

## On the Efficiency of Online Approach to Nonparametric Smoothing of Big Data

<sup>1</sup>*University of Electronic Science and Technology, China*

<sup>2</sup>*National University of Singapore, Singapore*

### Supplementary Material

**Proof of Lemma 2.2** We prove the case where  $p = 1$  to illustrate. Multivariate analogy follows through exactly the same arguments with notations replaced by their multivariate counterparts. First note that

$$E_i[K_{\tilde{h}_i}(\mathbf{X}_{ix})] = \int K(\mathbf{t})f(\mathbf{x} + \tilde{h}_i\mathbf{t})d\mathbf{t} \equiv f(\mathbf{x}) + b_i(\mathbf{x}),$$

uniformly in  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ , where  $b_i(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2}f^{(2)}(\mathbf{x})\tilde{h}_i^2 + O(h_i^3)$ . Write  $v_i(\mathbf{x}) = K_{\tilde{h}_i}(\mathbf{X}_{ix}) - E[K_{\tilde{h}_i}(\mathbf{X}_{ix})]$  and we have  $E\{v_i(\mathbf{x})\}^2 = \tilde{h}_i^{-1}f(\mathbf{x})R_2(K) + O(\tilde{h}_i)$  again uniformly in  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} E\{|\tilde{f}_n(\mathbf{x}|\tilde{h}_n) - f(\mathbf{x})|^2\} &= \frac{1}{n^2} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n b_i(\mathbf{x}) \right)^2 + \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n E\{v_i^2(\mathbf{x})\} \\ &= \frac{25c^4}{36} \{f^{(2)}(\mathbf{x})\}^2 n^{-4\alpha} + \frac{5}{6c} f(\mathbf{x}) R_2(K) n^{\alpha-1} + o(n^{-4\alpha} + n^{\alpha-1}) \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality followed from the following facts

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n b_i(\mathbf{x}) &= \frac{c^2}{2} f^{(2)}(\mathbf{x}) \sum_{i=1}^n i^{-2\alpha} (1 + o(1)) \\ &= \frac{c^2}{2} f^{(2)}(\mathbf{x}) n^{1-2\alpha} \int_0^1 t^{-2\alpha} dt (1 + o(1)) = \frac{c^2 f^{(2)}(\mathbf{x})}{2(1-2\alpha)} n^{1-2\alpha} (1 + o(1)), \\ \sum_{i=1}^n E\{v_i^2(\mathbf{x})\} &\propto c^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n i^\alpha = c^{-1} n^{1+\alpha} \int_0^1 t^\alpha dt (1 + o(1)) = \frac{1}{c(1+\alpha)} n^{1+\alpha} (1 + o(1)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, to minimize the asymptotic MSE, we must have

$$\alpha = 1/5, \quad c = (0.3)^{1/5} [f(\mathbf{x}) R_2(K) / \{f^{(2)}(\mathbf{x})\}^2]^{1/5}.$$

The proof is complete. □

**Proof of Lemma 2.3** Write  $c_b = \frac{1}{2} c^2 f^{(2)}(\mathbf{x})$  and  $c_v = c^{-p} R_2(K) f(\mathbf{x})$ .

Therefore,

$$\sum_{n=1}^N E\{|\tilde{f}_n(\mathbf{x})|\tilde{h}_n - f(\mathbf{x})|^2\} = \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{n^2} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n b_i \right\}^2 + \sum_{n=1}^N n^{-2} \sum_{i=1}^n E\{v_i\}^2$$

where

$$\sum_{i=1}^n b_i = c_b \sum_{i=1}^n i^{-2\alpha}, \quad \sum_{i=1}^n E\{v_i\}^2 = c_v \sum_{i=1}^n i^{p\alpha}.$$

We again only prove the case where  $p = 1$ . First note that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{n^{2\alpha-1}}{i^{2\alpha}} &\in \left[ \int_{2/n}^{1+1/n} x^{-2\alpha} dx, \int_{1/n}^1 x^{-2\alpha} dx \right] = \frac{1}{1-2\alpha} \left[ \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^{1-2\alpha} - \left(\frac{2}{n}\right)^{1-2\alpha}, 1 - \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{1-2\alpha} \right], \\ \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{n^{1+2\alpha}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^{1-2\alpha} &\in \left[ \int_2^{N+1} x^{-2}(1+x)^{1-2\alpha} dx, \int_1^N x^{-2}(1+x)^{1-2\alpha} dx \right], \\ \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{n^{1+2\alpha}} \left(\frac{2}{n}\right)^{1-2\alpha} &\in 2^{2-2\alpha} \times [3/4, 1], \quad \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{n^{1+2\alpha}} \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{1-2\alpha} \in [1/2, 1], \\ \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{n^{1+2\alpha}} &\in \left[ \int_2^{N+1} x^{-1-2\alpha} dx, \int_1^N x^{-1-2\alpha} dx \right] \in \frac{1}{2\alpha} \left[ 2^{-2\alpha} - (N+1)^{-2\alpha}, 1 - N^{-2\alpha} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

