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## Supplementary Material

This note contains proofs of Lemma $1,2,3$ and convergence of $\mathcal{L}\left(H_{\Gamma}, H\right)$ in Onatski and Wang (2020) (OW in what follows).

## S1 Proof of Lemma 1

The definition of $\boldsymbol{C}$ yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\boldsymbol{\Gamma}-\boldsymbol{C}\|_{F}^{2} & =2 \sum_{k=1}^{T-1}(T-k)\left(c_{k}-\gamma_{k}\right)^{2} \\
& =2 \sum_{k=1}^{T-1} \frac{(T-k) k^{2}}{T^{2}}\left(\gamma_{k}-\gamma_{k-T}\right)^{2} \leq 8 \sum_{k=1}^{T-1} k \gamma_{k}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Recall that $\gamma_{k}$ are the Fourier coefficients of the spectral density $f(\omega)$, and that $f(\omega)$ in our case is continuous, and thus bounded and $L^{2}$, on $[0,2 \pi]$. Hence, for any $\delta>0$, there exists $K>0$ such that $\sum_{k>K} \gamma_{k}^{2} \leq \delta / 16$. Therefore,

$$
\|\boldsymbol{\Gamma}-\boldsymbol{C}\|_{F}^{2} \leq 8 K \sum_{k=1}^{K} \gamma_{k}^{2}+\delta T / 2 \leq \delta T
$$

for all sufficiently large $T$. Since $\delta>0$ is arbitrary, we obtain $\|\boldsymbol{\Gamma}-\boldsymbol{C}\|_{F}^{2}=$ $o(T)$.

## S2 Proof of convergence of $\mathcal{L}\left(H_{\Gamma}, H\right)$

The rank inequality together with (A.1) of OW yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}\left(H_{\Gamma}, \bar{H}_{\Gamma}\right) \leq 1 /(2 \sqrt{u}) . \tag{S2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further, inequality (A.2) and Lemma 1 of OW imply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\overline{\boldsymbol{A}}^{1 / 2} \boldsymbol{\Gamma} \overline{\boldsymbol{A}}^{1 / 2}-\overline{\boldsymbol{A}}^{1 / 2} \boldsymbol{C} \overline{\boldsymbol{A}}^{1 / 2}\right\|_{F}^{2} \leq u^{2}\|\boldsymbol{\Gamma}-\boldsymbol{C}\|_{F}^{2}=o(T) \tag{S2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any fixed $u$. By Corollary A. 41 of Bai and Silverstein (2010),

$$
\mathcal{L}\left(\bar{H}_{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}}, \bar{H}_{\boldsymbol{C}}\right)^{3} \leq \frac{1}{T}\left\|\overline{\boldsymbol{A}}^{1 / 2} \boldsymbol{\Gamma} \overline{\boldsymbol{A}}^{1 / 2}-\overline{\boldsymbol{A}}^{1 / 2} \boldsymbol{C} \overline{\boldsymbol{A}}^{1 / 2}\right\|_{F}^{2}
$$

Hence, (S2.2) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}\left(\bar{H}_{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}}, \bar{H}_{C}\right)=o(1) \tag{S2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any fixed $u$, as $T \rightarrow \infty$.

To bound $\mathcal{L}\left(\bar{H}_{C}, H_{u}\right)$, note that $\bar{H}_{C}$ is the ESD of $\overline{\boldsymbol{A}} \boldsymbol{C}$ because the eigenvalues of $\overline{\boldsymbol{A}} \boldsymbol{C}$ and $\overline{\boldsymbol{A}}^{1 / 2} \boldsymbol{C} \overline{\boldsymbol{A}}^{1 / 2}$ coincide. On the other hand, both $\overline{\boldsymbol{A}}$ and $\boldsymbol{C}$ are circulant matrices. Therefore, they are simultaneously diagonalizable by multiplication from the right by $\mathcal{F}^{*} / \sqrt{T}$ and from the left by $\mathcal{F} / \sqrt{T}$. Consider the spectral decomposition $\boldsymbol{C}=\mathcal{F}^{*} \boldsymbol{D}_{C} \mathcal{F} / T$ with

$$
\boldsymbol{D}_{\boldsymbol{C}}=\operatorname{diag}\left(d_{0}, d_{1}, \ldots, d_{T-1}\right)
$$

Then,

$$
\overline{\boldsymbol{A}} \boldsymbol{C}=\mathcal{F}^{*} \overline{\boldsymbol{D}}_{\boldsymbol{C}} \mathcal{F} / T
$$

with $\overline{\boldsymbol{D}}_{\boldsymbol{C}}$ being a diagonal matrix with the first diagonal element 0 and the $t+1$-th diagonal element $\left(1-\cos _{u} \omega_{t}\right)^{-1} d_{t} / 2$.

