Community Detection in Sparse Networks Using the Symmetrized Laplacian Inverse Matrix (SLIM)

Bing-Yi Jing¹, Ting Li², Ningchen Ying¹ and Xianshi Yu¹

¹Hong Kong University of Science and Technology and ²Hong Kong Polytechnic University

Supplementary Material

S1 Simulation with varying n.

We previously brought up an approximation approach SLIMappro to address the case where n is large and the calculation of \hat{W} is time consuming. In section 4.2.3, we examined this method by networks with n = 1200. Here, we provide more simulation results for the SLIMappro, demonstrating its performance when n is large.



(a) ERROR RATE with varying n.

(b) Time consumption in seconds on the SLIMappro.

Figure 1: Performance of the SLIMappro with networks of varying n: Networks are simulated from the SBM with K = 3, $\rho = 0$, $\pi = (1/3, 1/3, 1/3)$, $\lambda = 4$ and $\beta = 0.08$ with 20 repetitions; (a) reports the average missclassification rate of SLIMappro; (b) reports the average time consumption of SLIMappro in seconds.

S2 Proof of Theorem 3.1

For the SLIM with regularization, misclassification comes from two sources: the difference between M_{τ} and \hat{M}_{τ} and the randomness of the clustering method, i.e., k-means. For convenience, we omit the subscript τ in M_{τ} and \hat{M}_{τ} , and we use M_0 to specify the original one if needed.

S2.1 Misclassification Rate of K-means Algorithm

The following lemma describes the eigen-structure of M and is similar to Lemma 2.1 in Lei and Rinaldo (2015).

Lemma S2.1. Let the pair (Θ, B) parametrize the SBM with K communities, where B is of full rank. Let $\alpha < 1$, which makes $I - D^{-1}P\alpha$ invertible. Let UHU^T be the eigen-decomposition of M - I. Then $U = \Theta X$ where $X \in \mathbb{R}^{K \times K}$ and $||X_{k*} - X_{l*}|| = \sqrt{n_k^{-1} + n_l^{-1}}$ for all $1 \le k < l \le K$.

Proof. Clearly M - I is a block matrix of rank K. Let O be a $K \times K$ full rank matrix and

$$M - I = \Theta O \Theta^T = \Theta \Delta^{-1} \Delta O \Delta (\Theta \Delta^{-1})^T$$
 here $\Delta = diag(\sqrt{n_1}, \cdots, \sqrt{n_K})$

Let $ZHZ^T = \Delta O\Delta$ be the eigen-decomposition of $\Delta O\Delta$. Because $M - I = UHU^T$, we have $U = \Theta \Delta^{-1}Z$ and $X = \Delta^{-1}Z$. The rows of X are perpendicular to each other and the kth row has length $\|(\Delta Z)_{k*}\| = \sqrt{1/n_k}$. In addition, the eigenvector of Mis the same with M - I's.

Now, we bound the error of k-means by citing Lemma 5.3 in Lei and Rinaldo (2015).

Lemma S2.2. For $\varepsilon > 0$ and any two matrices $\hat{U}, U \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times K}$ such that $U = \Theta X$ with $\Theta \in \mathbb{F}_{n,K}, X \in \mathbb{R}^{K \times K}$, let $(\hat{\Theta}, \hat{X})$ be the $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -approximate solution to the k-means problem (see Kumar et al. (2004)), and $\bar{U} = \hat{\Theta}\hat{X}$. For any $\delta_k \leq \min_{l \neq k} ||X_{k*} - X_{l*}||$, define $S_k = \{i \in G_k(\Theta) : ||\bar{U}_{i*} - U_{i*}|| \geq \delta_k/2\}$, then

$$\sum_{k=1}^{K} |S_k| \delta_k^2 \le 4(4+2\varepsilon) \|\hat{U} - U\|_F^2.$$
(S2.1)

S2. PROOF OF THEOREM 3.1

Moreover, if

$$4(4+2\varepsilon)\|\hat{U}-U\|_{F}^{2}/\delta_{k}^{2} < n_{k} \quad for \ all \ k,$$
(S2.2)

then there exists a $K \times K$ permutation matrix J such that $\hat{\Theta}_{G*} = \Theta_{G*}J$, where $G = \bigcup_{k=1}^{K} (G_k \setminus S_k)$.