As  $N \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\sum_{n=1}^N n^{-1-2\alpha} \left(1 - 1/n\right)^{1-2\alpha} \in \left[ \frac{1}{2\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2\alpha} - 1, \frac{1}{2\alpha} - \frac{1}{2} \right],$$

whence

$$\sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n b_i = \left(\frac{1}{2\alpha} - 1\right) (1 + o(1)), \quad (\text{S0.1})$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^N n^{-2} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n b_i \right\}^2 = \frac{c_b^2}{(1-2\alpha)^2(1-4\alpha)} N^{1-4\alpha} (1 + o(1)). \quad (\text{S0.2})$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n i^\alpha / n^{1+\alpha} &\in \left[ \int_{1/n}^1 x^\alpha dx, \int_{2/n}^{(n+1)/n} x^\alpha dx \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{1+\alpha} \left[ 1 - \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{1+\alpha}, \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^{1+\alpha} - \left(\frac{2}{n}\right)^{1+\alpha} \right] \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^N n^{\alpha-1} &\propto \alpha^{-1} N^\alpha \left[1 - \left(\frac{2}{N}\right)^\alpha\right]; \quad \sum_{n=1}^N n^{\alpha-1} \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{1+\alpha} \in (1/2, 1), \\ \sum_{n=1}^N n^{\alpha-1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^{1+\alpha} &= \sum_{n=1}^N n^{-2} (n+1)^{1+\alpha} \in \left[\sum_{n=1}^N n^{\alpha-1}, \sum_{n=1}^N (n+1)^{\alpha-1}\right], \\ \sum_{n=1}^N n^{\alpha-1} / N^\alpha &\in \left[\int_{1/N}^{1+1/N} x^{\alpha-1} dx, \int_0^1 x^{\alpha-1} dx\right] \rightarrow \alpha^{-1} \quad (N \rightarrow \infty). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_{n=1}^N n^{-2} \sum_{i=1}^n E\{v_i\}^2 = \frac{c_v}{\alpha(\alpha+1)} N^\alpha (1 + o(1)). \quad (\text{S0.3})$$

Combining (S0.1)-(S0.3), we see that (S0.1) will be negligible compared to the other two, with  $n_0/N \rightarrow 0$ , whence the  $\alpha$  which maximizes the sum of these three terms, also maximizes the sum of (S0.2) and (S0.3). Therefore, in general, the leading term of  $MSE(N, \alpha, c)$  is given by

$$\frac{c_b^2}{(1-2\alpha)^2(1-4\alpha)} N^{-4\alpha} + \frac{c_v}{p\alpha(p\alpha+1)} N^{p\alpha-1}.$$

Although the coefficients also vary with  $\alpha$ , this does not change the fact that provided that  $N$  is large enough, the  $\alpha$  which minimizes the above quantity is  $1/(p+4)$ . As for the optimal value for  $c$ , note that the  $c$  minimizes the above quantity for any given value of  $\alpha$  is given by

$$\left( \frac{R_2(K)f(\mathbf{x})(1-2\alpha)^2(1-4\alpha)p}{p\alpha(p\alpha+1)[tr\{\mathcal{H}_f(\mathbf{x})\}]^2} \right)^{1/(p+4)}.$$

The proof is thus complete by setting  $\alpha = 1/(p+4)$ . □

**Proof of Lemma 2.4** Define

$$b_n = n^{2\alpha-1} \sum_{i=1}^n i^{-2\alpha} (1 - \theta_i)^2, \quad v_n = n^{-1-p\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^n i^{p\alpha} (1 - \theta_i)^{-1}.$$

The online estimator with bandwidth  $\tilde{h}_i = c(1 - \theta_i)i^{-\alpha}$  then has its AMSE given by

$$\frac{c^4}{4} \{f^{(2)}(\mathbf{x})\}^2 n^{-4\alpha} b_n^2 + c^{-p} f(\mathbf{x}) R_2(K) n^{p\alpha-1} v_n,$$

which, with  $c$  chosen optimally, turns out to be

$$\frac{p+4}{4} p^{-p/(4+p)} [f(\mathbf{x}) R_2(K)]^{4/(4+p)} \{f^{(2)}(\mathbf{x})\}^{2p/(p+4)} v_n^{4/(4+p)} b_n^{2p/(p+4)}.$$

Therefore, its relative efficiency against the off-line estimator is given by

$$\lim_n v_n^{4/(4+p)} b_n^{2p/(p+4)}.$$

This together with the facts that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n i^{-2\alpha} (1 - \theta_i(a))^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n i^{-2\alpha} (1 + o(1)), \quad \sum_{i=1}^n i^{p\alpha} (1 - \theta_i(a))^{-1} = \sum_{i=1}^n i^{p\alpha} (1 + o(1)),$$

means that the relative efficiency is identical to that suggested by Lemma

2.2. □

**Proof of Lemma 2.5** First note that by the definition of  $w_{N,n}$ , we easily

see that

$$\tilde{f}_N(\mathbf{x} | \tilde{h}_N, \beta_N) = \sum_{n=1}^N w_{N,n} K_{\tilde{h}_n}(\mathbf{X}_n - \mathbf{x});$$

the AMSE of  $\tilde{f}_N(\mathbf{x}|\tilde{h}_N\beta_N)$  is easily seen to be as required. The rest of the proof then follows from the following two corollaries: Corollary S0.1 and Corollary S0.2, and the fact that if  $n\beta_n \rightarrow 0$ , then for any  $a > 0$ ,

$$\sum \beta_n \leq a \sum n^{-1} \propto a \log N,$$

so that  $n^a \exp(-\sum_{n=1}^N \beta_n) \rightarrow \infty$ , for any  $a > 0$ .  $\square$

**Corollary S0.1.** Depending on the speed at which  $\beta_n$  converges to 0, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,

(A)  $n\beta_n \rightarrow b$  for some  $b > 0$ :  $S_N \approx \frac{b}{(b-2\alpha)}N^{-2\alpha}$ ;

(B)  $n\beta_n \rightarrow \infty$ :  $S_N \propto N^{-2\alpha}$ ;

(C)  $n\beta_n \rightarrow 0$ :  $S_N \propto \exp\left[-\left(\sum_{n=1}^N \beta_n\right)(1+o(1))\right]$ .