Recall that $f(\omega)$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\omega)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \gamma_{k} \exp (\mathrm{i} k \omega) \tag{S2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote by $\sigma_{T}(\omega)$ the Cesàro sum of this Fourier series

$$
\sigma_{T}(\omega)=\frac{1}{T} \sum_{k=0}^{T-1} f_{k}(\omega)
$$

where $f_{k}(\omega) \equiv \frac{1}{2 \pi} \sum_{s=-k}^{k} \gamma_{s} \exp (\mathrm{i} s \omega)$ are the partial sums of (S2.4). As shown by Lemma 4.3 of Tyrtyshnikov (1996), $d_{s}=2 \pi \sigma_{T}\left(\omega_{s}\right)$ for $s=$ $0, \ldots, T-1$. On the other hand, by Fejér's theorem (e.g. p. 91 of Rudin (1987)) Cesàro sums uniformly converge to $f(\omega)$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$ (because $f(\omega)$
is continuous under our assumptions). Therefore,

$$
\max _{s=0, \ldots, T-1}\left|d_{s}-2 \pi f\left(\omega_{s}\right)\right|=o(1)
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{s=1, \ldots, T-1}\left|\overline{\boldsymbol{D}}_{C, s s}-\frac{\pi f\left(\omega_{s}\right)}{1-\cos _{u} \omega_{s}}\right|=o(1) \tag{S2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

To establish the weak convergence of $\bar{H}_{C}$ to $H_{u}$, it is sufficient to show that, for any continuous function $g$ with bounded support

$$
\lim _{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{s=0}^{T-1} g\left(\overline{\boldsymbol{D}}_{\boldsymbol{C}, s s}\right)=\int g(x) \mathrm{d} H_{u}(x)
$$

But for any such function, (S2.5 yields

$$
\frac{1}{T} \sum_{s=0}^{T-1} g\left(\overline{\boldsymbol{D}}_{\boldsymbol{C}, s s}\right)=\frac{1}{T} \sum_{s=0}^{T-1} g\left(\frac{\pi f\left(\omega_{s}\right)}{1-\cos _{u} \omega_{s}}\right)+o(1)
$$

Furthermore, $g\left(\frac{\pi f\left(\omega_{s}\right)}{1-\cos _{u} \omega_{s}}\right)$, being a continuous function of $\omega$, is Riemann integrable, and thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{s=0}^{T-1} g\left(\overline{\boldsymbol{D}}_{\boldsymbol{C}, s s}\right) & =\lim _{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{s=0}^{T-1} g\left(\frac{\pi f\left(\omega_{s}\right)}{1-\cos _{u} \omega_{s}}\right) \\
& =\int_{0}^{2 \pi} g\left(\frac{\pi f(\omega)}{1-\cos _{u} \omega}\right) \mathrm{d} \omega=\int g(x) \mathrm{d} H_{u}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $\bar{H}_{C}$ is indeed weakly converging to $H_{u}$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$, and hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}\left(\bar{H}_{C}, H_{u}\right)=o(1) \tag{S2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any fixed $u$, as $T \rightarrow \infty$.

Finally, by definition,

$$
H_{u}(x)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \mu\left(\omega \in(0,2 \pi): \frac{\pi f(\omega)}{1-\cos _{u} \omega} \leq x\right)
$$

and

$$
H(x)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \mu\left(\omega \in(0,2 \pi): \frac{\pi f(\omega)}{1-\cos \omega} \leq x\right)
$$

But $\cos _{u} \omega \neq \cos \omega$ may only hold for

$$
\omega \leq \pi /(2 \sqrt{u}) \text { or } \omega \geq 2 \pi-\pi /(2 \sqrt{u})
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}\left(H_{u}, H\right) \leq \sup _{x}\left|H(x)-H_{u}(x)\right| \leq 1 /(2 \sqrt{u}) \tag{S2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (S2.1), (S2.3), (S2.6), and (S2.7), and noting that $u>0$ can be arbitrarily large, we conclude that $\mathcal{L}\left(H_{\Gamma}, H\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$.

## S3 Proof of Lemma 2

Let us show that Lemma 2 follows from Theorem 1.1 of Bai and Zhou (2008).