In the next lemma, similar to Lemma 5.1 in Lei and Rinaldo (2015), we bound $\|\hat{U} - U\|_F$ by $\|\hat{M} - M\|$. Here $\|F\|$ is the operator norm of matrix F.

Lemma S2.3. Assume that $M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is a symmetric matrix with singular value $\gamma_1 \geq \cdots \geq \gamma_n$. Let \hat{M} be any symmetric matrix and $\hat{U}, U \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times K}$ be the K leading eigenvectors of \hat{M} and M, respectively. Then there exists a $K \times K$ orthogonal matrix Q such that

$$\|\hat{U} - UQ\|_F \le \frac{2\sqrt{2K}}{|\gamma_K - \gamma_{K+1}|} \|\hat{M} - M\|.$$

Proof. The proof follows the lines of Lemma 5.1 in Lei and Rinaldo (2015) using the Davis-Kahan $\sin \Theta$ theorem, and hence omitted.

Remark S2.1. Under Condition 3.1, we can calculate the eigenvelues of M. It can be shown that the eigenvalues of $D^{-1}P$ are $\lambda_1 = 1, \lambda_2 = \cdots = \lambda_K = (a - b)(a + (K - 1)b + K\tau)^{-1}, \lambda_{K+1} = \cdots = \lambda_n = 0$. And the eigenvalues of M are $\gamma_1 = \alpha(1 - \alpha)^{-1} + 1, \gamma_2 = \cdots = \gamma_K = \alpha\lambda_2(1 - \alpha\lambda_2)^{-1} + 1, \gamma_{K+1} = \cdots = \gamma_n = 1$. So we have

$$\|\hat{U} - UQ\|_F \le \frac{2\sqrt{2K}}{\alpha} |1 - \alpha + \frac{Kb}{a-b} + \frac{K\tau}{a-b} |\|\hat{M} - M\|$$

S2.2 Concentration of \hat{M}

We now bound $||\hat{M} - M||$. Following Le et al. (2017), we handle the sparsity issue by separating nodes into core points, whose degree is close to the mean, and extreme points, which have a vary large or a very small degree. The main differences from Le et al. (2017) are: the random walk Laplacian matrix is asymmetric instead of symmetric; and we control the low degree nodes by adding a constant τ and the high degree nodes by replacing their degree by $c\tau$, here c is a sufficiently large constant.

We first bound $\|\tilde{M} - M\|$ by the corresponding difference of their random walk Laplacian matrices.

Lemma S2.4. If $\alpha < 1/\sqrt{c+1}$ then

$$\|\hat{M} - M\| \le \frac{\alpha}{(1 - \sqrt{c + 1}\alpha)^2} \|\hat{D}^{-1}A - D^{-1}P\|.$$

Proof. Using lemma S2.5 and the condition of α we have $\|\hat{D}^{-1}A\alpha\| \leq \sqrt{\hat{d}_{max}/\hat{d}_{min}}\alpha \leq \sqrt{(c+1)\tau/\tau}\alpha < 1$. Therefore $\|\hat{W}\| = \|(I - \hat{D}^{-1}A\alpha)^{-1}\| \leq (1 - \|\hat{D}^{-1}A\alpha\|)^{-1} \leq (1 - \sqrt{c+1}\alpha)^{-1}$. So