**Proof of Corollary S0.1** What follows from (2.3) and the definition of  $S_n$  is that

$$S_{N+1} = (1 - \beta_{N+1})S_N + (N + 1)^{-2\alpha}\beta_{N+1}. \quad (\text{S0.4})$$

Divide either side by a factor of  $(N + 1)^{-2\alpha}$ :

$$(N + 1)^{2\alpha}S_{N+1} = (1 - \beta_{N+1})N^{2\alpha}S_N \frac{(N + 1)^{2\alpha}}{N^{2\alpha}} + \beta_{N+1}. \quad (\text{S0.5})$$

Take the limits of either side and suppose  $n^{2\alpha}S_n \rightarrow s$ , where  $s$  could be 0, finite, or  $\infty$ :

$$s \approx s(1 - \beta_{N+1})(1 + N^{-1})^{2\alpha} + \beta_{N+1},$$

from which it can be inferred that  $s(\beta_{N+1} - 2\alpha N^{-1}) \approx \beta_{N+1}$ . Three possible scenarios depending on the speed at which  $\beta_n \rightarrow 0$  :

(A)  $n\beta_n \rightarrow \infty$  : in this case  $s = 1$ , i.e.  $S_n \approx n^{-2\alpha}$ ;

(B)  $n\beta_n \rightarrow b$  for some  $b > 0$  in this case  $s = b/(b-2\alpha)$ , i.e.  $S_n \approx \frac{b}{(b-2\alpha)}n^{-2\alpha}$ ;

(C)  $n\beta_n \rightarrow 0$  : in this case  $S_N \propto \exp \left[ - \left( \sum_{n=1}^N \beta_n \right) (1 + o(1)) \right]$ .

The proof of case (C) is as follows. First note that  $S_n$  is decreasing, i.e.  $S_n > S_{n+1}$ , which together with (S0.4) means that  $S_n > n^{-2\alpha}$ . In fact,  $S_n n^{2\alpha} \uparrow \infty$ , for if it is bounded, then it must have a limit, say  $s \geq 1$  which together with (S0.5):

$$(N+1)^{2\alpha} S_{N+1} = N^{2\alpha} S_N \left( 1 - \beta_{N+1} + \frac{2\alpha}{N+1} \right) + \beta_{N+1}.$$

where since  $\beta_n = o(n^{-1})$ , we approximately have

$$(N+1)^{2\alpha} S_{N+1} > N^{2\alpha} S_N \left( 1 + \frac{\alpha}{N+1} \right) > N^{2\alpha} S_N + \frac{\alpha}{N+1},$$

which could only imply that  $S_n n^{2\alpha} \uparrow \infty$ . Now rewrite (S0.4) as

$$\frac{S_{N+1} - S_N}{S_N} = -\beta_{N+1} + \beta_{N+1} \frac{1}{(N+1)^{2\alpha} S_N} = -\beta_{N+1} (1 + o(1)). \quad (\text{S0.6})$$

Expressed in the form of differential equations

$$d(\log S_N) = -\beta_{N+1} (1 + o(1)) \Rightarrow S_N = C \exp \left[ - \left( \sum_{n=1}^N \beta_n \right) (1 + o(1)) \right];$$

for some  $C > 0$ ; the proof of case (C) is thus complete. □

**Corollary S0.2.** Depending on the rate of  $\beta_n$  converging to 0,

(A)  $n\beta_n \rightarrow b$ : in this case what holds in general is that  $(\beta_{n+1} - \beta_n)/\beta_n = n^{-1}$ , so that

$$\tilde{S}_N = \frac{b^2}{(2b + p\alpha - 1)} N^{p\alpha-1} (1 + o(1));$$

(B)  $n\beta_n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $(\beta_N - \beta_{N+1})/\beta_{N+1} = o(\beta_N)$ :  $\tilde{S}_n \propto n^{p\alpha}\beta_n$ ;

(C)  $n\beta_n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $(\beta_N - \beta_{N+1})/(\beta_{N+1}\beta_N) = b$  for some  $b > 0$ :  $\tilde{S}_n \propto n^{p\alpha}\beta_n$ ;

(D)  $n\beta_n \rightarrow 0$ :  $\tilde{S}_N \propto \exp \left[ \left( -2 \sum_{n=1}^N \beta_n \right) (1 + o(1)) \right]$ .

**Proof of Corollary S0.2** What follows from (2.3) and the definition of  $\tilde{S}_n$  is that

$$\tilde{S}_{N+1} = (1 - \beta_{N+1})^2 \tilde{S}_N + (N + 1)^\alpha \beta_{N+1}^2.$$

Divide either side by a factor of  $(N + 1)^\alpha \beta_{N+1}$  and suppose  $n^{-\alpha} \tilde{S}_n / \beta_n \rightarrow s$ ,

where  $s$  again could be 0, finite, or  $\infty$ :

$$\begin{aligned} s &\approx (1 - \beta_{N+1})^2 s \frac{N^\alpha \beta_N}{(N + 1)^\alpha \beta_{N+1}} + \beta_{N+1} \\ &\Rightarrow s(2\beta_{N+1} + \alpha N^{-1} - \frac{\beta_N - \beta_{N+1}}{\beta_{N+1}}) \approx \beta_{N+1}; \end{aligned}$$

Proof of cases (A), (B) and (C) thus follows.