Let $\boldsymbol{W}=\overline{\boldsymbol{A}}^{1 / 2} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{1 / 2}$, and let $Z_{k}$ be the $k$-th column of $\boldsymbol{W} \boldsymbol{\eta}^{\prime}$. Then,

$$
\mathbb{E} Z_{i k} Z_{l k}=\operatorname{Cov}\left(Z_{i k}, Z_{l k}\right)=\left(\overline{\boldsymbol{A}}^{1 / 2} \boldsymbol{\Gamma} \overline{\boldsymbol{A}}^{1 / 2}\right)_{i l} \equiv t_{i l},
$$

which is independent from $k$. Moreover,

$$
\left\|\overline{\boldsymbol{A}}^{1 / 2} \boldsymbol{\Gamma} \overline{\boldsymbol{A}}^{1 / 2}\right\| \leq u\|\boldsymbol{\Gamma}\| \leq 2 u\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\left|\theta_{j}\right|\right)^{2}<\infty
$$

(see, e.g. p. 434 of Bai and Zhou (2008)). By (S2.3) and (S2.6), the ESD of $\overline{\boldsymbol{A}}^{1 / 2} \boldsymbol{\Gamma} \overline{\boldsymbol{A}}^{1 / 2}$ (which is the same as that of $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{1 / 2} \overline{\boldsymbol{A}} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{1 / 2}$ ) converges to $H_{u}$. The only assumption of Theorem 1.1 of Bai and Zhou (2008) left to verify is that for any non-random $T \times T$ matrix $\boldsymbol{B}$ with bounded norm,

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(Z_{k}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{B} Z_{k}-\operatorname{tr}\left(\boldsymbol{B} \overline{\boldsymbol{A}}^{1 / 2} \boldsymbol{\Gamma} \overline{\boldsymbol{A}}^{1 / 2}\right)\right)^{2}=o\left(T^{2}\right) .
$$

Let $\overline{\boldsymbol{B}}=\overline{\boldsymbol{A}}^{1 / 2} \boldsymbol{B} \overline{\boldsymbol{A}}^{1 / 2}$. Clearly, for any fixed $u>0, \overline{\boldsymbol{B}}$ has a bounded norm as long as $\boldsymbol{B}$ has a bounded norm. On the other hand, $Z_{k}=\overline{\boldsymbol{A}}^{1 / 2} \varepsilon_{k}$, where $\varepsilon_{k}$ is the transpose of the $k$-th row of $\varepsilon$. Hence, it is sufficient to show that

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(\varepsilon_{k}^{\prime} \overline{\boldsymbol{B}} \varepsilon_{k}-\operatorname{tr}(\overline{\boldsymbol{B}} \boldsymbol{\Gamma})\right)^{2}=o\left(T^{2}\right) .
$$

But this fact was established in Bai and Zhou (2008, p. 435). To summarize, all conditions of Theorem 1.1 Bai and Zhou (2008) are satisfied and thus, $\bar{F}_{n, T}$ a.s. weakly converges to $F_{u}$ as $n, T \rightarrow_{c} \infty$. This completes the proof.

## S4 Proof of Lemma 3

Recall that $T_{\gamma}$ is defined as the smallest integer s.t. $n / T_{\gamma} \leq \gamma$. Let $T=$ $T_{\infty}>T_{\gamma}$ and let $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ be an $n \times T$ matrix with i.i.d. $N(0,1)$ entries. Consider a partition $\boldsymbol{\xi}=\left[\boldsymbol{\xi}_{\gamma}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_{\infty}\right]$, where $\boldsymbol{\xi}_{\gamma}$ and $\boldsymbol{\xi}_{\infty}$ are $n \times T_{\gamma}$ and $n \times\left(T_{\infty}-T_{\gamma}\right)$ respectively. Further, let $\boldsymbol{\Delta}$ be defined similarly to $\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{\gamma}$ with $T_{\gamma}$ replaced by
$T$ and partition $\boldsymbol{\Delta}=\operatorname{diag}\left[\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{1}, \boldsymbol{\Delta}_{2}\right]$, where $\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{1}$ is $T_{\gamma} \times T_{\gamma}$. Then we have

$$
\boldsymbol{M}_{n, T_{\gamma}}=\frac{n}{\left(T_{\gamma}+1\right)^{2}} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\Delta}_{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{\gamma}^{\prime} \text { and } \boldsymbol{M}_{n, T_{\infty}}=\frac{n}{\left(T_{\infty}+1\right)^{2}}\left(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\Delta}_{1} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{\gamma}^{\prime}+\boldsymbol{\xi}_{\infty} \boldsymbol{\Delta}_{2} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{\infty}^{\prime}\right)
$$