$$\begin{aligned} \|\hat{M} - M\| &\leq \|\hat{W} - W\| \\ &= \|\hat{W}(W^{-1} - \hat{W}^{-1})W\| \\ &\leq \alpha \|\hat{W}\| \|W\| \|\hat{D}^{-1}A - D^{-1}P\| \\ &\leq \frac{\alpha}{(1 - \sqrt{c + 1}\alpha)^2} \|\hat{D}^{-1}A - D^{-1}P\|. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma S2.5. Let $L(A) = \hat{D}^{-1}A$ be the transition matrix of A, and $d_{\max} = \max[D_{ii}], d_{\min} = \min[D_{ii}]$. Then

$$||L(A)|| \le \sqrt{d_{\max}/d_{\min}}.$$

Proof. From the definition of L(A) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|L(A)\| &= \|D^{-\frac{1}{2}}D^{-\frac{1}{2}}AD^{-\frac{1}{2}}D^{\frac{1}{2}}\| \\ &\leq \|D^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|\|D^{-\frac{1}{2}}AD^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|\|D^{\frac{1}{2}}\| \\ &\leq \sqrt{\frac{d_{max}}{d_{min}}}\|D^{-\frac{1}{2}}AD^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|. \end{aligned}$$

It can be easily checked that $||D^{-1/2}AD^{-1/2}|| = 1$. This completes the proof. \Box

Similar to Theorem 1.2 in Le et al. (2017), we can bound $\|\hat{D}^{-1}A - D^{-1}P\|$ as follows.

Lemma S2.6. Let A_0 be a random matrix generated from the SBM. For any C' > 0, there exists some C > 0 such that

$$\|\hat{D}^{-1}A - D^{-1}P\| \le C\sqrt{\frac{\log d}{d}}$$

with probability at least $1 - n^{-C'}$ uniformly over $\tau \in [C_1d, C_2d]$ for some sufficiently large constants C_1, C_2 , where $d = np_{max} + 1$ and $p_{max} = \max_{u \ge v} P_{uv}$.

S2. PROOF OF THEOREM 3.1

Proof. First, there is a set of nodes with degrees close to their expected degree. From Lemma S2.7 we can find a set J containing all but at most n/d nodes from [n] which satisfies:

$$\|(\hat{D}^{-1}A - D^{-1}P)_{JJ}\| \le C_3(\frac{\sqrt{d\log d}(d + 2\tau + \sqrt{d\log d})}{\tau^2}).$$

Now, let us deal with the residual. We consider nodes with a high degree in the original network first. By applying the SLIM with regularization, we have already changed the degree of these nodes to τ . From Lemma S2.9 we can find a set J_1 containing at most $n/(4\tau)$ nodes from [n] which satisfies:

$$\|(\hat{D}^{-1}A - \hat{D}^{-1}P)_{J_1 \times [n] \cup ([n] - J_1) \times J_1}\| \le \frac{C_4}{\sqrt{\tau}}$$

In addition, it is easy to show that $J \cap J_1 = \emptyset$. Let $J_2 = [n] - J_1 - J$. We have $\max\{\hat{d}_u : u \in J_2\} < 4\tau$. Then we decompose the left nodes into two blocks $J_2 \times [n]$ and $([n] - J_2) \times J_2$. The first block has at most n/d rows, so Lemma S2.10 indicates that

$$\|L(A_{\tau})_{J_{2}\times[n]}\| \leq \sqrt{\frac{\max\{\hat{d}_{u}: u \in J_{2}\} + \tau}{\min\{\hat{d}_{u}: u \in J_{2}\} + \tau}} \|\mathcal{L}(A_{\tau})_{J_{2}\times[n]}\| \leq 3(\frac{2}{\sqrt{d}} + \frac{\sqrt{40r\log d}}{\sqrt{\tau}}).$$

Similarly, from Lemma S2.11, we have

$$\|L(P_{\tau})_{J_{2}\times[n]}\| \leq \sqrt{\frac{\max\{d_{u}: u \in J_{2}\} + \tau}{\min\{d_{u}: u \in J_{2}\} + \tau}} \|\mathcal{L}(P_{\tau})_{J_{2}\times[n]}\| \leq 3(\frac{2}{\sqrt{d}} + \frac{2}{\sqrt{\tau}}).$$

As for $([n] - J_2) \times J_2$, we can bound it in the same way. Finally we complete the proof using the triangle inequality and taking $\tau = C_5 d$ with a sufficiently large constant $C_5 > 0$.