For case (D), first note that  $\tilde{S}_n \leq n^\alpha$  and since  $\beta_n = o(n^{-1})$ , we have



$n^{1-\alpha}\tilde{S}_n \uparrow \infty$ , which could be inferred from the following

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\tilde{S}_{N+1}}{(N+1)^{\alpha-1}} &= \frac{\tilde{S}_N}{N^{\alpha-1}}(1-\beta_{N+1})^2 \frac{N^{\alpha-1}}{(N+1)^{\alpha-1}} + (N+1)\beta_{N+1}^2 \\ &= \frac{\tilde{S}_N}{N^{\alpha-1}}\left(1 + \frac{1-\alpha}{2N}\right) + o(N^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, similar to (S0.6) we have

$$\frac{\tilde{S}_{N+1} - \tilde{S}_N}{\tilde{S}_N} = -2\beta_{N+1} + \beta_{N+1}^2 + \frac{N\beta_{N+1}^2}{N^{1-\alpha}\tilde{S}_N} = -2\beta_{N+1}(1 + o(1));$$

the proof of case (D) is thus complete.  $\square$

**Proof of Lemma 2.6** The derivation of optimal  $\beta_n$  is as follows. First note that the asymptotically equivalent problem is as follows:

$$\min_{w(\cdot), c} \frac{c^4}{4} \left\{ \int_0^1 w(x)x^{-2/(p+4)} dx \right\}^2 + c^{-1} \int_0^1 w(x)^2 x^{1/(p+4)} dx \quad (\text{S0.7})$$

subject to  $c > 0$ ,  $w(\cdot) \geq 0$  and  $\int_0^1 w(x)dx = 1$ . Yet we also need to ensure that  $w(\cdot)$  could be realized via the sequential updating procedure associated with the ONLINE estimator with weighting series  $\{\beta_i, i \geq 1\}$ , i.e.

$$\beta_i \prod_{k=i+1}^n (1 - \beta_k) = \frac{1}{n} w(i/n), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

A sufficient and necessary condition is that  $w(\cdot)$  meets this requirement: for any  $a, b, x \in R$ ,  $w(ax)/w(bx)$  is a function of  $a$  and  $b$  only. Alternatively, we have  $w(ax) = g(a)g(x)$  for all  $a, x \in R$  and some function  $g(\cdot)$ . Since this means  $w(x) = [g(x^{1/n})]^n$  for any  $x > 0$  and positive integer  $n$ , we know

immediately that  $g(1) = 1$  and thus  $w(ax) = w(a)w(x)$  and  $w(1) = 1$ .

Furthermore

$$\frac{\partial w(ax)}{\partial a} = xw'(ax) = w(x)w'(a);$$

with  $a = 1$ , this translates into  $w(x) = aw'(x)x$  for some constant  $a$ , whence

$$\partial(\log w(x)) = ax^{-1} \Rightarrow w(x) = cx^a$$

for some  $c$ . In this case, the function to minimize in (S0.7) turns out to be

$$\min_{a,c} \left[ \frac{c^4}{4} \left( \int_0^1 x^{a-2/(p+4)} dx \right)^2 + c^{-1} \int_0^1 x^{2a+1/(p+4)} dx \right] (a+1)^2. \quad (\text{S0.8})$$

For any given  $a > 0$ , the optimal  $c = \{p(a + (p+2)/(p+4))/2\}^{1/(p+4)}$ ; plug

this into (S0.8) and it equates the following

$$\min_a \frac{(a+1)^{p+4}}{\{a + (p+2)/(p+4)\}^{p+2}},$$

which is again minimized when  $a = 0$ . □

**Proof of Lemma 3.3** The following results in matrix theory will be used:

suppose  $a_n \rightarrow 0$ ,  $A$  and  $B$  are two fixed matrices of the same dimension

and  $A^{-1}$  exists, then

$$(A + a_n B)^{-1} = A^{-1} - a_n A^{-1} B A^{-1} + O(a_n^2).$$

First, it is easy to establish that

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_n(\mathbf{x}) &= f(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{I}_{p+1} + \frac{cn^{-\alpha}}{1-\alpha} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \nabla f(\mathbf{x}) \\ \nabla^\top f(\mathbf{x}) & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} + O_p(n^{-2\alpha} + n^{(\alpha-1)/2}) \\ [\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_n(\mathbf{x})]^{-1} &= f^{-1}(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{I}_{p+1} - \frac{cn^{-\alpha}}{(1-\alpha)f^2(\mathbf{x})} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \nabla f(\mathbf{x}) \\ \nabla^\top f(\mathbf{x}) & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} + O_p(n^{-2\alpha} + n^{(\alpha-1)/2}).\end{aligned}$$

Secondly, based on the local Taylor expansion of  $m(\cdot)$  around  $\mathbf{x}$

$$Y_i = \varepsilon_i + \tilde{\mathbf{X}}_{in}^\top(\mathbf{x})m_i(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{X}_{ix}^\top\mathcal{H}_m(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{X}_{ix} + O(h_i^3), \quad m_i(\mathbf{x}) = [m(\mathbf{x}), h_i\nabla^\top m(\mathbf{x})]^\top,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_n(\mathbf{x}), Y) &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{\tilde{h}_i}(\mathbf{X}_{ix})\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_{in}(\mathbf{x})\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_{in}^\top(\mathbf{x})m_i(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{\tilde{h}_i}(\mathbf{X}_{ix})\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_{in}(\mathbf{x})\varepsilon_i \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{\tilde{h}_i}(\mathbf{X}_{ix})\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_{in}(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{X}_{ix}^\top\mathcal{H}_m(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{X}_{ix} + O(n^{-3\alpha}) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{\tilde{h}_i}(\mathbf{X}_{ix})\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_{in}(\mathbf{x})\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_{in}^\top(\mathbf{x})m_i(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{\tilde{h}_i}(\mathbf{X}_{ix})\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_{in}(\mathbf{x})\varepsilon_i \\ &\quad + \frac{c^2n^{-2\alpha}f(\mathbf{x})}{2(1-2\alpha)} \begin{bmatrix} \text{tr}\{\mathcal{H}_m(\mathbf{x})\} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} + o(n^{-2\alpha}) + O_p(n^{-1/2}).\end{aligned}$$