Hence,

$$
\boldsymbol{M}_{n, T_{\infty}}-\boldsymbol{M}_{n, T_{\gamma}}=n \boldsymbol{\xi}_{\gamma}\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{1}}{\left(T_{\infty}+1\right)^{2}}-\frac{\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{\gamma}}{\left(T_{\gamma}+1\right)^{2}}\right) \boldsymbol{\xi}_{\gamma}^{\prime}+n \frac{\boldsymbol{\xi}_{\infty} \boldsymbol{\Delta}_{\boldsymbol{2}} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{\infty}^{\prime}}{\left(T_{\infty}+1\right)^{2}}
$$

First, consider $n \boldsymbol{\xi}_{\gamma}\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{1}}{\left(T_{\infty}+1\right)^{2}}-\frac{\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{\gamma}}{\left(T_{\gamma}+1\right)^{2}}\right) \boldsymbol{\xi}_{\gamma}^{\prime}$. Recall that the diagonal elements of $\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{1}$ have form $\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\cos \pi j /\left(T_{\infty}+1\right)\right)^{-1}$ for $j \leq T_{\gamma}$. The diagonal elements of $\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{\gamma}$ have a similar form with $T_{\infty}$ replaced by $T_{\gamma}$. Since

$$
\cos x=1-\frac{1}{2} x^{2}+\frac{1}{4!} x^{4} \cos t
$$

for some $t \in[0, x]$, we have

$$
\frac{1}{2\left(T_{\infty}+1\right)^{2}}\left(1-\cos \pi j /\left(T_{\infty}+1\right)\right)^{-1}=\frac{1}{(\pi j)^{2}}\left(1-\frac{\cos t}{12} \frac{(\pi j)^{2}}{\left(T_{\infty}+1\right)^{2}}\right)^{-1}
$$

for some $t \in[0, \pi]$ and hence

$$
\frac{1}{2\left(T_{\infty}+1\right)^{2}}\left(1-\cos \pi j /\left(T_{\infty}+1\right)\right)^{-1}-\frac{1}{(\pi j)^{2}}=\frac{\cos t}{12\left(T_{\infty}+1\right)^{2}}\left(1-\frac{\cos t}{12} \frac{(\pi j)^{2}}{\left(T_{\infty}+1\right)^{2}}\right)^{-1} .
$$

Since $j \leq T_{\gamma}<T_{\infty}$, we have

$$
1-\frac{\cos t}{12} \frac{(\pi j)^{2}}{\left(T_{\infty}+1\right)^{2}}>1-\frac{\pi^{2}}{12}>\frac{1}{12}
$$

and thus

$$
\left|\frac{1}{2\left(T_{\infty}+1\right)^{2}}\left(1-\cos \pi j /\left(T_{\infty}+1\right)\right)^{-1}-\frac{1}{(\pi j)^{2}}\right|<\frac{1}{T_{\infty}^{2}} .
$$

The inequality holds similarly for the elements of $\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{\gamma}$,

$$
\left|\frac{1}{2\left(T_{\gamma}+1\right)^{2}}\left(1-\cos \pi j /\left(T_{\gamma}+1\right)\right)^{-1}-\frac{1}{(\pi j)^{2}}\right|<\frac{1}{T_{\gamma}^{2}}
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
\left|\frac{1}{2\left(T_{\infty}+1\right)^{2}}\left(1-\cos \pi j /\left(T_{\infty}+1\right)\right)^{-1}-\frac{1}{2\left(T_{\gamma}+1\right)^{2}}\left(1-\cos \pi j /\left(T_{\gamma}+1\right)\right)^{-1}\right|<\frac{2}{T_{\gamma}^{2}} .
$$

To summarize,

$$
\left\|n \frac{\boldsymbol{\xi}_{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\Delta}_{1} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{\gamma}^{\prime}}{\left(1+T_{\infty}\right)^{2}}-n \frac{\boldsymbol{\xi}_{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\Delta}_{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{\gamma}}{\left(1+T_{\gamma}\right)^{2}}\right\|<\left\|2 \frac{n}{T_{\gamma}} \frac{\boldsymbol{\xi}_{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{\gamma}^{\prime}}{T_{\gamma}}\right\|<4 \gamma .
$$

with high probability for sufficiently small $\gamma$. The last inequality is due to the fact that the largest eigenvalue of $\frac{\xi_{\gamma} \xi_{\gamma}^{\prime}}{T_{\gamma}}$ a.s. converges to $(1+\sqrt{\gamma})^{2}$.