Lemma S2.7. For any C' > 0, there exists some C > 0 such that with probability at least $1 - n^{-C'}$, there exists a subset $J \subset [n]$ satisfying $n - |J| \le n/d$, $\max_{v \in J} |d_v - \hat{d}_v| \le C\sqrt{d\log d}$ and

$$\|(\hat{D}^{-1}A - D^{-1}P)_{JJ}\| \le C(\frac{\sqrt{d\log d}(d + 2\tau + \sqrt{d\log d})}{\tau^2}),$$

where $d = np_{max} + 1$.

Proof. The existance of such a subset J satisfying $n - |J| \le n/d$ and $\max_{v \in J} |d_v - \hat{d}_v| \le C\sqrt{d \log d}$ can be proved by Lemma 14 in Gao et al. (2017) from the beginning to inequation (85). Now let

$$A = (A_{\tau})_{JJ}, \quad \hat{D} = (\hat{D}_{\tau})_{JJ}, \quad P = (P_{\tau})_{JJ}, \quad D = (D_{\tau})_{JJ}.$$

We have $||D^{-1}|| \leq \frac{1}{\tau}$, $||P|| \leq d + \tau$. We also have

$$\|D^{-1} - \hat{D}^{-1}\| \le \max_{v \in J} |\frac{1}{d_v + \tau} - \frac{1}{\hat{d}_v + \tau}| \le \frac{C\sqrt{d\log d}}{\tau^2}.$$

Finally, we obtain

$$\begin{split} \|\hat{D}^{-1}A - D^{-1}P\| &= \|\hat{D}^{-1}A - D^{-1}A + D^{-1}A - D^{-1}P\| \\ &\leq \|\hat{D}^{-1} - D^{-1}\| \|A\| + \|D^{-1}\| \|A - P\| \\ &\leq \|\hat{D}^{-1} - D^{-1}\| (\|P\| + \|A - P\|) + \|D^{-1}\| \|A - P\| \\ &\leq C(\frac{\sqrt{d\log d}(d + 2\tau + \sqrt{d\log d})}{\tau^2}) \end{split}$$

for some constant C > 0. This completes the proof.

The following result is Lemma 11 in Gao et al. (2017).

Lemma S2.8. For any $\tau > C(1 + np_{max})$ with some sufficiently large C > 0, we have

$$|\{u \in [n] : d_u \ge \tau\}| \le \frac{n}{\tau}$$

with probability at least $1 - e^{-C'n}$ for some constant $C' \ge 0$.

Lemma S2.9. For any $\tau > Cd$ with some sufficiently large C > 0, $J_1 = \{u \in [n] : \hat{d}_u > \tau\}$, there exists a positive constant C_1 . We have

$$\|(\hat{D}^{-1}A - \hat{D}^{-1}P)_{J_1 \times [n] \cup ([n] - J_1) \times J_1}\| \le \frac{C_1}{\sqrt{\tau}},$$

with probability at least $1 - e^{-C'n}$ for some constant $C' \ge 0$.

Proof. From Lemma S2.8, $|J_1| \leq n/\tau$ with probability at least $1 - e^{-C'n}$ for some

$$\begin{aligned} \text{constant } C' \ge 0. \\ \| (\hat{D}^{-1}A - \hat{D}^{-1}P)_{J_1 \times [n] \cup ([n] - J_1) \times J_1} \| &\le \| (\hat{D}^{-1}A - \hat{D}^{-1}P)_{J_1 \times [n] \cup ([n] - J_1) \times J_1} \|_F \\ &\le \sqrt{2n|J_1|max(\frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{d_{i,j} + \tau}(P_{i,j} + \frac{\tau}{n}))} \\ &< \frac{\sqrt{2n}}{\sqrt{\tau}} (\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{\tau}(p_{max} + \frac{\tau}{n})) \\ &\le \frac{C_1}{\sqrt{\tau}} \end{aligned}$$

for some positive constant C_1 .