Observing that

$$m_i(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & h_i\mathbf{I}_p \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} m(\mathbf{x}) \\ \nabla m(\mathbf{x}) \end{bmatrix},$$

we further have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & [\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_n(\mathbf{x})]^{-1} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{\tilde{h}_i}(\mathbf{X}_{ix}) \tilde{\mathbf{X}}_{in}(\mathbf{x}) \tilde{\mathbf{X}}_{in}^\top(\mathbf{x}) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} m(\mathbf{x}) \\ \nabla m(\mathbf{x}) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} m(\mathbf{x}) \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix}, \\
 & \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{\tilde{h}_i}(\mathbf{X}_{ix}) \tilde{\mathbf{X}}_{in}(\mathbf{x}) \tilde{\mathbf{X}}_{in}^\top(\mathbf{x}) \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & h_i \mathbf{I}_p \end{bmatrix} \\
 & = f(\mathbf{x}) \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{I}_p \sum_{i=1}^n h_i/n \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \nabla^\top f(\mathbf{x}) \sum_{i=1}^n h_i^2/n \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} + O_p(n^{-3\alpha} + n^{-1/2}), \\
 & \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{\tilde{h}_i}(\mathbf{X}_{ix}) \tilde{\mathbf{X}}_{in}(\mathbf{x}) \tilde{\mathbf{X}}_{in}^\top(\mathbf{x}) \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & h_i \mathbf{I}_p \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} m(\mathbf{x}) \\ \nabla m(\mathbf{x}) \end{bmatrix} \\
 & = f(\mathbf{x}) \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{cn^{-\alpha}}{1-\alpha} \nabla^\top m(\mathbf{x}) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \frac{c^2 n^{-2\alpha}}{1-2\alpha} \nabla^\top m(\mathbf{x}) \nabla f(\mathbf{x}) \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} + O_p(n^{-3\alpha} + n^{-1/2}), \\
 & [\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_n(\mathbf{x})]^{-1} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{\tilde{h}_i}(\mathbf{X}_{ix}) \tilde{\mathbf{X}}_{in}(\mathbf{x}) \tilde{\mathbf{X}}_{in}^\top(\mathbf{x}) \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & h_i \mathbf{I}_p \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} m(\mathbf{x}) \\ \nabla m(\mathbf{x}) \end{bmatrix} \\
 & = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{cn^{-\alpha}}{1-\alpha} \nabla^\top m(\mathbf{x}) \end{bmatrix} + \frac{c^2 \alpha^2 n^{-2\alpha}}{(1-2\alpha)(1-\alpha)^2} \begin{bmatrix} \nabla^\top m(\mathbf{x}) \nabla f(\mathbf{x}) / f(\mathbf{x}) \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} + O_p(n^{-3\alpha} + n^{-1/2}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{m}_n(\mathbf{x}) &= [\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_n(\mathbf{x})]^{-1} \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_n(\mathbf{x}, Y) = \begin{bmatrix} m(\mathbf{x}) \\ \frac{cn^{-\alpha}}{1-\alpha} \nabla^\top m(\mathbf{x}) \end{bmatrix} + \frac{c^2 \alpha^2 n^{-2\alpha}}{(1-2\alpha)(1-\alpha)^2} \begin{bmatrix} \nabla^\top m(\mathbf{x}) \nabla f(\mathbf{x}) / f(\mathbf{x}) \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \\ &+ \frac{c^2 n^{-2\alpha}}{2(1-2\alpha)} \begin{bmatrix} \text{tr}\{\mathcal{H}_m(\mathbf{x})\} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} + \frac{1}{nf_{\mathbf{x}}} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{\tilde{h}_i}(\mathbf{X}_{ix}) \tilde{\mathbf{X}}_{in}(\mathbf{x}) \varepsilon_i + O_p(n^{-3\alpha} + n^{-1/2}). \end{aligned}$$

**Proof of Lemma 4.1.** If  $U_i$  is near  $u_0$ , we apply the following local Taylor expansion concerning function  $g_k(\cdot)$ :

$$g_k(U_i) = g_k(u_0) + g_k^{(1)}(u_0)U_{i0} + \frac{1}{2}g_k^{(2)}(u_0)U_{i0}^2 + O(|U_{i0}|^3), \quad U_{i0} = U_i - u_0.$$

The proof of (4.18) is done similarly to Fan and Zhang (1999).

