ,

$$|(I - P_i) \cos(2\pi k \cdot)(x_i)|^2 \leq \|(I - P_i) \cos(2\pi k \cdot)\|_{\infty}^2 \leq C_{11} \|(I - P_i) \cos(2\pi k \cdot)\|_{H^1}^2.$$

By (S3.4), for some constant  $C_{12}$ ,

$$\|(I - P_i) \cos(2\pi k \cdot)\|_{H^1}^2 \leq C_{12} \Delta_i^{2s-2} (2\pi k)^s, \quad s = 1, \dots, q,$$

and thus the above inequality holds for all  $s \in [1, q]$ , which means

$$|(I - P_i) \cos(2\pi k \cdot)(x_i)|^2 \leq C_{11} C_{12} \Delta_i^{2s-2} (2\pi k)^s \text{ for all } s \in [1, q].$$

The same argument applies to  $\sin(2\pi k \cdot)$ , so

$$|(I - P_i) \sin(2\pi k \cdot)(x_i)|^2 \leq C_{11} C_{12} \Delta_i^{2s-2} (2\pi k)^s \text{ for all } s \in [1, q].$$

Insert these and that  $\|g^{(q)}\|_2^2 \leq \|g\|_K^2 / \lambda_n$  into (S3.6), we get

$$E \sup_g \|g\|_K^{-2} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n (I - P_i)g(x_i)\varepsilon_i \right\}^2 \leq C_{11} C_{12} \lambda_n^{-1} \left( \sup_{i \geq 1} E\varepsilon_i^2 \right) E \sum_{i=1}^n \Delta_i^{2s-2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (2\pi k)^{2s-2q}.$$

Put  $s = 1 + (2q - 1) / \{2\nu(2q + 1)\}$ . Because  $\lambda_n \geq D_1 n^{1/(2q+1)}$  for some

$D_1 \in (0, \infty)$ ,  $\Delta_i \geq \Delta_{i+1}$  and  $E\Delta_i = O(n^{-\nu})$ ,

$$\lambda_n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \Delta_i^{2s-2} = O_p(n^{1/(2q+1)}).$$

Since  $\nu > (2q-1) / \{(2q+1)(2q-3)\}$ , we have  $2s-2q < -1$ , so  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (2\pi k)^{2s-2q}$

is some finite constant. And Assumption 4 asserts that  $\sup_{i \geq 1} E\varepsilon_i^2$  is finite.

Thus we have proved (S3.5), and our proof is complete.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

---

### References

Munteanu, M.-J. (1973). Generalized smoothing spline functions for operators. *SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis* **10**(1), 28–34.

Nirenberg, L. (2011). On elliptic partial differential equations. In S. Faedo (Ed.), *Il principio di minimo e sue applicazioni alle equazioni funzionali*, C.I.M.E. Summer Schools, pp. 1–48. Springer.

Schumaker, L. (2007). *Spline Functions: Basic Theory* (3 ed.). Cambridge Mathematical Library. Cambridge University Press.