The following lemma is derived from Theorem 4.1 in Le et al. (2017).

Lemma S2.10. Let A_0 be a random matrix from the SBM. Then for any $r \geq 1$ the following holds with probability $1 - 2n^{-2r}$. Any sub-matrix $\mathcal{L}(A_{\tau})_{\mathcal{I}\times\mathcal{J}}$ of the regularized Laplacian $\mathcal{L}(A_{\tau})$ with at most n/d rows or columns satisfies

$$\|\mathcal{L}(A_{\tau})_{\mathcal{I}\times\mathcal{J}}\| \leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{d}} + \frac{\sqrt{40r\log d}}{\sqrt{\tau}} \text{ for any } \tau > 0.$$

Here $\mathcal{L}(A) = D^{-1/2}AD^{-1/2}$ is the symmetric normalized Laplacian of A.

Similarly, we can bound the Laplacian of the regularized P_{τ} .

Lemma S2.11. Let matrix P as assumption. Then any sub-matrix $\mathcal{L}(P_{\tau})_{\mathcal{I}\times\mathcal{J}}$ of the regularized Laplacian $\mathcal{L}(P_{\tau})$ with at most n/d rows or columns satisfies

$$\|\mathcal{L}(P_{\tau})_{\mathcal{I}\times\mathcal{J}}\| \leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{d}} + \frac{2}{\sqrt{\tau}} \text{ for any } \tau > 0.$$

Finally, we are ready to prove Theorem 3.1 now.

Proof. From Lemma S2.1 we have $UQ = \Theta XQ = \Theta X'$ where $||X'_{k*} - X'_{l*}|| = \sqrt{1/n_k + 1/n_l}$. Here Q is a $K \times K$ orthogonal matrix. Then, we choose $\delta_k = \sqrt{n_k^{-1} + \max\{n_l : l \neq k\}^{-1}}$ in Lemma S2.2 so that $n_k \delta_k^2 \ge 1$ for all k. We have $L(\hat{\Theta}_{\tau}, \Theta) \le \sum_{k=1}^{K} |S_k| (n_k^{-1} + \max\{n_l : l \neq k\}^{-1}) \le 4(4+2\varepsilon) ||\hat{U}_{\tau} - UQ||_F^2$. Then, using Lemma S2.3, we have $L(\hat{\Theta}_{\tau}, \Theta) \le C_1 ||\hat{M} - M||^2 (\gamma_{\tau,K} - \gamma_{\tau,K+1})^{-2}$ for some positive

constant C_1 . Following Lemma S2.4, we have $L(\hat{\Theta}_{\tau}, \Theta) \leq C \|\hat{D}^{-1}A - D^{-1}P\|(\gamma_{\tau,K} - \gamma_{\tau,K+1})^{-2}$. We obtain the final result by applying Lemma S2.6.

Bibliography

- Gao, C., Z. Ma, A. Y. Zhang, and H. H. Zhou (2017). Achieving optimal misclassification proportion in stochastic block models. *The Journal of Machine Learning Research* 18(1), 1980–2024.
- Kumar, A., Y. Sabharwal, and S. Sen (2004). A simple linear time (1+/spl epsiv/)approximation algorithm for k-means clustering in any dimensions. In Foundations of Computer Science, 2004. Proceedings. 45th Annual IEEE Symposium on, pp. 454–462. IEEE.
- Le, C. M., E. Levina, and R. Vershynin (2017). Concentration and regularization of random graphs. *Random Structures and Algorithms*.
- Lei, J. and A. Rinaldo (2015). Consistency of spectral clustering in stochastic block models. *The Annals of Statistics* 43(1), 215–237.