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{h_n}(U_{i0}) \mathbf{X}_i Y_i &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{h_n}(U_{i0}) \mathbf{X}_i \varepsilon_i + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{h_n}(U_{i0}) \mathbf{X}_i \mathbf{X}_i^\top \mathbf{g}(u_0) \\ &+ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{h_n}(U_{i0}) U_{i0} \mathbf{X}_i \mathbf{X}_i^\top \mathbf{g}^{(1)}(u_0) + \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{h_n}(U_{i0}) U_{i0}^2 \mathbf{X}_i \mathbf{X}_i^\top \mathbf{g}^{(2)}(u_0), \\ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{h_n}(U_{i0}) \mathbf{X}_i \mathbf{X}_i &= (\nu.f)(u_0) + \frac{1}{2}(\nu.f)^{(2)}(u) h_n^2 + O_p(h_n^4 + (nh_n)^{-1/2}) \\ &+ O_p(h_n^3 + (nh_n)^{-1/2}). \end{aligned}$$

Then (4.18) follows by considering the ratio of these two terms. Similarly,

from its definition in (4.17), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{\mathbf{g}}_n(u_0) &= \mathbf{g}(u_0) + \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n K_{\tilde{h}_i}(U_{i0}) \mathbf{X}_i \mathbf{X}_i^\top \right]^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{\tilde{h}_i}(U_{i0}) U_{i0} \mathbf{X}_i \mathbf{X}_i^\top \mathbf{g}^{(1)}(u_0) \\
 &\quad + \left[ 2 \sum_{i=1}^n K_{\tilde{h}_i}(U_{i0}) \mathbf{X}_i \mathbf{X}_i^\top \right]^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{\tilde{h}_i}(U_{i0}) U_{i0}^2 \mathbf{X}_i \mathbf{X}_i^\top \mathbf{g}^{(2)}(u_0) \\
 &\quad + \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n K_{\tilde{h}_i}(U_{i0}) \mathbf{X}_i \mathbf{X}_i^\top \right]^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{\tilde{h}_i}(U_{i0}) \mathbf{X}_i \varepsilon_i + O(n^{-3/5}).
 \end{aligned}$$

For the ‘inverse matrix’,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{\tilde{h}_i}(U_{i0}) \mathbf{X}_i \mathbf{X}_i^\top &= (\nu.f)(u_0) + (\nu.f)^{(2)}(u_0) \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{h}_i^2 \\
 &\quad + O(n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{h}_i^3) + O_p\left(n^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{h}_i^{-1}\right)^{1/2}\right).
 \end{aligned}$$

The proof is thus complete when plugging this into (S0.9).  $\square$

**Proof of Lemma 4.2** Again with  $h_n = O(n^{-1/5})$ , we have standard results such as

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Sigma_n &= (\nu.f)(u_0) \otimes \mathbf{I}_2 + h_n (\nu.f)^{(1)}(u_0) \otimes \tilde{\mathbf{I}}_2 + O(h_n^2) \\
 \mathcal{S}_n &= \Sigma_n \mathbf{g}_n(u_0) + \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{\tilde{h}_i}(U_{i0}) U_{i0}^2 \mathbf{X}_{n,i}(u_0) \mathbf{X}_i^\top \mathbf{g}^{(2)}(u_0) \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{\tilde{h}_i}(U_{i0}) \mathbf{X}_{n,i}(u_0) \varepsilon_i + O_p(n^{-1/2}),
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathbf{I}_2$  is the  $2 \times 2$  identity matrix,  $\tilde{\mathbf{I}}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ . Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathbf{g}}_n(u_0) &= \mathbf{g}_n(u_0) + \Sigma_n^{-1} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{\tilde{h}_i}(U_{i0}) \mathbf{X}_{n,i}(u_0) \varepsilon_i \\ &\quad + \Sigma_n^{-1} \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{\tilde{h}_i}(U_{i0}) \mathbf{X}_{n,i}(u_0) U_{i0}^2 \mathbf{X}_i^\top \mathbf{g}^{(2)}(u_0) + O_p(n^{-1/2}). \end{aligned}$$

(4.22) thus follows from facts

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{I}_{q,2q} \Sigma_n^{-1} &= [(\nu.f)(u_0)]^{-1} \otimes [1, 0] + O(h_n); \\ \left( [(\nu.f)(u_0)]^{-1} \otimes [1, 0] \right) \mathbf{X}_{n,i}(u_0) &= [(\nu.f)(u_0)]^{-1} \mathbf{X}_i. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete. □

**Proof of Lemma 4.3** We will repeatedly refer to the following properties of Kronecker product: when the order of matrices permit the indicated operations,

$$(A \otimes B)(C \otimes D) = (AC) \otimes (BD); \quad (A \otimes B)^{-1} = A^{-1} \otimes B^{-1}; \quad (A \otimes B)^\top = A^\top \otimes B^\top.$$

Write  $\tilde{g}_n(u_0) \equiv (n\tilde{\Sigma}_n)^{-1}(n\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_n)$ , i.e.

$$\tilde{\Sigma}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{\tilde{h}_i}(U_{i0}) \tilde{\mathbf{X}}_{n,i}(u_0) \tilde{\mathbf{X}}_{n,i}^\top(u_0); \quad \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{\tilde{h}_i}(U_{i0}) \tilde{\mathbf{X}}_{n,i}(u_0) Y_i$$

We start with the inverse matrix.