Next consider the component $n \frac{\xi_{\infty} \Delta_{2} \xi_{\infty}^{\prime}}{\left(T_{\infty}+1\right)^{2}}$. Since $1-\cos x>x^{2} / 6$ for $x \in[0, \pi]$, we have

$$
2\left(T_{\infty}+1\right)^{2}\left(1-\cos \pi j /\left(T_{\infty}+1\right)\right)>(\pi j)^{2} / 3
$$

Partition $\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{2}$ as $\operatorname{diag}\left[\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{2,1}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{\Delta}_{2,\left(T_{\infty}-T_{\gamma}\right) / T_{\gamma}}\right]$ where each $\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{2, i}$ is $T_{\gamma}$-dimensional.
(We can choose $T_{\infty}$ so that $\left(T_{\infty}-T_{\gamma}\right) / T_{\gamma}$ is an integer, so such a representation is possible.) Using the fact that the diagonal elements of $\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{2, i} /\left(T_{\infty}+1\right)^{2}$ have form

$$
\frac{1}{2\left(T_{\infty}+1\right)^{2}\left(1-\cos \pi j /\left(T_{\infty}+1\right)\right)}
$$

with $j=i T_{\gamma}+1, \cdots,(i+1) T_{\gamma}-1$, we find that the upper bound on the
diagonal elements of $\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{2, i} /\left(T_{\infty}+1\right)^{2}$ equals

$$
\frac{1}{2\left(T_{\infty}+1\right)^{2}\left(1-\cos i T_{\gamma} \pi /\left(T_{\infty}+1\right)\right)},
$$

which is no larger than $3 /\left(i \pi T_{\gamma}\right)^{2}$.
Partition $\boldsymbol{\xi}_{\infty}$ conformably with $\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{2}$ so that $\boldsymbol{\xi}_{\infty}=\left[\boldsymbol{\xi}_{\infty, 1}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{\xi}_{\infty,\left(T_{\infty}-T_{\gamma}\right) / T_{\gamma}}\right]$.
Then, from the above, we have

$$
\left\|n \frac{\boldsymbol{\xi}_{\infty} \boldsymbol{\Delta}_{2} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{\infty}^{\prime}}{\left(T_{\infty}+1\right)^{2}}\right\| \leq \frac{3 n}{\pi^{2} T_{\gamma}} \sum_{i=1}^{\left(T_{\infty}-T_{\gamma}\right) / T_{\gamma}} \frac{1}{i^{2}}\left\|\frac{\boldsymbol{\xi}_{\infty, i} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{\infty, i}^{\prime}}{T_{\gamma}}\right\|
$$

The Gaussian concentration inequality for the singular values of a rectangular matrix with i.i.d. Gaussian entries (see Theorem II. 13 of Davidson and Szarek (2001)) implies that, for any $t>0$,

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\left\|\frac{\boldsymbol{\xi}_{\infty, i} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{\infty, i}^{\prime}}{T_{\gamma}}\right\| \geq\left(1+\sqrt{\frac{n}{T_{\gamma}}}+t\right)^{2}\right)<\exp \left(-\frac{T_{\gamma} t^{2}}{2}\right)
$$

Taking $t=i^{1 / 4}$, we then have

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{\left(T_{\infty}-T_{\gamma}\right) / T_{\gamma}} \mathbb{P}\left(\left\|\frac{\boldsymbol{\xi}_{\infty, i} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{\infty, i}^{\prime}}{T_{\gamma}}\right\| \geq\left(1+\sqrt{\frac{n}{T_{\gamma}}}+i^{1 / 4}\right)^{2}\right)<\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \exp \left(-\frac{T_{\gamma} i^{1 / 2}}{2}\right)
$$

Clearly, the right hand side of the above inequality can be made arbitrarily small by choosing sufficiently large $T_{\gamma}$. Therefore, with large probability, for sufficiently large $T_{\gamma}$, all $\left\|\frac{\xi_{\infty,,} \xi_{\infty, i}^{\prime}}{T_{\gamma}}\right\|$ are smaller than $\left(1+\sqrt{\frac{n}{T_{\gamma}}}+i^{1 / 4}\right)^{2}$ and

$$
\left\|n \frac{\boldsymbol{\xi}_{\infty} \Delta_{2} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{\infty}^{\prime}}{\left(T_{\infty}+1\right)^{2}}\right\| \leq \frac{3 n}{\pi^{2} T_{\gamma}} \sum_{i=1}^{\left(T_{\infty}-T_{\gamma}\right) / T_{\gamma}} \frac{\left(1+\sqrt{\frac{n}{T_{\gamma}}}+i^{1 / 4}\right)^{2}}{i^{2}} \leq K \gamma
$$

for some constant $K$ that does not depend on $\gamma \in(0,1)$. This completes the proof.
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