List of Notation

A	Adjacency matrix A , which is an $n \times n 0 -$
	1 symmetric matrix.

 $A_{\tau} \qquad A_{\tau} = A + \frac{\tau}{n} \mathbf{1} \mathbf{1}^{\mathbf{T}} \text{ and then set } A_{\tau J_{1*}} = \frac{\tau}{n} \text{ and } A_{\tau*J_{1}} = \frac{\tau}{n}.$

 $B K \times K ext{ matrix with } b_{ij} ext{ indicating the connecting probability between a pair of nodes from community } i ext{ and } j.$

- D The expected degree diagonal matrix which is equal to diag(P11).
- D_{τ} The expected regularized degree diagonal matrix which is equal to $diag(P_{\tau}\mathbf{11})$.
- $F_{\mathcal{I}*}$ For a matrix F and index sets $\mathcal{I} \subseteq [n]$, $F_{\mathcal{I}*}$ is the sub-matrix of F consisting of the corresponding rows.
- I_K The $K \times K$ identity matrix.

$$J_1 \qquad J_1 = \{ u \in [n] : d_u \ge \tau \}$$

- *K* Number of communities.
- L(F) For any matrix F, $L(F) = D_F^{-1}F$ which is the transition matrix of F.
- $L(\hat{\Theta}, \Theta)$ The overall proportion of misclassification nodes, $L(\hat{\Theta}, \Theta) = n^{-1} \min_{J \in E_{\kappa}} \|\hat{\Theta}J - \Theta\|_{0}.$

$$M \qquad M = \frac{1}{2}((I - D^{-1}P\alpha) + (I - D^{-1}P\alpha)^T).$$

$$M_{\tau} \qquad M_{\tau} = \frac{1}{2}((I - D_{\tau}^{-1}P_{\tau}\alpha) + (I - D_{\tau}^{-1}P_{\tau}\alpha)^T).$$

List of Notation

P	Edge probability matrix P , with $P =$
	$\Theta B \Theta^T$.
P_{τ}	$P_{\tau} = P + \frac{\tau}{n} 1 1^{\mathbf{T}}.$
Θ	Membership matrix, $\Theta \in \mathbb{F}_{n,K}$, and
	$\Theta_{i,g_i} = 1.$
α	$\alpha = e^{-\gamma}.$
\hat{D}	The degree diagonal matrix which is
	equal to $diag(A11)$.
$\hat{D}_{ au}$	The regularized degree diagonal matrix
	which equal to $diag(A_{\tau}11)$.
\hat{M}_{τ}	$\hat{M}_{\tau} = \frac{1}{2} ((I - \hat{D}_{\tau}^{-1} A_{\tau} \alpha) + (I - \hat{D}_{\tau}^{-1} A_{\tau} \alpha)^T).$
\hat{M}	$\hat{M} = \frac{1}{2}((I - \hat{D}^{-1}A\alpha) + (I - \hat{D}^{-1}A\alpha)^T).$
$\hat{\Theta}$	Estimated membership matrix, $\hat{\Theta} \in$
	$\mathbb{F}_{n,K}$.
\hat{d}_i	The degree of node i , which is $\hat{D}_{i,i}$.
$\hat{d}_{ au,i}$	The regularized degree of node i , which
	is $\hat{D}_{\tau,i,i}$.
$\mathbb{F}_{n,K}$	The collection of all $n \times K$ matrices where
	each row has only one 1 and $(K-1)$ 0's.
au	The regularization number which is in
	$[C_1d, C_2d]$ for relatively large positive C_1
	and C_2 .
d	$d = np_{max} + 1.$
diag(F)	For any matrix F , $diag(F)$ denotes
	the matrix obtained by setting all off-
	diagonal entries of F to 0.
n	Number of nodes.
n_i	Number of nodes belonging to commu-
	nity <i>i</i> .
p_{max}	$p_{max} = \max_{u > v} P_{uv}.$
1_{K}	The $K \times 1$ vector of 1's.