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathbf{X}}_{n,i}(u_0)\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_{n,i}^\top(u_0) &= (\mathbf{X}_i\mathbf{X}_i^\top) \otimes \left( \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ U_{i0}/\tilde{h}_i \end{bmatrix} [1, U_{i0}/\tilde{h}_i] \right), \\ E(\tilde{\Sigma}_n) &= (\nu.f)(u_0) \otimes \mathbf{I}_2 + \tilde{h}_i(\nu.f)^{(1)}(u_0) \otimes \tilde{\mathbf{I}}_2 + O(\tilde{h}_i^2), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S0.10})$$

$$\text{Var}[(\tilde{\Sigma}_n)_{ij}] = O(\tilde{h}_i^{-1}), \quad (\text{S0.11})$$

where the  $O(\cdot)$  terms are all uniform in  $i \geq 1$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Sigma}_n &= (\nu.f)(u_0) \otimes \mathbf{I}_2 + (\nu.f)^{(1)}(u_0) \otimes \tilde{\mathbf{I}}_2 \\ &\quad \times \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{h}_i \right) \frac{5c}{4} n^{-1/5} + O\left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{h}_i^2 \right) n^{-2/5} + O\left( n^{-1} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{h}_i^{-1} \right) \right) n^{-2/5}, \\ \tilde{\Sigma}_n^{-1} &= [(\nu.f)(u_0)]^{-1} \otimes \mathbf{I}_2 \\ &\quad - \frac{5c}{4} n^{-1/5} \left( [(\nu.f)]^{-1}(u_0) [(\nu.f)^{(1)}(u_0)] [(\nu.f)]^{-1}(u_0) \right) \otimes \tilde{\mathbf{I}}_2 + O(n^{-2/5}). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S0.12})$$

Next, based on expansion like

$$\begin{aligned} Y_i &= \sum_{k=1}^q \left( g_k(u_0) + g_k^{(1)}(u_0)U_{i0} + \frac{1}{2}g_k^{(2)}(u_0)U_{i0}^2 \right) x_{ik} + O(|U_{i0}|^3) + \varepsilon_i \\ &= \tilde{\mathbf{X}}_{n,i}^\top(u_0) \tilde{\mathbf{g}}_i(u_0) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^q g_k^{(2)}(u_0) U_{i0}^2 x_{ik} + O(|U_{i0}|^3) + \varepsilon_i, \end{aligned}$$

where recall that  $\mathbf{g}_i(u_0) = [g_1(u_0), h_i g_1^{(1)}(u_0), \dots, g_q(u_0), h_i g_q^{(1)}(u_0)]^\top$ , we

have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_n &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n [K_{\tilde{h}_i}(U_{i0}) \tilde{\mathbf{X}}_{n,i}(u_0) \tilde{\mathbf{X}}_{n,i}^\top(u_0) \tilde{\mathbf{g}}_i(u_0)] + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{\tilde{h}_i}(U_{i0}) \tilde{\mathbf{X}}_{n,i}(u_0) \varepsilon_i \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{\tilde{h}_i}(U_{i0}) U_{i0}^2 \tilde{\mathbf{X}}_{n,i}(u_0) \mathbf{X}_i^\top \mathbf{g}^{(2)}(u_0) + O(n^{-1} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{h}_i^2 \right)^{1/2}). \end{aligned}$$



Seeing that

$$\tilde{\mathbf{g}}_i(u_0) = \left( \mathbf{I}_{q+1} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \tilde{h}_i \end{bmatrix} \right) \tilde{\mathbf{g}}(u_0),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathbf{X}}_{n,i}(u_0) \tilde{\mathbf{X}}_{n,i}^\top(u_0) \tilde{\mathbf{g}}_i(u_0) &= (\mathbf{X}_i \mathbf{X}_i^\top) \otimes \left( \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ U_{i0}/\tilde{h}_i \end{bmatrix} [1, U_{i0}/\tilde{h}_i] \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \tilde{h}_i \end{bmatrix} \right) \tilde{\mathbf{g}}(u_0) \\ &= (\mathbf{X}_i \mathbf{X}_i^\top) \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1 & U_{i0} \\ U_{i0}/\tilde{h}_i & U_{i0}^2/\tilde{h}_i \end{bmatrix} \tilde{\mathbf{g}}(u_0) \quad (\text{S0.13}) \\ &= (\mathbf{X}_i \mathbf{X}_i^\top) \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ U_{i0}/\tilde{h}_i & 0 \end{bmatrix} \tilde{\mathbf{g}}(u_0) + (\mathbf{X}_i \mathbf{X}_i^\top) \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 0 & U_{i0} \\ 0 & U_{i0}^2/\tilde{h}_i \end{bmatrix} \tilde{\mathbf{g}}(u_0), \end{aligned}$$

with the first matrix having vectors of zeros as its even-numbered columns,

while the second having vectors of zeros as its odd-numbered columns. For

the first matrix, it follows from the definition of  $\tilde{\Sigma}_n$  that

$$(\tilde{\Sigma}_n)^{-1} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{\tilde{h}_i}(U_{i0}) (\mathbf{X}_i \mathbf{X}_i^\top) \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ U_{i0}/\tilde{h}_i & 0 \end{bmatrix} \tilde{\mathbf{g}}(u_0) = \mathbf{g}(u_0) \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (\text{S0.14})$$

The dealing of the second matrix is more complicated. First we claim that its (non-zero) entries of the matrix are all of order  $o_p(n^{-1/2})$ : for any

$i, j = 1, \dots, q$ ,

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{\tilde{h}_i}(U_{i0}) x_{ik} x_{ij} U_{i0} = O_p(n^{-3/5}), \quad \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{\tilde{h}_i}(U_{i0}) x_{ik} x_{ij} U_{i0}^2/\tilde{h}_i = O_p(n^{-3/5}).$$

