

**Statistica Sinica Preprint No: SS-2016-0319.R1**

<b>Title</b>	Bayesian Modeling and Inference for Nonignorably Missing Longitudinal Binary Response Data with Applications to HIV Prevention Trials
<b>Manuscript ID</b>	SS-2016-0319.R1
<b>URL</b>	<a href="http://www.stat.sinica.edu.tw/statistica/">http://www.stat.sinica.edu.tw/statistica/</a>
<b>DOI</b>	10.5705/ss.202016.0319
<b>Complete List of Authors</b>	Joseph Ibrahim Jing Wu Ming-Hui Chen Elizabeth Schifano and Jeffrey Fisher
<b>Corresponding Author</b>	Joseph Ibrahim
<b>E-mail</b>	ibrahim@bios.unc.edu







































































and by Tonelli's theorem, it suffices to show that for each  $k$

$$\prod_{i \in I_c} \int f_y(\mathbf{y}_i^* | z_i, \mathbf{x}_{1i}, \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_i, \boldsymbol{\theta}) d\boldsymbol{\beta} f(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_i | \alpha, \rho) d\boldsymbol{\epsilon} f(\zeta_k | \tau) d\zeta \pi(\tau) d\tau d\boldsymbol{\alpha} d\rho < \infty.$$

By Chen and Shao (2001), and under (C1) and (C2), there exists a constant  $K_0$  depending only on  $\mathbf{X}_{obs}^*$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \prod_{i \in I_c} \int f_y(\mathbf{y}_i^* | z_i, \mathbf{x}_{1i}, \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_i, \boldsymbol{\theta}) d\boldsymbol{\beta} f(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_i | \alpha, \rho) d\boldsymbol{\epsilon} f(\zeta_k | \tau) d\zeta \pi(\tau) d\tau d\boldsymbol{\alpha} d\rho \\ = & E_{\mathbf{u}} \left( \int \mathbf{1}(\mathbf{X}_{obs}^* \boldsymbol{\beta} + \tau \boldsymbol{\zeta} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \leq \mathbf{u}) d\boldsymbol{\beta} f(\boldsymbol{\epsilon} | \alpha, \rho) d\boldsymbol{\epsilon} f(\zeta_k | \tau) d\zeta \pi(\tau) d\tau d\boldsymbol{\alpha} d\rho \right) \\ = & E_{\mathbf{u}} \left( \int K_0 \|\mathbf{u} - \tau \boldsymbol{\zeta} - \boldsymbol{\epsilon}\|^p d\boldsymbol{\beta} f(\boldsymbol{\epsilon} | \alpha, \rho) d\boldsymbol{\epsilon} f(\zeta_k | \tau) d\zeta \pi(\tau) d\tau d\boldsymbol{\alpha} d\rho \right) \\ \leq & E_{\mathbf{u}} \left( K_0 \|\mathbf{u}\|^p \int f(\boldsymbol{\epsilon} | \alpha, \rho) d\boldsymbol{\epsilon} f(\zeta_k | \tau) d\zeta \pi(\tau) d\tau d\boldsymbol{\alpha} d\rho \right) + \\ & K_0 \int \|\boldsymbol{\zeta}\|^p f(\zeta_k | \tau) d\zeta \tau^p \pi(\tau) d\tau \int f(\boldsymbol{\epsilon} | \alpha, \rho) d\boldsymbol{\epsilon} d\boldsymbol{\alpha} d\rho \\ & K_0 \int \|\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\|^p f(\boldsymbol{\epsilon} | \alpha, \rho) d\boldsymbol{\epsilon} f(\zeta_k | \tau) d\zeta \pi(\tau) d\tau d\boldsymbol{\alpha} d\rho \end{aligned}$$

The first and second terms are finite since  $\tau \in (0, 1)$ ,  $\rho \in (-1, 1)$ ,  $\pi(\tau)$  is proper with a finite  $p^{th}$  moment  $\int \tau^p \pi(\tau) d\tau < \infty$ ,  $f(\cdot | \cdot) \in \mathcal{P}(0, 1)$ , and condition C3. Let  $\Sigma = \Gamma\Gamma$ , where  $\Gamma = \Gamma'$ . To bound the second term, we carry out a transformation





