As for their expectations,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n E\left(K_{\tilde{h}_i}(U_{i0})x_{ik}x_{ij}U_{i0}\right) &= (\nu.f)_{kj}^{(1)}(u_0) \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{h}_i^2 \left(\frac{5c^2}{3}n^{-2/5}\right) + O(n^{-4/5}), \\ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n E\left(K_{\tilde{h}_i}(U_{i0})x_{ik}x_{ij}U_{i0}^2/\tilde{h}_i\right) &= (\nu.f)_{kj}(u_0) \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{h}_i \left(\frac{5c}{4}n^{-1/5}\right) + O(n^{-3/5}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (\mathbf{X}_i \mathbf{X}_i^\top) \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 0 & U_{i0} \\ 0 & U_{i0}^2/\tilde{h}_i \end{bmatrix} &= \frac{5c}{4}n^{-1/5}(\nu.f)(u_0) \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &\quad + \frac{5c^2}{3}n^{-2/5}(\nu.f)^{(1)}(u_0) \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + o_p(n^{-1/2}), \\ \tilde{\Sigma}_n^{-1} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (\mathbf{X}_i \mathbf{X}_i^\top) \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 0 & U_{i0} \\ 0 & U_{i0}^2/\tilde{h}_i \end{bmatrix} &= \frac{5c}{4}n^{-1/5} \mathbf{I}_{q+1} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + o_p(n^{-1/2}) \\ &\quad + \frac{5c^2}{3}n^{-2/5} \left( [(\nu.f)(u_0)]^{-1} (\nu.f)^{(1)}(u_0) \right) \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ &\quad - \frac{25c^2}{16}n^{-2/5} \left( [(\nu.f)(u_0)]^{-1} [(\nu.f)^{(1)}(u_0)] \right) \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \frac{5c}{4}n^{-1/5} \mathbf{I}_{q+1} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + o_p(n^{-1/2}) \quad (\text{S0.15}) \\ &\quad + \frac{5c^2}{48}n^{-2/5} \left( [(\nu.f)(u_0)]^{-1} [(\nu.f)^{(1)}(u_0)] \right) \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\mathbf{I}_{q+1} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \tilde{\mathbf{g}}(u_0) = \mathbf{g}^{(1)}(u_0) \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (\text{S0.16})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left( [(\nu.f)(u_0)]^{-1}(\nu.f)^{(1)}(u_0) \right) \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \tilde{\mathbf{g}}(u_0) \\ = \left( [(\nu.f)(u_0)]^{-1}(\nu.f)^{(1)}(u_0) \mathbf{g}^{(1)}(u_0) \right) \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S0.17})$$

combining (S0.13), (S0.13), (S0.14), (S0.15), (S0.16 ) and(S0.17), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{\Sigma}_n)^{-1} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n [K_{\tilde{h}_i}(U_{i0}) \tilde{\mathbf{X}}_{n,i}(u_0) \tilde{\mathbf{X}}_{n,i}^\top(u_0) \mathbf{g}_i(u_0)] \\ = \mathbf{g}(u_0) \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \frac{5c}{4} \mathbf{g}^{(1)}(u_0) \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + o_p(n^{-1/2}) \\ + \frac{5c^2}{48} n^{-2/5} \left( [(\nu.f)(u_0)]^{-1} [(\nu.f)^{(1)}(u_0)] \mathbf{g}^{(1)}(u_0) \right) \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S0.18})$$

For the second term in (S0.13), since it is easy to verify that its variance of order  $o(n^{-8/5})$ , thus we only need to consider its expectation.

$$\begin{aligned} E \left( K_{\tilde{h}_i}(U_{i0}) U_{i0}^2 \tilde{\mathbf{X}}_{n,i}(u_0) \mathbf{X}_i^\top \mathbf{g}^{(2)}(u_0) \right) = \tilde{h}_i^2 [(\nu.\mathbf{g}^{(2)}.f)(u_0)] \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ + \tilde{h}_i^3 [(\nu.f)^{(1)}.g^{(2)}](u_0) \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \mu_4(K) \end{bmatrix} + O(\tilde{h}_i^4). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{\tilde{h}_i}(U_{i0}) U_{i0}^2 \tilde{\mathbf{X}}_{n,i}(u_0) \mathbf{X}_i^\top \mathbf{g}^{(2)}(u_0) \\ &= \frac{5c^2}{6} n^{-2/5} [(\nu \cdot \mathbf{g}^{(2)} \cdot f)(u_0)] \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \frac{5c^3}{4} n^{-3/5} [(\nu \cdot f)^{(1)} \cdot \mathbf{g}^{(2)}](u_0) \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \mu_4(K) \end{bmatrix} + O_p(n^{-4/5}), \end{aligned}$$

and consequently

$$\begin{aligned} & (\tilde{\Sigma}_n)^{-1} \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{\tilde{h}_i}(U_{i0}) U_{i0}^2 \tilde{\mathbf{X}}_{n,i}(u_0) \mathbf{X}_i^\top \mathbf{g}^{(2)}(u_0) \tag{S0.19} \\ &= \frac{5c^2}{6} n^{-2/5} \mathbf{g}^{(2)}(u_0) \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \frac{5c^3}{4} n^{-3/5} \left( [(\nu \cdot f)^{-1}(u_0)] [(\nu \cdot f)^{(1)} \cdot \mathbf{g}^{(2)}](u_0) \right) \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \mu_4(K) \end{bmatrix} \\ &\quad - \frac{25c^3}{24} n^{-3/5} \left( [(\nu \cdot f)]^{-1}(u_0) [(\nu \cdot f)^{(1)}(u_0)] \mathbf{g}^{(2)}(u_0) \right) \otimes \begin{bmatrix} \mu_4(K) \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + O_p(n^{-4/5}); \end{aligned}$$

(4.24) thus follows from (S0.13), (S0.18) and (S0.19).  $\square$